



**ABOUT THE AUTHOR:** Dr. Revilo Pendleton Oliver, Professor of the Classics at the University of Illinois for 32 years, is a scholar of international distinction who has written articles in four languages for the most prestigious academic publications in the United States and Europe.

During World War II, Dr. Oliver was Director of Research in a highly secret agency of the War Department, and was cited for outstanding service to his country.

One of the very few academicians who has been outspoken in his opposition to the progressive defacement of our civilization, Dr. Oliver has long insisted that the fate of his countrymen hangs on their willingness to subordinate their doctrinal differences to the tough but idealistic solidarity which is the prerequisite of a Majority resurgence.

### SOME QUOTABLE QUOTES FROM *AMERICA'S DECLINE:*

**On the 18th Amendment (Prohibition):** "Very few Americans were sufficiently sane to perceive that they had repudiated the American conception of government and had replaced it with the legal principle of the 'dictatorship of the proletariat,' which was the theoretical justification of the Jews' revolution in Russia."

**On Race:** "We must further understand that all races naturally regard themselves as superior to all others. We think Congoids unintelligent, but they feel only contempt for a race so stupid or craven that it fawns on them, gives them votes, lavishly subsidizes them with its own earnings, and even oppresses its own people to curry their favor. We are a race as are the others. If we attribute to Ourselves a superiority, intellectual, moral, or other, in terms of our own standards, we are simply indulging in a tautology. The only objective criterion of superiority, among human races as among all other species, is biological: the strong survive, the weak perish. The superior race of mankind today is the one that will emerge victorious—whether by its technology or its fecundity—from the proximate struggle for life on an over-crowded planet."

### *AMERICA'S DECLINE*

Order No. 01007 — \$12.00  
plus \$2.40 for postage & handling

376 pp., pb.  
ORDER FROM:

LIBERTY BELL PUBLICATIONS, Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA

# Liberty Bell

ISSN: 0145 - 7667

SINGLE COPY \$5.00

## Judea's Squeeze on Denmark

By

*Ejnar Vaaben*

page 1

### ALSO IN THIS ISSUE:

*Ejnar Vaaben:*

**Hate-Crime Boomerang or  
The Auschwitz-Lie**

page 1

*Guillermo Coletti:*

**Another Hebrew Talks,**  
page 36;

**News and Views  
From Here and There,**  
page 38;

**The Rise of the Aryan Mafia,**  
page 46

VOL. 26 — NO. 2

OCTOBER 1998

## Voice Of Thinking Americans

## LIBERTY BELL

The magazine for *Thinking Americans*, has been published monthly since September 1973 by Liberty Bell Publications. Editorial office: P. O. Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA. Phone: 304-927-4486.

Manuscripts conforming to our editorial policy are always welcome and may be submitted on IBM-, Apple IIe-, or Apple/Macintosh-compatible diskette, or in double-spaced, neatly typed format. Manuscripts will not be returned unless accompanied by stamped, self-addressed envelope. Manuscripts accepted for publication become the property of Liberty Bell Publications.

© Copyright 1993  
by Liberty Bell Publications.

Permission granted to quote in whole or part any article except those subject to author's copyright. Proper source, address and subscription information must be given.

### ANNUAL SUBSCRIPTION RATES effective 1 December 1993

00012 FIRST CLASS / AIRMAIL: All other countries .....	\$100.00
00020 AIR MAIL: (Printed Matter) Europe, South America .....	\$ 80.00
00021 AIR MAIL: (Printed Matter) Middle East, Far East, South America .....	\$ 85.00
00022 AIR MAIL: (Printed Matter) Sample Copy .....	\$ 5.50
00030 THIRD CLASS: (Bulk Rate) USA only .....	\$ 50.00
00031 THIRD CLASS: (Printed Matter) Abroad .....	\$ 70.00
00033 THIRD CLASS: Sample Copy .....	\$ 5.50

### BULK COPIES FOR DISTRIBUTION

10 copies .....	\$ 30.00
50 copies .....	\$ 120.00
100 copies .....	\$ 200.00
500 copies .....	\$ 800.00
1000 copies .....	\$1200.00

### FREEDOM OF SPEECH — FREEDOM OF THOUGHT FREEDOM OF EXPRESSION

The editor/publisher of *Liberty Bell* does not necessarily agree with each and every article in this magazine, nor does he subscribe to all conclusions arrived at by various writers; however, he does endeavor to permit the exposure of ideas suppressed by the controlled news media of this country.

It is, therefore, in the best tradition of America and of free men everywhere that *Liberty Bell* strives to give free reign to ideas, for ultimately it is ideas which rule the world and determine both the content and structure of our Western culture.

We believe that we can and will change our society for the better. We declare our long-held view that no institution or government created by men, for men, is inviolable, incorruptible, and not subject to evolution, change, or replacement by the will of an informed people.

To this we dedicate our lives and our work. No effort will be spared and no idea will be allowed to go unexpressed if we think it will benefit the *Thinking People*, not only of America, but the entire world.

George P. Dietz, Editor & Publisher

## JUDEA'S SQUEEZE ON DENMARK

In Memoriam  
Thies Christophersen  
27 January 1918 - 13 February 1997

&  
Ejnar Vaaben 27 April 1902 - 27 March 1997

## HATE-CRIME BOOMERANG

OR

## THE AUSCHWITZ-LIE

by  
Ejnar Vaaben

On October 11, 1994, the motley Danish newspaper *Ekstra Bladet* brought a message to its readers from the German Judenführer Ignatz Bubis: Now that the Danes in Kvaers have driven the neo-Nazis out of town it is time for them to outlaw those who publish and propagate the *Auschwitz-Lie*. It is a crime, according to Mr. Bubis, to deny that millions of Jews were gassed in the Third Reich. It would be an act of justice if all European countries punished such neo-Nazis, just as a court of law would punish a witness for giving false evidence in court. There is no point in arguing with the deniers, says the spokesman of the German Jews, they should simply be severely dealt with for daring to express their views in public.

The *Auschwitz-Lie*, in brief, should not be tolerated, but penalized in Denmark, just as it is punishable by German law, e.g. in the case of Günter Deckert.

That people who think that the Holocaust is a hoax should not be permitted to express their opinion in public is a view that Mr. Bubis shares with several prominent Danish Jews. The general opinion of the Danish press, public and politicians, however, seems to be that freedom of speech and expression is and should also be protected by law, as it has been, with some restrictions, ever since J. F. Struensee published the edict on freedom of the press in 1770. It may be silly or ridiculous to deny the Holocaust, so much is clear, but it is not a crime

for which the delinquent deserves years in jail. Just neglect these nuts, or surrender them to laughter! Some would even be fair enough to hold that Holocaust denial should be repudiated with arguments and open debate. Such an open and public debate, however, still remains to be seen. This debate will come once Revisionism has grown strong enough to carry it on. Until now the Danish mass media and historians have systematically failed to inform the public fairly about the revisionist work of scholars such as Faurisson, Butz, Stiglich, Walendy, Mattogno, etc. The tactic has, of course, been to treat these evil people with silence or slander and vilification.

After WW II thousands of Danes were punished for "treason", above all for having joined the Waffen-SS. These people claimed, correctly so, that not only had the government itself collaborated with the Germans, but it had even encouraged the Danish soldiers and volunteers to take up arms against the Communists. And in a few cases the King of Denmark had even decorated SS-officers before they left for combat. For various political reasons — also not to offend the leftist intellectuals and the Jews — this large group of early revisionists have still not received the official rehabilitation to which they are entitled. This, too, will change when the time is ripe.

These early revisionists were very well aware that the official "historians" are not telling the truth but feeding the public with myths as the politicians, to whom they are largely indebted for their degrees and positions, expect them to do. The official picture is, therefore, still ludicrously simplistic: In April 1940 the innocent Danes were quite unexpectedly occupied by the Satanic Adolf Hitler who not only wanted to conquer the entire world but also to murder all the Jews. The Danes, however, heroically saved the Jews from the Holocaust in October 1943, and the brave national resistance (actually mostly brutal Communists only craving to hand over the country to Stalin) fought the intruders until the day, in May 1945 (and especially after that date), when the country, after "five evil years", was finally liberated by the Christian soldiers of the allied forces. Roosevelt and Churchill were the good guys (Stalin, true, perhaps not all that good), whereas Hitler, no doubt about it, and all those who dared to defend him, were the incarnations of evil, deserving harsh punishment. Among the innocent victims the most innocent of them all, as always in the annals of history, were the Jews. 6,000,000 of them, imagine, had, on the order of

Satan, been gassed, above all in Auschwitz. The *Endlösung*, to be sure, had been decided upon at the infamous conference at Wannsee, January 1942.

This, briefly, is what we read in books, learn in school, see on film, and readers are reminded of almost daily in the newspapers. And, surely, it must be true, must it not, for all the foreign media tell much the same story. Those who would deny all these "obvious facts of history", therefore, must be crackpots, hardly worthy of serious attention. This is still the general drift of current opinion, but it is now being challenged and it may rapidly change.

More and more Danes are becoming aware that the mass media, inside and outside Denmark, are dominated and controlled by Jews, often with strange foreign names. If we ask who rules America, we get the same answer as when we ask who rules Denmark, who rules Sweden, who rules Germany, etc.<sup>1</sup>

Virtually all major Danish newspapers are either edited or published by Jews. The Danes are becoming aware of the possibility that there is more to it than merely evil Germans, good Americans, and innocent Jews. Why is there such an uproar every time someone attempts to speak in a matter of fact way about anything a Jew says or does? Why do the Jews not want or allow any public debate about the Holocaust in a scholarly fashion? Why is the public constantly being presented with "historical facts" that are in obvious contradiction with common sense and the laws of nature?

And this is where Thies Christophersen, of all persons, comes into the picture. He is an unassuming agrarian man with a simple story to tell. When in Auschwitz, in 1944, he heard some nasty rumors of gas chambers with his ears, but with his eyes he saw no such things, and, as the saying goes, men's ears are duller agents of belief than their eyes. Later on he came to the conclusion that the real Holocaust was Dresden — he experienced the bombing of Dresden himself — not Auschwitz. Thies, though himself somewhat of a poet, was not very much in favor of the license of Jewish fables. When he quoted Nahum Goldmann of the WJC for writing that Jewish life largely consists in protesting and in amassing money, he was charged on grounds of "anti-Semitism". In Denmark, however, he could not be convicted for repeating what prominent Jews thought of themselves.

But worst of all, Thies Christophersen was a publisher who, first

from Germany later from Kollund just north of the border, distributed a number of rare books and pamphlets quite often perceptively critical of the role that the *hostes generis humani* — the traditional enemies of mankind, to quote Tacitus — had been playing in the course also of modern history. Christophersen's name is now insolubly linked up with the title of his own brochure: *Die Auschwitz-Lüge*. What he wanted to communicate with this title was exactly what he was not supposed to say, namely that it was but a lie that there had been gas chambers in Auschwitz (Birkenau). To keep on propagating this lie, Thies thought, would be to calumniate Hitler and Germany.

This simple statement of fact infuriated Simon Wiesenthal and the internationally itinerant Jewish community. The argument, as said, was simple: If there were no homicidal gas chambers, Holocaust must be a myth, and people like Wiesenthal, consequently, liars. Panic in the Jewish camps of those who by some endless numbers of miracles had survived to bear witness to the Holocaust!

Thies held on to his simple thesis. In 1986 he moved to Denmark, because of its freedom from publishing restraints, as he explained to the court during the great Holocaust trial in Toronto 1988. As will be recalled, Ernst Zündel was charged with publishing "false news" — the revisionist booklet *Did Six Million Really Die?* Zündel, in his struggle for "freedom of speech and thought for all", was also the first to publish an English translation of Christophersen's small book on Auschwitz.

Since 1969 Christophersen had published the quarterly *Die Bauernschaft*, in the pages of which he, among other things, candidly had criticized the disruptive influence of the Jews and the obvious corruption of current German politics and justice. Before he finally had to leave Germany, his outspokenness had brought him no less than 43 charges. For Thies the most important thing, as he repeatedly explained, was to oppose the lies of the post-war period. His motto was to encounter lies with truth, hatred with love, and harassment with humor. Sooner than he expected, there would be an urgent demand for his having such virtues amply in stock.

From Kollund Thies Christophersen distributed not only *Die Bauernschaft* — the centesimal issue of which appeared by the end of 1992 — but also rare revisionist publications banned from Germany, and the valuable *Die Kritik-Folgen*, number 89 of which (a video) ap-

peared in 1995. Business would seem to be thriving and everything apparently went well for Thies. Once a week his wife Edith would come over from Mohrkirch to assist her husband run the office, take care of his laundry, and furnish him with various necessities. For 1993 Christophersen planned not only to take over the stock of the Nordland Forlag in Aalborg (revisionist books, etc. worth some 250,000 DM), but also to invite some of his old friends for "Nordische Dichtertage".

There are some, such as Simon Wiesenthal, who do not easily forget. Many details are unknown, of course, but to make a long story short, the mass media now started inciting hatred up against Thies Christophersen. Eventually, he had to cancel the invitation to the "Nordische Dichtertage". On the program for May 22, 1993, we find the names of competent revisionists such as Wilhelm Stäglich and Udo Walendy. The press denounced the private get-together as a "secret Nazi-Treffen". Huge and violent demonstrations took place on May 16 and 20, 1993 (see, for details, *Die Bauernschaft* 2/93). Interestingly, the Danish press reported that David Irving, Robert Faurisson and Ernst Zündel would be speaking at the secret meeting. This was not true, but this piece of deliberate "false-news" does indicate, surely, the ulterior motive for sending and inciting German terrorists and "antifas" to demonstrate and cause riots in Kollund.

On April 20, 1994, some unidentified "revolutionary anti-fascists" broke into the stocks belonging to Thies Christophersen in Kollund, their motive clearly being to rob or destroy by arson his dangerous, hatred-inciting books, etc.

