

AMERICA'S DECLINE

THE EDUCATION OF A CONSERVATIVE

REVILO P. OLIVER

ABOUT THE AUTHOR: Dr. Revilo Pendleton Oliver, Professor of the Classics at the University of Illinois for 32 years, is a scholar of international distinction who has written articles in four languages for the most prestigious academic publications in the United States and Europe.

During World War II, Dr. Oliver was Director of Research in a highly secret agency of the War Department, and was cited for outstanding service to his country.

One of the very few academicians who has been outspoken in his opposition to the progressive

defacement of our civilization, Dr. Oliver has long insisted that the fate of his countrymen hangs on their willingness to subordinate their doctrinal differences to the tough but idealistic solidarity which is the prerequisite of a Majority resurgence.

SOME QUOTABLE QUOTES FROM *AMERICA'S DECLINE*

On the 18th Amendment (Prohibition): "Very few Americans were sufficiently sane to perceive that they had repudiated the American conception of government and had replaced it with the legal principle of the 'dictatorship of the proletariat,' which was the theoretical justification of the Jews' revolution in Russia."

On Race: "We must further understand that all races naturally regard themselves as superior to all others. We think Congoids unintelligent, but they feel only contempt for a race so stupid or craven that it fawns on them, gives them votes, lavishly subsidizes them with its own earnings, and even oppresses its own people to curry their favor. We are a race as are the others. If we attribute to ourselves a superiority, intellectual, moral, or other, in terms of our own standards, we are simply indulging in a tautology. The only objective criterion of superiority, among human races as among all other species, is biological: the strong survive, the weak perish. The superior race of mankind today is the one that will emerge victorious—whether by its technology or its fecundity—from the proximate struggle for life on an overcrowded planet."

AMERICA'S DECLINE

ORDER No. 1007—\$8.50
plus \$1.00 for post. & handlg.

376 pp., pb.

ORDER FROM:

LIBERTY BELL PUBLICATIONS, Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA.

Liberty Bell

ISSN: 0145 - 7667

SINGLE COPY \$3.00

THE GREAT TERRORIST

by Foreign Correspondent Jim Taylor
page 19

ALSO IN THIS ISSUE:

POSTSCRIPTS BY PROFESSOR REVILO P. OLIVER: The Making of Mythidia, page 1; Home to Mama, page 6; The Sick Man, page 14; God Save the Queen, page 16; A Hint of the Future, page 17. FROM THE ALIENS' PRESS: An Even Friendlier Congress, An Analysis of the November 1986 Elections, by Wolf Blitzer, *The Jerusalem Post*, page 45; U.S. Mum on Report Hess Will Be Freed, page 59. NORDIC PRESS: Aids: It Won't Go Away, page 45; Don't Draw Fire, page 50. HAROLD COVINGTON: Movement Finance, page 52.

VOL. 14 - NO. 9

MAY 1987

Voice Of Thinking Americans

LIBERTY BELL

The magazine for *Thinking Americans*, is published monthly by Liberty Bell Publications, George P. Dietz, Editor. Editorial Offices: P.O. Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA — Phone: 304-927-4486.

Manuscripts conforming to our editorial policy are always welcome, however, they cannot be returned unless accompanied by stamped, self-addressed envelope. Manuscripts accepted for publication become the property of Liberty Bell Publications.

COPYRIGHT 1983

by Liberty Bell Publications

Permission granted to quote in whole or part any article except those subject to author's Copyright. Proper source credit and address should be given.

ANNUAL SUBSCRIPTION RATES:

SAMPLE COPY with several reprints	\$ 3.00
THIRD CLASS — U.S.A. only	\$25.00
FIRST CLASS — U.S.A.-Canada-Mexico only	\$32.00
FIRST CLASS — All foreign countries	\$35.00

AIR MAIL — Europe-South America	\$45.00
Middle East-Far East-So. Africa	\$49.00
Sample Copy	\$ 4.00

BULK COPIES FOR DISTRIBUTION:

10 copies	\$ 18.00
50 copies	\$ 65.00
100 copies	\$110.00
500 copies	\$400.00
1000 copies	\$700.00

These prices apply only to our standard 52-page editions.

FREEDOM OF SPEECH—FREEDOM OF THOUGHT FREEDOM OF EXPRESSION

The editor-publisher of *Liberty Bell* does not necessarily agree with each and every article in this magazine, nor does he subscribe to all conclusions arrived at by various writers; however, he does endeavor to permit the exposure of ideas suppressed by the controlled news media of this country.

It is, therefore, in the best tradition of America and of free men everywhere that *Liberty Bell* strives to give free reign to ideas, for ultimately it is ideas which rule the world and determine both the content and structure of culture.

We believe that we can and will change our society for the better. We declare our long-held view that no institution or government created by men, for men, is inviolable, incorruptible, and not subject to evolution, change or replacement by the will of the people.

To this we dedicate our lives and our work. No effort will be spared and no idea will be allowed to go unexpressed if we think it will benefit the *Thinking People*, not only of America, but the entire world.

George P. Dietz, Editor & Publisher

POSTSCRIPTS

by
Revalo P. Oliver

THE MAKING OF MYTHIDIA

Myth would be too pretentious a word for what I have in mind, so I have taken the Greek diminutive, mythidion, which I first learned from Lucian and, appropriately, from his dialogue, Philopseudes, in which he discusses the origin and prevalence of lies. I shall consider here, briefly, what we may call, by an oxymoron, involuntary lies, i.e., untruths, falsehoods, told by persons who do not intend to deceive and whose evident good faith induces their acquaintance to give credence to false statements which they will, in turn, repeat as matters of fact, thus often giving them a wide circulation.

In an earlier issue of *Liberty Bell* I noticed a typical mythidion, a story about the origin of Bartholdi's colossal statue of Eleutheria in the harbor of New York City, which had been so widely disseminated that it was repeated to me for my information in all good faith by several correspondents. The story was certainly false, but had its origin in a confusion between a colossal statue the sculptor projected but never executed and the one he did produce for export to the United States.

The simplest and clearest illustration of the generation of such mythidia is one that first made me realize the importance of keeping always in mind how extremely common are the consequences of a psychological phenomenon that encompasses us with traps for the unwary.

A valued friend of mine, an attorney of standing, was wont to tell his friends, whenever the conversation turned to the various Christian cults and their gospels, that his great-grandfather had been personally acquainted with the blacksmith who forged the golden plates from which Joseph Smith pretended to translate the Book of Mormon.

Now that story was obviously false. According to the official doctrine of the Mormon Church, Smith was led, by an angelic Mentor, to discover in a cave near Palmyra, New York, a horde of tablets, made of solid gold, bearing inscriptions which

he transcribed in English by looking through a magic stone which converted the Egyptian characters and language into English. As soon as this godly work was completed, the Angel Moroni appeared and took the golden plates, which were too precious to be entrusted to mortals, back with him to Heaven for safekeeping, perhaps in some celestial lock-box. Anyone with a modicum of common sense knows, of course, that their "golden plates" were just a fiction imagined to validate a hoax. Therefore no one could have manufactured them, and assuredly no blacksmith ever hammered out a mass of gold that must have been worth at least several million dollars even at that time, when dollars were real money and not just pieces of colored paper used in the great American swindle.

Questions, however, sufficed to show that my friend's story about his great-grandfather was largely true. His great-grandfather never lived in New York and was not known ever to have visited that state, but, in the course of his business, he traveled widely in the state of Illinois. In that state there is a tiny village named Kinderhook. (A friend of mine says, with slight hyperbole, "You won't notice it unless you drive slowly.") It is directly east of Hannibal, Missouri, and about six miles from the Mississippi River. It was, however, a town of some importance in the first part of the Nineteenth Century, when one of the inhabitants was Robert Wylie, a prosperous merchant and wealthy landowner.

One day in April 1843, when he and a friend, Wilbourne Fugate, were discussing religion and especially the new Mormon cult which was gaining so many adherents, Wylie, perhaps influenced by the spirituous inspiration that is so commonly mistaken for spiritual, decided to test by experiment how much suckers can be made to believe. They enlisted the services of Bridge Whitton, who appears to have been the local blacksmith, and who made for them six thin plates, roughly campanulate in shape, of a then common alloy that metallurgically was something between bronze and brass, as those metals are strictly defined. Wylie and Fugate then coated both sides of the metal plates with beeswax and scratched through the wax the most mysterious 'hieroglyphs' they could devise. They then applied nitric acid to the plates, thus etching the symbols in the metal. Removing the wax, they covered the plates with 'rust' that they manufactured by using nitric acid on pieces of iron and lead, and bound the plates together with an iron ring, which they also covered with the spurious rust, thus producing an ap-

pearance of great antiquity and long burial in the ground. The plates were planted in an excavation Wylie was having made on his property and were placed under a stone a foot or two beneath the depth the workmen had reached when they stopped work for the day. The sportive gentlemen arranged to have a number of their fellow citizens, including two Mormon elders, on Wylie's property the next day when the workmen, resuming work, discovered the amazing memorials left by some prehistoric people, and the spectators marveled at the hieroglyphs, which, like the sacred writing of Egypt, must have been used by priests to preserve religious texts. Seven respected citizens, who had been present when the momentous discovery was made, signed a document attesting the finding of the plates, "covered with ancient characters" and of great antiquity, since they had obviously been buried in the ground for a very long time.

Wylie and Fugate enjoyed their hoax, which they, according to one account, found unexpectedly and handsomely profitable, and they probably hoped to catch prize suckers who were nationally known before they began to laugh openly at human credulity.¹ Whitton, the blacksmith, seems to have been the first to expose the hoax, of which he told one of the dupes who had signed the document. That man was not amused.

Wylie eventually gave the plates as curiosities to a certain Professor McDowell in St. Louis, and they were lost during the war of aggression begun by Lincoln in 1861. By that time, Wylie and Kinderhook's brief season of glory had been completely forgotten.

1. We may guess what Wylie was especially awaiting. There is good evidence for what seems unbelievable *prima facie*. The Mormon elders who were present at the momentous discovery "leaped and shouted for joy" because Smith's story was thus corroborated, and the wondrous plates were eventually sent to Smith in his religious capital, Nauvoo. The Prophet recognized the 'hieroglyphics' as "reformed Egyptian" and found that the text was the history of an early American, "a descendant of Ham, through the loins of Pharaoh, king of Egypt." Needless to say, Joseph Smith was not taken in by a parody of his own hoax. Although uneducated and ignorant, he was a man of native ability and a first-class theologian, as is shown by his achievement in composing, from quite diverse sources, some of the best gospels ever written. We can only wonder what would have happened, if Joseph Smith had not been murdered by a mob incited and led by clergymen who wanted to stamp out their most formidable competition in the salvation-business. It is likely that he had diligently informed himself of the circumstances of the discovery and had a copy of a document Wiley and Fugate had rashly signed themselves, and had he lived to complete his "translation" of the text in "reformed Egyptian," they might have found themselves hoist with their own petard and exposed by divine revelation as infidels and recreants, who had been suborned by Satan to deny their own discovery of revealed

We may regard it as certain that my friend's great-grandfather had known Whitton, and he doubtless told his family with gusto about the hoax of the forged and planted 'bronze' plates. No doubt, someone who heard him tell the story, recalled years later only that a blacksmith had forged plates which purportedly bore a religious text written by prehistoric inhabitants of North America; he naturally associated the story with the only famous hoax that involved such a use of supposititious plates, and thus assumed that the blacksmith must have worked for Joseph Smith.

Such was the genesis of that mythidion. When stories are transmitted orally, there is an inevitable slippage as some facts are forgotten and replaced by what seems right or at least plausible to the next narrator.

A man's memory is itself labile, and facts that are not of vital importance to an individual undergo a strange sea-change as they are submerged in the ocean of subsequent events and, like the bones of Ferdinand's father, they are made into coral at full fathom five. I give the following example only because it is one of which I can be quite certain of the facts.

A decade ago, my wife was given a copy of William F. Buckley's *Airborne* (New York, Macmillan, 1976) by an amiable young lady who had seen my name in the book. In those entertaining memoirs of a yachtsman, the reader is told that I, "at age seventeen, resolved never again to make a typing mistake. Forty years later, he has yet to make one." The author proceeds to confute persons who doubt my phenomenal ability: "If Horowitz can play at hurricane speed horribly intricate pieces of music, using ten fingers simultaneously without making a mistake, why should Revilo Oliver—a full professor in the classics, master of seventeen tongues²—make a mistake, typing at his own speed, and using, at *whatever* speed he typed, what comes down to *only one finger at a time?*" (His emphasis.)

truth, perhaps for thirty silver dollars. As is well known, theologians and cats almost always land on their own feet.

2. No one who has more than an elementary knowledge of another language will fail to smile at this inverted gasconade. No man can be termed a 'master' of a language unless he can think in it without effort and spontaneously write it with syntactical and lexical accuracy. Humanists, indeed, would demand more, at least for Latin, and require a 'master' of that language to be able to compose exactly in the style of Cicero or Tacitus and Vergil or Ovid, but I would accept a lower qualification, merely the writing of a Latin that would have been regarded as correct and idiomatic by those writers, and I would be content with either prose or verse (not both); I think most modern Latinists would agree. There are individuals who have a peculiar talent for

The argument, I grant, is irrefragable, and I feel humbly apologetic that it failed to convince me.

Such flattery should be convincing, but I could only be grateful. After I reread that passage, I, like Sandy McTavish, put down a slug of Glen Livet just to make certain I was sober, and then I tried to make a spectroscopic analysis of the strange amalgam. Like many chemical compounds, such as dynamite, it is much more than the elements from which it was made.

The four elements are all commonplace, videlicet:

1. When I was seven, I was given a present that I especially wanted, a typewriter. (It was a Smith Premier, a machine which had no shift key, since each character was on a separate typebar, making eight rows of keys to provide capitals, lower case, numerals, punctuation, etc., including the fractions by eighths.) I began to write on the typewriter by using only my index fingers necessarily in alternation and thus "only one finger at a time." By the time I entered a school in which the "touch system," using all fingers, was taught, the habit had become so fixed that I never underwent the agony of breaking it.

2. When I was seventeen, I purchased a special model of the Hammond Multiplex, a kind of typewriter that was later called Varityper. Its type was on curved plates, two of which could be kept in the machine at one time, so that it was in that respect far superior to the "I.B.M. Selectric" now in use. It had only three rows of keys, but the special model had five shift keys, four of which could be used together (the fifth was used with very large type). The multiple shifts made this the only kind of typewriter on which one could write elaborate mathematical formulae accurately, and also almost all languages that use an alphabetic script, since special type-plates were available for each. It was the only typewriter on which one could write Sanskrit in the Devanagari characters. I eventually collected about sixty type-plates, including several sizes and styles of type for some languages, since the machine would type ten, twelve, or fifteen letters to the inch. (The machine, by the way, is still serviceable; it has deteriorated less than its operator, who no longer has the nervous stamina to use it.)

learning languages, such as I can only envy, and I have known one who was concerned by the difficulty of finding opportunities to speak each of forty languages often enough to keep fresh his fluency in all of them, but I am sure he would never have claimed to have 'mastered' more than two or, conceivably, three of them.

3. When I was in my twenties, I won a bet by typing with my two fingers as fast and as accurately as a professional stenographer, i.e., a woman who earned her living as an ordinary typist. Neither of us was impeccable, but we made about the same number of mistakes.

The foregoing I doubtless told Buckley at some time or other during our fairly long association.