Only a few days later, the mass media reported that the German Bundesverfassungsgericht in Karlsruhe had now decided that the *Auschwitz-Lie* is no longer protected by freedom of speech.<sup>2</sup> Anyone who in public denies the Holocaust — defined as the gassing of millions of Jews (in Auschwitz-Birkenau) — is liable to punishment — up to five years in jail for inciting hatred (Volksverhetzung). Oddly enough, this declaration of intolerance was announced also on April 20 — the birthday of a certain gentleman whose ghost apparently would never die. This decision reflects a long and arduous debate in Germany, much of it having centered around the person of Günter Deckert (see *Der Fall Günter Deckert*, edited by Gunther Anthonn & Henri Roques, DAGD/ Germania Verlag, 1995), but also having to do, as Danish media reported (on Nov. 11, 1993! — note, again, the

date) with Fred Leuchter who had been arrested and incarcerated in Germany, and David Irving who was fined and banned from Germany. Irving is said to uphold "the horrible thesis" that the Nazi mass murder of 6,000,000 Jews never took place, but is an allied fabrication (*Berlingske Tidende*, Nov. 11, 1993).

As will be recalled — and this should probably be kept in mind for a proper perspective on the events that were to follow — it created a global uproar when it became known to the public, in the beginning of August 1994, that the Germans had dealt too leniently with the Deckert case. The international magazine, *Time*, glorifying itself of "making news", was probably typical on August 22: "The court's decision (in the Deckert case) is no surprise, but the sympathetic wording provokes a national uproar...It was a slap in the face of all victims of the Holocaust", etc., etc. Again, to make a long story short, one of the judges in Mannheim, Rainer Orlet, was eventually forced into early retirement by politicians unable — under pressure from the newsmakers — to tolerate Orlet's characterization of Günter Deckert as being "a very sympathetic man of firm convictions", and the like. This was a slap in the face of the politicians themselves! By April 1995, the German courts had finally learned the lesson and sentenced Deckert to two years imprisonment for having translated, malevolently, a speech of Leuchter's, showing at the same time his lack of respect for the Jews by using the abbreviation "Holo" (for the holy, canonical expression "Holocaust"). (In June 1996 the witch Deckert received an additional 20 months for his heresy.)

But back to 1994. The month of March was, needless to say, the month of Mr. Spielberg's "Schindler's List", immediately hailed, predictably, as the film of the year (in March!), a masterpiece, etc. etc. Virtually all the newspapers in Denmark as elsewhere misused the occasion to repeat and recharge all the old myths about Holocaust, the gas chambers, the 6,000,000 innocent victims, etc. etc. Rather plain and profane shower heads, interestingly, by *Politiken* were elevated to "one of the strongest images in European history". A neat example, in retrospect, of typical jewnalistic impudence and failure to distinguish myth from fact. Bent Melchior, the chief rabbi, and brother of Arne Melchior, invited prominent Danes to the grand charity opening. But some politicians dauntlessly failed to turn up for the "List". At the last minute the rabbi even offered the expensive tickets for free, thus

depriving the Simon Wiesenthal Center of some of the expected profits. But in vain. The shoah business, in this case, was no success. There were interviews with Wiesenthal, who loved the film, and with Claude Lanzmann, who did not.

On the Ides of March the editor in chief of *Politiken*, Herbert Pundik (who in 1943 escaped from the Holocaust to Sweden, and now lives peddling to and from Israel-Denmark) reported, under the heading "Falsification of History", on an "official hearing on anti-Semitism". The hearing — silly word, a euphemism for propaganda — was inaugurated by the Minister of Justice, a "bastard", in his own semi-racist words, half Jew, half Dane, in the building of the Parliament in Copenhagen. According to the biggest bigshot among the speakers, a certain Dr. Dina Porat, a so-called historian from the University of Tel Aviv, Pundik reported, "Schindler's List is the best antidote against Holocaust denial and the Auschwitz-Lie". "When there are no more witnesses left", she said, then "we only got the films and the museums left to refute the thesis that Auschwitz was a lie".

And the ignorant "hearers" seem to have swallowed all the rubbish without protest. But revisionists are grateful to the eminent Jewish doctor for admitting that in the end "films and museums" are the best arguments against the findings of scholarship and science." Did the Christians present not recall the words of Paul, that we should not believe in the Jewish myths (Tit. 1. 14)? The film of Spielberg, as known, is based on a novel, a work of fiction.

In this mendacious and poisonous atmosphere the newsmonger uproar over Deckert being characterized as a very sympathetic man by a German judge becomes understandable.

But there was more to come. "Top-Nazi moves to Denmark", another newspaper reported on its front page, August 21, 1994. A German nationalist, a certain Meinolf Schönborn, all the papers howled, was moving to Kvaers, a small village not far from Kollund, in order to establish a press for Nazi propaganda. The univocal incitement of the media soon persuaded the simple folk of Kvaers that only the Devil would be so evil as to deny Auschwitz. For years the local school-master had been instructing his pupils in the unspeakable plight of the most innocent of all people, and now look...Politicians called for demonstrations, so-called anti-fascists emerged from their urban sewers, windows were smashed by cascading stones and bottles

while policemen, oblivious of their duty as if hypnotized, witness the riots. On September 26, *Extra Bladet* in triumph reported that Kvaers had been liberated: "Neo-Nazis were stoned out of Kvaers — Further trouble ahead in Kollund". (No evidence that the German Neo-Nazis had any plans of publishing any propaganda was, of course, produced.) Arne Melchior, MP, greeted the popular rising.

The prophecy of what was in stock for Kollund had the desired effect. Now, after the great *Kristallnacht* in Kvaers, time had finally come to get even with the old liar from Auschwitz in nearby Kollund. In the following week-end some 1500 people turned up in Kollund with arguments in the form of bottles, stones and flaming torches, as well as threats of lynching the old Nazi. Thies Christophersen was finally driven out of Kollund. "Oh, wish that Simon Wiesenthal could have seen this", a nice old lady in the crowd spontaneously exclaimed. (For more details, see *Die Bauernschaft* 4/94, the last one to be edited by Christophersen.)

A week later the crowd moved on with their stones and bottles to Greve, near Copenhagen. Once again, the vulgar crowd, again joined by local politicians, naive housewives, little school-girls as well as an occasional wandering Jew, would prove oblivious to the words of J. G. Fichte: "A stone, gentlemen, is a bad argument". Here, then, it was the "Nazi-boss", Jonni Hansen, the successor to the dethroned Riis-Knudsen, and his small entourage of herdsmen who served as the valiant target of blind hatred.

In October 1994 the press reported of demonstrations arranged by students from the universities in Aalborg and Aarhus. The new Minister of Justice, Björn Westh, declared that he did not approve of the firebombs being thrown on Hundige Strandvej 153 in Greve. Nor would he, on the other hand, being now under official German pressure, accept that "racist books" intended for foreign readership were published in Denmark. The demonstrations in Greve continued. A year later, the press, this time correctly, spoke of terrorism, when a parcel, misplaced but clearly intended for Mr. Jonni Hansen proved to contain half a kilo of explosive. This was on October 20, 1995. The police described it as a "terrible bomb". Half a year ago a similar bomb had exploded in Germany. Apparently those responsible for the *billet-doux* have still not been identified. (Any connection with the bombs intended for Mr. Zündel in Toronto?) Though constantly the

object of public harassment, Jonni Hansen nevertheless in November 1995 finally received permission to run a local radio, Radio Oasen. Mr. Hansen describes himself as a National Socialist, and the walls of his "bunker" are adorned with swastikas, and pictures of Adolf Hitler and Frits Clausen (the late Danish NS-leader, murdered in jail.)<sup>4</sup>

As for Thies Christophersen, the press in June 1995 reported that he by now "had had enough of Denmark", and had fled to Antwerp in Belgium. Let us add that a meeting with Thies Christophersen arranged by some students from the University of Aalborg had been canceled by the administration. Nor did any other university show any interest whatsoever in hearing Christophersen's version of history. Many would at least have expected the Jewish rector of the University of Copenhagen, Ove Nathan, to have taken an interest in the case, especially since he himself had often addressed the public about the value of protecting freedom of speech, and he, too, like Thies, had been a fugitive. In 1993 he had even sponsored a book on "xenophobia", as well as a conference the purpose of which was to see what we can do to avoid "history from repeating itself".<sup>5</sup> Here, obviously, was a clear case of an innocent victim of persecution. But no, not a single Jew raised his voice in protest. On the contrary, in October 1994, the chairman of the parliamentary committee on judicial affairs, Mr. Bjrn Elmquist, MP, wanted to have Thies Christophersen convicted for publishing the perceptive words of Nahum Goldmann in *Die Bauernschaft* (quoted above). In vain, as it turned out, and as the honorable MP should have known, for Thies had already been acquitted for the very same sin by a Danish court some years back.

"You cannot fight everything with tolerance", chief rabbi Bent Melchior slyly announced on TV, September 24, 1994, referring to the events applauded also by his brother Arne, MP, in Kvaers. But it would be unfair to conclude that the Jewish leaders were the only ones to rejoice over the *Kristallnacht* in Denmark. The country's former Minister of Foreign Affairs, MP, Uffe Ellemann-Jensen, also chairman of the liberal Party (and also a Jew?), repeated the words of the rabbi on Sunday, September 26, 1994. As a liberal he was not very happy about imposing restrictions on the freedom of speech, but instead encouraged the Danish citizens to "active fight" against Nazi propaganda. Thus, it should never be forgotten that there was some sort of official approval of the shameful terrorist events in Kvaers.

The mass media invariably spoke of a "victory" in Kvaers, or of the "liberation of Kvaers", as if WW II was still going on. The columnist of the tabloid *B.T.* made it all very clear when he wrote, after Kvaers, on September 26, 1994: "Thies Christoffersen (*sic!*) and his mercenaries (*sic!*) are still in Kollund. They will continue to publicize the lie of all lies: Hitler did not gas millions of Jews. Not until they all shut up their mouths will we stop showing them our contempt...". As a good and pious Christian you must confess your faith in gassings. Auschwitz is the modern Golgotha, as the Pope says.

There were many other demonstrations against "fascism and xenophobia" in October and November. On October 1, some 1500-2000 demonstrated in Kollund. There were 1000 on October 8 in Greve, and all political youth organizations were represented among the 1800 who marched in Kollund on October 9. And on the 56th anniversary of the Kristallnacht (the one in Germany, not the one in Kvaers) the torches were burning again, there were some 200 demons in Copenhagen, some 300 in Kollund, 70 in Greve, and unreported numbers in Aalborg and Odense. "On this day we should remind ourselves of the fear and cowardice that cost millions of Jews their lives", one petty politician admonished. Members of Jewish youth organizations wore the yellow star of David. In December, Thies Christophersen finally got the message, and moved out of Kollund.

On November 22, 1994, the Danish press reported that the German owner of the demolished house in Kvaers, Meinolf Schönborn, had been arrested in Detmold. He was accused of having denied the Holocaust (not that of his own home, naturally). In September 1995 the press announced that the defunct publishing house of the German visitor to Denmark had now been offered for sale.

Of course, the lurid press did not forget Thies Christophersen either. He had arrived in Switzerland in the early summer of 1995, but the public prosecutor in Bern had decided that Thies would have to leave the country by the end of the year. This led a certain Frede Farmand Rasmussen, in December 1995, to create a new rumor: Thies had now returned to Denmark! This, of course, was a hoax, but good for PR. Basing himself of some of the files, etc. that had been stolen from Thies on April 20, 1994, the said Mr. Farmand ("Daddy") also published a ridiculous book in November 1995: *The Liar from Auschwitz* ("Lögneren fra Auschwitz"), meant, of course, to refute Christo-

phersen's *Die Auschwitz-Lüge* from 1973. A couple of jewsman hailed it as a great scholarly achievement.<sup>6</sup> But actually it is so silly that presumably not even Deborah Lipstadt (not to speak of Dr. Porat!) would be able to take it seriously. Mr. Farmand's only "scholarly" source was the Norwegian translation of the poor Jean-Claude Pressac's inept 1993 book *Les Crématoires d'Auschwitz*. The book had been hailed in *Politiken*, July 20 (!), 1994, as the final refutation of evil revisionists like Robert Faurisson, etc.<sup>7</sup> According to Mr. Farmand, chemistry is not really an exact science, and the morgue of a crematory is invariably the same as a gas chamber. Oddly, Mr. Farmand fails to draw the conclusion that his own logic bids him to do: In that case we would have homicidal gas chambers for the extinction of Jews in all larger cities all over the world.

January 1995 was, needless to repeat, in Denmark as elsewhere, "the month of memory and mourning" — once again; it was the 50th anniversary of the liberation of the Auschwitz "death camp". The mass media were, as one can imagine, replete with stories about gas chambers, smoking chimneys, etc. In the following months the horrors, predictably and right on schedule, of Buchenwald, Dachau, Majdanek, were also resuscitated. Surprisingly, though, there were not, at least in Denmark, so many new witnesses who had survived thanks to the usual miracles. (Somehow, by miracle, there are still about 1000 "survivors", not just Jews, in Denmark who receive *Wiedergutmachung* from Germany; probably a rare potential here if you consider doing an original Ph.D. on legalized fraud!). One or two slimy journalists, to be sure, were aware that Pressac had reduced the 4,000,000 figure of Auschwitz — several times, in fact, so make your free choice — but they nevertheless hung on unto the magic number of 6,000,000. (This really goes to show how reliable jewnalists can be: 6 minus 3 = 6!)

Hardly surprising, the chief rabbi, Bent Melchior, on January 12, 1995, was decorated by the German Ambassador to Denmark with the *Grosse Verdienstkreuz* for his unique contributions to "reconciliation", and in his capacity as chairman of the European branch of the Jewish organization B'nai B'rith. What that shady organization is all about, the Danish public was, naturally, never told. Only that it was some sort of philanthropic league, offering, *inter alia*, gracious advice on how to exterminate racism, anti-Semitism, etc. Had the reader

known the true nature of the B'nai B'rith he would undoubtedly have been disgusted at seeing a German traitor awarding a rabbi for assisting in bringing about the Endlösung of the German people.

It must be kept in mind that Bent Melchior, like his brother, the MP, Arne Melchior, and just like Judenführer Bubis and the German politicians often express their personal concern about freedom of speech and human rights. Even though the Danish Jewish leaders seldom in plain language insist the *Auschwitz-Lie* should be criminalized — the Danes would fail to understand why and perhaps become suspicious — there can hardly be any doubt about their innermost desires. Thus, for instance, MP Arne Melchior, on November 25, 1994 suggested during a debate in Parliament that “Nazi and racist propaganda” should be punished by up to more than two years in jail. Was he suggesting that books and booklets be thrown into jail? That was hardly the intention of his pilpul.<sup>8</sup>

In retrospect, then, the purpose of all the confusing demonstrations, riots, etc. was simply to quench the propagators of the *Auschwitz-Lie*, i.e., the truth about Auschwitz. The mass media etc. presented the covert fight against revisionism as an overt fight against racism, fascism, etc. Some readers would now recognize that Jewish tolerance simply means tolerance of Jews and intolerance of others. The Danish public, simply lacking the background knowledge required for making the necessary distinction between scholarly revisionism and vulgar “racism”, was deliberately deceived by the you-know-who. The Danish Jews never dared to insist in plain words that the *Auschwitz-Lie* and historical source criticism should be criminalized. The Danish public had been taught for decades that Holocaust deniers were crackpots, ridiculous outsiders. And if such crackpots were to be punished — what then about all the other crackpots in the Danish society? So, in the end, the Parliament, listening to some of the advice of their legal advisors (who, with a shake of the head, spoke of “lousy justice” etc.), passed a bill to the effect that no further limitations on the existing law concerning freedom of expression were imposed. Nazi propaganda for export would not be tolerated, however, but how this concept was to be understood was never defined, being left to the judiciary (or: jewdiciary?) of the courts. To be sure, it was admittedly under pressure from Germany that the paragraph on export was added. It did not make much sense now that the two publishers in

question, Nordwind in Kollund, and Nordland in Aalborg were virtually defunct. On the other hand, it is now quite clear that anyone is free to think and say that he happens not to believe in the Holocaust, in the gossip about the Auschwitz gas chambers, the 6,000,000, and any other Jewish myth.

Since the Endlösung of Thies Christophersen and his *Die Auschwitz-Lüge* was actually, i.e. covertly, what all the demonstrations and riots had been all about, the end result must have come somewhat as a disappointment to the Jewish leaders in Denmark.

The Jews, however, did not give up. Characteristically, Charlotte Thalmay, a young energetic leader of the Jewish Youth-League said, referring to the current debate on “racism, neo-nazism and freedom of expression”, that she was in favor of imposing limitations upon freedom of speech, when this freedom is used “so as to make others feel hurt”. “You cannot run away from being a Jew”, Charlotte says (*Søndagsavisen*, July 16, 1995), “for even if Bob Dylan says he is a Buddhist and Frank Zappa converts, they are still Jews.” Jews should encounter “xenophobia” even by putting on stage “small happenings and role-plays”, Charlotte interestingly concludes.

Whether Charlotte, never the one to hurt the feelings of others, also included those of Jonni Hansen and Thies Christophersen, she did not say. Nor was she asked.

Charlotte's deep concern, though — at least I presume so, not being able to prove what others feel, and why — did perhaps not include the feelings of the American citizen Mr. Gary Lauck, who, as a result of a small “happening” in which German as well as Danish police played a sinister role, had, while Charlotte was delivering her all too humane message, been incarcerated since March 20, 1995, I presume, again, with somewhat mixed feelings.

On May 24 (several days after the event, take note) *Politiken* headlined the news that a “Top-Nazi had been captured in Denmark”, and “that the Germans were interested in the US-Nazi”. A few days after the arrest of Mr. Lauck in the “Nazi-bunker” in Greve, hundreds of German policemen conducted a “grand razzia” of some eighty homes in Germany suspected of having received Mr. Lauck's Nazi propaganda from the NSDAP/AO headquarters in Lincoln, Nebraska. On March 16, the DA in Hamburg had asked the Danes (who now seem to be falling back into the war-time role of collaborating



with the Germans) to arrest Mr. Lauck while on a private visit in Greve. On several previous occasions, the German authorities had asked the Danes to extradite Mr. Christophersen. In vain, though, for Thies had never violated Danish law. A year later, to be sure, the leader of the German *Verfassungsschutz*, Hans-Jörg Geiger, said to *Jyllands-Posten*, on February 5, 1996: "We are all very pleased that Denmark would no longer have the Nazi Thies Christophersen living among them. And that Denmark extradited the American Nazi Gary Lauck for prosecution in Germany".

Had this happened during the war, the Danes responsible for the illegal extradition would have been charged, on the basis of retroactive laws passed after the end of the war, of having violated the constitution in having collaborated with a foreign power! Even the Supreme Court proved itself to serve as a handmaiden of political correctness. This, though, was hardly something new.

Thies, as said before, had never violated Danish law — even though Danish politicians, unaware of their own legislation, imagined that he had. Nor had Mr. Lauck ever violated any Danish law. This was quite clear. Nevertheless, the Minister of Justice, in May, decided to have Mr. Lauck extradited to Germany, "the Jewish republic", as the unfortunate, handcuffed American being thrown into a police vehicle, gallantly described his future hosts (for the four coming years, at least) to the puzzled Danish public on TV. There was no uproar in the United States, there was no formal (or informal) protest from the U.S. ambassador to Denmark, H. E. Edward Elson, a Clintonite Jew, of course, whose "grandfather was a Russian Jew", and who, moreover, loved to introduce himself to the Danish public as an idealistic representative of freedom of speech, a man who had come over here from "America, the dear land of freedom" (sic!). Indeed, on November 11 (!), 1993, *Berlingske Tidende* reported how Edward had told the U.S. Senate about his forefathers having come to the U.S. as fugitives. For that very reason, he argued, he intended to employ his post in Copenhagen as a wonderful opportunity to repay his family's debt to the past. These deceptive words of pilpul and chutzpah could only be understood — if understandable at all — to the effect that there was nothing that H. E. wanted more than to be able to offer his help to people in need. Now that there finally was an American there who certainly needed his help, Mr. Elson failed to grasp the opportunity.

Some observers would perhaps have thought that had Mr. Clinton been a man of honor, he would immediately have sent his deceitful ambassador right back to the shtetl or from wherever in Russia his forefathers may have come. Or, as a more humane measure, he could have let Mr. Elson go back to selling the glossy magazines that had, to begin with, made him so wealthy that he eventually had been able, by way of modern simony, to secure himself the post of Mr. Clinton's ambassador.

Furthermore, the displaced liberty-loving person, would launch himself as an ambassador who "wanted to open doors" — but, he forgot to tell, apparently not for the likes of Mr. Lauck in his Danish cell. Insisting that extradition to a third country would be against American as well as Danish law — and correctly so — Mr. Lauck appealed, and in fact had his case tried at all possible levels. It was all but a sham and mockery. Also, his appeal for "political asylum" was immediately turned down as "clearly baseless", though factually fully justified. On September 6, 1995, he was handed over to the German police in Hamburg in a late evening *Nacht und Nebel-Aktion*, as it were. Surely, Mr. Lauck was not exactly a philosemite, and surely Danish law does not allow a person to be extradited merely on account of his personal views. The Danish legal system, then, was corrupted by succumbing to "German" pressure and by the American failure to raise a voice of protest in protection over the rights of one of its citizens. Mr. Lauck, now incarcerated in Hamburg, can expect a heavy sentence for having spread his belief in the genius of Adolf Hitler and his disbelief in the Holocaust from America to Germany.<sup>9</sup>

As the irony of history would have it, the Danes, succumbing willingly in 1995 to German pressure, were just all too busy celebrating the 50th anniversary of their liberation from German pressure and occupation, May, 1945. Bondage was mistaken for liberty, and liberty for bondage. True, things did tend, in the mythical perspective of 1995, to become rather complicated. What WW II had actually been all about was not so clear anymore. The old story ran that it was a just war the allies had declared in order to let "Germany perish". Ever since the end of the war there had been a flood of allied propaganda over Denmark to support the perverse thesis that all Germans were mere monsters in human disguise. This included sordid Jewish publications such as Louis Nizer's *What to do with Germany*, and, from the

other side, Ilya Ehrenburg's *For Man's Sake*. The Jewish professor of penal law, Stephan Hurwitz, in numerous publications, had, with his characteristic duplicity, presented the terror tribunal in Nuremberg as a court of justice and fairness.

But now the new story is that the Germans were rather innocent victims only happy to have finally been liberated from the evil genius of Hitler. Others would insist that the "meaning" of WW II had been to stop the Holocaust. But why would one want to liberate the innocent Germans if most of them were "willing executioners", and thus at least in part responsible for the Holocaust? To liberate Germans from themselves? If, on the other hand, the Holocaust is but a Jewish hoax, why are the German politicians today so eager in maintaining that it's a hoax that the Holocaust was a hoax? Would they not want to exculpate themselves if they could? And again, if the Danes actually supported Hitler's crusade against Communism — and the majority of those capable of forming an independent judgment about current affairs certainly did — why is it that Hitler and the Germans are considered so evil? And why punish the brave Danish volunteers for fighting against Communism? Why not rather decorate them for their courage and good intentions, as the old King had done? (After the war, naturally, the old King "did not remember" — even though we were all told "never to forget"!) And, once again, why claim that the Danes rescued the Jews in October 1943, when, in fact, as we all know, they were free to leave by the normal ferry to Sweden, thanks to an SS-officer, Dr. Werner Best, who allowed them to leave the country in peace? Those Jews who wished to do so could, to be sure, certainly catch the ferry from Copenhagen to Malmø. German soldiers would even help them if they were late. The regular fare was not even a dollar. Why claim that Denmark had been brutally occupied by the Germans in April, 1940, when, in fact, the occupation took place peacefully according to an agreement the Government had made with the Reichsführer-SS, Heinrich Himmler? Everything was ready and set for the Germans when they arrived in the early morning, April 9. And why distort history by describing Communist partisans as patriotic Danish resistance fighters? And so on and so forth: Half a century after WW II the Danes are totally confused as to what actually happened, and why, during those five "evil years", which were, considering the circumstances, actually quite good for Denmark.

No help in solving these paradoxes was to be had from the official historians. These miserable intellectuals are, as a rule, simply too cowardly and dishonest to dare to appear politically incorrect.

The clue to an understanding of what actually happened is, of course, *The Hoax of the Twentieth Century*, as Dr. Butz aptly described the Holocaust myth. And the fact that Judea already in 1933 — if not on earlier occasions — declared war on Germany. Once this is recognized, all the deliberate distortions can be seen in their proper perspective, and the overall picture soon becomes convincingly simple. The Judea that declared war on Germany so long ago must today do all it can to protect the greatest lie of them all from being disclosed. The disclosure of the greatest lie will have a devastating effect on the endless number of minor lies. It will ruin their kingdom of deceit.

In Denmark today, then, it is entirely legal to think and say that one does not believe in the myth of the 6,000,000 gassed Jews. (Another matter is that it is virtually impossible to have one's views publicized. Not only newspapers and journals, but also publishers and other channels of information are under almost total Jewish control, directly or indirectly.)

It remains to be seen how the law will work in practice. There may be some unpleasant "happenings" ahead of us, depending, perhaps, on the judges, and their, if one dares to say so, racial or "religious" background. It goes without saying that Danish jurisdiction is also largely, though still not entirely, under foreign control. X

The net result ensuing from all the demonstrations and riots etc., as said before, in Denmark must have been greatly disappointing to vindicators of free speech such as Wiesenthal, Bubis, the Melchior brothers, and others.

As the reader will recall, in the beginning of 1995 the president of the World Jewish Congress (WJC), Mr. Edgar Bronfman, let out a massive appeal to the effect that historical revisionism must be stopped: "The growing numbers of revisionist supporters cannot be ignored. We must use every resource to stop revisionism, now, before it's too late." (Source: IHR Newsletter, February, 1995).

This is a simple Judean declaration of war against traditional European scholarship and the fundamental principle of historical source criticism. It is a new version of the old conflict between faith and reason, between science and religion.

A similar concern is expressed in the annual world reports on “anti-Semitism”, published by the Institute of Jewish Affairs in London, with the WJC. One of the Danish contributors is, in fact, Bent Melchior (listed in the report for 1992, p. vi): “...there have (in Denmark) been attempts to form a neo-Nazi group with a publishing office reprinting old anti-Semitic literature. There have also been more anonymous articles attempting to disseminate Holocaust “revisionist” ideas, but without any effect on the public debate”, it reported in 1992. Already then, in 1992, the holy and highly decorated rabbi was in no doubt as to what was at stake for the myths of his tribes on a more secular level of affairs. Espionage, it would appear, is a natural part of the traditional moral code of rabbinism.

But let us leave Bent aside for a moment to see what his brother Arne was up to — forgetting here all the misdemeanors where he, with impunity, was exposed for having used public funds for strictly private purposes, etc. etc., all the usual irregularities that *goyim* simply fail to “understand”.

“The children of the Holocaust crave for a European Union”.

This was the sweeping headline of a report by Arne Melchior, MP and, at that time, Minister of Communication and Tourism, April 29, 1993. The MP had been present at the inauguration of the US Holocaust Memorial Museum on April 22 as the self-appointed official representative of the Danish Government. Or at least the Danes had paid his travel expenses to Washington, D.C. — even to some private business in Portland, Oregon. Bringing up the old shibboleth of “the extinction of six million Jews during WW II”, and reminding his readers of the “mad Adolf Hitler and his gang”, he emphasizes that there are also good Germans, men like Helmut Kohl, for instance, who desire a European Germany, as opposed to the German Europe that Hitler & Co. wanted. Such Germans are all right (— at least as long as they continue to pay reparations, incarcerate German patriots, suppress freedom of speech, etc., we may add). It was for this sort of “reconciliation” that Bent Melchior was to be highly awarded by Germany, in January 1995, as we saw. Incidentally, it is worthy of notice that the 6 million shibboleth — a cheap trick intended to captivate our compassionate benevolence — appears with some interesting variants in the Melchior family. Thus the late father of Arne and Bent, the grand rabbi Marcus Melchior, in 1953, recalled the tragic fate of his

“six million brothers and sisters”, who had already ten years ago, i.e. in October 1943, “been dragged to camps and tortured to death”. This shameless lie he threw right into the face of the King and the Queen of Denmark attending the memorial sermon held in the Copenhagen synagogue, on October 8, 1953. Does this imply that first 6 million Jews were tortured in the camps, and then, later on, 6 million Jews were gassed? Since Bent Melchior occasionally speaks of twelve million victims, such an implication of his chutzpah and pilpul cannot be excluded. On the other hand, it must not be forgotten that Marcus Melchior also explicitly classified the entire event as “incomprehensible”. (It may well be, one day in the future, under new auspices, that the Jews will say that they have always insisted that the Holocaust was “incomprehensible”, in other words, that they never believed in the 6,000,000 Holocaust, it being but an evil myth imposed upon them by the *goyim*, a myth they, as a suppressed minority did not, naturally, dare to oppose for fear of retribution. In brief, the Jews were the real revisionists, all along. Thus, the argument will run, the Jews have suffered not once, but twice. Therefore, once again, they will be entitled to *Wiedergutmachung*.)