During its early years, I wrote rather extensively for Buckley's *National Review*, and all my faculties were still unimpaired, for I had not yet gone over the pass and started on the ever steeper downslope of life. I have a vague recollection that some member of the staff, who prepared copy for the printers, used to say that I was the only contributor in whose manuscripts he had never found a typographical error. That, of course, was not because I never made "typing mistakes," but because I read the typewritten text carefully and I was still young enough to be a good proofreader, even of my own writing.

Now all this is interesting only as an example of how even a keen mind can, after almost twenty years, fuse together four trivial details and overlay them with the coral of a sea-change (appropriate for a yachtsman!) to make something phenomenal and, I am afraid, incredible.

* * *

HOME TO MAMA

It is a cardinal fact in the history of our civilization that when Christianity became epidemic in the Roman Empire, it had been made bitterly hostile to the Jews who had invented it. That fact is itself paradoxical, for the Fathers of the Church who peddled the cult to the mongrelized rabble of the civilized world had left in their "New Testament" a passage in which their Jesus, whom they identified as an incarnation or at least a piece of their god, specifically and emphatically stated that he was interested only in members of his own race, although he did modify that exclusiveness with the Ebionite doctrine that *goyim* who became faithful servants of the Jews would be admitted to the status of whining dogs and permitted to crouch on the floor behind their masters' chair and eat the scraps thrown

to them when the Jews feasted and reveled after realizing their ownership of the whole world.¹

The peddlers, however, did not have to invent very much. The Jesus or, more probably, the Jesuses who gave rise to the inconsistent tales in the "New Testament" were would-be christos who tried to found new sects during the chaos of internecine warfare among the Jews themselves, as the chiefs of various sects and factions strove for supreme power over the Jews in Palestine as a preliminary to rule over all of international Jewry. The internal warfare of the Jews is, of course, disgustingly familiar to every reader of the blood-spattered pages of Josephus. It should be remembered that systematic terrorism was invented by the Jews in the first instance to eliminate and intimidate rival Jewish factions, although the terrorism was naturally extended to *goyim* who got in the way.² And, as a matter of fact, the most nearly civilized Jews, called 'Sadducees,'³ were eventually exterminated.

In the anarchy that was common in Palestine when the Jews were left to themselves and Greek or Roman troops did not move in to maintain a minimum of order (often invited by a Jewish faction that wanted to use them against its opponents and then stab them in the back), each aspirant to mastery naturally denounced all his rivals as ungodly and dis-

1. Needless to say, no such statement appeared in the gospels of the Christian sect which seems to have been the first to attract a large following of White people, the Marcionists, who rejected the ferocious Jew-god of the "Old Testament," and taught that Jesus had manifested himself on earth to save mankind from Yahweh; other early sects roundly identified Yahweh with Satan. The Fathers of the Church were eventually able to show the logically anti-Jewish sects the error of their ways by refuting their doctrines with theologians' favorite and most cogent argument, fire and sword. The learned Dr. David Hamblen made an effort to revive the Marcionist theology to provide a form of Christianity that was not inimical to our race. He foresaw the Judaization of all of the established churches, but could not interest clerics who shrewdly estimated what novelty would be most profitable.

2. Since explosives had not yet been invented, the godly assassins specialized in stealthily stabbing men in the back when they found their victims in a crowd, making their escape in the resulting confusion by the tactic commonly used by pickpockets. From the curved daggers with which practiced terrorists could pierce a man's heart through his back so adroitly that he often collapsed without uttering a sound, they were called *sicarii* by the Romans; they gave themselves the euphemistic name of 'Zealots' (*Zelotae*). According to the "New Testament," one of Jesus's apostles, a certain Simon, was such a terrorist.

3. *Saddûcaei*, a word probably derived from the name of some prosperous and decent Jew who showed himself receptive to the civilizing influence of Hellenism, and so was included by the Kike *canaille* in their venomous hatred of other races. Some part of the discourse of a rational Jew probably survives in the bleakly eloquent pessimism of Ecclesiastes; it is likely that the original text, before alteration and interpolation, contained an explicit denial of survival after death.

obedient to Yahweh (Ya'o), the big Jew up in the clouds. Such epithets as "synagogue of Satan" must have been hurled back and forth until they became almost commonplace in the frenzied polemics of the various factions. We may be certain that the agitators who were fused in the Jesus of the "New Testament" all spouted vehement denunciations of other Jewish sects, especially the one then in power, and that the obloquy reported in the Jewish gospels needed only to be touched up a little to attract the many inhabitants of the empire who hated Jews and were susceptible to novel superstition.

The Jewish religion thus adapted for peddling to the *goyim* contained the deadly poison of egalitarianism and the denial of biological reality by an absurd claim that human beings differed only in their opinions and could therefore be made alike by "conversion" and religious magic. The denial of race was, of course, acceptable to a populace already mongrelized, and probably facilitated dissemination of the new superstition. An escape hatch was thus always kept open for the Jews whenever they wanted to appear as a religion instead of a race. They could always be "converted" whenever they thought it expedient to masquerade as White men. And the Christians were always flattered when a Jew joined them. A merely typical example is a Fifth-Century inscription in a church at Grado, an Italian city on the shore of the Gulf of Trieste: "Here reposes Peter, also known as Papario, the son of the Jew Olympius, and the only one of his people who was worthy to attain to Christ's grace, and was fitly buried in this sacred edifice."⁴ (Note the common error of ignorant Christians, who mistook 'Christ' for a personal name instead of a Jewish title.)

Even Christians were not so stupid that they did not see that their religion was made in Israel, but the holy men invented an explanation that when Yahweh impregnated a virgin with a piece of himself, he intended to give his Chosen People a chance to maintain their superiority over the lower races, but when the Jews crucified him, he discovered what a fool he had

4. HIC REQVIESCIT | PETRVS QVI PAPA | RIO FIL. OLIMPII IV | DAEI SOLVS QVE | EX GENTIE SVA | AD XPI MERVIT | GRATIAM PVERNI | RE ET IN HANC SCAM | AVLAM DIGNE SEPVL'TVS EST... The *que* is probably an error by the workman who made the mosaic inscription, since the author of the inscription was fairly literate. The *qui* in the second line should, of course, be *qui et*. SCAM is a common abbreviation of sanctam. Peter was buried in the church early on 14 July in a year that is uncertain because the workman omitted a word at the end. I assign the inscription to the early Fifth Century. The text may be found in *Notizie degli scavi*, Ser. VIII, Vol. I (1948), pp. 18f.

been to oppress and outrage all mankind for so many centuries just to pamper that filthy bunch of ingrates, so he tore up his contract with them and made a deal with the *goyim*, promising to make them his pets instead, if they would believe the tales told by his dervishes. Christians could therefore have a pleasant assurance that Hell was going to stink with grilled Jews; but the cunning race took the precaution of adding a prophecy that they would eventually come galloping to Jesus and be forgiven, thus neatly preventing Europeans from improving their environment with pesticides.

Throughout the Middle Ages and the early Renaissance, the Jews were hated and accursed parasites who had perversely rejected their god and were going to be condignly sent to an eternal Hell, although it was also believed that leopards changed not only their spots but their very nature when sloshed with holy water and they asked Yahweh & Son, Inc., to forgive them. Thus when prudent monarchs, such as Edward I of England and Isabella of Spain, tried to clean up their countries, they could expel only Jews who insisted on openly practicing their peculiar rites, and were powerless against the swarms of Marranos who had infiltrated the church and, by marrying richly dowered Jewesses to venal noblemen, had polluted the blood-lines of the aristocracy. When the Crusades, a noble European effort at territorial expansion, began for the professed purpose of reclaiming Palestine from the Moslem, eminent Christian warriors, the famous Godfrey de Bouillon and other noblemen, such as Clarebold de Vendeuil, Emicho de Leiningen, Guillaume de Melun et Gâtinais, and Thomas de La Fère, thought it absurd to go to a distant land to fight people who claimed that Jesus had been only a prophet, not an incarnate god, while leaving bitter enemies of Christ, who called him a bastard and a fraud, behind them to despoil their lands and their families in their absence. When they tried to act logically on that premise, wealthy Jews were protected by the Church and by kings who had spent themselves into the power of the usurers, and the warriors were shunted off to the Orient in one way or another, but the official hostility of the Christian Church to Jews continued unabated.

Throughout the Middle Ages and the early Renaissance, Christianity was essentially based on the "New Testament."

Calvin⁵ was the first to formulate a theology that was largely based on the "Old Testament," and he was followed by the Puritans, for whom the "New Testament" was only a kind of embarrassing supplement they did not quite dare to jettison.

It was in Boston in 1886 that Rabbi Schindler, while smiling at the absurdity of some myths in their Bible, told a supposedly Christian congregation that Christianity was a Jewish cult and like a wayward daughter who would soon have to return home to her nice Jewish mama and ask to be forgiven. That prediction was accepted politely or docilely by his audience of Bostonian Brahmins, but it would have excited horrified indignation in practically every other Christian church in the nation. Schindler, however, was a true prophet.

He was right and now the cycle is complete with the publication of *Dare to Believe* by a Jew named Aaron (New York, Crossroads, 1986). Aaron is the Archbishop of Paris and a Cardinal in the Roman Catholic Church, in which he is said to be one of the most influential members of the hierarchy. He is officially known as Jean-Marie, Cardinal Lustiger. He is not a Marrano. He says that he remains a Jew, not only by race, but by religion, since Christianity is based on God's Covenant with the Jewish people, who are the "historical [!] witness to God's initiative," and Christianity is merely a generous extension of the Jews' divine privileges to other peoples. "The New Testament is incomprehensible, if it is not founded on the Jewish vocation, the vocation of Israel, the vocation of Christ to the pagans. This is a fundamental given [i.e., a premise] of the Christian faith."

The lusty Cardinal rejects the Christian claim that old Yahweh, who had spent four thousand years helping his Chosen prey on other races, became peeved when they crucified part of him and canceled the deal he had made with them. He insists that "When God gives, he does not take back what he gave and promised." Therefore, "The survival of Israel is inscribed [sic] in the promise of God. God cannot deny himself." That is logical enough, although I wonder how pious Aaron can so confi-

5. As everyone knows, Calvinus was the name this heresiarch took in Latin, in keeping with the usage established by the Humanists; it was presumably a translation of the French Chauvin, but on official registers the family name is spelled Cauvin, which, pronounced as French, has a sound that suggests 'Cohen.' So far as I know, however, there is no proof that Calvin, as he is now called by an Anglicization of his Latin name, was a Marrano.

dently ignore what is said to be one of the first lessons Jewish papas teach their scions: "Never trust another Jew."⁶

Therefore, the holy man continues, Israel is not just a state like other nations in the world. It was divinely instituted, and so "Israel must endure to the end of time, as witness to the promise of God, with its proper vocation of elder son." It looks as though there was no hope for the Semites of Asia Minor, since the Jews, it seems, can count not only on their American serfs, but also on their cloud-borne accomplice in the welkin, who, they say, served them so well when they massacred the inhabitants of Palestine long ago, and will doubtless do as much for them again.

As Lustiger says, "Christianity is indissolubly linked to Judaism," and the two cannot be separated. "Christianity consists in making the Gentiles participate in Judaism." So now you know. What is more, there is nothing you can do about it. There is nothing more irrational, says this Prince of the Church, than resentment or dislike directed against the God-people from whom all our blessings flow.

Insufficient appreciation of the Jews, he admits, has been "a constant drift in the West: the desire to cut off its own historical roots." Because, you see, some ignorant wights don't understand that all our culture and civilization comes from the Jews, not from those nasty old Greeks, who tried to understand reality and began to use reason instead of faith. Reason is a very bad thing, because just look what it has done. "People thought that the power of human reason would be enough. And they discovered that everything can be turned upside down. Pacifist ideologies beget wars, theories about collective happiness bring the Gulag, liberalism enslaves the weak to the strong, the progress of science gives birth to the atomic bomb, and so on." Obviously the thing to do is to obey Jesus, who enjoined us from taking thought for the morrow or any other time. Put your mind out in the dog-house and just do what your witch-doctor says. And don't make any mistake about who are the right witch-doctors. The Jews are a "priestly people" and Yahweh has appointed them to be the priests of the world.

6. The maxim is familiar to most of us as the climax of various humorous anecdotes, but when I remarked to a Jew, owner of a small chain of hardware stores, that I was astonished to see him serving as cashier in one of his stores, he explained that he had not yet replaced an employee who had left. He always hired "Christians," by which he meant persons of our race, to handle money and accounts, because a Jew would always find some clever way to cheat the owner.

Scientific achievement always costs more than it is worth, as witness the American "conquest of the moon: the social price paid for this conquest remains an issue for a whole generation in the United States." All knowledge must start from the fundamental fact that "At the beginning God created man in his image and likeness," in witness whereof the Cardinal even cites the well-known episode in Paradise, when Adam hid in the bushes and God couldn't find him and had to call out, "Adam, where are you?"—just as he later called "our father Abraham" to sire the People of the Covenant, the "priestly people, thanks to whom the whole universe is given back to the Father, creator of all things." ("Given back" to Big Daddy? But who took it from him? How? But you mustn't ask such questions—that habit of thinking will get you into trouble, if you don't break yourself of it.)

So, you see, what we have got to do is get rid of our "Gentile mentality" and take advantage of Yahweh's somewhat belated generosity, four thousand years after he scooted over the waters of the abyss to create heaven and earth, in finally making it possible for us to become "Sons of God," as are the children of Israel but in a different way." Now we are at last allowed to benefit "from the gift made in the first place to Israel." Christians are just a wild shoot grafted onto the great cultivated tree of Jewry, and they should know their place and revere their "older brothers, and the stock on which they have been grafted."

The foregoing, I believe, fairly extracts the substance of this book, which, I need not say, is spread here and there through its 249 pages and dissolved in gallons of treacle about "the eternal wisdom" of the "Messiah-king," the "creative and loving presence of God," the "gift of the Spirit," God's "calling men and women of all races, all tongues, to share in the condition of Christ," and the wonderful day when "Jesus resurrected" and sneaked out of town to a lonely place, where he "let the Twelve touch him" before he "ascended into heaven," where "he is now hidden in the glory of God." Such vaporous and vapid rant, words piled up for their shadowy connotation to stir sentiments without exciting thought, are, of course, the stock in trade of all fakirs of "all races, all tongues." It's designed to make the customer feel "the surge of the Holy Spirit" inside himself. The surge may be indigestion, of course, but he's got to have Faith.

Although he uses the standard patter of "spiritual" sales-talk, Aaron's book is a masterpiece of its kind. When he is des-

canting about how full of "love" old Yahweh is, he has to hope that none of his customers will read the Bible while awake, but Christians have for centuries demonstrated their ability to read the appalling record of their bloodthirsty god's vile crimes while in a kind of hypnotic trance. Aaron's deprecation of reason and rationality is a clever sleight-of-tongue that will conceal from inattentive readers the absurdity of blaming rational thought for the failure of a highly irrational attempt to produce by magic a world in which warfare will not be normal and continue so long as the planet is infested with human life. He might as well have blamed reason for the failure of astrology or cheiromancy to reveal the future. Elaborately systematic discourse based on illusory premises is merely sophisticated hocus-pocus, and "pacifism" and the rest of the "Liberal" pseudo-intellectual verbosity is merely old Jesus's New Jerusalem without Jesus.

Aaron's real skill is best shown by the art with which he conveys an impression of simple honesty, unassuming modesty, and earnest morality. And he is adroit in simulating candor while avoiding embarrassing questions. He frankly admits the racial basis of Judaism: "For a Jew, the feeling of belonging to a people is both very powerful and very fluid." But he claims never to have studied the *Talmuds*, and implies that he has only a limited acquaintance with contemporary rabbis, so he cannot be expected to comment on the basic doctrine that Jews are the only human race, while *goyim* are merely animals like dogs and swine and are to be treated as such.