Interestingly, Bent Melchior often insists that Judaism is not in conflict with science, but rather its consummation. This means, for him, that it is to the rabbi we have to turn for a valid distinction between truth and falsehood, between good and evil.

So much the more good reason for historians to undertake free and critical research in the field of Holocaust studies!

This again makes it very clear indeed that German politicians and Jewish leaders share a common interest in upholding the hoax of the Holocaust. Readers of German newspapers need not be reminded that the current Jewish and German leaders are almost competing in upholding the myth. Or rather, the Jews can safely leave the squalid task to subservient Germans. Not a single Holocaust memorial without politicians punctiliously attending in order to confess to the public the sins of their fathers and sons, no matter where or when in Germany. This, again, implies that the struggle for a European Germany and the struggle against Holocaust Revisionism are intimately related. And this, again, means that we should expect European politicians — not merely the German ones — to give their support to the Holocaust myth. Exactly as the American presidents do, and exactly as the

American politicians do. What could, for instance, be more symptomatic than the 6,000,000 resolution passed by the House on April 16, 1996 — to the eternal disgrace of the United States! The facts unfortunately prove that European politicians — without necessarily believing in the absurd myth, that is not at all the point — now also consider it opportune to support the hoax of the Holocaust in public. This is quite logical once the reader reminds himself of the words of the Israeli columnist, Ari Shavit, on Jews killing Arabs: “We killed them out of a certain naive hubris. Believing with absolute certitude that now, with the White House, the Senate and much of the American media in our hands, the lives of others do not count so much as our own.” (*New York Times*, May 27, 1996) It takes more than an average democratic politician to risk confrontation with the ZOG under these dire circumstances.

Just like the holy gang of French bishops that recently condemned the Abbe Pierre, they all sanctimoniously confess the novel creed that gas chambers did indeed exist. They consider any scientific discussion about the physical reality of the alleged gas chambers as blasphemy, and they are prepared to condemn anyone who raises doubts about their actual existence.

It was Nazism, it was fascism, it was nationalism that lead to the Holocaust, so the argument goes, and all the Germans who accept the monstrous quibble without posing reasonable questions, are OK — but also obliged by their signature to show that they are prepared to crush simple peasants like Christophersen — not to speak of “spiritual arsonists” like Günter Deckert and many other German scholars and patriots. So if the German leaders wish to be considered decent and civilized people in the eyes of the world (whatever that “world” may be), they had better throw truly decent people like Mr. Deckert behind bars. And, as we observe, they do, many of them undoubtedly seeing themselves as good Christians willing to bring a sacrifice and to acknowledge their sins, or more precisely: the sins of their dead fathers and their no less innocent unborn sons.

It, therefore, does not come as a surprise to us to read in *Berlingske Tidende*, November 5, 1994, that chief rabbi Bent Melchior, president of the European branch of B’nei Brith (sic!), an international, philanthropic (sic!) organization, has held a meeting with Jacques Santer, the future chairman of the EU-Commission. The two distin-

guished gentlemen, we are told, discussed human rights in Europe. B’nei Brith (sic!) has the experience of a century in fighting racism and xenophobia, and now offers to share its “know-how” with the European Commission. — The average Danish reader would have no clue as to what was going on behind the curtain. The ignorant readership never heard of B’nai B’rith, and the jewnalist even got the spelling consistently wrong. Of course, the standard dictionaries and handbooks in Danish simply do not register this shady “philanthropic organisation”, — perhaps the Jewish editorial boards have had a good reason for the flagrant omission. The brief notice in *Berlingske Tidende* seems as harmless as can be, but it was not, as we shall see.

Here, however, it will be useful to take notice of two incidents occurring in the Danish press and causing considerable commotion. To the superficial observer they have no bearing upon the issues reported above, but actually they do.

The first incident occurred when a former MP, Mr. Ole Maisted, in *Politiken*, June 24, 1994, published a brief letter to the effect that Jews, thanks to their “positive nepotism”, were very powerful and occupied key positions in Danish society. This was, has for long been, and still is true to fact. Usually newspapers do not publish such letters. It was, though, hardly a slip. Immediately the Jewish editor of *Politiken*, Herbert Pundik — also an ardent propagator of the 6,000,000 myth, in “a huge gas oven”<sup>10</sup> — responded by crying out about anti-Semitism and called upon the support of all decent Danes. As if Mr. Maisted was about to gas Mr. Pundik! Leading politicians and historians at once responded to the excruciating cry condemning the views of Maisted, who shortly afterwards was excluded as a candidate for Parliament for the liberal party of Uffe Ellemann-Jensen (see above). On the other hand it was clear that he could not be punished by the law for having written what he wrote, though there were those who did their best to have him convicted. Maisted was condemned by all and socially ostracized. A few words of courage and truth thus put an end to his long political career.

A year later, in *Berlingske Tidende*, May 2, 1995, Marianne Herlufsdatter had the audacity of publishing a letter: “Jewish Politics according to the Books of Moses”. She argued, correctly, that when Jews spoke of one’s having to love one’s neighbor, this rule was merely confined to other Jews, not to Christians, and other strangers,

and, moreover, that the laws of Moses were actually nothing but a recipe for terrorism. What had prompted her to write her letter in the first place was the fact that Herbert Pundik in a brochure had accused the Danes of being Nazis and racists if they would not open up their borders for all sorts of foreigners, including Palestinians expelled from Israel (by the sorts of Mr. Pundik himself, once an Israeli soldier, he forgot to add).

An entire page of furious invectives was given to Bent Melchior and other Jews to spit into Marianne Herlufsdatter's "Ugly face of anti-Semitism". Letters in support of her views were "lost" somewhere in the office of *Berlingske Tidende*. (Are they now in the archives of Bent Melchior's "philanthropic organization"?)

As for Marianne Herlufsdatter and her husband, Lars Thirslund, who are gifted artists, elderly people without influence or political connections, this reaction came as a shock. They started reading rare books on history, quickly grasped to their great astonishment how they had been deceived for decades by the public lies of the Jews, and on November 19, 1995, they published their first revisionist pamphlet, *Vestlig samisdag*, discussing, among other things, Théodore N. Kaufman's *Germany Must Perish*, not a single copy of which, it turns out, is available in any public library in Scandinavia.<sup>11</sup>

These two incidents show that any kind of objective discussion of the Jewish problem — a problem not even supposed to exist — immediately will be met with blind and furious accusations of anti-Semitism. What happened to the two Danes was analogous to what happened to Marlon Brando recently — only the Danes did not have to kiss a kike in public. (It would not have been impossible though, for of course Danish TV is also largely run by Jews, on or behind the screen.) One is simply forbidden to speak the truth about the Jews. No one will dare (or be permitted) to defend the witches. Politicians, historians, journalists, and Christian readers will immediately join the Jews in their unconditional condemnation and castigation of the culprits.

The charge, or allegation, of anti-Semitism can be difficult to handle, partly because it has the nature of a primitive curse, or sheer denunciation, partly because it can be so vague and unspecified, or contradictory. And there may be other reasons also. According to several observers, baseness, vulgarity and meanness are frequently per-

ceived in many Jews, and, of course, in many other fallible mortals as well. Anti-Semitism, if it means rejection of meanness, mendacity and vulgarity must, surely, thereby be considered a virtue. Only pilpul or chutzpah, or sheer failure to distinguish good from bad, would consider virtue to be a vice.

Already in September 1994 the newspapers (in connection with the *Kristallnacht* in Kvaers) reported that the Commissioner for human rights in the Baltic region, MP, Ole Espersen, a socialist and former Minister of Justice, in May had made contact with the ten countries in the region to see how they could change their laws so as to establish a mutual collaboration to "combat Nazism". The problem, again, was created by those who said they did not find reason to believe in the historicity of the Holocaust. No reasonable human being can, in fact.

The crusade against historical revisionism had by now become a matter of deep and vital concern for the EU. Increasingly, it is becoming a union founded on lies and hypocrisy. Does it, as such, compare favourably with the Neuropa envisaged by the evil genius of Hitler, old and new (if such exist) Nazis might ask? The idea of a European union is, in itself, surely not a bad one. The bad thing is that dangerous parasites have taken abode in the European body.

At the end of 1994, Taslima Nasrin was awarded the Sakharov prize because, as Klaus Hänsch, the German chairman of the European Parliament expressed it, "she had become a symbol of freedom of expression, and she is tireless in her struggle for the right to free expression." Taslima Nasrin, it was reported (*Nyt fra Europa*, 12 December 1994) risked two years in jail for having called for a revision of the Koran. (Of course, Taslima, who can hardly make herself intelligible in English, is, quite apart from her silly call for a revision of certain passages in an ancient book of barbarism, as we now know, but another stooge for the pretensions of Jewish interests. A glance at the faces and the names of the crowd surrounding her wherever she goes is more than enough to prove the point, that is, needless to add, in fact valid for virtually all writers, intellectuals, artists, etc. promoted by the international press.)

Shortly afterwards, the said Klaus Hänsch sponsored a contest arranged by the Simon Wiesenthal Center. European students between the age of 15 and 18 were invited to write about anti-Semitism, the

theme being: "50 years after the Holocaust: What has Europe learned?" The winner would be honored with a free trip to Israel, etc. The Europeans themselves would, naturally, have to pay not only the travel expenses but also for the other costs of shameless indoctrination of the youngsters.

And the EU did not fail to appoint an advisory commission on how to fight racism and xenophobia. The final report, addressed to the European Council, appeared in April/May 1995.

The Report, under the chairmanship of a certain French Jew by the name of Jean Kahn, is simply a disgrace. It is an incredible document of hatred and intolerance. No definitions of the key concepts, racism, xenophobia, nazism, etc. are offered. This means that when time is ripe, certain people, you guess who, will be ready to make the final definitions and decisions for themselves. There will be new Nuremberg terror tribunals. Campaigns on a grand scale, special advisers, secret police, local monitors and similar reminiscences of Stalinism should be set in against anti-Semitism. Specifically, the Report recommends "special laws against the denial of the existence of concentration camps etc. ("Holocaust") and the minimizing (sic!) of other crimes against mankind". (p. 49 of the Danish translation, May 23, ref. 6000. I, 5-0.)<sup>12</sup> One asks oneself what the unassuming little "etc." is supposed to mean. The members of the advisory committee failed to notice the trick. Also, Mr. Kahn was there to see the fatal "etc." through, probably prepared to reject any objections as tedious hair-splitting. Presumably, the good Christians had not read Ostrovsky's *By Way of Deception*.<sup>13</sup>

After the appearance of this appalling Report there could be no doubt that EU is being misused "to stop revisionism, now, before it's too late." — This was in 1995.

"Denmark isolated in action against racism", the papers announced in March 1996. A certain Jew by the name of Serge Cwajgenbaum, General secretary of a so-called Center for Research and Action against Racism and anti-Semitism in Paris, criticized the Danes for not having passed a law against spreading racist propaganda. Gary Lauck was accused by Mr. Cwajgenbaum of distributing Nazi propaganda from Denmark (but this was not true, Mr. Lauck was locked up safely in jail in Hamburg). Jonni Hansen likewise (but Jonni, who hardly even speaks a foreign language, merely plays mu-

sic, and reads chapters from the Danish translation of *Mein Kampf*, available from any public library, and, moreover, only a few local people can pick up the waves from his "bunker".) So Mr. Cwajgenbaum has obviously not done the necessary research before going into action. Or perhaps he has. In any case, he should have been dismissed for his devious manners on the spot, had he not been a privileged Jew.

In other words, with the support of the EU, Mr. Cwajgenbaum and other subversive individuals and organisations are, once again, putting the squeeze on the Danes. I need not repeat what the actual motive behind all this is. Mr. Bronfman already said it.

Auschwitz is what it is all about. The unassuming "etc." above.

Thies, in 1944, had heard some nasty rumors, jumped onto his bike, set out to check the source of the rumors. Didn't see any gas chambers. And, in 1973, he had the courage to say that he saw nothing. Seeing nothing, then, is the problem. Numerous revisionists asked themselves why Thies did not see any gas chambers, looked closer into the matter, and finally came to the firm conclusion: Because there were no gas chambers. Without gas chambers no Holocaust, without Holocaust no Israel, and so: with Thies no One World under Jewish rule.

So the Jews, out of an understandable desire to survive as individuals, as a group and as a nation, simply have to say that Thies is a damned liar. They know perfectly well that he is not a liar, but by admitting so they automatically would admit that they themselves are the true liars. They are now getting caught up in an evil circle of lies. So from a Jewish point of view, Thies has also become the scapegoat of their own lies. By extinguishing Thies, by driving him away, as if driving a scapegoat out into the desert, they hope to get absolution from their sins. Judaism is, in more than one respect, a primitive religion, indeed.

I hope, on a purely empirical basis, by reporting the events in their natural and temporal sequence, to have shown, using Denmark as an example, that certain representatives of international Jewry have used all their resources "to stop revisionism, now, before it's too late."

Reviewing the evidence it is not unfair to conclude that they have done so largely by way of deception — they use the motto of the Mossad itself — which was also that of Moses, and of Jahveh, both of whom are also merely Hebrew fabrications.

Through a deliberate and systematic exploitation of the mass media they have persuaded the public into believing that vulgar, violent racism (a very rare thing) is the same as scholarly historical revisionism. The big lie is that it is not! To engage in careful research and conclude that there is no scientific evidence for upholding the historical existence of the Holocaust is a legitimate, scholarly enterprise, like any other, it is not an "incitement to hatred". The masses are largely ignorant, have always been; they do not read scholarly books or journals. They are satisfied by the poison on the nearby newsstand. The books, papers, magazines etc. here offered for sale, are largely controlled by Jewish interests. One only needs to check the names of the publishers, editors, journalists etc. to ascertain this simple fact. In every international airport the same picture emerges. By terror and by propaganda, and by inciting the masses, the likes of Mr. Bronfman et al. have put an enormous pressure on European politicians who again are putting a pressure on the courts.

In March 1996, Denmark, as said before, was isolated. As an Iraq en miniature. All the other Ministers of Justice in the EU signed a document to the effect that Holocaust deniers should be punished. Denmark was apparently the only European country not to sign the act. (Only quite recently it was reported that England seems to share our views. It remains to be seen which steps, if any, the new labour government will take.)

With an all too characteristic display of traditional pilpul and chutzpah, the Jews changed the original meaning of the phrase Die Auschwitz-Lüge. For Thies it meant that it was wrong to say that there were gas chambers in Auschwitz-Birkenau, for the Jews it now means that it was wrong to say that there were no gas chambers in Auschwitz-Birkenau. No matter how serious business all this is there is also an element of Jewish humor not to be overlooked.

But shoah-business remains bloody serious business. It's now horribly clear that at least some of the Jewish leaders are prepared to use "every resource to stop revisionism, now, before it's too late". They obviously do not abhor from exterminating freedom of speech and research in Europe. Of course, they prefer to let the Europeans do the dirty job on their behalf, letting the lousy goyim hang themselves by their own ropes. And to this day they have been very successful indeed.

During WW II it was Germany that had to perish, now it is Europe that has to perish — for a Europe without freedom of speech and research is no longer a European Europe. It will eventually degrade into a union under an alien One World dictatorship. Human decency will be defined in terms of U.S. dollars.

What Europe now needs urgently more than anything else, are men of courage and wisdom — an aristocracy of virtue and talent, as Thomas Jefferson phrased it — men prepared to let the Jews know that the world is fed up with their endless array of lies and deceit. The task of revisionist scholars is to provide such political leaders with the most efficient ammunition of all: historical truth.

At a recent meeting in one of Copenhagen's suburbs, in April 1996, a close and trustworthy friend of mine reports, Bent Melchior made a couple of memorable remarks. Asked what he would respond if scholars were justified in claiming that the story about Moses might after all be but a myth, he replied that he could not care less. What mattered for him as a Jew and as a rabbi (a common way of Jewish expression) was not "academic truth" but "freedom". For him truth and freedom were entirely different matters. Truth, in itself, is not worthy of respect. Asked, then, by a naive Christian contemplating conversion to Judaism, what he, as a Jew, thought of Christianity, Melchior replied that he agreed entirely with his late father, the former chief rabbi, that Jews should be pleased with the Christians, "for Christianity is a mission for Judaism", he laughed. And the ignorant Christians present clapped their hands wildly in joyful approval not realizing the deeper implications of this piece of chutzpah. (Had they, one may assume, read and understood the late Revilo P. Oliver's brilliant essay "Jews love Christianity", the sloppy rabbi would, one fears, have had to run for his life.)

But there is Jewish wisdom in those words — freedom as opposed to academic truth. From the freedom from academic truth to useful and beneficial lies there are not many steps. And the Jewish servants have not always taken those steps with the care and circumspection they frankly ought to have done.

The eminent intellectual historian, Dr. Alexander Jacob, from the University of Toronto, if I remember correctly, once in passing remarked on the criminal nature of the Jews. To the extent that Jewish leaders are prepared to let Germany and Europe perish so as to sup-

press freedom of speech and research in order for themselves to uphold the lucrative tyranny of their own myths, they are, according to the laws of any land, indeed guilty of a severe crime.

I do not think that we have to apologize for still retaining some old-fashioned European respect for facts. The value of freedom of speech and research depends on the respect for facts. The struggle for freedom is much the same as the struggle for facts and for truth. Freedom without truth is, for us, an absurdity.

Under enormous Jewish pressure countries such as Canada, Germany, France, etc. have now turned it into a "hate crime" to search for and speak out what historical revisionism has found to be the historical truth.<sup>14</sup>

The Jews and their lackeys among the intellectuals — Aryan traitors, you might say — politicians, etc. have turned it into a crime to lie about what they consider, or currently pretend to consider historical truth. So the Jews, at least for the time being, insist that those who deny (certain) historical facts should be punished.

But truth, in the end, will prevail, as has always been the case. This is our faith, this is our trust, this is our hope. And our love, too.

And once truth is out — perhaps by means of the Internet that not even Jewish machinations seem to be able to control — it will be clear that the real liars — not just about the historical truth but also about those who, like Thies, struggled on its behalf — were the Jews.

Should they, then, be punished for falsification of history, and for incitement of hatred, as they themselves now would have it? Aristocrats of virtue and talent, it goes without saying, do not even then entertain any base Jewish desires for revenge.

There will be universal demands from those politicians, etc. who now see — and finally dare to say — that they were deceived by the Jewish lies. Jews — also humble, innocent Jews — will invariably be held responsible. Justice will hold them to account for an endless list of violence, libel, slander, corruption, censorship, arson, murder, etc. etc. — in short for "hate crimes"! Suddenly, the obscure and odd phrase will become more meaningful than ever before.

Will Saul become Paul, will the Jews convert and implore for Christian virtues such as forgiveness? Can they expect their victims to show them the mercy that they themselves were seldom, if ever, prepared to show? Will they, calling upon Thies — as Pressac in the end

called upon Leuchter — implore their victims to counter hatred with love? Will they claim that they too, like Thies, fought an honest fight against the *Auschwitz-Lüge*? That they, too, are its innocent victims?

Like a boomerang the *Auschwitz-Lüge*, not made in, but made for Germany, and for Europe, yes, even for America, yea, made for the entire mankind by its traditional enemies, will revert upon Mr. Bubis and other suppressors of the freedom and the rights of man.

What Europeans, in the end, are not supposed to use their right to freedom of speech to say is this: Next only to Judeo-Christianity, Holocaust-Judaism has been employing the Great Myth — first the myth of one Jew holocausted "for our sins", then the holocaust of no less than six million Jews — as an instrument of the greatest and most base case of blackmail in recorded history. First the cross, then the gas chamber! To this day the new religion has sucked an estimated 125 billion dollars out of the German sinners and about four times as much out of the American boobs. Currently, the big squeeze is on the Swiss and their bankers. The Norwegians, according to Edgar Bronfman Sr. (*Time*, November 4, 1996, p. 24) have confessed their sins and promised to pay some 80 million dollars to compensate for Jewish victims.<sup>15</sup> (Norway, of course, never deliberately caused the death of a single Jew.) The president of the World Jewish Congress, according to whom, "This is not about money. This is about justice", (— in the Jewish language justice is often but a euphemism for bucks —) has announced that the WJC will investigate the fate of Jewish property in France, Belgium, Holland and Sweden (Sweden was, of course, never even under German occupation — but, naturally, the average American would hardly even know.)

Predictably, the big squeeze will soon be on France. In November 1996, the "distinguished" pseudo-philosopher and son of an Algerian rabbi, Jacques Derrida, addressed an audience at Oxford University about the "lie of the state, and the state of the lie". The state was France that had let IT — the Nazi Holocaust — happen. And the lie was, according to that most dishonest sophist, that France claimed not to have known.

Here again we face a typical Jewish lie-lie.<sup>16</sup> The real lie is that it is a lie that France has lied about its ignorance about the "Nazi Holocaust". How in the world could "France" before 1945 possibly know that the silly myth would be apotheosized as true history after 1945?



If, in this way, it is possible to blackmail innocent "sinners" for having murdered unknown victims all to the benefit of the WJC which has itself suffered no harm at all (but only caused it) then there is, in principle, nothing to prevent WJC (in competition with numerous other Jewish organisations, making it a bit more difficult for the predators) from putting the next squeeze on the Aborigines of Australia, or on the !Kung San of the Kalahari. These savages, too, "should have known"! Sure, since the rest of the world has already confessed its sins of omission.

But perhaps the Aborigines and the !Kung San are simply too destitute of means to make extortion and blackmail worth the while? And perhaps they never even heard of the true prophecy of the holocaust of 6,000,000 Jews in Europe, although it had been launched as far back as October 1919, in the *American Hebrew*. And perhaps they don't even subscribe to that journal, the damned !Kung San in the Kalahari?

Surely, if historical revisionism is not holocausted, now, the Jews face very serious problems indeed. Mr. Bronfman is right, the Jews must do all they can to stop revisionism, now.

Or will they, experts in apocalyptic bombing, let Israel send a shower of bombs from the sky so as to condemn the world to a final solution — the one, the only, the true and also the final Holocaust?

Lies create chaos, and the enemies of civilization thrive on it. Their most powerful weapon against truth and science is hatred, against justice it is revenge. For us as for them it is a simple question of survival. Their lies must be opposed. What but the upheld shield of veracity can boomerang the arrow of Hebrew hatred back to its sordid source of discharge?

#### Footnotes

1. The Israeli columnist Ari Shavit of the Hebrew-language newspaper *Haaretz* wrote in an article appearing in the May 27, 1996 *New York Times*, on Jews killing Arabs: "We killed them out of a certain naive hubris. Believing with absolute certitude that now, with the White House, the Senate and much of the American media in our hands, the lives of the others do not count as much as our own." — Source: *The Christian News*, July 15, 1996, p. 3.

2. Actually, Germany had an "Auschwitzlüge-Gesetz" from 1985. It was now, under the pressure from the Jews, of course, aggravated and in effect from December

1, 1994.

3. In other words, "reality" is created, or made up, by "films and museums", and, of course, by the "memories" of the "survivors" — not to forget the increasing number of reincarnated Jews who claim to recollect "shower heads", etc. Jewish scholarship, then, is religious or mythical, in the sense that it accepts *creatio ex nihilo* even in history and in science! likewise, when e.g. *Time* proudly claims that it makes the news. It never just reports them. It is not always fair to say that the Jews are notorious liars, but they invariably "make things up". Even their own fictitious god, called Jahveh, is a celebrated case in point. Like so many other primitive tribes the Jews have simply not yet taken the step from myth to reason. Science they only know second-hand. But unlike other barbarians they insist on imposing their myths upon the rest of mankind. This, essentially, constitutes the Jewish problem, and also accounts for the fact that they have to exterminate "the best among the goyim", not wishing their schemes to be revealed. Moreover, in whatever a Jew does, an element of material profit is present. The Jew is always social and commercial in his doings, and still basically selfish, in his mentality. — For an illuminating recent discussion of the old Jewish problem, see Dr. Alexander Jacob's book *Eugen Dühring on the Jews*, Brighton, England 1997, which, incidentally, was seized by the Canadian authorities and banned as pornographic! The Reviewing Officer — a Mr. Roskaft.

The standard argument that "we only have the witnesses" (and, based on their testimony, subsequently the museums and the films, etc.) is almost too silly for words or for rational rejection. The statement of a witness in itself proves or refutes absolutely nothing. If it is against reason or experience witness testimony must be rejected. A "witness" may have many reasons for not relating, or being able to relate the truth, even in an imperfect manner. Furthermore, there is a problem when one witness contradicts himself (his earlier statements), or contradicts those of other "witnesses". What, then, are we to believe? Certainly not something contradicted by experience, common sense, or the words of the "witness(es)" themselves. In brief, the testimony of a witness, in itself, proves nothing — not even what the witness really has in mind. Surely, some witnesses are more trustworthy than others, but again, the decision about whom to trust must be based on previous experience, and on reason. (Recently, I remember seeing some "distinguished Jewish scholar" insisting that the testimony of two witnesses — when it comes to the "gas chambers" — would be sufficient. — Only he forgot to explain what happens when these two witnesses disagree, either with other sets of witnesses, or even with one another.)

4. From his radio station Mr. Hansen (who does not have any higher education) has also offered the Royal Danish family, having recently taken to Mongolising, some elementary pieces of advice on racial hygiene. Mr. Hansen is thus, if I am not mistaken, the only Dane to offer the public free and highly unauthorized courses on the genocidal effects of race mixing. As the traditional enemies of mankind are also doing their best to throw the ignorant Danes into the great melting pot of the One

World, Mr. Hansen's well-meaning efforts can hardly count on overwhelming success. (The press condemns him as a "failure".) The Danish princess from Hong Kong seems in effect, at present, to be the most popular person in the kingdom.

5. In October 1993 the Danes had, upon orders, naturally celebrated the 50th anniversary of the "rescue of the Jews". So again the press was replete with myths and lies about the innocent Jews who were miraculously rescued from the Holocaust by the righteous Danes. (This is how the holy myth runs which is also part of the reason why the Jewish leaders in Denmark are in a dilemma when they have to tell the "righteous Danes" that it is not right of them not to punish the "Auschwitz-Lie".) The lesson we should learn from history, the Jews, is that any kind of "hatred of strangers" eventually leads to genocide and Holocaust (— problem again: in 1943 it did not lead to any Holocaust of a single Danish Jew). A nasty trick of theirs is to render "hatred of strangers" by the term xenophobia, from the Greek, meaning fear, not hatred, of strangers. But xenophobia in its original sense is a natural virtue found in all healthy species and essential for their survival. Do caring mothers not tell their children to beware of strangers? So, with their usual duplicity, by representing xenophobia as a vice, the Jews criminalize a virtue necessary for one's survival and well-being. For the benefit of who? Themselves, of course. The truth of the matter is that while we may fear the Jews and their lies, they certainly seem to hate us. There is nothing wrong in fearing hatred and lies. It would be madness not to.

6. One of those to hail Mr. Farmand's nonsense as "scholarly", was a certain Jewish "scholar" and journalist, Bent (Benjamin) Blüdnikow. Among many other activities he has contributed to the major recent work of lies, entitled *The Führer Has Ordered!* The very title of the book nicely tells how the myth-mongers work. One only needs a bit of discrimination (no wonder the Jews are against discrimination!) to ask: What, exactly, has the Führer ordered, to whom, where, when, in what form, and how do you know it, from which documents, sources, etc.? None of these questions is raised or answered in the book. The Holocaust "ordered by the Führer" is, in another sense than the Jews want it to be, unspeakable. It is mere words.

7. As will be recalled, Jean-Claude Pressac, since 1982, has been supported and promoted by the Jews to refute Faurisson, and other serious scholars. But recently poor Pressac, after his show-down in Paris in 1995, was entirely abandoned by his former "friends". See "The Journal of Historical Review, Vol. 16, No. 1, 1996, p. 41, for details and further references to this interesting intermezzo.