I have devoted so much space to *Dare to Believe* because it is an important book, perhaps the most important book exposition of Christianity published in this decade. Its major thesis, that Christianity is just a Jewish cult for *goyim*, is irrefragable, but that is well known now. The scarcely veiled assertion that the Yids are God's Chosen People and divinely ordained priest-kings of the world is, of course, commonplace. The significance of the book lies in its author.

Adulation of Yahweh's Master Race is obligatory for the loud-mouthed salvation-hucksters who peddle their hokum over the Jews' boob-tubes. And we naturally expect rhapsodies about the "Judaean-Christian" amalgam from clergymen who keep their eyes fixed on the main chance. Christians who retain a belief in what was "God's word" fifty years ago have long perceived that their churches have left them stranded and homeless, and that, as their ordained shepherds tell them, they can either like it or lump it. But even Protestants recognize in

the Roman Catholic Church the structure that was Christendom for the greater part of our history since the Fall of the Roman Empire, and have, despite all dissent, regarded it as the greater and more solid part of Christianity today.

Lustiger is no mere theologian with a book to sell. He is a Cardinal, a Prince of the Church, and a highly influential member of the Sacred College. Now in his early sixties, he is eminently *papabilis*, as Cardinals say when they are thinking about which of them will have four aces in his hand when they play for the highest stake at the next Conclave. Some disinterested observers within the Church think it quite likely that Aaron will become the next Pope and perhaps elect to call himself Peter II, just to make it officially clear that Christianity, supposedly founded in the West by a grubby Kike from Palestine, is now securely back in the hands of its original owners.

* * *

THE SICK MAN

At the beginning of this century, the decadent Turkish Empire was preserved from Russian aggression only by Russia's knowledge that the British Empire would never permit a potentially hostile nation to take Constantinople and control the Hellespont (also called the Dardanelles). At that time, Turkish territory still included a fairly large part of the Balkans (Albania, Macedonia, Bulgaria, all mutinous), so the diplomats of European nations and especially of Great Britain were wont to refer to Turkey as "the sick man of Europe."

How the world has changed in three-quarters of a century, as our race became palsied and stupid!

I have commented in these pages on the English people, who have become so spineless and abject that they permitted the imprisonment of John Tyndall, the head of the British National Party, for the crime of believing that the self-degraded Anglo-Saxons, who were respected in the world a few decades ago, still have a right to have a country of their own.

This past year, the mentally alienated English were so eager to squander what few resources they have left and to hasten the suicide of their nation that they taxed themselves to import eight hundred niggers to stink up their universities. The savages, of course, were to be provided with lavish "scholarships" and enrolled in universities which many highly intelligent English youths cannot afford to attend. (And, needless to

say, the academic institutions were ready to prostitute themselves to give degrees to the "underprivileged" refuse, and take pride in their "Liberal" vitiation of such scholastic standards as they had left.)

There is an even grimmer aspect of this national masochism. A still rational and manly Englishman, Peregrine Worsthorpe, contributed an editorial article to the *Sunday Telegraph*, 28 September 1986, in which he pointed out that it was statistically certain that at least eighty of the imported and subsidized niggers would arrive bearing the infection of Immune Deficiency, now become pandemic in the territories from which they come, and that it was by no means improbable that even a larger proportion of the eight hundred would bring the deadly disease with them. The newspaper had urged editorially the week before that the recipients of British largesse be given medical examinations before they were admitted to England. The British government, presumably including the *shabbat goyah* who is the Prime Ministress and her cabinet of Jews and British degenerates, immediately claimed that the proposal was "impractical."

Mr. Worsthorpe pointed out the absurdity of that claim, which merely meant that the government loves niggers and White male perverts, many of whom were so vile that they acquired the disease from niggers, and would rather see all Englishmen put in danger of contracting the infection (which can be transmitted without sexual contact) than do anything that might embarrass its darling pets. To protect the English people would be "discrimination."

The rational writer roundly demanded that the government abate its contemptuous disregard of the English who pay for it, and instead turn its discrimination against the bearers of an invariably lethal and epidemic contagion. But he also predicted that the political riff-raff in power would do nothing of the sort.

A correspondent informs me that Mr. Worsthorpe was right. The eight hundred anthropoids swarmed into Little Britain, bearing their deadly plague and, no doubt, other tropical diseases, and they are collecting their handsome stipends from British taxpayers. There was no real concern among the spavined nitwits who are almost all that is left of the nation that was so great and deservedly proud in 1900: they were too busy watching ball games on the "telley." But the savages, brutish as they are, are doubtless intelligent enough to despise people so feeble-minded as to admit them at all, diseased or not.

So that is the state of Britain today. It is easy to see what nation is now the "sick man of Europe." And sick to the death.

* * *

GOD SAVE THE QUEEN!

The part-Jewish Heir Apparent to the throne of Little Britain, Charles, Prince of Wales, had until recently a confidential body servant named Stephen Barry. Early in October 1986 Stevie died of Immunity Deficiency, and, according to *The Sun* (London), 9 October, the pervert's demise has created a kind of panic in the households of the royal family.

A former senior footman in the Queen's household told the newspaper that Stevie's position as personal valet to Prince Charles made him the top dog among the servants in every household of the royal family they visited. At Buckingham Palace, he used to hold romps with the footmen in the evenings after the Queen's dinner table had been cleared. Having the evangelical ideals of one variety of the "Liberation Gospel," Stevie fought against bigotry by inviting very young men to parties on the Footmen's Floor of the Palace, where, after appraising the charms of the several guests, "he would have his eye on a particular boy and you could bet that by the end of the evening the lad was legless with drink." The boy was then carried to bed, where Stevie, in the words of an implicitly homosexual version of the Gospel of Mark, "taught him the mystery of the kingdom of God." He probably also taught him the mysteries of the virus of Immunity Deficiency.

Stevie was totally promiscuous and copulated with all the boys and young men whom he could get, but, according to the newspaper, six of his special favorites among the male servants of the royal households, who are known in the footmen's quarters by such sobriquets as "Polly," "Bertha," and "Kitty," are so distressed by the untimely death of their lover-boy that they have identified themselves, but only two of them are willing to be tested medically to determine whether or not Stevie gave them a bonus token of his affection; the other four prefer not to know for certain. I am sure your heart aches with compassion for the dear creatures.

According to the former senior footman who was the newspaper's informant about Stevie's recreations, the Queen must have been aware of the vermin in her palace long before Stevie's death. On one occasion, when a cute elf, garbed as a

footman, came to serve her at the table, mincing and shaking its girlish curls, she bade it be gone. Queen Elizabeth II presumably thought that, even in the squalor of a Britain become multi-racial, a royal footman should try to maintain some superficial similarity to a man.

Englishmen (as distinct from the niggers and wogs with which they are filling their little island to please Jesus's relatives) will now see a deeper meaning in the words when they intone "God Save the Queen," a once noble hymn of triumph, now become shrill mockery as its tremulous and dying notes are carried away on the winds of change.

* * *

A HINT OF THE FUTURE

The Cook County Hospital in Chicago, Illinois, is financed by the taxpayers and administered by the County Board. When its President and Directors discovered in some way that two of the resident physicians on its staff (race unstated) were infected with Immunity Deficiency, they also learned, evidently for the first time, that three other members of the staff, two physicians and a male nurse (race kept secret), had already died of the epidemic disease, while a fourth is in the terminal stage of the dire and incurable infection. When one of the infected physicians still on the staff refused, they said, to limit his contacts with patients, they voted to suspend him from his medical functions.

Incredible as it may seem to you, that precipitated an uproar that was reported in the *Chicago Tribune*, 4-7 February 1987, and had the incidental benefit of disclosing to the public some crucial facts of which they had thus far been blissfully ignorant.

Three executive committees of the Hospital, all composed of or dominated by *Doctores Medicinae*, met, denounced the officials for their bigotry, and forced the reinstatement of the suspended but unnamed physician, who happily resumed treating patients who were unaware that he was diseased. The final decision is to be made by a meeting of the whole County Board, which will be between the proverbial devil and deep sea. The Hospital's revenues have already decreased sharply, since many persons, so bigoted they do not want to contract the dire malady, are staying away, now that the scandal precipitated by the high-minded sons of Aesculapius has made public what con-

ditions do exist and may exist in that hospital. On the other hand, the Board had already been threatened with prosecution by a legal shark named Grossman, who claims to represent the hoary old Civil Liberties Union, if it infringes the diseased physician's civil right to continue to exercise his medical skills and whims on persons who are not yet diseased and who do not know that he is.

The excited practitioners of the Healing Art even held indignation meetings at which they exhibited signs calling for "compassion" instead of "fear." The compassion, needless to say, was for diseased physicians, not for their unsuspecting patients, whom they may condemn to a prolonged and horrible death, nor yet for the many who consult physicians everywhere and will be in an agony of trepidation, now that they know that many medical men themselves carry in their bodies a dire contagion which they may transmit negligently or even with the known urge of many of the diseased to inflict on the innocent the death to which they themselves are doomed.

The assembled physicians, so nobly concerned for the future of their profession—or should I say business?—would have had a much better chance of arousing the compassion they desiderated, if they had claimed that the diseased physicians had become infected while treating diseased patients, but instead they certified by silence that those physicians were homosexual perverts who did not have enough medical skill and ordinary prudence or self-control to avoid acquiring the incurable disease of which they knew, from their medical journals, much more than the general public.

Compassion for our darling perverts, some of whom were so filthy and debased that they copulated with niggers and so brought the dire contagion to this country, will, I predict, soon be in very short supply.

The medical men doubtless forfeited the opportunity for propaganda that could have aroused some compassion because they chose to adopt the soothing claim of the Federal Center for Disease Control that "there are no known [i.e., admitted] cases of a health care provider with AIDS infecting a patient with the virus or of a patient infecting a health care worker." Now this accompanies an admission that one thousand two hundred (1200) "health[!] care workers," i.e. physicians, nurses, and technicians, are now known to be infected with the deadly disease, and a recommendation that the perverts should not be

Continued on page 43

THE GREAT TERRORIST

By Jim Taylor

(Foreign Correspondent)

Much has been written now about the sale of armaments to Iran by the U.S. and Israel. But absolutely no one in the closed American news media has ever written one single compassionate word about the many deaths in Baghdad which have been caused by these very weapons. Nobody in America dares to mention it or even seems to care the least bit about the many children and other innocent people killed in recent months with weapons sent by President Reagan to Iran.

This constitutes terrorism, despite the fact that no one other than myself calls it that. Terrorism is relative. Now you tell me who is the greater terrorist—the lowly Arab with no hope who sets off a bomb in a West Berlin nightclub and kills one person, or Mr. Reagan who is directly responsible for hundreds of deaths in Baghdad, just to please the Zionists? When one kills innocent people, that is terrorism, regardless of the method used.

Now, I realize that Mr. Reagan has told you many times that the missiles and tanks sent to Iran were only "defensive" and were not used against Iraq "offensively." Defensive, Hell! Ask one of the people in Baghdad whose family or relatives have been killed with these same "defensive" weapons and you will understand the power and use of these American arms.

I also realize that you have read constantly in the controlled U.S. press that Iraq started this war. Not one daily newspaper has ever veered one iota from that story, actually promulgated by the Israeli Embassy in Washington and foisted on both the White House and the news media. But the European press and the rest of the world maintain that the facts clearly show that Iran started it.

The actual truth is that Israel and Iran, with the help of the United States, planned and started this conflict. Now, this may seem unlikely to you because you have read many times that old Khomeini hates and condemns Israel every chance he gets. This is a smokescreen and the Zionists laugh at it and at you for believing it. Perhaps Khomeini does dislike Israel. That does not make him unusual; every nation in the world (except the U.S.) dislikes Israel. But this does not keep Iran from accepting arms from Israel and the U.S. (called the "Great Satan" by Khomeini). It does not keep Iran from

working closely with Israel to attempt the destruction of neighboring Iraq, a country which has had disputes with the Iranians and the Persians for a thousand years.

But I prefer to let President Saddam Hussein of Iraq give you the facts in his own words. The following letter was sent to me after I had been covering the war in Iraq. It was printed in the international *American Sunbeam* and a few other periodicals. I have met President Hussein and I can assure you of his great sincerity and personal integrity. He has a great love for his country. It is, indeed, ironic that the war against Iraq was actually planned by the U.S. and Israel at the White House as a means of getting rid of President Hussein because of his condemnation of international Zionism with all its inherent evils.

I want to say that it is not at all unusual for the U.S. and Israel to try to get other nations to do their dirty work. Look at all the strife in Lebanon, which has been stirred up by the Israelis in cooperation with the American CIA. And the man who did much of the work was William Buckley, the CIA chief in Beirut, who was assassinated for it after being tortured to get information from him about U.S. involvement. When Buckley was taken captive, the U.S. put out false information that he was not connected with the CIA, despite the fact that everyone in Lebanon knew exactly what he did there for the benefit of Israel. Now, after his death was confirmed, the State Department admitted that he was just what I said he was when he was kidnapped: the top CIA man there.

Regular readers will recall that I mentioned the attempt by Vice Admiral John Poindexter to get Egypt to go to war against Libya. It is the same old "let's you and him fight" syndrome used many times by the CIA in various parts of the world to start wars.

Here is the historic letter:

Baghdad, Iraq
13 August, 1984

Mr. Taylor:

In the name of God, the Merciful and the Compassionate.

First, I would like to thank you for your fair and unbiased reports from the front lines of the Iraq-Iran War. This is a rarity for an American writer because of the Israeli-oriented, preconceived notions of the U.S. press in general.

Brothers of the world, including all Americans and especially readers of this letter, I send greetings.

Iraq did not start this war, neither does it desire its continuation. The rulers of Iran, Israel and the U.S. must bear the full responsibility

for triggering the conflict and for keeping it going, despite the disasters it could cause for Iran and for the Islamic peoples.

It was on September 4, 1980, that Iran climaxed more than a year of direct provocations and border violations with an all-out military attack against Iraqi territory, including heavy shelling of several Iraqi frontier towns, causing high casualties among the civilian inhabitants.

Iran's earlier record of aggression against Iraq, documented in numerous Iraqi notes of protest that were ignored by Iran, was topped just before the war by Tehran's¹ abrogation of the 1975 Algiers Agreement, under which Iran was committed to give up Iraqi territory seized illegally. Iran's highest officials in the summer of 1980 denounced the agreement as null and void and publicly announced that they would no longer be bound by it.

But even while Iraqi civilians were dying under a hail of Iranian shells, Iraq sought earnestly, through every available diplomatic and political channel, to prevent a full-scale war with Iran, urging Khomeini and his regime to stop the aggression and to fulfill Iran's treaty obligations. All appeals fell on deaf ears.

After the Shah was overthrown in 1979, Iraq sought better relations with Iran's new leaders, including Khomeini himself, who had been given refuge and protection in Iraq during the 14 years of his exile. Khomeini's way of repaying his hosts was to launch a massive campaign of subversion against Iraq as soon as he grasped power in Tehran.

In Qom and Tehran, special institutions were established to plot against Iraq and other neighboring states. Iranian agents and Israeli agents slipped into Iraq to commit terrorist actions, aided by Iranian residents living in Iraq. Instructions for the terrorists were issued in Iran and broadcast daily over Iran's official radio stations. Iranian leaders openly advocated murder, terrorism and sabotage in Iraq.