8. Again, note how Jewish myths are created by violating the demands of logic and syntactical rules of the Indo-European languages. Some years before that, Arne Melchior, defaming Mr. Riis-Knudsen (with impunity) in public calling him "murderer and terrorist", said he wanted Mr. Riis-Knudsen, as a person, "prohibited". — What does it mean, in the language of the Jews, to "prohibit" another person? To annihilate him? To kill him? To throw him into jail? We are not told. Why not?

9. On August 22, 1996, all the media reported, Mr. Gary Lauck, in Hamburg, was sentenced to four years in jail for his "horrible thesis" (standard phrase by now) that 6,000,000 had not been gassed by Hitler, and for having propagated his opinion for the last twenty years. The barbaric absurdity of German law (130) here becomes manifest. On the one hand there is nothing wrong when the media report that Mr. Lauck does not believe in the magical number 6,000,000 — and so the public knows what Mr. Lauck thinks — but on the other hand it is certainly wrong to freedom of speech but rather freedom of opinion that is at stake. The rights of Mr. Lauck have clearly been violated on all counts. Who dares to say that we are not all entitled to the right of holding any opinion we wish? — The *Malleus Maleficarum*, it will be recalled, defined heresy as an *error mentis*, a mental error, or sin. In Germany it is now one's mentality that is punishable, it is not what one says but the mental state that one is assumed to be in when saying or when not saying so. Similar inquisitorial laws have recently been passed even in Belgium and Switzerland. This means that Europe is now on its way back to a period very similar to the one so well described e.g. by Henry Charles Lea in his monumental *A History of the Inquisition in the Middle Ages*, or more recently, by Edward Peters, *Inquisition*, New York 1988: The Free Press. It all began, as is known, to preserve orthodox religious beliefs from the attacks of heretics. Furthermore, this means that scholars in the future again may fear the persecution of the Church which, as is known, is largely prepared to endorse the myth of the Holocaust (and certainly not so in good faith). It will also be ready to punish the heretics severely and in the best of faith. Recently, in April 1996, for instance, the French bishops unanimously condemned the Abbe Pierre for his revisionist leanings, and signed a declaration to the effect that gas chambers had indeed existed. Once this has become a universal dogma of faith, protected by law, Hollywood (the kingdom, or one of the worldly kingdoms of the Jews) will be able to show public executions of scholarly witches, whose only crime is that they still are in possession of reason and intelligence, learning and courage.

10. To be quite precise, the novel form of the myth about the 6,000,000 having been "conflagrated in an oven", was launched by a Jewish potter by the name of Peter Brandes, as a guest of Mr. Pundik, on Danish TV, February 26, 1996. — Mr. Pundik himself did not object to the fanciful procedure now suggested, though Mr. Pundik in a book on Israel, from 1971, had written: "Six million (Jews) had been shot in the neck by the Nazis, suffocated by gas in the German camps of extinction, starved and slapped to death" ... and, "Europe (under Hitler) meant death and devastation, it was one huge graveyard where Jews had buried (sic!) six million dead." Again (cf. note 3 above) the authorities cannot agree about what actually happened, where, when and how. And what the proofs actually are. One author, Kristian Hvidt, claims that, "Hundreds of gas chambers were surrounded by bones from human beings. They provided the proof for a systematic killing of 6,000,000 destitute human beings." One wonders who ever saw these mountains, and who counted the

bones? Another author, Stefan T. Norwid, was of the opinion "that no Jews were sent to Oswiecim". (*Landet uden Quisling*, Copenhagen 1945, p. 86.) How, then, could they have been "gassed" in Auschwitz? Another "survivor" was a certain Helene Weiser. She recalls how she, in Auschwitz, "had to carry a huge stone several kilometers up on a mountain". Since there are no mountains in the area today, one wonders who removed the mountain of her Sisyphus labors? Faith, perhaps? Is this what the distinguished professor, Dr. Porat of Tel Aviv, would have us believe?

11. Mr. Thirslund is a man without fear. As reported in the third issue of his *Vestlig samisdad*, he has written a letter to the new chief rabbi, Bent Lexner, asking him to reply to some of the paradoxes associated with the Holocaust myth. First Mr. Thirslund's letter "was lost", but in August 1996 the rabbi finally replied that there could be no discussion with anyone who was so anti-Semitic (i.e. truth-seeking, as we would say) as not to accept the 6,000,000 figure, proved by all the museums, etc. So the new rabbi in Denmark shares the view of the great liar, Mr. Wiesel, et al., that there can be no dialogue with revisionists. Reason, common sense and debate are obviously not among the resources recommended by Mr. Bronfman. — What do these people really mean when the speak in favour of "democracy"? — As for Bent Melchior, the "retired rabbi", he now expects to find more time for his struggle for human rights and for "creating opinion". Thus, in Europe, published by the European Commission, August 1996, the president of the Bna Mrith (sic!) sees it as the task of Europe to suppress racism, punish war crimes, prevent individuals such as "this Hansen from Greve" from spewing poison all over Europe (in Danish!). Also, Europe should open its borders to all minorities — and punish all who do not agree with these views. He calumniates the memory of all Europeans who 60 years ago "would not let the Jews leave Germany". This was because they failed to "coordinate their policies", he mendaciously explains. Such, roughly, is his perverted rabbinical vision of Europe.

12. Duplicity again: No revisionist denies the existence of concentration camps (they would be but pitiable fools if they did), and when it comes to "minimizing crimes", this is a derogatory circumlocution for "critical discussion". One recalls the filthy Theodor Adorno (famous for the dictum, "No poetry after Auschwitz"), and his call for a crusade of reason against reason. According to Adorno, it was "the authentic work of art to defend truth". What he really had in mind, one can see in the works of art in Holocaust museums, for instance.

13. Interestingly, Mr. Kahn was more outspoken in the obscure pamphlet, *Samspil*, No. 7, November 1994: "In Denmark it is legal to deny the persecution of the Jews and the existence of the gas chambers during WW II. This is absolutely unacceptable to us Jews. Here also a harmonizing has to take place." — Jean Kahn, to be sure, is cited as being the chairman of the European Jewish Congress!

14. See, for Canada, Barbara Kulaszka, *The Hate Crimes Law in Canada 1970-*

1994. *Effects and Operation*. For France there is Eric Delcroix, *La Police de la Pensé contre le Révisionnisme* (1994: R.H.R.). The picture, *mutatis mutandis*, is exactly the same: It is a crime against humanity (i.e. the Jews) even to think that there were no homicidal gas chambers during WW II. This, naturally, is a terrible thing. Laws can be effective in preventing you from saying or writing what you think, but they cannot prevent you from making your own thoughts. Intimidation and terror can, to a large degree, do so, however. Therefore, the Jews have to take recourse to these barbarian means, as they have always done.

15. Note also the pilpul and the chutzpah on the cover of *Time*, November 4, 1996, "The Goods of Evil". The "goods" are not really the "gold rings taken from prisoners at Buchenwald" (— are they really even gold rings? —) on the "Photo digitally altered (sic!) by John Blackford", but the goods (goodies) that can be had by telling evil lies about the *goyim* (for whom *Time* "makes the news".) A wonderful and fascinating sense of humor that probably escapes the average reader of a jews-magazine that, as was said, "makes the news". Again pilpul and chutzpah — deliberate deception!

16. In a sense, we cannot blame Derrida. He himself describes his pseudo-philosophy as one of "deconstruction". The fault is on our side when we fail to recognize that this is merely pilpul language for destruction. After all, the Algerian Jew is thus quite honest about his intention that he wants to destroy the *goyim*. In 1993 Cambridge University awarded him an honorary doctorate for his mischief. Without our own stupidity there would be no Jewish problem.

THE BOOK THAT MADE THE JEWS  
SO MAD THEY HAD TO INVENT  
THE MOVIE HOLOCAUST!

**AUSCHWITZ:**

An Eye-Witness Report  
by Thies Christophersen  
Foreword by Manfred Roeder

Order No: 01017—single copy \$3.50 + \$2.00 for postage,  
5 copies \$15.00 + \$3.00 for postage.

LIBERTY BELL PUBLICATIONS  
P.O. Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA

---

## Another Hebrew Talks

by  
Guillermo Coletti

It has never been the case, until recent times, that members of the tribe spoke publicly and frankly about their wrongdoings. They are not a people renowned by their acts of conscience. They are, however, a remarkably pragmatic people. We can imagine that if their motto had been "death before dishonor" none of them would have made it beyond the days of Ancient Egypt. Pragmatic they are and examples abound, after all, what else but pragmatism would have made the chief Rabbi in Berlin congratulate Hitler on January 30, 1933. They wanted to get on Hitler's good side hoping some day they could make a "deal" with him. Let's give credit where credit is due: they are good at proposing deals and make people believe their deals are worthy.

Today many Chosenites are beginning to speak against the tribe, many times at the cost of putting their own lives in danger. Victor Ostrovsky is one of those men, he wrote *By Way of Deception* (and a sequel), a book exposing some of the worst aspects of the Mossad. This trend may signify that some Hebrews are already trying to come to our side with flags waving, perhaps in anticipation of a coming reversal in World Politics.

Ze'ev Chafets has joined the club of disenchanting Chosen Ones, judging by his piece "Real Torah Judaism", published in the January/February 1998 issue of *Washington Report on Middle East Affairs*. By doing so, Chafets provides us with an unique insider's look at what the Chosen are truly taught by their rabbinical caste. Of course, many of us are sufficiently informed as to find no surprise in any of these topics, but they provide us a virtual confession.

Chafets is an American who moved to Jerusalem in 1967 and while trying to learn Judaism, "studied Talmudic Law at the Hebrew University." There he first learned of Rabbi Meir Kahane's

remarks (Kahane was a Jew from New York, founder of the Jewish Defense League). Chafets makes reference to Kahane's preaching that the "penalty for a Muslim man marrying a Jewish woman should be death."

Chafets adds that "then along came Rabbi Yitzhak Peretz, Shas cabinet minister. He said a school bus full of kids was hit by a train because God was angry that the theater in town was open on Friday nights." Despite their effort to appear as modern as Western Europeans, Jews still remain very superstitious.

The article continues: "after that came Rabbi Yitzhak Kadouri, the world's greatest kabbalist. He put a hex on a Jerusalem office because it blocked his view." Superstition again. "Next, former chief rabbi Shlomo Goren put out a death warrant on Yasser Arafat."

"Rabbi Dow Liot, the head of a Kiryat Arba yeshivah, declared it kosher to kill Gentile women and children in wartime."

"Then 20,000 yeshivah boys gathered to stone and threatened Israeli archaeologists. One of their leaders, Rabbi David Batzri, proclaimed that God had sent an earthquake to punish the scientists."

"Rabbi Ovadiah Yosef, universally considered one of the great Torah scholars of the age, was quoted as ruling that the faithful should refuse transfusions from Gentiles and nonobservant Jews because they (we) have dangerously 'treif' blood which might cause all manner of unJewish behavior."

"His colleague, Rabbi Mordechai Eliyahu, took issue with this learned opinion. According to him, Jewish blood is inherently pure and therefore incapable of defiling Jewish recipients."

This information is further proof of the incompatibility between our Western lifestyle and the Jewish outlook on things. The future of this stormy relationship may present Caucasian man with a dilemma: either we will be treated by Jews like Palestinians or, we will treat them as Palestinians. The decision must have consideration for the Aryans yet to be born.

## News and Views From Here And There

by  
*Guillermo Coletti*

The following is a compilation of news that received almost no attention, as well as a few brief comments. I believe all of them to be significant and at least worthy of knowledge. They have not been given any particular order that may reflect degree of importance.

### Germanophobia 1

The exuberant Whiteness emanating from Irish dancing is already triggering paranoia in the minds of chronic Germanophobes. While reviewing Hollywood's Oscars night (1997), David Aaronovitch, entertainment critic of England's *Independent on Sunday*, somehow managed to insert the following comment: "...action from the Riverdancers, who—in their black uniforms and black boots, kicking highsteps in drilllike precision—looked like the *corps de ballet* of the SS ...". Bravo, Riverdancers!

### Germanophobia 2

*USA Today* dedicated extensive coverage to remembering the Holocaust. Holocaust Remembrance is a sort of Germanophobiafest for selfhating Teutons and Semites who engage in extremes in a communion of bigotry and intolerance. *USA Today*, however, among countless diatribes, published a most interesting statement: "1944, September: The Red Cross visits Auschwitz but says it's unable to prove Nazis are gassing Jews." (Information from *USA Today*, May, 2/97)

### Germanophobia 3

Did you know that in 1932, a year before Hitler's coming to power, the President of the World Jewish League, Bernard Le-

cahe, stated that "Germany is our state enemy number one"?

### Judeophilia 1

A Jewish Saint for the neoCatholics! Edith Stein, a Jewess born in Germany who converted to Catholicism and eventually became a nun, is on her way to Sanctification. She was so special! She wasn't only a tribeswoman (of the Chosen kind I mean), but she was also allegedly gassed at Auschwitz in 1942, only one week after arrival. Edith Stein replied, when asked about the reason for her conversion to Catholicism, "this is my secret". The neoCatholics will have now, not only Jews for Cardinals, but also Jewish saints. Is this trend leading to a Jewish Pope? It would certainly make for a grand finale for the end of the Jewish Century. (information from *People Magazine*, May, 19, 1997 and *Los Angeles Times*, May, 23, 1997)

### Judeophilia 2

In 1996 the state of Israel received 6.3 billion American Dollars. This money was given to Israel as a gift, for Israel to keep and under no obligation to ever repay to the American taxpayer. Have you paid your fair share yet? (information from *Washington Report on Middle East Affairs*, April/May 1997)

### Terrorist Jew

Harry Shapiro, a kosher butcher and an Orthodox Jew, pleaded guilty to federal charges that he planted a bomb at a synagogue in Jacksonville, Florida. The bomb had been placed before a scheduled appearance by former Israeli Prime Minister Shimon Peres. The plea means that the kosher butcher will face a tenyear prison term instead of thirty plus years he could have otherwise received. The authorities will not charge Shapiro for using an explosive during a crime. Food for thought for skeptics of the Judicial system. (*The Atlanta Journal*, March 20, 1997, supplied by the kind folks of Midwest Information News Service; P.O. Box 431; Novi, MI 48376)

## Computerized Bigotry

Interplay Productions, a company based in Irvine, CA, made available to the general public a computer game named "Redneck Rampage". The packaging displays an unflattering drawing of two unclean, toothless White young men and the catchy words: "All the Killin', Twice the Humor, Half the Intelligence". I wouldn't be surprised if the bulk of the consumers of "Redneck Rampage" were themselves White, in further manifestation of the urgent need for a cultural change. This product is distributed by Egghead Software and other computer retailers.