On April 1, 1980, Iranian terrorists hurled bombs at a large student gathering at Al-Mustansiriyyah University in Baghdad, killing and maiming many. On April 5, 1980, while the funeral procession of

1. ['Tehran' is the spelling now used in Iran. The rather obscure town, Tīhrān in Arabic (the language used by many Persians during the period of Arabian dominance), was the headquarters of the victorious faction in a civil war and so became the capital of the nation in 1788, after which it was visited by many European diplomats, scholars, and travelers, who fixed the spelling as 'Teheran' in English, German, and French (Téhéran). It was the site of the Teheran Conference at the end of 1943, when the three great War Criminals, Roosevelt, Churchill, and Stalin, met to plot the ruin of Europe and the downfall of Western civilization. —Editor.]

those killed in the attack was passing by the Iranian School in Bagdad, more explosions went off. In these and other incidents, Iranian agents involved were caught and our security forces seized large quantities of illegal arms.

Between February of 1979 and September of 1980, there were 249 incidents of Iranian intrusion into Iraqi air space. There were also 244 incidents of frontier violations, cross-border shelling, bombing of civilian targets and obstruction of navigation in Shatt Al-Arab.²

Finally, after Iranian attacks intensified, Iraq was forced on September 17, 1980, to officially terminate the Algiers Agreement. Iraq was left with no choice but to use its armed forces in defense of its sovereign rights over its own land and waters and to carry the war to the attacking enemy. And the American press used this day as the start of the war which had been going on a long time before Iraq had ever fired a shot back.

What is even worse is that Iran's so-called 'Islamic leaders' have allied themselves with the Zionist entity, which is a declared enemy of Islam, in a military cooperation relationship that, among other results, facilitated Israel's, U.S.-aided, criminal attack on Iraq's installations for peaceful nuclear research on June 7, 1981.

This not-so-secret collaboration between the Khomeini regime and the U.S.-backed Israel was exposed on July 17, 1981, when an Argentine cargo plane set down in the territory of the Soviet Union while ferrying large quantities of weapons, military spare parts and ammunition from Tel Aviv to Tehran.

For several months prior to that, Iraq had been warning the world about the existence of a military link between Israel and Iran, a link that was used by Israel in its attempts to destroy the Iraqi reactor near Baghdad. The first attack, a joint one with the aid of Iran and the U.S., was carried out by Israel in late September of 1980. This attack failed. So it wasn't even mentioned in the press of America at all, since the Zionists have complete control over what is and what is not printed in the United States of America. The utter failure of the Israelis to destroy the Tammuz reactor on that occasion prompted the Zionist entity to plan and train its crews for several months more in preparation for the second attempt on June 7, 1981, which was very successful. This, the Zionists and there-

2. [Shatt Al-Arab is the name now given to the broad stream formed by the confluence of the Tigris and the Euphrates. At its lower extremity, where it flows into the Persian Gulf, it separates Iraq from the territory now occupied by Iran. The port of Abadan on the Iranian side is the terminus of a pipeline and the port through which a very large part of Iran's production of petroleum is exported. The upper part of the Shatt Al-Arab lies entirely within Iraqi territory, and Basra is the Iraqi port for ships from the Persian Gulf. — Editor.]

fore the U.S. knew in advance, through their relationship with Iran, that the war would continue for some time. Israel and the U.S. made certain that it would. They planned it.

The entire story of Iran's extensive arms purchases from Israel was thoroughly documented by the Western news media soon after the crash of the Argentine plane used in the weapons delivery shipment.

On July 26, 1981, the *Sunday Times* of London gave full details of the Israeli-Iranian-American military connection, which involved British, French and Swiss arms dealers. The newspapers noted that Israel had supplied Iran with military equipment even while the American hostages were still being held in Tehran and in violation of the American embargo on arms of any kind to Iran.

On August 6, 1981, John Yemma, the correspondent of the *Christian Science Monitor* in the Middle East, reported from Jerusalem that Israeli officials were making no secret either of their close relationship with Iran or that it was all aimed at the hated Iraqi government.

On August 20, 1981, all doubts about the Israeli-Iranian link were removed when ABC's news program, 'Nightline,' interviewed exiled Iranian President Bani Sadr, who freely admitted that the government that he had headed in Tehran did, indeed, buy arms in large quantities from Israel.

ABC's Paris correspondent, Pierre Sallinger, not only gave complete details of how the Israeli arms were reaching Iran, but had copies of the canceled checks with which Iran paid for the weapons. On that same program, Jody Powell, the White House press secretary during the hostage crisis with Iran, said that the Carter Administration was aware that Israeli arms were going to Iran despite the hostages being held there in inhuman conditions. He added, "We did, indeed, in the fall of 1980 raise this question with the Israeli government. We made it clear to them privately, as we had many times publicly, that we did not think it was in our best interest, or theirs, and certainly not in the best interest of releasing our hostages, to violate the arms embargo to Iran. It was of no concern at all to the Israelis what happened to American hostages. They kept on selling arms to Iran anyway."

These same Iranian leaders, from Khomeini on down, pretended to shed tears and make loud declarations over the fate of the Palestinians and about the annexing of Jerusalem by Israel; but, at the same time, they were dealing with the very same cruel Zionists they were publicly condemning, thus proving their perfidy and total

lack of concern about the Palestinians who are the victims of criminal Israeli aggression.

Historically, and since 1520, eighteen treaties have been concluded between the Persian state and its western neighbors regarding its relations therewith including the question of legitimate borders. On all occasions, the Persians (Iranians) chose the opportunity to violate the said treaties, whether by word or deed.

The Persian and Ottoman states concluded the first treaty in 1520 after the occupying and annexing of some areas of Iraq, which was then a part of the Ottoman Empire.

When Iraq became independent of the Ottoman Empire after the First World War, it succeeded the said empire, in accordance with the rules of international law, to the Turkish treaties relating to the Iraqi territory; the last of which were the 1913 Protocol and the Proceedings of Frontiers Delimitation Commission of 1914. It was, therefore, to be expected that Iran would not raise any problem to Iraq in this respect. But, in fact, in the early days of Iraq's independence, and particularly in 1932, Iran committed a number of encroachments against Iraqi territory and declared its non-adherence to the 1913 and 1914 agreements. Iran started a series of armed intervention acts in Shatt Al-Arab by constructing border posts guarded by military forces inside Iraq. These encroachments were the subject of a complaint by Iraq to the League of Nations in 1934.

Later, the Shah began to support and foment armed rebellion among Kurdish forces in northern Iraq. He provided these rebels with huge shipments of modern armaments. All this took place under the care and with the encouragement of the United States of America and with the participation of the Zionist entity. Prime Minister Begin of Israel declared that the Zionist entity had provided weapons for these Kurdish rebels and other renegades in Iraq from 1965 to 1975.

Thus Iraq was forced to fight a bitter conflict against that agent clique and the aggressive policies of the Shah, backed by the U.S. and Israel. We were forced to defend our sovereignty and national unity against forces performing on orders from the U.S. and Israel: Iraq suffered 60,000 casualties between martyrs and wounded of armed forces personnel and the civilian population, aside from enormous material losses. The military situation reached a dangerous point when the Shah advanced his own military troops on numerous occasions to fight directly against our national forces on various fronts, with Israel as an important participant via a supporting role. The U.S. also backed the Shah against us.

So you see, long before Iraq fired a shot in the current conflict, in 1979, the Consulate of the Republic of Iraq at Muhammara, Iran, was subjected to the ugliest kind of aggression. It was attacked on October 11 and October 26, then again on November 1 and 7. Its doors and windows were destroyed, its officials and guards beaten, and its records destroyed.

The Iranian government also put a special broadcasting station at the disposal of the Zionist-supported clique from 1965 to 1975, as stated by Mr. Begin in a speech. Both the United States and Israel gave much support to those who would desire the overthrow of the legal government of Iraq.

So it was hardly surprising that Israel wanted to destroy Iraq's nuclear facilities. For more than two years before, the Zionists conducted a vicious propaganda campaign through false claims aimed at sabotaging Iraq's peaceful nuclear program. The reason for this was not fear that Iraq would produce nuclear bombs to be used against Israel, as Menachim Begin told the world. It was simply that Israel could not afford to have an Arab country attain a level of scientific and technical progress that would challenge Zionist superiority in those fields, particularly in nuclear energy.

Israeli leaders and their supporters in the U.S. never made any secret of their conviction that Israeli security rests on the necessity of keeping the Arab countries technologically retarded. They firmly believe that once the Arabs acquire high technology, Israel would lose its advantages in the military as well as in the scientific fields.

Since its creation in 1948, Israel has made strenuous efforts to build a solid base for nuclear technology. With the help of France, the U.S. and West Germany, Israel was able to use its secret installations to produce nuclear weapons to become the Middle East's first and only nuclear power.³ That capability is protected by Israel's refusal to adhere to the international treaty on non-proliferation of nuclear arms, and by its absolute refusal to permit even U.S. inspection of its nuclear facilities. Iraq has, of course, signed the non-proliferation treaty. Israel never will.

For the first time in modern history, a so-called Third World country, Iraq, has successfully fought a defensive war, the longest such war between regular armies since the Second World War, without being under the umbrella protection of a particular military

3. The reader will note that this fact was generally known when President Hussein wrote in August 1984, although it was concealed from the Americans by the captive press until some weeks after the Sunday Times (London) published a detailed description of the secret plant in which the Jews were making thermonuclear bombs; see Liberty Bell, December 1986, pp. 16-23. — Editor.]

pact or the influence of a particular big power, and without suffering any shackles on its will and independence or abandoning its principles and policies.

The Persian aggression against Iraq was a result of the arrogant, racist and evil attitudes of the ruling clique in Iran. At the same time, it was also a Zionist and American imperialist conspiracy aimed at liquidating Iraq's revival and checking its development for decades. It tried to strip us of our shining mind and heart in the same way as it tried to destroy our scientific, cultural and technical bases of our new revival. For this reason, the Zionist enemy launched its aggression against the nuclear reactor in Baghdad on June 7, 1981. Had we not faithfully, efficiently met the challenge posed by the Persian enemy on September 4, 1980 when Iran attacked our towns, and if we had surrendered to this evil and aggressive will, the Zionist enemy would not have raided Iraq in June of 1981 simply because Israel would not have feared us. The Zionist entity would not have attacked us if we had not achieved victory of sorts in the war with Iran. If we had not established our own ability to lead our own way, to wrest our opportunity from life with our efficient use of advanced weapons, then the Zionists would have had no reason to attack us. It is only because we are strong and we believe in our own freedom that Israel sees us as an enemy to be dealt a blow, with the full cooperation of the United States government. The evil Zionist entity, the U.S. and Iran thought we would fold up and surrender after a few days of severe battle. So when we successfully defended our small nation against the combined efforts of a country four times larger, which had the total assistance of both the U.S. and Israel, the Zionists did not like this at all. We have been fighting Persian aggression for a thousand years. We shall continue to battle for rights of the Arab people no matter who sides with the living devil Khomeini and his motley army of children in the front lines. With both honor and faith we have entered this contest and fought valiantly to effectively maintain our independence from the yoke of the bitter Zionist doctrine which right now even imperils the U.S. and its people. Hence, for these reasons, the Zionist enemy had no option but to commit crimes openly and before the entire world, which includes some who do not know all the truth and some who try to provide proper covers to conceal the reality of this Zionist enemy for reasons related to their own interest and policies.

The unholy agreement of the Zionist entity and the ruling clique of Iran on launching aggression against Iraq, on promoting hatred campaigns against Iraq and on attempts to weaken the position of Iraq is a great honor for us. It confirms the correctness of our

course and of our appraisal of the problem, dangers and challenges facing the entire Arab world.

Similarly we will not submit to U.S.-sponsored Zionist aggression and will not give up the path we have chosen although we know that the recent aggression was not merely a measure decided by the Zionist entity. Rather it was an expression of a much wider conspiracy that extends beyond the Zionist entity. And, let me assure you, that the Zionist attack with U.S. weapons against our nuclear reactor will not stop the course of scientific and technical progress in Iraq. Rather, it is an additional strong stimulus to develop this course and provide it with even greater resources and with more effective protection. And despite our loss from the uncalled for attack by Israel, this aggression confirms that Iraq really stands on the right path, offering the Arab world a model and helping governments to define the right of the best approach.

Ever since the fifties, after the failure of the tripartite aggression of 1956 against Egypt and the liquidation of foreign bases and the Baghdad Pact, most colonialist powers closed the chapter on direct military action in the Arab world. But the United States is now openly trying to re-establish imperialist control over Arab territories by talking about a rapid-deployment force to control parts of our Arab homeland on the slim pretext of protecting U.S. interests from the Soviets. Also coming to surface again are American plans for establishing military bases on Arab soil. What the U.S. really is trying to protect is the spreading evil doctrine of Zionism, the most vicious scourge ever to be unleashed upon mankind. If you Americans are so in love with Zionism, let me respectfully suggest that you keep it for yourselves. Do not try to export it upon us.

Is it, therefore, possible to stand with hands folded vis-a-vis such challenges? Can such challenges to our way of life be met only by statements made by Arab officials about rejecting the establishment of bases without declaring their rejection of military facilities?

On oil policy, the oil is a gift bestowed by God on the Arab nations to use in raising living standards, in developing its economic, social and cultural conditions and in building up our own power to meet the many challenges and conspiracies besetting us from the U.S. and Israel.

We measure our international relations on the basis of principles and interests. To those friendly to us, we are friendly to them. To those who have reservations about dealing with us, we have reservations about dealing with you. For those openly hostile to us, we are hostile to them. Let no nation, no matter how powerful, imagine that

harm can be inflicted upon us without suffering a certain degree of harm. To those members of the U.S. Congress who think they can apply various policies towards the Arab world and play the game of Arab differences and contradictions, I must say that they are under delusion. This is a short-sighted policy based on temporary conditions and aimed at immediate interests. And he who pursues such a policy will eventually lose the confidence of all.

We have to work sincerely and responsibly on thwarting any attempt to divide the Arab people into small groups, with which foreign countries can deal with separately. This will be in the interest of Zionism which stand behind such policies, forming relevant theories and promoting distorted information to world politicians, especially in the West, in order to force them to adopt such a harmful approach against the Arab world and even against the Arab world and even against the legitimate interests of their own countries.

We look forward for the day when we shall fight for Al-Quds (Jerusalem) and for the whole of the beloved Palestine.

We extend our warmest greetings to all those who stand with us in this honorable battle, to all those friends who wish to join us in the true stance of solidarity against the Zionist aggressors. A firm greeting to all honest persons in the world who take a stand against such aggression.

We still want peace with Iran, not because we are afraid or are worried about the future, but because we are not greedy like the Zionists and we feel completely responsible towards our own people. We will be most happy when the conditions for peace become established.

I would like to thank whole-heartedly any American publisher willing to print these humble remarks. In the U.S., this requires great courage. Let us pray that it does not cause you untold and unforeseen difficulties.

May Allah help us all to follow His Commands and lead us to wisdom and the correct path.

Peace be upon you all.

[signed] *His Excellency,*
Saddam Hussein
President of the Republic of Iraq
and

Chairman of the Revolutionary Command Council

©1984 Jim Taylor, Duverus Publishing Corporation
Trans-Global News Service.

Of course, the letter from the Iraqi leader setting forth his nation's objectives is not new. But his words still ring true today. His letter also proves that sending arms to Iran is not something new either. The U.S. and Israel have whole-heartedly supported Iran since the very beginning of this terrible conflict, just as President Hussein has stated.

What is not yet obvious to most Americans is the as yet undisclosed fact that Israel, with the aid of the U.S., started the Iraq-Iran War for very selfish reasons.

Last December, syndicated Washington columnist Jack Anderson, who writes "Washington Merry-Go-Round," sent his assistant Dale Van Atta to Baghdad, the beleaguered capital of Iraq, now on the receiving end of American-made anti-tank and anti-aircraft missiles, sold to their Iranian enemy by President Reagan.

He talked with Tareq Aziz, the Iraqi Foreign minister, who said, "The Iranian arms operation is neither a victory for the Iranians nor for their American friends. Israel is the sole beneficiary." He also said that the powerful Israeli Lobby in Washington backed the Zionist plan and that the entire idea from start to finish was Israel's. This is, of course, exactly what I have been trying to tell the American public, the ones who paid for all this nonsense. Nothing that happened originated with President Reagan, Colonel Oliver North, Vice Admiral John Poindexter, Robert McFarlane or any other American official. Israel thought up the entire deal. And I just hope and pray that most Americans will get that through their heads and not be fooled by all the so-called investigations which took place earlier this year and tried to blame everyone except the real culprits, the Israelis.

The true power of Zionism in Washington has once more been proven. Never in the history of the world has a nation ever been so enslaved as the U.S. is to the war criminals of Israel and world Zionism. Members of Congress are willing to blame America and Mr. Reagan. But not a single one of them is brave enough to blame Israel. Now that, my friends, is what is meant by real power. The Zionists are far more powerful today than Caesar, Napoleon, or Hitler ever were at the height of their careers. Compared to the Zionists, they were all small-time rulers with limited powers. None of them ever controlled the world. The Zionists will be the first in history to accomplish this, with or without wars. There is no limit to the possible powers of the Zionists. If you still doubt this, ask your Congressman and watch him shake with abject fear at the mere mention of the word 'Zionist.' He will then usher you out quickly because he has suddenly remembered an appointment across town that he is late for at that minute.

Naturally, the Iraqis feel betrayed by the Reagan administration. Well, Mr. Reagan doesn't portray himself falsely when he boasts of being the number one Christian Zionist. I have some doubts about the Christian part of his statement; but he is certainly a most dedicated Zionist. No one can doubt this.

During the past six years, the Iraqis often raised the issue of American arms that Israel was delivering to Iran. The U.S. always very sheepishly promised to check into this matter and then replied that such reports could not be verified or confirmed. Of course, arms sales could have been confirmed. But the U.S. chickened out on it. You don't antagonize Israel if you want to stay in Washington. But the U.S., contrary to Mr. Reagan's statements, knew about it all the time. And, of course, American officials lied to the Iraqi government many times for six long years.

It is now the studied conclusion of not only the Iraqis but of the entire world that Israel masterminded the entire arms deal. It is no longer a secret, except at the White House.

Here are more words of Mr. Aziz, "We were telling the Americans that the Israelis were providing arms to Iran, and the Americans kept up the pretense by telling us that the Israelis were telling them that arms sales had stopped. But now it is very clear to us that the U.S. knew actually that Israeli was providing military equipment with U.S. knowledge, agreement and even assistance. A green light was given to Israel to continue at the very minute the U.S. was lying to us."

Yet for all their bitterness, Iraq's leaders haven't even filed a formal protest over the dirty trick played on them by the U.S. and Israel. They don't want to give the Israelis the pleasure of seeing this happen because all Arabs know that the U.S. will always justify Israel's lies and deception no matter whom it harms or how much suffering they cause throughout the world, or what losses they inflict on the American people.

To say that Iraq feels totally betrayed by the U.S. government is putting it rather mildly. For years, the U.S. has pretended to be a friend of Iraq while secretly, at the urging of Israel, doing everything possible to destroy the present Iraqi government. What a friend! What treachery.

Of course, columnist Jack Anderson is dead wrong when he brags that he was the first to break the story on the American arms sales to Iran in 1986. I broke that story he is claiming credit for in 1982, a good four years before either Mr. Anderson or his assistant, Mr. Van Atta, ever knew there was such a thing as an arms deal through Israel.

The Iraqi prime minister has stated publicly that he felt personally betrayed by Secretary of State George Shultz, who deliberately lied to the Iraqis for a period of over 18 months. So, what else is new? Mr. Shultz, like the President, has been serving Israel to the detriment of both Iraq and the U.S. ever since they took office. Nothing new about it. It just took the trusting Iraqis a long time to find this out. This, despite the fact that I personally warned them about it way back in 1981.

"It is clear now," states the Iraqi Prime Minister, "the Americans delivered arms to Iran at the time they were assuring us in official meetings that no military equipment was going to Iran, either from Israel or the U.S."

Mr. Aziz said that he met with Mr. Shultz six times, dating back to 1983, and six times Mr. Shultz deliberately lied to the Iraqi Prime Minister. According to Mr. Aziz, Mr. Shultz even went so far as to say that not only would the U.S. and Israel never sell any arms at all to Iran, but that, in addition, he was trying to stop other nations from doing so, such as Brazil. Can you top that? But it's par for the course. Many European diplomats have told me that Mr. Shultz lies more than, or as much as the Israelis. That's why they consider him a "nothing-ball" in foreign affairs: he cannot be trusted. But, since he is the only secretary of state that we have, what are you going to do?

When Mr. Aziz was asked by the European press if he thought that the American officials were liars, he was diplomatic enough not to answer directly in the affirmative as I would have done, (I'm not very diplomatic); but he did reply, "I think the definition is quite clear."

This same Iraqi leader also said, "Despite the injury Iraq has suffered (from the U.S.), we haven't received any apology at all from any American official."

And I can assure you that Iraq will never receive any apology from any American official. Any U.S. diplomat who gave one would be run out of office immediately by the Zionists exactly as was Mr. Andrew Young, our ambassador at the U.N., who was fired within 24 hours after MOSSAD agents caught him meeting with the Palestinians.

The last thing the American government might be worried about would be lying to an Arab country, such as Iraq. Every official in Washington has been indoctrinated by the Zionists to believe that Arabs are not really human beings and that it is perfectly all right to lie to them, steal land from them, and murder them on cue when Israel gives the order.

The Iraqis also complained about Mr. Reagan's false statement saying that only defensive weapons were sent to Iran. Now the par-

ticular shipment to which he referred consisted of over 2,000 TOW anti-tank missiles and 235 super Hawk anti-aircraft missiles. The U.S. knew that Iraq had a superiority in tanks and aircraft, so the Americans gave the Iranians exactly the equipment which could be used offensively to destroy Iraq's advantage. Do you call that defensive? Besides, there is no such thing as a purely "defensive" weapon, as I have said many times. Only an idiot would say so. Every weapon, from throwing rocks to the latest high-tech firepower, can be used offensively as well as defensively.

The pitiful pleas of the Iraqis fall on deaf ears in Washington. But the Iraqi leader also told the press, "Providing arms to improve the military situation of Iran against us, this is a hostile attitude against Iraq which we do not deserve by any means. We did not harm the United States of America. We sympathized with the American people about the agony of the hostages in Iran."

Millions of words have been written about the tragedy of the U.S. sending arms to Iran. But in all of those words, as I have said many times, not once has it yet been mentioned the real life tragedy which resulted from the complete insensitivity of President Reagan to the great loss of life his missiles to Iran have caused among the innocent civilians in Baghdad and Basra. Hundreds of people, including many children, died when Mr. Reagan's missiles were used by the Iranians on Iraqi civilian areas in an attempt to sow discord and bring down the government of President Hussein.

Iraq is not the first Arab nation that has felt Mr. Reagan's own personal brand of terrorism. Iraqis are not the first innocent people to be slaughtered on orders directly from Mr. Reagan. First, the master terrorist of the White House unleashed the powerful 16-inch guns of the U.S.S. *New Jersey* upon civilian areas around Beirut. Next he did the same to Syrian areas. Finally, he ordered raids on civilians in Libya, including the very home of Colonel Qaddafi. All this was done for the sake of world Zionism. It had nothing at all to do with American interests.

Our brave leader, who was a legalized draft dodger in uniform during World War II, made pretty pictures for the armed forces in Hollywood and never left those safe confines during the entire war period. It was not his war. He did not face the Japanese or the Germans. His actual war service came some 40 years later. It was the unheard-of act of sheer terrorism in Lebanon where our commander-in-chief was personally responsible for making 100,000 Lebanese home-

less while killing or wounding about 10,000 persons, none of them militia or members of any armed force in that war-torn nation.⁴

Finally, it became Iraq's turn to suffer death and destruction because of Mr. Reagan's policies and personal orders. And yet this man has the nerve to label the Palestinians fighting for their homeland as nothing more than terrorists, although no Palestinian branch has ever engaged in wholesale slaughter, such as was ordered personally by Mr. Ronald Wilson Reagan, the real terrorist leader, the killer of many small children. The fact that he did all this without ever leaving the White House does not leave him with clean hands. It is true that he did not personally fire one shot. But he ordered it done and is now in the eyes of the world a proven war criminal. The world has far more proof of Mr. Reagan's terrorism than was ever presented at the Nuremberg trials when the German generals were accused of such tactics.

On the subject of terrorism, much has been written about the death of the aforementioned Mr. William Buckley, not the well-known columnist, but the American CIA chief in Beirut who was kidnapped, probably tortured for information, and then executed. Although listed as a "hostage" and not an employee of the CIA by the U.S. government, he was never a hostage and he was head of the CIA in Lebanon. His death was a tragedy for Americans. In the Arab world, it was merely retaliation for the deaths of several leaders in Lebanon which were engineered by Mr. Buckley. The closed American press gave you only half the story of this man's life and death.

According to news releases about Mr. Buckley, he was one of our foremost experts on terrorism. But he had not only knowledge of how a terrorist operates, he was one. He knew all about terrorism all right, but not in the way the meaning was conveyed to the American public via government sources. Americans wonder why he had to die.

4. The supply of weapons and munitions to Iran by Mr. Reagan's United States is almost certainly responsible for the vast and fiendishly inhuman atrocities now being perpetrated in Lebanon. When the Zionists discovered that many Europeans are still so "anti-Semitic" as to disapprove of the sending of Israeli troops into Lebanon to massacre the pitiable and defenceless Palestinian refugees in their camps, the work was turned over to Shiite militia, who are now besieging the camps and not only killing many of the refugees with artillery fire, but are starving the wretched survivors to death by preventing food from reaching them. There are rumors that the 40,000 men, women, and children in those camps may in desperation be forced to turn to cannibalism. It is generally believed that the Shiite militia are under the direct or indirect command of Khomeini, who supplies them with the arms and munitions they possess in abundance. This is almost certainly true, because Iran is the only Shiite nation (although there are scattered enclaves of Shiites in other Moslem countries), and the only one that would finance and supply a large force of Shiite soldiers. The Shiites are supported from time to time by Israeli aircraft.

Well, from the Arab point of view, he had to be stopped so that the lives of Arab faction leaders could be saved. It all depends upon which side you are on in Lebanon.

Mr. Buckley, a loner without any immediate family, was carefully selected by the CIA for this special assignment in Beirut, which would be very dangerous. He was there to hire people to infiltrate and murder individuals of influence in the groups causing trouble for the U.S. and Israel. And he must have done his job quite well. It cost him his life. In fact, according to Arab news sources in Beirut, that is exactly why he became a target for assassination. Which shows that you can't go around trying to liquidate people who happen not to agree with the Iron Fist brand of imperialism forced on Lebanon by the U.S. and Israel, without having some of the natives fight back. He was an unfortunate casualty of the infighting in Lebanon. But he was never a hostage as the American press tried to make you believe. Most of the other Americans being held in Lebanon are legitimate hostages. But not this man Buckley. It is ironic that the closed media want you to believe that the murder of Mr. Buckley was an isolated act just to kill an American. The people who did away with him were merely retaliating for what had been done to them. His untimely death was just a counter-attack against crimes committed in Lebanon by the U.S. and Israel. His killers were fighting fire with fire—not that I admire anyone on either side who commits such murders. But there are two sides to it. Neither side is winning in Lebanon and the killing will go on indefinitely to please the Zionists and help them eventually achieve domination in that part of the world. But when you attack the Lebanese, go into their country, and kill them, you have to expect them to attempt to kill you. So ends the sad tale of one William Buckley, late head of the CIA terrorist squad.

Now we come to the fate of another American citizen, a highly-publicized U.S. captive in Nicaragua named Sam Hall, a self-styled avenger and soldier of fortune type. You have read of his spying escapades at Sandanista military bases. But I am going to tell you something else about him which hasn't, to my knowledge, ever been printed.

I was in Beirut at the start of the 1982 U.S.-backed Israeli invasion of Lebanon. Sam Hall was there too. Believe it or not, he was then serving in the Israeli Army, holding the rank of major, I believe. Many Americans, Jews and non-Jews, serve in the Israeli armed forces. This part is not unusual. However, Mr. Hall was such an Arab-hating fanatic that the Israelis had to get rid of him. According to Sam Hall's own words, he became a dedicated lover of things Zionist after the Black September group of Palestinians caused the death of some Israeli Olympic athletes at Munich. From that day forward, he

became fanatical with a great desire to kill as many Arabs as possible. That's why he joined the Israeli Army. But when he ran around like a wild man shouting, "I hate Arabs, kill them all," even the Israelis didn't want him anymore. They were afraid of the bad publicity which might result from this seemingly deranged man's activities, which included the shooting of peaceful Arab civilians. Of course, the Israelis do the same thing, but they try not to let the world know about it and even deny it to the press. However, I do not think the Israelis had anything to worry about from the closed American press, which most certainly did not reveal in print the crazed activities of Sam Hall, deluxe Arab hater, until he got caught in Nicaragua.

When I was in Chad covering that war in 1983, I was with the Libyan-backed forces in the north. Intelligence reports shown to me mentioned an American named Sam Hall, who was said to be with the combined forces in the south backed by the French, the U.S., Israel, and Zaire. This was never completely confirmed. But Mr. Hall gave an interview in 1985 during which he stated that he had been trained by French forces in Africa, according to the *Dayton [Ohio] Daily News*.

No matter what sentence, if any, is passed on Mr. Hall by the Communist tribunal in Managua, I think that the U.S. government is not going to want him released in the manner of others (such as the Jew, Nicholas Daniloff, in Moscow, or even Eugene Hasenfus, who got home in time for Christmas from his cell in Nicaragua). He is an embarrassment to both the U.S. and Israel.

The U.S. government, as everyone knows, does what Israel wants. And Israel has sent word that Mr. Hall should be in a nut house. He is wild. But, relatively speaking, he seems no wilder than some of the people now making decisions in Washington.

Senior Israeli official Abba Eban, chairman of the Foreign Affairs and Defense Committee, stated the Zionist policy very clearly when he said, "If an Iranian regime is friendly, we let them have arms to celebrate this friendship. But if Iran is hostile to Israel, we let them have arms to mitigate the hostility towards us. Thus we end up in a situation where selling arms to Iran is the only constant."

Those words say it all. Mr. Eban put it very well despite the fact that his statements consist of a slap in the face to America. But he knows that he can insult the U.S. with such asinine statements because no one in Washington will ever fight back. He means that Israel will go on selling arms to Iran no matter what either the U.S. or Iran says about Israel. In his own words, he told the press that selling arms to Iran is a constant thing and will not be stopped. This means that Israel is the one which Congress should be investigating, instead of some American officials who simply carried out Israel's plans.

Without Israel's giving its permission first, no American official of the CIA, the White House or the National Security Agency would have dared to sell one single pea shooter to Iran. That is how things work in Washington, whether you like it or not.