## I Love L.A. 1

The population of Los Angeles County is of (approximately) 10,000,000 people. The ethnic breakdown is (also in approximate figures):

50% Hispanic

10% Black (there are also some Blacks in the Hispanic category)

10% Asian (this means people of yellow complexion)

30% White (this category also includes Jews, Armenians, Arabs, Pakistanis, Hindus, etc.)

Quite likely the real White population of Los Angeles is below 15%.

## I Love L.A. 2

During 1994 600,000 violent crimes were reported in the county. It is estimated that at least an equal number of crimes went unreported. An average of two violent crimes per minute. A success story for multiculturalism?

## I Love L.A. 3

Every hour that banks are open to the public in Los Angeles, one is robbed. Everyday, all the time. World capital of bank robberies.

## I Love L.A. 4

During the months of Autumn/Winter 1997/1998, 500 people died in Los Angeles (and neighboring counties) afflicted by a type of influenza believed to be of Asiatic origin.

More than 20% of the adult population of Los Angeles have been diagnosed with genital herpes.

Diseases believed long gone, such as Tuberculosis and Rabies, have made a strong comeback in Los Angeles. The re-appearance of Rabies has been attributed to the contraband of pets from Mexico.

## Hollywood 1

The SciFi movie "Starship Troopers" received criticism from the traditional left as well as the John Birch Society (as published in the December 1997 issue of *The New American*) for its alleged promotion of Fascism. In a futuristic world, it is cadets from the Buenos Aires Academy of Space who save planet Earth from alien invaders. These Argentines sport uniforms tailored after the Leibstandarte SS and the Afrika Korps. No kidding. A very juicy dialog in the movie goes like this:

Professor: "This year we explore the failure of Democracy, how the social scientists brought our world to the brink of chaos. We'll talk about the Veterans, how they took control and imposed stability that lasted for generations since. Why only citizens are allowed to vote?"

Student: "It's a reward, it's what the state gives you for doing federal services."

Professor: "No, no! Something given has no value. When you're voting you're exercising political authority, you're using force, and force, my friends, is violence, the supreme authority from which all other authorities derive."

Pretty Aryan brunette student: "My mother says that violence never resolves anything."

Professor: "Really? I wonder if the victims of Hiroshima think that. Naked force has resolved more issues throughout history than any other factor ... What's the moral difference, if any, between a civilian and a citizen?"

Student: "A citizen accepts personal responsibility for the safety of the body of politics, defending it with his life. The civilians aren't."

"Starship Troopers", a film directed by Paul Verhoeven, is based on the novel of the same title written by Science Fiction meister, Robert Heinlein. Although Heinlein's name may not mean much for the avid reader of racial and political matters, Heinlein is one of the top men in the field of that genre. Heinlein made a reputation for himself as an anti Communist, proWesterner writer who came close to openly manifest racial and anti-Zionist views. The first edition of "Starship ..." depicts a future world in which the democracies had collapsed under the advance of white masculinity embodied in the form of military discipline. There is a strong suggestion that the only survivors of the world struggle are Caucasians, nevertheless, no reason is given for the disappearance of lower forms of humanity. The Hollywood rendition of this novel is PCapproved, meaning there have been a few compromises.

"Starship ..." has made no friends on the left, and that's understandable. The brief initial dialog gives, in a nutshell, a non-subjective articulation of some of the principles that many of us share in the movement. Jewish movie critics have not made us wait for their diatribes. Some of their fears are understandable. The film does make Aryan discipline look appealing and logical and the heroes do wear military uniforms clearly inspired by SS outfits. I must say that much of this was to my personal enjoyment and that of a friend who came to the theater with me.

## Hollywood 2

The movie *Dark City* came and went without great notoriety. I went to see it twice. It is a remarkable film. It tells the story of a race of anthropomorphic parasitic aliens who travel the universe, from planet to planet, usurping what other civilizations have built. They are on planet Earth, and so parasitic are these aliens that all that's left of our beloved planet is New York City, floating by itself in space. The rest has been consumed, there is no longer a globe. These aliens, who have large hooked noses, defeat

other civilizations by depriving them of their memories. Thus, peoples have remained without history and consequently, without sense of purpose in life. To do this, the aliens recruit a few human experts in behavior modification, such as the cowardly and selfish doctor interpreted by Kiefer Sutherland. Nevertheless, a few humans do not fall asleep and wonder the streets of NYC in search of their peers. They are aware of the conspiracy perpetrated upon them by these memoryerasing aliens and want to destroy them. *Dark City* is now available on video. Am I the only one who sees a deeper message in this movie? I mean, the aliens are really hideous looking, perhaps as hideous as the real rats among us.

## Argentina 1

In a move that defies all constitutionality, the city of Buenos Aires adopted a Constitution of its own. One of the few peculiarities of this radical document is the tolerance of transvestism and the legalization of all forms of prostitution, unchecked and free of medical supervision. Within two weeks of the passing of this mockery of legality, one thousand prostitutes from Santo Domingo arrived in Buenos Aires, according to a high ranking officer of the Federal Police. Also, an army of homosexuals from neighboring countries is moving in at a steady pace. Who knows, one day Buenos Aires may look just like Vancouver or Los Angeles.

## Argentina 2

During the last days of July 1998 Nelson Mandela visited Argentina. *Daily Clarín*, the largest circulation Spanishlanguage newspaper in the world, ran a headline that read "A Myth Arrives in Buenos Aires". Mandela is not a myth but a living creature. Mandela also is dispossessed of any quality that could parallel him to a myth. Furthermore, Mandela is a brutal terrorist who should one day see himself tried for crimes against humanity. But, for the Propaganda machine of the New World Order he will remain a hero.

### Argentina 3

There are 400 citizens of Argentina currently listed in an Interpol record of International Warrants for their arrests. These 400 Argentines are wanted in Germany, France, Spain, Italy and Sweden for their alleged participation in the killings of citizens from those countries in the 1970's in Argentina while fighting Communist terrorism. In those days there was a large presence of foreigners trying to subvert Argentina into a Cubanstyle government. The left was defeated and there were casualties on both sides. Those European countries, instead of apologizing to Argentina for the export of their criminal element, now pretend to exercise overjurisdictional force. The government of Argentina refuses to extradite these men, all of them former members of the Armed Forces. Nevertheless, the pending International Warrants prevent them from leaving the country without getting arrested overseas. With the exception of Uruguay and Chile, all other regional countries have expressed their intentions to enforce those "global" warrants.

### Argentina 4

Professor Marcos Ghio is to Argentina what Professor Reviso Oliver was to America, at least in my very own personal opinion. He is one of the finest men to be found in academia. He is responsible for introducing the works of Julius Evola (Fascism's foremost philosopher) to the Southern country.

While in class, Prof. Ghio mentioned that during the 1970's war against leftwing terrorism, the leftists had suffered some 10,000 casualties. The left refers to these casualties as "disappeared" people. A Maoist student disputed that figure, putting it at a high of 30,000 slain. In brief: Prof. Ghio had his day in court and a Judge decided that his statement was correct but that the Maoist student had acted in good faith and that therefore he (the judge) did not want to hurt this Maoist student's feelings any further. What was the decision? Well, Prof. Ghio is in the clear except that he was ordered to pay all legal feeds, some 60,000 US Dollars. For the judge, the feelings of the Maoist are more important than those things even he accepts as true. It's a dangerous

trend. Prof. Marcos can be reached by mail at: C.C. 92, Sucursal 25 (1425), Buenos Aires, Argentina, or by email at: grisacco@pinos.com

### Argentina 5

Spanishlanguage television news reported that military forces from Argentina arrived in Puerto Rico during the first week of August 1998. The official excuse (everything has an official excuse) was that Argentina was there to perform maneuvers related to UN peace keeping efforts. Forces from Central American and Caribbean countries sent personnel to be placed under the command of Argentina. Puerto Rican independentistas, nevertheless, saw a different purpose behind this alien military presence on their island. They suggested that the forces were there to perform the dirty job for the U.S. in case the islanders staged a revolt for their emancipation. They also added that these maneuvers, at this present moment, signified nothing less than a provocation. Is the idea of a free and independent Puerto Rico such a bad idea? Should we reject it?

### European Tourists

A family of French tourists approached me on the streets of Hollywood for assistance on directions to some of the sites most visited by outoftowners. After I had helped with what they needed, I asked the man his opinion on Chirac, France's neoConservative ruler. He replied that they didn't like him because they were Liberals. I made a sarcastic remark, implying that then they must be happy about the proliferation of mosques in Paris and the constant Third World immigration to all of France. The French tourist and his wife seemed offended, as they answered "we are Liberals but above all we love our nation, of course we are not happy with those people." I was happily surprised and a bit embarrassed at my display of political prejudice. Perhaps this explains why some Communist workers in France now vote for La Pen. They are putting nation above politics, a healthy sign of the times.



## European Tourists 2

A family of Germans touring California asked me for directions on how to get to Universal City. I politely helped and then I asked what was the situation with free speech in Germany today. They told me it was terrible, that practically nothing could be said that in any way was even slightly not pro Jewish. I told them about Revisionist sites on the Internet and where to consult my book list. Two months later I received a friendly letter from the same people and payment for a copy of *Mein Kampf* in German. And that was nice.



## The Rise of the Aryan Mafia: a SocioRacial Forecast

### Part One

by

*Guillermo Coletti*

## INTRODUCTION

I started writing this piece with the idea of explaining a phenomenon that I think is going to be an important part of the future of America. I believe that a new institution will rise, at a time not too distant from now. That institution will be violent, racist, and for profit. In order to better depict its nature, I have called it the Aryan Mafia. I believe the Aryan Mafia will take form, as much as I believe that Mexicans will keep coming to the United States; people on welfare will continue having illegitimate babies; Jews will make new movies; and California will have more earthquakes, aftershocks and floodings. I know that many traditional thinking, conservative types and orthodox rightwingers may find this idea abhorrent, and I can not argue with that. This concept of the Aryan Mafia may indeed be abhorrent because it will present us with attitudes and patterns of conduct that we have been pro-

gramed to reject. Nevertheless, the status quo may be just as abhorrent. Additionally, I believe that the Aryan Mafia will get started by a natural process of its own. I think that nothing can be done to precipitate its formation, as I believe that nothing can be done to prevent it from happening. The Aryan Mafia is, in my observation, a certain thing just as ethnic strife in the Balkans was a certain thing for syndicated columnist Pat Buchanan in the early 1990's when he wrote that the now exYugoslavia was heading toward ethnocivil war. In the old days there were men who forecasted the growth of the Sicilian Mafia in America and its impact upon an already established way of life. The Aryan Mafia will be the natural reaction of a dying racial body as it starts showing strong vital signs. It will be rough and it will be criminal. There will be winners and losers as well as innocent victims. Above all, the Aryan Maffia will be a sign of its times. Like an irregular army, it will have warlords and soldiers, performing tasks in a time of chaos and disregard for authority or any form of government representation. Its members will favor ridiculously overpriced H&Ks, will drive expensive American cars (Vipers, Corvettes, topoftheline Jeeps, etc.) and will embrace White Power Rock'n Roll with as much enthusiasm as some minorities have already embraced Gangsta Rappers. In other words, they will spend a great deal of their resources on selfindulgence like the Ancient Aryan warriors once did, and their female companions will most probably be the most gorgeous women our race has to offer. They will be young, strong, arrogant and they will have an unstoppable desire to take the world by storm and make it theirs, the old fashioned way, with force. My opinion for this crescendo of Aryan crime can be substantiated by current statistics which reflect that White crime is the fastest rising crime in America. This growth will develop according to the nature of the Aryan: at one point it will become orderly.

Putting this forecast in writing has proven to be a greater and more time consuming effort than I have initially thought. Therefore I have decided to release partial chapters, as I approach a conclusion to this socioracial forecast.

## AMERICA'S CASTES

America has been a caste society for quite a long time. There is, however, no official document pronouncing America a caste society just as there is no document pronouncing America a democracy. On paper America is a republic and everybody is equal under the law and we are all a big happy family. In reality we are not all equal under the law as we are not all equal in the political arena. Then again, there is no official document pronouncing Ted Turner more influential than a man who earns minimum wage. The lack of a document of that sort may predispose some people to believe that the concept of inequality is false because it has never been stated that way, but the institution of civil equality, however, is documented. To those people I say: keep believing.

American society is formed, or divided, by layers of different degrees of power and influence. The more powerful layers are less crowded than the underclasses. These economic layers hardly ever interact, at least not as much as races do. The sight of a White woman marrying a negro is more frequent than that of a rich woman marrying a guy from skid row; it just doesn't happen. I'm not in the business of advocating that it should happen either. I'm simply stating something I believe is true, that there is no economic interaction in America, and that as society progresses on its current Jewish path, the differences among the wealthy and those regarded as "human resources" will only magnify.

This, along with increasing deAryanization, is turning America into a Third World country. Unavoidably, as much as the system looks more and more like those in place in Mexico and Thailand, and many other places, something will make America different: America still has and will have a large Aryan population.

Very soon we will see that millions of working Whites won't be able to cover their basic needs. Yes, there will always be those who will pursue well paying careers, people who will have the vocation, the inclination and the ability to be nuclear physicists,

surgeons, etc., but the masses of White people will suffer the most grotesque of disappointments (many already do) when they will find themselves jobless or working for a Third World wage. We can see today that jobs that a decade or two ago allowed a White man to earn enough money to raise a family, today they are not even sufficient to afford a single man a comfortable living for himself. The increases in wealth of the upper class are in part due to the cheapening of the blue collar labor, in a greater part as a result of the upper class' ability to influence policy making, at all levels of government, to their own convenience. It is becoming more a matter of everyday life that a worker can not achieve a good living. It does not mean that a worker does not aspire to a good life, or to a moderately good life, it means he doesn't get it in spite of a hard fortyhour work week. It must be remembered that the average young White American thinks that he will not enjoy the so-called American Dream as much as his parents did. Does it mean that the younger American is not as capable of producing? No. It means that he may have been born at the wrong time. But this may also mean that many will refuse to accept that concept and that they will challenge it by taking a different, less orthodox route. Are we starting to understand each other? The ghetto negroes who want to enjoy material things resort to a life of crime and perhaps, although delinquent and questionable to many, their expectations may be realistic. How else could they buy the \$100.00 tennis shoes they like so much, or 5 compact discs every week, or cocaine, or expensive cars, or take their girlfriends shopping?