Since the Zionists are truly world wide and have loyal Jews in every country on earth to assist them, they have the advantage over all other nations and governments. Even in the black Marxist states of Africa, there are Jews pushing, pulling and tugging at the government to be kind to Israel. I have seen them there. They are engaged behind the scenes in everything from ivory poaching to stealing away the mineral rights from the half-educated black Marxists who are supposed to be running those states. Israel gets what she wants. Believe me, the black Marxists, who can barely read and write, are no match for the slick-talking Israelis. But then you can't lay too much blame on the black Marxists for being such ignoramuses; because our own well-educated, white ignoramuses in Washington are just as vulnerable to Zionist trickery. What other nation ever fought a world war for the benefit of the Zionists and then every year pays billions of dollars in "war reparations" to Israel when the U.S. never harmed any Jews but saved them? Is there some other nation dumb enough to do this? I don't think so.

Couple the fact that Zionism is world wide with the well-known fact that no Jew is ever totally loyal to whatever country he may reside in and you have a built-in force that always knows in advance what is being planned by any nation on earth. There are no secrets from them, not in Moscow, not in Africa, not even in Red China.

Just to prove my point on this matter, take the fact that the U.S. news media kept printing that Robert McFarlane's expedition to Iran consisted of a total of five Americans, including him. Wrong! Very wrong! One of his crew members was an Israeli official with the name of Amiram Nir. The U.S. will not, and can not, make a move without Israel being in on it. And, I am sorry to disappoint you, but Mr. McFarlane was not in charge of that little trip either. He was the up front spokesman, like an errand boy. The man really in charge was Mr. Nir, a personal friend of Vice President George Bush.

In 1983, when an Iranian commando team was dispatched to Washington to assassinate President Reagan, the Israelis knew all about it but did not inform the U.S. In fact, some Iranian Jews volunteered to help kill the American leader. So don't let anyone from the *New York Times* tell you that Israel and Iran are sworn enemies. That's a word-game deception strictly to deceive gullible American taxpayers. Forget the rhetoric flying back and forth. These two nations, both run by war criminals, are very good friends. And, of

course, any friend of Israel is automatically a friend of the United States. So that makes mean old Ayatollah Khomeini and President Reagan really good buddies when Israel cracks the whip in Washington. If you understand this, then all else falls into place and you can see why Mr. Reagan was so eager to do the bidding of Israel and send massive supplies of arms to Iran. It should not be so surprising to you that he did this awful thing. He had no choice.

So, in the future, when reading the controlled press, remember that the U.S., Israel, and Iran are three allied nations, all plotting and fighting against the small but very brave country of Iraq. This is an unholy triumvirate. You know, very strange bedfellows, perhaps, but nevertheless all for one and one for all.

But to get back to the plot to kill Mr. Reagan: ABC and the United Press International both broke this story but, very conveniently, censored out anything which remotely hinted any involvement or even knowledge of it by the Israelis. It was the European press which mentioned Israel.

Both ABC News (Peter Jennings) and the UPI stated that Iranian arms dealer Mr. Manucher Ghorbanifar blocked the attempt, and the assassination plot was called off. This is only partly true. It was canceled, but not for this reason. It was called off because it was decided in Tehran that Mr. Reagan, influenced by the Zionists, was more of a friend than an enemy of Iran. After all, hadn't he kept them in the war with Iraq by sending badly-needed weapons at crucial times? Israeli official told Iran that they could easily control the White House actor and get him to assist Iran's war effort any time they got into trouble. This proved correct, as we all know now, because President Reagan has done his best to assist Iran, without letting the good ol' reliable taxpayers know what was going on, except for the ones who have read my columns for the past five years.

This recalled assassination team of Khomeini's fanatics, unlike the highly publicized, so-called Libyan hit team which never existed, did actually reach Washington in 1983. The Iranian squad of would-be murderers received Greek passports in Athens after having been assembled in Paris. And an assistant of Mr. Ghorbanifar, who, the U.S. press claimed, stopped the assassination attempt, was the very person who helped them obtain their false passports and United States visas.

This hit team was formed, apparently with the approval of Israel, after Ayatollah Ruholla Khomeini told his rabid followers that he did not want to allow Mr. Reagan to sleep peacefully in the White House.

The Zionists have made good use of Mr. Reagan. And they certainly have gotten more out of him than from any other president. But that does not mean that they like him. They do not trust any non-

Jewish Zionist, not even one like Mr. Reagan, who constantly brags to Jewish leaders about being the number one Christian Zionist of the world. The Israelis could not have cared less about the possibility that Mr. Reagan would be assassinated. That's gratitude, Zionist style.

In addition to arms sales to Iran, the U.S. has greatly harmed Iraq in another important way. Ever since diplomatic relations were re-established between the U.S. and Iraq, the American Embassy personnel in Baghdad have been gathering intelligence information and sending it straight to Iran. They also send the same spy reports to Israel, of course.

As I have stated many times, the chief purpose of having U.S. embassies in Arab countries is simply to spy for Israel. This may make certain Arab states look foolish for allowing this to go on right under their noses, but they claim that the benefits of having Arab embassies in Washington outweigh the damage done by having their secrets sent to Israel. I am not so sure.

Anyway, I want all American taxpayers to fully realize that their hard-earned money is not only being used for arms to the living devil Khomeini, but also to help Iran by spying against Iraq. How do you like that?

Naturally, over five years ago, when I first wrote that Israel was the sole initiator of arms sales to Iran, hardly any of our brainwashed citizens believed me. Most readers thought I was wrong. Some thought I was out of my mind. But the White House and Congressional reports now state very clearly that the ideas for both the sales to Iran and diverting the money to the Contras originated entirely with Israel. So, who's laughing now? And where are all those people who for years said I was wrong and didn't know what was going on? Come on, now. Speak up! You had plenty to say before, didn't you?

And did you notice that every Jewish member of Congress has been keeping quiet and not saying a word about the Iran deals? There are thirty-four Jews in Congress, all very vociferous and uncouth loudmouths, first to go off half-cocked when something happens of note in Washington. But where are those loud voices now? Therein lies the answer to the entire matter. Israel has been proven guilty and they have nothing to say. Now if Britain, France or any other nation on earth had talked America into the stupid and insane position of selling arms to old Khomeini, the Jews in Congress would be yelling for blood twenty-four hours a day. However, when Israel is at fault, their tired tongues are tied.

Of course, former Prime Minister Shimon Peres and other Israeli officials deny everything. But no one is stupid enough to believe them.

One Congressman who read the report said to the press, "I think Israel played us like a fine violin." He means that the Israelis played us for suckers one more time.

Did you notice that the Democrats at first were very anxious to have the report publicized so they could get at Mr. Reagan? But when they learned that Israel was responsible for the entire mess, they now want to hold off publication until next year—or forever.

Most Democrats are silent like the Zionists who own them. However, Senator Dennis DeConcini (D-Ariz.), the frightened little parrot for Israel, after speaking his piece in favor of the Zionists, who pay him handsomely with U.S. funds, said, "I disagree that Israel is at fault. Israel is a friend and not a driving force behind the scandal."

Attorney General Edwin Meese was more honest. According to him, Israel demanded three things:

1. Use Israel as a conduit to fund money to the Contras.
2. Use Israeli money.
3. Overcharge the Iranians.

Three years ago I wrote that the U.S., on direct orders from President Reagan, was not only giving Iran secret information to be used against Iraq, but had gone so far into the morass of international intrigue as to give false intelligence to the Iraqi government to forestall an Iraqi victory. I also stated that this was being done to prolong the war in order to please Israel.

Finally, in late January of this year, over three years after I exposed this unheard of duplicity on the part of Mr. Reagan, the *New York Times* came out with a front-page story which was merely a carbon copy of my own report, except that three important words were left out: "to please Israel." The *Times*, it seems, didn't want to say that the U.S. disgraced herself as a nation in the eyes of the world on orders from Israel. But that's the only part of my original story the *Times* didn't reprint, without any credit to me, of course.

Many leading American daily newspapers consider my columns too "far out" for them. Nevertheless, they keep a file of my work but never use any of it until this same information gets printed in Europe or leaked in Washington in such a way that it becomes public knowledge, therefore forcing it to be reluctantly published by the tightly-controlled U.S. news media.

The *Times* claimed to have gotten this somewhat startling information from a Senate Intelligence Committee report given to them by Senator William Cohen (R-Maine). But they could have easily gotten it from my column three years earlier if they had wanted to do so. Both the *Times* and Senator Cohen were using it at this time in an attempt to push all the blame onto Mr. Reagan and leave their beloved


Israel completely out of it. Surely the American public will at last see what is behind such snow jobs! □

This article first appeared in the May 1987 issue of Liberty Bell. Annual subscription \$25.00. Sample copy & booklist \$3.0

Reprints of Jim Taylor's articles are available at the following prices: THE NEXT VIETNAM (Sept. 1986 issue): 4/\$1.50 10/\$3.00 50/\$13.00 100/\$25.00. HAMMERING THE U.S. (Oct. 1986 issue): 5/\$1.00 50/\$9.00 100/\$16.00. BUSH AND ZION (Nov. 1986 issue): 3/\$1.50 10/\$4.50 50/\$20.00 100/\$35.00. REAGAN AND ZION (Dec. 1986 issue): 2/\$1.50 10/\$6.00 50/\$25.00 100/\$45.00. ANTI-AMERICANS (Jan. 1987 issue): 2/\$1.50 10/\$6.00 50/\$25.00 100/\$45.00. MORE OF REAGAN'S LIES (Feb. 1987 issue): 2/\$1.50 10/\$6.00 50/\$25.00 100/\$45.00. HOW ZION TRIUMPHS (March 1987 issue): Single copy \$1.00 10/\$8.00 50/\$35.00 100/\$60.00. FRIENDS WE BETRAYED (April 1987 issue): 2/\$1.50 10/\$6.00 50/\$25.00 100/\$45.00. THE GREAT TERRORIST (May 1987 issue): 2/\$1.50 10/\$6.00 50/\$25.00 100/\$45.00.

For Postage and Handling include \$1.00 for orders under \$10.00, 10% for orders over \$10.00. Order from: LIBERTY BELL PUBLICATIONS, Box 21, Reedy WV, 25270 USA.

SURVIVAL MANUAL FOR THE WHITE RACE



William Gayley Simpson has spent a lifetime of keen observation, careful analysis, and deep reflection developing the principal thesis of his book: that the single, undying purpose of all human activity should be the ennobling of man. In support of this thesis he looks at the foundations of Western Society, at the structure of our government, at the effects of technology and industrialization on man, at the roles of the sexes, at economics, and at race. The book goes to the roots of the problems facing the White race today, and it shows the ways in which White society must be changed if the race is to survive. *WHICH WAY WESTERN MAN?* is an encyclopedic work whose conclusions can be ignored by no one with a sense of responsibility to the future. For your copy of *WHICH WAY WESTERN MAN?* send \$17.50 for the deluxe,

clothbound edition or \$11.50 for the softback edition (these prices include \$1.50 for shipping) to: LIBERTY BELL PUBLICATIONS, Box 21, Reedy, WV 25270 USA

FROM THE ALIENS' PRESS

AN EVEN FRIENDLIER CONGRESS

Analysis of last week's elections

by Wolf Blitzer

The Jerusalem Post

International Edition, 15 November 1986

AMERICAN Jewish political activists were clearly delighted by the outcome not because of the political setback for Reagan, who is widely seen as extremely friendly toward Israel. Rather, the Jews agreed that this new session of Congress will almost certainly be even more pro-Israeli than the last and the last was very pro-Israeli.

If the Israeli government plays its cards right, the new cast of characters in the Senate and House of Representatives can be expected to maintain very high levels of U.S. economic and military assistance to Israel even during the prevailing budget-cutting atmosphere. And if President Reagan and Secretary of State George Shultz should come forward with new legislative initiatives aimed at further strengthening Israel's Defence and its economic recovery, the Congress will almost certainly go along with them.

Moreover, the Democrats in the Senate and House will be in a very strong position to block Republican-backed arms sales to "moderate" Arab states. Many observers, therefore, doubt very much that the administration will even introduce such sales.

The elections demonstrated once again that Israel's popularity in Washington is both non-partisan and non-ideological. Israel has friends among the most liberal Democrats and the most conservative Republicans. This was underscored in what were probably the two most important Senate races in the country as far as Israel's special interests were concerned.

In California, incumbent Senator Alan Cranston, a liberal Democrat, faced a very stiff and angry challenge from Republican Ed Zschau, a young Congressman who served on the House Foreign Affairs Committee. This was probably the

most bitter campaign in the country, with an almost endless number of negative ads appearing daily on California television stations. It drew an enormous amount of interest in the Jewish community around the country.

Zschau, while adopting in recent months a more positive posture toward Israel, had earlier been quite outspokenly critical. He voted against Israel on several key issues as a congressman. During questioning of State Department officials, he often demonstrated a rather openly hostile attitude. Few Jews trusted him.

Much Jewish money, therefore, went to Cranston, a down-the-line supporter who had often led the fight in the Senate against administration-backed arms sales to "moderate" Arab states. Cranston won in a close contest.

In Winston, Bob Kasten, a conservative Republican, overcame a tough challenge from Democrat Ed Garvey. Kasten, as chairman of the Senate Appropriations Subcommittee on Foreign Operations, was critical in pushing for increased U.S. aid to Israel in recent years. The Jewish community rallied behind him, wanting to reward him for his support.

The Jewish fund-raisers, both individually as well as collectively through their political action committees (PACs), also wanted to make sure that Kasten would remain on that critical panel, which will now be chaired by Democratic Senator Daniel Inouye of Hawaii, another great friend of Israel. An Inouye-Kasten team represents a real blessing for Israel's future aid prospects.

This combination also suggests that the two senators will probably once again cooperate in trying to pass legislation cutting the prevailing interest rates on outstanding U.S. military loans to Israel by half, an immediate saving of at least \$500 million. Their earlier effort was derailed shortly after the Jonathan Jay Pollard spy scandal erupted.

Morris J. Amitay, a former executive director of the American Israel Public Affairs Committee (AIPAC) who now heads a separate pro-Israeli political action committee in Washington, welcomed the fact that two well-known critics of Israel, Republicans Barry Goldwater of Arizona and Charles Mathias of Maryland, are retiring from the Senate. The new senator from Maryland, Barbara Mikulski, has had an outstanding record on Israel during her years in the House of Representatives. And in Arizona, the Republican winner of the Senate

Continued on page 59

POSTSCRIPTS *continued from page 18*

discouraged from treating innocent patients, it being assumed that they will elect to wear rubber gloves, gauze masks, and the like when they do. The admitted statistic and the bland recommendation that goes with it, now made public, should be sufficient to start at least the preliminary of a panic.

When the enraged heirs of a once-respected profession decided on public clamor to intimidate the County Board, they probably failed to foresee some inevitable consequences. Every reader of the newspapers began to wonder how many of them and their colleagues were perverts, and how many of them were already infected and using their professional status and privileges to conceal it. And every shyster lawyer in Chicago, at least, spent a sleepless night contemplating the joys of endless suits for malpractice against physicians and hospitals. And finally, the impetuous M.D.s may have given second thoughts to the financiers who, as the same newspaper coincidentally announced in its financial section, are prepared to pay in cash one billion, seven hundred million dollars (\$1,700,000,000.) to acquire a chain of profitable hospitals, for what is now blandly called the "hospital industry" makes money for its proprietors on a lavish scale, as did gold mines and oil fields in the old days.