The young Aryan is being pushed into a corner at a pace faster than any other race. Soon he will find himself with no more opportunities than the uneducated, lowIQ negro. And this really should not come as a surprise to thinking fellows. Since the 1950's White America has been told, very openly, that the government had a plan to equalize them with the negroes. How can this be done? Either by bringing the negro up or by bringing the Aryan down. And which one of the two is probable? If you thought that the right answer was by bringing the negro up, please do not waste your time any further. Put this article down right

now and go watch Dan Rather or Ted Koppel. Thank you.

## BIRTH OF THE ARYAN MAFIA

This concept of the Aryan Mafia, the next mighty wave of organized crime, can be grasped better if visualized. Let me give you a few cinematographic hints: "Natural Born Killers" meets "Point Break" meets "Heat" meets "The Boxer". The Aryan gangster will wear tattoos like the Jap "Yazukas" already do and the drawings will also be ethnically oriented. The Aryan Mafia will be more brutal than anything ever seen. It will have to be brutal from the beginning because that will be the only way the other competing mafias (the negroes, the Asians, the mestizos, etc.) will be removed from certain areas of business, the stuff they refer to as "turf". Initially the aspiring Aryan maffiosos will be tough guys with not a whole lot of vision, who will engage in systematic, violent but petty, and not too profitable crimes. From those who will get busted the first lesson will be learned: next time go for the big loot. There's also another reason why the Aryan Mafia will be the most brutal: it is latent in the blood of the Aryan. When push comes to shove, nobody can surpass Aryan man's ability to inflict long and painful deaths. Europe has tons of museums that display instruments of torture dating as far back as the dawn of civilization. Brutality and violence are manifestations of unrestrained Aryan manhood. Every time the system makes a new move towards matriarchy, what it actually tries to do is to protect itself from a force that, once unleashed, can bring the system to its knees. We have witnessed how much the mestizos can accomplish when they go against the system, we have seen the negroes revolting, but the only force that has what is needed to destroy the Iron Heel is to be found in the blood of the Aryan.

## ARYAN LOOTING

Will the Aryan Mafia be racist, political, or what? It will be nonpolitical and it will have to be racist because that will be the way to survival. Its racism will not be due to idealism. The Aryan Maffia will be racist because embracing a racist way of life will

be a simple necessity. A little history on race and crime may help backing up my point. The Jew American gangsters of the 1940's, almost all of them, had some sort of participation with the creation of the state of Israel, the only surviving racist state. And that's understandable. When the Hebrews needed to smuggle guns out of New York City they were certainly smart enough to know not to go to a "by-the-book" customs broker of Irish descent, they went to see a successful Hebrew gangster. With the creation of the state of Israel came a big reward to all Jewish criminals: safety, a place where they could find refuge when running from other national authorities. Yugoslavs who escaped their country during the days of the Iron Curtain formed a very brutal Maffia in Western Europe that made headline news in the 1970's, but whose formation made date as far back as a decade prior to that. They did not succeed in their attempt to take over Marseille and Sicily, they didn't have a chance in hell to do that, but they did quite well in London, Paris and Rome. Through violent crime the Yugo Maffia obtained money and, consequently, influence. They had enough money to bribe border officials in their own country to get their relatives out. Their relatives now owed them a favor so they became new recruits. There are plenty of Asian Mafiosos: the Chinese Triad, the Japanese "Yazuka", even in Orange and Los Angeles counties (in California) there are a number of lesser Asiatic maffias, such as the Cambodian, the Philipino, the Laotian, etc. Mafia affiliation, in order to succeed, has to have an ethnoracial overtone. I predict that the coming Aryan Mafia will be White without a particular national theme. It will be a society of Whites whose backgrounds will be traceable all over Europe. This society, as any other similar society, will punish treason with death and will hold silence as one of the greatest virtues.

The Aryan Mafia will be both the most feared and the most targeted for persecution. Let's face it, the Iron Heel doesn't fear Afros brandishing guns in South Central or Compton. They couldn't care less about negro crime because, after all, the negroes are not bright enough to become a force to be reckoned with. But imagine a similar situation, a similar attitude of disre-

gard for compliance with the laws others have passed for us to obey, and change negro faces with Aryan faces. Imagine extensive areas of your city that at night become the playground of ruthless Aryan criminals. That is what the Iron Heel will fear most: armed and action oriented Aryans. Are you getting the picture? Although basically nonidealistic the Aryan Maffia will perform, indirectly, idealistic tasks. They will need to be supported by a number of regular people, just like all maffias have always done. You know, a sympathetic grandmother can be more useful than a dressed down gangster, the type of guys who draw all kinds of attention to themselves. This support will come from doing a few simple kinds of things that, done at the right time, mean a lot. A working father who doesn't have the money he needs to take care of a problem at home may find a friendly hand in the Aryan mafiosos. Why not? They will be happy to have someone owing them something and money will be easy anyway. And the other guy will be grateful. A win win situation.

I believe that the coming Aryan Mafia will be initially made up of biker types, unemployed blue collar workers, wanderers and even skinheads. But I also believe that many college graduates will be resentful after a year or two of unsuccessful search for work related to their careers. Many of those college educated young Aryans will hold sufficient disregard and resentment against the system and its moral selfrighteousness, that they will look for alternative ways of making money, while sticking it back to it. I don't want to sound elitist, but those newcomers may be the touch of sophistication that the Aryan Mafiosos will need to bring themselves to a higher level of criminality, a higher level of efficiency and accomplishment.

#### **The Rise of the Aryan Mafia, Part Two: Q&A**

The first part of this series of articles on the rise of the Aryan Mafia generated a number of questions and comments worth addressing. I have, therefore, added this chapter before continuing

with the rest of the program, since most of these issues are valid and deserving of attention.

Several people have told me that they do not understand how a Mafia can emerge from some of the simplest forms of criminality, such as described in the previous article. They say that although it is true that Aryan crime is on the rise, this alone will not lead to the formation of a mafia. This is, at least to me, a simple issue. I think that the average criminal is motivated first by survival, second by habit, and ultimately by greed. There are, of course, a number of genetic criminals, but they must not be of our concern for they are irrelevant to the matters discussed here. I believe that after Aryan Gangsters have developed expertise at bypassing the rules of the Iron Heel, survival and habit will be things already accomplished and greed will follow in. Greed will bring a desire to grow, to expand, to diversify into new areas to make more money and consequently to be more powerful, as well as more respectful and respected. This greed will make Aryan gangs merge the same way large banking institutions merge: when it is profitable to do so. This will happen because it will be convenient for the parties involved, there can be no other reason. Once a network of gangs will be in place the formation of the Aryan Mafia will be only one step away. The Sicilian Mafia, after all, is formed by "families", which are basically gangs, but they are part of a larger network or entity, the Sicilian Mafia a.k.a. Cosa Nostra.

Other people have suggested that my depiction of the lifestyle of the future Aryan Gangster was too attractive and that I should have made a moral condemnation of future Aryan, as well as current, criminality. First of all, I did not and I do not feel like making a moral condemnation of Aryans breaking the law and I do not feel that I have that kind of obligation to the state, after all, this series of articles was never meant for publication in *The Spotlight*. The Iron Heel and its publicists (the media) look the other way when the Jewish Mafia perpetrates some of the most hideous crimes of today. The media does not condemn Jewish or negro crime. Why should I take a different attitude towards peo-

ple of my own blood line? By the way, the Jewish Mafia is what is vulgarly called the Russian Mafia. If I were to criticize a working class disenchanted young Aryan for aspiring to use force to improve his way of life, then I would be under the same moral obligation to criticize England for taking India and China by force, as well as I should criticize Spain for taking America from the natives by force, and the French nation for colonizing large parts of Africa and Indochina by force, and so on. After all, I do not believe that past Aryans colonized faraway lands because they were altruistic. I'm also inclined to believe that it is extremely naive to expect people with power to obey the laws. People with power use their influence to press for the enactment of laws that people with a weaker stand in society will have to obey, not them. A brief observation of history can prove that.

My second point is that if the depiction of the lifestyle of the Aryan Gangster was too attractive it is simply because his lifestyle will be so. I predict that the next Aryan Gangster will be young, healthy, and fit to enjoy all pleasurable things. I foresee him as inclined to practice sports (probably surfing and bodybuilding will be among his favorites), will have the most awesome looking Aryan Überbabes that you can find, will drive the fastest cars and the most overpriced Harleys, will own the most exclusive weaponry (legal or illegal), will have a lot of free time on his hands and he will discriminate. I mean, the Aryan Gangster will find Negroes, mestizos and Jews despicable. His arrogance will be well justified because he will be a better gangster, a more successful one.

As I anticipated, some people thought I sounded elitist when I suggested that the Aryan Mafia will receive a significant boost after it starts attracting college grads and professional types. I have said: "I don't want to sound elitist, but those newcomers may be the touch of sophistication that the Aryan mafiosos will need to bring themselves to a higher level of criminality, a higher level of efficiency and accomplishment". I think that this comment should not hurt anyone's feelings. Every time a criminal succeeds at making a lot of money he has to find a way to launder

that money, to bring it safely into action. For that he will need people with knowledge of economics and the law, such as attorneys, accountants, financial advisors, etc. (there are plenty of people in those areas who are pure Aryans). Nobody is born knowing how to introduce illegally acquired money into a foreign country, for example.

A few people asked what areas of crime will be the first to show signs of Aryan Mafia activity. I would guess that it will probably be in areas where there is easy money, just as nightlife, booze, gambling. Also, other areas may include: firearms, steroids, robberies, traffic of stolen merchandise, collection of "fees" for forced security protection, hitmen for hire, etc. I wouldn't be too surprised if those ships of the U.S. Navy which are now under the control of Mestizo and Negro gangs will become in the near future disputed territories of an underground war with Aryan gangs.

Other readers have predicted that the Aryan Mafia will be shortlived, that it will fail simultaneously with the fall of its initial members who, they anticipate, will all end up in jail. To put it briefly, I'm of the opinion that the greatest segregation we see in America is social separation based on personal income. Most low income people never socialize with higher income people and consequently they do not see on a day to day basis what lots of money can afford. But they will start seeing these differences when the next door neighbor will join the Mafia. Some people will look at this modern Aryan warrior and will want to live like him, risks and adrenaline included. I believe the best form of recruitment the Mafia will have will be by the constant action of being themselves, a lot of young people will want to be like them. It will be, for many, a temptation quite hard to resist. Of course, many Aryan Gangsters will end up in jail and even there, with the currently increasing number of white inmates, the Aryan Gangsters will be a force that will displace the negroes and the mestizos, perhaps with the auspices of other Aryan fraternities within the jail system. I foresee a lot of networking between these fraternities and the

Mafia. The Aryan Mafia will rise because it will be a need for a large number of people. Ten thousand snitches, informants, and infiltrators can do nothing to stop it. Actually I believe that the best thing cowards and snitches can do is to stay the hell away from the Aryan mafiosos. As I stated before, I also believe that nothing can be done to precipitate its formation, it is a historical moment that will come no matter what.

Finally, I stated and I maintain that the Aryan Mafia will be nonpolitical but that it will be racial. After obtaining a recognizable power, and only after that, Mafia activity for political power may take place, but only as a consequence of a need for expansion. I believe that it is possible that most Aryans will not find the existence of this Mafia to be a detriment to their own lives. A big political body almost always starts developing its power as a mafia of some sort. The early Bolsheviks benefited from Josef Stalin's many train and bank robberies. The Zionists benefited from the Jewish Mafia established in America, as well as the Stern and Irgun gangs from where the political leadership of the Hebrew state emerged. In America, Democracy also benefited from mafias, the so-called "robber barons" who hired armed guards to smash organized labor. Democracy today is a bit more sophisticated in its relationship with mafias: some mafias are called political parties and thus they maintain an aura of respectability and accountability.

Just as other ethnic mafias have proven to be beneficial for their own peoples, the Aryan Mafia will become an asset for most Aryans.

**PLEASE REMEMBER:**  
**Your subscription to *Liberty Bell*, your book orders, and your regular monetary contributions are our lifeblood. Help us keep *Liberty Bell* ringing and proclaiming the truth. Your continued support is needed and will be greatly appreciated!**

## KEEP THE *LIBERTY BELL* RINGING!

Please remember: *Our* Fight is *Your* fight! Donate whatever you can spare on a regular—monthly or quarterly—basis. Whether it is \$2., \$5., \$20., or \$100. or more, rest assured it is needed here and will be used in our common struggle. If you are a businessman, postage stamps in any denomination are a legitimate business expense—and we need and use many of these here every month—and will be gratefully accepted as donations.

Your donations will help us spread the *Message of Liberty* and *White Survival* throughout the land, by making available additional copies of our printed material to fellow Whites who do not yet know what is in store for them.

Order our pamphlets, booklets, and, most importantly, our reprints of revealing articles which are ideally suited for mass distribution at reasonable cost. Order extra copies of *Liberty Bell* for distribution to your circle of friends, neighbors, and relatives, urging them to subscribe to our unique publication. Our bulk prices are shown on the inside front cover of every issue of *Liberty Bell*.

Pass along your copy of *Liberty Bell*, and copies of reprints you obtained from us, to friends and acquaintances who may be on our "wave length," and urge them to contact us for more of the same.

Carry on the fight to free our White people from the shackles of alien domination, even if you can only join our ranks in spirit. You can provide for this by bequest. The following are suggested forms of bequests which you may include in your Last Will and Testament:

1. I bequeath to Mr. George P. Dietz, as Trustee for *Liberty Bell* Publications, P.O. Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA, the sum of \$ . . . . . for general purposes.

2. I bequeath to Mr. George P. Dietz, as Trustee for *Liberty Bell* Publications, P.O. Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA, the following described property . . . . . for general purposes.

**DO YOUR PART TODAY—HELP FREE OUR WHITE RACE FROM ALIEN DOMINATION!**