If the County Board in its plenary session decides to suspend the diseased pervert, what can the indignant physicians do? They can, of course, go on a strike, but they should acquire some belated prudence before they so decide. In Ontario and in a region of California, if I remember correctly, the physicians' union declared strikes some years ago, with the result that the death-rate sharply decreased in both localities and remained abnormally low until the merchants of health resumed their activities and brought the mortality-tables back to normal.

The fracas in Cook County may not interest residents in other parts of the country today, but when the situation disclosed by it is matched in every state, every city, and almost every county, it is likely that there will follow action that is unthinkable to the tender-minded.

And in the meantime, the physicians who started the rum-pus in Chicago are destroying what little respect is still felt for them and for the once-honored profession they claim to represent.

I have seen part of the manuscript of a forthcoming book by a distinguished physician, Dr. Harold Adams, who does not even mention the epidemic of "AIDS," at least in the parts I have read, but says:

"According to a recent Harris Poll, 73% of the American people have lost confidence in the American medical system as it is today. There are many people in our profession who have buried their heads in the sand and say we have no major problems with our system of medicine. They are dead wrong. In my opinion our profession is on the verge of collapse."

And he amply documents his opinion with accounts of some of the astonishing depredations and, indeed, crimes commonly committed by "money-hungry doctors and nurses."

The loud-mouthed champions of the perverts in Chicago should have been more wary, for their own good, but for the good of the remaining Aryans in this multi-racial country, it is perhaps well that they were not. □

NORDIC PRESS

AIDS—IT JUST WON'T GO AWAY

Those who follow the machinations of the governmental propaganda agencies will appreciate their efforts to make the AIDS epidemic seem as something it is not. The big wave of "AIDS is for everyone" seems to be rapidly losing ground. Now there is the mad rush to indoctrinate school children in the use of contraceptives, one can only wonder at the rabbit which will be pulled from their collective magician's hat tomorrow.

Unfortunately for the propagandists, the government's own statistics have let the supposed potentially rapid spread of AIDS into the general population fall by the wayside. AIDS is not a disease easily transmitted by normal sexual intercourse. It is, and remains, the disease of the sodomites and drug users. That it has spread into other segments of the population is unfortunate, but it does not threaten those with a natural sexual appetite.


The nation's school children are not the spreaders of AIDS, or only in a limited rôle, if so at all. The intimacies shared between man and woman pose no major threat to the community. It is the sodomite, with his un-natural sexual practice which has brought the AIDS epidemic into the European countries and it will be the sodomites who must pay the heaviest price. Second on the list of those who will pay are the intravenous drug users, for they have spread the contamination through their use of syringes to inject drugs into their veins. AIDS is a disease of the blood, and they who contaminate and defile the blood are to pay the price.

Even the medical profession is now sceptical of the U.S. government's statistics and findings released by the Centers for Disease Control in Atlanta. They feel, and rightly so, the entire story is not being told and the projections and conclusions which the CDC foists upon the public, and the medical profession, are tainted. A magazine printed for the medical practitioners, *MD*, has questioned the establishment, and each of us must question them too.

As an example, the CDC's statistics in 1986 were showing heterosexual transmission as suspected in something less than



ORDER No.: 7012 — \$4.00



ORDER No.: 7009 — \$3.00

For postage and handling, please include \$1. for orders under \$10., 10% for orders over \$10.

Liberty Bell Publications
P.O. BOX 21 • REEDY WV 25270 • USA

two percent of the total number of AIDS cases. "Suspected" is used as there has yet to be established a direct cause and effect relation between normal sexual practices and the spread of AIDS. To fall into the heterosexual category, an AIDS carrier must have had normal sexual relations with a known AIDS carrier. Now, as 1987 is upon us, we find the CDC has listed a dramatic increase in heterosexual cases. In their compilation of January 5th we find an amazing 3.8 percent of the 29,137 AIDS victims acquired the disease through heterosexual contact.

Fortunately, the statisticians provided a footnote. Looking at the footnote one finds the figure is arrived at by lumping those people previously listed as having acquired the disease from unknown sources as having acquired it by heterosexual contact. This allows the undetermined category to fall from over five percent to less than one percent. No medical breakthrough, no secrets of transmission discovered—simply a wave of the hand and the "unknown" now has become "heterosexual."

The next item of concern must be the cost factor. Estimates range from a low of \$100,000 to nearly \$150,000 for the cost of treating each AIDS patient from time of diagnosis until their ultimate death. Even at the lower figure the cost is staggering. It is truly mind boggling. Where is this vast amount of money to come from? Who will be the recipient of it? If you see a great growth market in the AIDS side of the medical profession, then you have seen the wave of the future. It seems remarkable that every television "news" show watched can only find an "expert opinion" on any AIDS topic by bringing forth a Jew. The practitioners at the AIDS treatment facilities seem to be predominately Jews.

Looking at the AIDS victims shown on the same "news" programs, one sees only what appears to be Aryans. Occasionally one might see a black wretch in death throes, but Jews do not have AIDS; Asians do not have AIDS; our wetback population also seems immune; we are shown AIDS as a disease of what society calls "whites." But this is not true either. Blacks make up a bit over ten percent of the total U.S. population and they account for 25 percent of the total AIDS cases, and "Hispanics" 14.4 percent. Now, if the "white" figure were to be accounted as Aryans, Jews, and Mediterraneans, the figures would be radically different. The term "white" and "Aryan" are not synonymous!

Aside from the United States, other countries are reluctant

to expose their AIDS statistics to public scrutiny. AIDS though appears the disease which is to decimate the mud races, which includes the Jews, of this world. It does not appear to be of major concern to Aryans aside from the cost burden. Cost will be the major factor until governments realize the AIDS epidemic is now a growth industry for the medical profession.

Like blow flies on a carcass, the Jews are flocking to all aspects of the AIDS epidemic from research through treatment. Once the public treasury has been bled dry, governments will finally quarantine the carriers and isolate them from normal, healthy people. At that time the epidemic may begin to come under control, but as long as greed and the Jew doctrine of "equality" permeate our societies, there will be no solution. Nature has ruled against the sodomites and now they must pay for violating nature's laws concerning human reproduction. The carriers of AIDS must be isolated.

"AIDS is to the sodomite as malaria is to the anopheles mosquito.

Control the carrier and you control the disease."

A COMMIE SHOWS THE ROUTE TO SUCCESS

It will come as a surprise to some of the younger readers, but the government of the United States was, for a long time, responsive to the wishes of the Aryan population which had created it and the Constitution which regulates its activities. How, then, did the government become one which reflects the wishes and desires of an alien minority, the Zionist Jews, rather than those of its own people? The answer lies in a long, slow erosion. The first major landmark along the route was the slaughter between 1860 and 1865, and the shape of the present, or Zionist Occupation Government was formed in the 1930-1940 time period.

One of the most enlightening books describing the means used by the Communist Jew, as opposed to the Zionist Jew, to control the government is *Witness*, written by Whitaker Chambers and published by Random House in 1952. If you can locate a copy in either a library or a used book store, buy it! Chambers was one of the idealistic dupes recruited by the Communists in the 1930s. Though he rose through their ranks,

he eventually became sickened with the party and was a witness against them, particularly in the trial of Alger Hiss, a one-time advisor to President Franklin D. Roosevelt.

What is important for our Aryan warriors today, is not that the government was effectively infiltrated, that is well known, but how it was accomplished. The means by which we lost the government is the means by which it can be regained. Chambers never ran for any political office, nor did Hiss, nor did the vast majority of Communists and Jews who moved into the Federal Government in the 1930-40 period. They came to power through appointment and selection for employment by those who had already found a place in government. It was a relatively slow process even though the Communists had the support of the Soviet Government in reaching their goal. Aryans today must act alone.

Chambers describes a labyrinth of espionage networks all working for the same goal but independent of one another. Not a single branch of the Federal Government was then, or is today, free of them. Jews wield tremendous power and have so subverted the Justice and State Departments they are, today, the tools of Soviet-Zionist interests. Jews are entrenched in the three branches of government, the legislative, judicial, and executive. The only way they will be routed out is by a massive revolution which will sweep the corridors of Washington clean, and this will not happen. Those who hold the power are not easily removed. Aryans can, and must, follow the path of the infiltrator.

It seems a degrading and demeaning thing for Aryans to be forced to regain control of their government in such a manner, but, it has one merit—it will work. It is simple, it is fool proof, and each of you employed at any level of government can begin at once to do battle with the enemy. Insure each action which you can take and control is taken in the interest of Aryans. Be a friend of those who hire and be quick to inform your Aryan comrades of job openings. Insure that necessary references are available from other Aryans. As one becomes secure within the system, then a second can be added, and a third, and so it will multiply.

Those with the necessary qualifications must seek appointments within the various agencies of ZOG's system. It is not necessary at this time that any other action be taken. Once our numbers have increased to the point where more direct action is possible, then our Aryan cause will have the strength needed to

proceed at a more rapid pace. As the current Attorney General, Edwin Meese, said, the decisions of the Supreme Court are not the law of the land, they can be reversed. Amendments of the Constitution can be rescinded. Federal appointments can be vacated, and, what is true on the federal level is true at every level of government.

Chambers, a pacifist and a Quaker, worked for an ideal which he felt was of benefit to mankind. It was only after the damage was done that he realized he had been the tool of the most evil system in existence. When Chambers denounced Hiss, the darling of the Liberals, it was as if the vampire in an old horror movie had been confronted with the "true cross." Chambers was, and is still, vilified by the media and all of the Liberals. There was, however, sufficient force left in the system, to remove Hiss and send him to prison for perjury. He should have been hanged as a traitor, but the system was not that strong, for if Hiss had hanged, there would not have been rope enough to hang the rest of the traitors. Chambers shows us the plan for the battle which we, too, must wage. Learn from history, Aryan warrior, use the tactics of the Jew to defeat him. By using the tactic of infiltration we may retake our government before the enemy realizes he is under attack from within.

Some in the National Socialist Movement see no reason for saving a government which they feel to be beyond redemption. They long for the purity of a National Socialist Aryan state, but, they long in vain. Within our Aryan lands we have too many of the mud races. We have been invaded and nearly conquered. It will be by degree that we regain control of our destiny. Once the blasphemy of "equality" has been settled, there are then many avenues for dealing with the mud races in our midst, from segregation to complete removal into separate states. What ever the ultimate solution, the Aryan warrior can win this fight if the battle commences now, and with the tools and knowledge available to each of us. Whitaker Chambers has left the battle plan, we have but to follow it to victory. It will be the Aryan warriors working from within the system who make it possible for the emergence of National Socialism—if not in our life time, then in that of our children.

"One thing is certain: our world is facing a great revolution. The only question is whether the outcome will be propitious for the Aryan portion of mankind or whether the everlasting Jew will profit from it."

Adolf Hitler, *Mein Kampf*

DON'T DRAW FIRE!

The maxim of the combat soldier—Don't Draw Fire!

It seems many of our Aryan warriors have forgotten the maxim and the reason for it. A soldier will not needlessly draw fire on his position for the very practical reason that to do so will bring needless casualties. The soldier keeps as low a profile as possible and springs upon his enemy at the time and the place of his choosing.

Aryan warriors today wage as deadly a war as any they have ever faced in their long, long history. What is at stake is not a piece of land, a form of government, or a given racial accommodation forced upon us by the political faction which now controls our once-Aryan governments. The outcome of this battle is the very survival of our Aryan race. To dissipate our strength and effort through futile gestures spells disaster.

In the world of power, the stakes are high, the game never called, and the winner takes all. There is no balm for the loser. Losers are that—losers. In the gambling world they leave the gaming table as the remaining players deal the next hand. In the grim struggle for racial survival losers fall into the ooze where the mud races breed and live. Miscegenation is the loosing hand and miscegenation is what the Jews who now control the once-Aryan governments of European peoples are forcing upon us.

Only the Aryan warrior can save the Aryan race. The Aryan warrior, as much a woman as a man, must fight the battle today by exclusiveness. The Aryan must live only with the Aryan. The Aryan must continue to abide by our ancient values, loyalty to the race, loyalty to the family, loyalty to one's personal honesty and loyalty to nature's law by respect for the purity of our soil. To needlessly disrupt that system of loyalty and personal honor, to risk loss and defeat by drawing battle lines with the Zionist Occupational Government (ZOG) at places and times of their choosing, is to draw needless fire upon our position.

Associate with Aryans, deal with Aryans, patronize only the Aryan merchant. Advance the Aryan position in every way possible without drawing direct confrontational fire. A group of Aryans live in Georgia, Forsyth county by name. Through the action of traitors, of pseudo-Jews living amongst them, the door was opened and a situation created for a massive intrusion of ZOG's forces into their community. It was not an Aryan

victory. Unnecessary fire was drawn upon the Aryan's position and the body count is not yet complete, but ZOG's forces have intruded into what was once a "white" county. Time will tell how many of the black or mud races must be imported into Forsyth county to insure those people will live in the squalor now deemed appropriate for our race. ZOG, through overwhelming use of force, has again extended the hand of "brotherhood" to kill an Aryan community.

Until Aryan warriors can muster sufficient force to win control of government, there can be no lasting victory. We must regain power by infiltrating the governments which we created and once controlled. As long as the hand of the Jew is on the trigger of the weapon pointed at the head of the Aryan warrior, his race, his family and his values, there will be no victory in an outright confrontation. We need no more of our Aryan warriors dead or in prison, we need each of them on the battle line, to wage war in the manner which we choose and at the time and place of our choosing.

Aryans have created the greatest civilization the world has yet seen. Aryans today, by their misguided acceptance of the mongrel concepts of Christian "brotherhood" and Jewish "equality," have nearly undone the work of their noble ancestors. It is not too late to reverse the process. We have the strength of our race, both mental and physical, remaining to us. We only will lose them if we follow the dictates of ZOG and wallow in their cesspool of equality and brotherhood while following the miscegenation road to oblivion.

We will have brotherhood amongst our Aryan comrades, but equality is a term left to the losers. The noble struggle for existence knows no equality—only winners. By living with and among Aryans we regain our strength and our purpose. Once the Aryan warrior is ready for battle against ZOG there will be no defeat. The clean, proud Aryan people will again lead the world. □

MOVEMENT FINANCE

by
Harold A. Covington

Reprinted with permission from
Circular Letter No. 6-B

Before I start my series of perorations about The Party, that is to say the White revolutionary party, there is a subject I want to deal with first. It is an important one and I can't figure out where else to fit it in, so I'll get it out of the way now. The topic is Movement Finance. Not a fund appeal (you will find my customary one at the end of this circular, as per usual), but a discussion of the realities involved in financing White resistance. Most of you reading this, in addition to the activists to varying degrees, will have contributed large amounts of your money towards various racial nationalist and NS efforts. If you have been in the Movement any length of time, you will by now be connoisseurs of the fund appeal and may even have acquired the enviable gift of distinguishing between those that are for real and those churned out to support various political con artists in the style to which they have become accustomed.

Hang onto this circular letter and frame it, people, because you are about to get the first and probably the last honest, complete, and serious discussion on Movement finance any of you will ever read. Even those leaders who are sincere and dedicated are reluctant to give their supporters the low-down on the whole money question. I'm no longer in serious competition for major support and so I can afford a candor that no one else can. For the time being I will discuss it from the point of view of the *bona fide* White racial nationalist group or publication—the rip-offs and con men are a subject unto themselves.

The first thing you must realize is that in any racial nationalist effort, from a little sheet like this to the largest parties and publications and the European neo-Fascist parties with seats in the European parliament, money is the first and foremost consideration. SOMEONE MUST PAY. I say that again, to

Liberty Bell / May 1987

make sure the idea sinks in. SOMEONE MUST PAY. Every type of political activity, from passing out tracts to armed struggle, requires amounts of money that will curl your hair. The days of a few conspirators whispering around a guttering candle in a Gothic ruin at midnight are long gone—modern-day revolution is big business. Printing, postage, office supplies, telephone bills, transportation, rent on offices and headquarters, maintenance of whatever premises you have, filing fees for election attempts, security equipment and related costs, recorded messages, TV and radio spots when we can get them, necessary travel expenses, paid staff when we can afford them, loudspeakers and banners and paraphernalia for rallies, the list goes on and on and on. SOMEONE MUST PAY. These services are not provided free of charge. Someone must pay for them, either in cash or in kind through donated labor and supplies.

Alright, who pays? The answer is that, with very few exceptions, YOU do! And that's not likely to change from now until the Day of Victory. Before I explain why, though, I'd like to look at three methods of financing the Movement which have been discussed many times since I've been involved and which periodically crop up either as ideas among our own people or accusations from the enemy media. The enemy, by the way, seem to have this idea that we're loaded, an idea which we might as well encourage by way of psychological warfare but which has no foundation in fact. In reality, I would be extremely suspicious of any racial nationalist party that DID have a big cash reserve. Money has no business sitting in the bank drawing interest. It needs to be out there on the streets working to save our people from extinction. A big bank balance is a sign of fossilized thinking and a dearth of ideas.

SUPPOSED FUND-RAISER NUMBER ONE: "RIGHT WING FAT CATS." I wish the hell somebody had pointed out a few of those right wing fat cats when I was ramrodding the NSPA. We sure could have used a couple. The largest donation the NSPA ever received was \$1,000, which we got three times during the five years I was running the North Carolina operation. We got maybe a dozen donations of \$500, and that was that. The average donation was five or ten bucks. During those five years, I estimate we raised about \$300,000 in cash and in kind, so that kicks in the head the idea that there is no mass support for our views if anything does—it takes a lot of small donations

Liberty Bell / May 1987

to make three hundred grand, even over five years. Our party members and supporters worked like draft horses and chipped in everything they could, but I think it's fair to say that at least half of our money came from sympathetic members of the White public who wouldn't have been seen dead at a party function or rally. We spent every cent of it, and we got results unparalleled in some respects since 1945.

It is true that there are a few people on the periphery of the Movement who are comparatively wealthy. You would be surprised and angered how LITTLE most of these people contribute. I was for a long time until I realized that these people didn't make all that muesli by backing losers, and that they were only willing to blow so much on an interesting but basically pointless hobby, which is all the Movement is nowadays. I now believe that when the time comes, when we can show these people that their contributions represent a serious investment in the future and not a gamble or a boondoggle, they will cough up handsomely, as they did in Germany in the 1930s, when the Leader showed them the handwriting on the wall. The finance is available from wealthy sympathizers, all right, but we have to EARN it.

As an aside, the one time I HAVE heard of large amounts of money being pledged was before my time. In the summer of 1967, Commander Rockwell had the big bucks lined up and began the *White Power* newspaper and printed his epic work *White Power* [available from Liberty Bell Publications, \$7 plus \$1 for postage] as well on proceeds of same. The ZOG found out about it, panicked, and had U.S. Navy Commander Rockwell murdered by a clique of their agents operating within the the ANP. I suppose I ought to be glad in a sense that I didn't have any fat cats. I came close to ending up dead as it was, and if I'd gotten some kind of major backing, I might have gone the way of Commander Rockwell. Just before the NSPA went under due to the activities of a very similar clique, in fact, I was approached by some people who offered some interesting possibilities, but the December 1980 gibberish began and they backed off fast when they saw the NSPA hadn't gotten it together after all. We all owe a debt to the Decembrists, one I hope to repay with interest some day. But I digress.

SUPPOSED FUND-RAISER NUMBER TWO: PARTY BUSINESSES. Periodically this idea surfaces in various places, and in some cases it has actually been tried. I tried it myself once and I am familiar with several other case histories. It sounds real good in theory, but in practice it doesn't work, or at least doesn't work well.

First off, the entire worldwide economic climate right now is slanted against the small business and the small businessman; this is, in fact, an integral part of the enemy's long-term strategy for our destruction. The primary target of all his efforts has always been the freeholder, the yeoman, the German *Bauer*, the Russian *Kulak* whom Stalin butchered by the millions—the White, male family man who owns his own source of sustenance, a farm or small business, who is economically independent and civically aware; who, in the case of America, Switzerland, Sweden, and other countries, performs military service in a militia and *owns his own weapons*, who often participates in the political process at some level. It is this man who is the bulwark against their final victory and whom they most wish to destroy. He is under attack on all fronts: they get at his children through race-mixing and homosexuality; they get at his wife through feminism; they get at his own mind through media brainwashing, and they get at him economically through unfair competition that drives his farm or business to the wall and makes him a wage-slave to the state or large corporations, dependent on selling his skills and his labor to the enemy in order to survive. It is now virtually impossible for ANY small businessman to turn enough of a profit to survive. As for producing the massive finance necessary to fund a political movement through Mom and Pop stores? Forget it.

Very quickly the business takes over from the politics, as the comrades struggle to keep it afloat and compete with others who are in it full-time. When the local ZOG finds out who's running Papa A.'s Kosher Barbeque or Eichmann & Sons Quality Lampshades (Serving you since 1933! Over Six Million Satisfied Customers!), they apply the type of economic pressure they know and use best, and all of a sudden the customers vanish like a morning dew. Then you get bankruptcy court and Title 11 and the enemy has got a handle on our hapless would-be businessmen that they won't relinquish until they have drained every last cent that might be used for political work.

Think I'm kidding? Contact Rick Cooper of the National Socialist Vanguard [Box 328, The Dallas, Oregon] and ask him how many carpets ST Enterprises has cleaned since he got pulled on a harassment bust and got his name splashed all over the local papers.

I do not rule out the concept of a sort of co-op of sympathetic White businessmen who are *already in place*, with established trade and good will, and who are willing to devote a tithe of their profits to the Movement and accordingly work hard at their businesses full time by way of their personal contribution to the struggle. However, political activists, starting from scratch just won't work. The risks are too great and the drain on time and effort that could be used for political work too heavy to justify the very small return.

SUPPOSED FUND-RAISER NUMBER THREE: EX-PROPRIATION. I.e., armed robbery. I do *not* mean *theft*, stealing from our own people. Any racial nationalist group or individual who steals from White people is a disgrace and needs to be exposed and liquidated. There are many so-called leaders and groups who do just that, unfortunately, by fraudulently collecting donations and membership dues from White people and then blowing said proceeds on their own personal lifestyle. By *expropriation* I mean the forcible return of wealth to the White working people from whom it was originally stolen by the enemy, funds from banks, insurance companies, gambling casinos, porno shops, huge multi-national corporations, etc.

For the benefit of my ZOG monitors, of which I assume I have a few, this is a *theoretical* discussion; I do not advocate or incite anyone to break your precious goddamned "law," blah, blah; please take all the usual disclaimers as read. Now, there is nothing *morally* wrong with despoiling a despoiler and taking from him wealth which he has stolen from those who produced it, especially when the intention is to use that wealth for the benefit of those who did create it originally. This is the basis for the benefit of the Aryan Robin Hood legend, which, interestingly enough, has no parallel in the Semitic or non-White world. There are, however, certain obvious practical problems which in my view preclude expropriation at this point and for some time to come. To put it bluntly, It's just too dangerous right now and by expropriating or accepting expropriated funds you are

handing yourself and your comrades to ZOG on a silver platter with apples in your mouth. Later on, when we are in a straight-forward revolutionary situation and are all wanted by the enemy "law" anyway for various "offenses" against Yehudi, by all means let's play Jesse James and hit Yehudi where it hurts, in his wallet. For now we owe it to ourselves and our race to stay "clean" as far as we can and stay out on the street, *continuing to function* even if only just barely.

To put it simply, there is no point in lifting a hundred grand from a bank or an armored car if you're not going to be able to spend that money on a meaningful, *political* White resistance. Like freaking out and gunning down a few token congoids, it's a pointless exercise in self-destruction. We need a real resistance movement, not a Monty Python suicide squad wherein we all charge out and disembowel ourselves.

The simple fact of the matter is that *direct donation* is not only the most *cost-effective* way of raising funds, it is pretty much the *only* way of raising the bulk of the money a political effort needs. Anybody who tells you different either doesn't know what he's talking about or he's conning you. The NSPA had fund-raising projects, sure—we hired out our labor to local farmers not only to raise money but to make sure they didn't have to hire Mexicans; we collected tons of beer cans for aluminum recycling at twenty cents a pound; we grew our own crops of cucumbers and watermelons for sale to local shops; we held yard sales and took flea market stalls selling donated household goods; and none of this raised even a fraction of the money we needed to keep the HQ going, keep the White Power Message on the air, contest elections, and generally keep going.

Hence the constant fund appeals that arrive in your mail box with every racial nationalist publication or bulletin you receive. (By the way, I have excluded the sale of RN books and literature here because I view that as activism, not fund-raising. Even a con artist does some good by peddling high quality material.) By now most of you will recognize all the old ploys. There's the calm, well-worded, straight-shooter fund appeal ("I'd like to share a few thoughts with you...."). Some guys are especially good at this one: their fund appeal letters look you straight in the eye and shake your hand while they deftly pick your pocket with the other hand. There is the Tragic Appeal ("It is four

o'clock in the morning and I cannot sleep. I look at this mountain of bills on my desk and wonder where I can find the strength to go on"). That was Gerald L.K. Smith's forte, and he has some worthy successors. There is the Paul Revere Appeal ("They're Coming! They're coming! We must warn America before it's too late!") There's the Deeply Sincere Appeal ("We are so concerned! Send us all your money so we can tell everyone how concerned we are!"). There's the Call to Arms Appeal that Commander Rockwell used to favor ("Our gallant boys are bleeding in the streets and going to jail for you! Get behind them!"). There is the End of the Line Appeal ("We can't go on any longer and we close down as of June 1st unless we get \$10,000 to pay outstanding bills, etc.'). This one generally works a treat as long as you don't use it too often.

To wind this up, people, don't be offended when these appeals rock up in your mail box. Someone must pay and this is the only way it can be done. What you DO need to do is look very closely at the group or publication and ascertain just what kind of racial nationalist value you are getting for your money. I would dearly love to devote the next Circular Letter to the subject of con men and Movement rip-offs but I am getting a lot of stick about the "negative" tone of these communiques and I do agree to a certain point that it's time I started using the funds some of you send ME to make a more positive contribution to the Movement's stock of ideas. I view the exposure and undermining of political frauds who drain our resources and manpower as a duty and priority, but for the moment my limited readership doesn't seem to agree, so *vox populi, vox dei*.

Remember, all of us are chasing the same buck, your buck. However irritating you may find this constant pursuit of your hard-earned dollars, we've got to have it in order to make some kind of future for our people. It's up to you to donate carefully, wisely, and where it will do the most good. □

FROM THE ALIENS' PRESS, continued from page 42

contest, John McCain, is widely expected to be more friendly toward Israel than was Goldwater. Thus, there has been a net plus for Israel.

In recent years, Republican and Democratic politicians across the country have come to really respect--even fear--the fund-raising and managerial clout of the American Jewish community, which is extremely well-organized and efficient in making its views known. Fear of a potential backlash is occasionally expressed but that has not yet materialized.

Two years ago, Jewish activists worked very hard to unseat Republican Senator Charles Percy of Illinois, and they succeeded. Four years ago, they did the same to Republican Representative Paul Findley of Illinois. Both men were seen as anti-Israel--Findley much more so than Percy. The pro-Israeli community's message was loud and clear--don't tangle with the Jews.

Now more than ever, it's very popular to support Israel and very unpopular to oppose it. This has become a political fact of life in Washington. [Emphasis ours. Editor, Liberty Bell.]

None of this means that Israel will have a free ride in Washington. Prime Minister Yitzhak Shamir and his national unity government will continue to have to be very careful in avoiding statements and actions which could undermine support for Israel in the executive and the legislative branches of the U.S. government as well as among the American public. □

U.S. MUM ON REPORT HESS WILL BE FREED


USA TODAY "CAPITAL LINE"

13 April 1987

The White House isn't commenting on reports that imprisoned *Nazi war criminal* [Emphasis ours. Editor, *Liberty Bell*.] Rudolf Hess may be freed soon. Hess, Adolf Hitler's deputy, flew to Scotland in May 1941 to get England to stop fighting Germany. Six weeks later, Germany invaded the Soviet Union. Hess, serving a life sentence, is the only remain-

ing inmate in Berlin's Spandau war crimes prison. The Soviets have vetoed British, French and U.S. moves to free the sick, 92-year-old Nazi. West Germany's *Der Spiegel* magazine, quoting "diplomatic circles in Moscow," said the Soviets will drop their opposition when West German President Richard von Weizsaecker visits Moscow next month. □

DOES THE WEST HAVE THE WILL TO SURVIVE?



That is the obvious question posed by Jean Raspail's terrifying novel of the swamping of the White world by an unlimited flood of non-White "refugees." But there is also a less obvious and even more fundamental question: Must Whites find their way to a new morality and a new spirituality in order to face the moral challenges of the present and overcome them? *THE CAMP OF THE SAINTS* is the most frightening book you will ever read. It is frightening because it is utterly believable. The armada of refugee ships in Raspail's story is exactly like the one that dumped 150,000 Cubans from Fidel Castro's prisons and insane asylums on our shores in 1980 — except this time the armada is from India, with more than 70 times as large a population. And it is only the first armada of many. If any book will awaken White Americans to the danger they face from uncontrolled immigration, it is *THE CAMP OF*

THE SAINTS. Order No. 03014 — \$8.00 plus \$1.00 for postage

ORDER FROM:

Liberty Bell Publications

P.O. BOX 21 • REEDY WV 25270 • USA

PHONE: 304 - 927 - 4486

KEEP THE LIBERTY BELL RINGING!

Please remember: *Our* fight is *Your* fight! Donate whatever you can spare on a regular—monthly or quarterly—basis. Whether it is \$2., \$5., \$20., or \$100. or more, rest assured it is needed here and will be used in our common struggle. If you are a businessman, postage stamps in any denomination, are a legitimate business expense—and we need and use many of these here every month, and will be gratefully accepted as donations.

Your donations will help us spread the *Message of Liberty and White Survival* throughout the land, by making available additional copies of our printed material to fellow Whites who do not yet know what is in store for them.

Order our pamphlets, booklets, stickers, and—most importantly—our reprints which are ideally suited for mass distribution at reasonable cost. Order extra copies of *Liberty Bell* for distribution to your circle of friends, neighbors and relatives, urging them to subscribe to our unique publication. Our bulk prices are shown on the inside front cover of every issue of *Liberty Bell*.

Pass along your copy of *Liberty Bell*, and copies of reprints you obtained from us, to friends and acquaintances who may be on our 'wave length,' and urge them to contact us for more of the same.

Carry on the fight to free our White people from the shackles of alien domination, even if you can only join our ranks in spirit. You can provide for this by bequest. The following are suggested forms of bequests which you may include in your Last Will and Testament:

1. I bequeath to Mr. George P. Dietz, as Trustee for Liberty Bell Publications, P.O. Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA, the sum of \$ for general purposes.

2. I bequeath to Mr. George P. Dietz, as Trustee for Liberty Bell Publications, P.O. Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA, the following described property for general purposes.

**DO YOUR PART TODAY -- HELP FREE OUR WHITE
RACE FROM ALIEN DOMINATION!**