



ABOUT THE AUTHOR: Dr. Revilo Pendleton Oliver, Professor of the Classics at the University of Illinois for 32 years, is a scholar of international distinction who has written articles in four languages for the most prestigious academic publications in the United States and Europe.

During World War II, Dr. Oliver was Director of Research in a highly secret agency of the War Department, and was cited for outstanding service to his country.

One of the very few academicians who has been outspoken in his opposition to the progressive defacement of our civilization, Dr. Oliver has long insisted that the fate of his countrymen hangs on their willingness to subordinate their doctrinal differences to the tough but idealistic solidarity which is the prerequisite of a Majority resurgence.

SOME QUOTABLE QUOTES FROM AMERICA'S DECLINE:

On the 18th Amendment (Prohibition): "Very few Americans were sufficiently sane to perceive that they had repudiated the American conception of government and had replaced it with the legal principle of the 'dictatorship of the proletariat,' which was the theoretical justification of the Jews' revolution in Russia."

On Race: "We must further understand that all races naturally regard themselves as superior to all others. We think Congoids unintelligent, but they feel only contempt for a race so stupid or craven that it fawns on them, gives them votes, lavishly subsidizes them with its own earnings, and even oppresses its own people to curry their favor. We are a race as are the others. If we attribute to Ourselves a superiority, intellectual, moral, or other, in terms of our own standards, we are simply indulging in a tautology. The only objective criterion of superiority, among human races as among all other species, is biological: the strong survive, the weak perish. The superior race of mankind today is the one that will emerge victorious—whether by its technology or its fecundity—from the proximate struggle for life on an overcrowded planet."

AMERICA'S DECLINE

Order No. 1007-\$8.50
plus \$1.50 for postage and handling.

376 pp., pb.
ORDER FROM:

LIBERTY BELL PUBLICATIONS, Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA

Liberty Bell

ISSN: 0145 - 7667

SINGLE COPY \$5.00

The Pansy Corps

by Major Joe Stano
USAF-Ret.
page 30

ALSO IN THIS ISSUE:

Professor R.P. Oliver
POSTSCRIPTS

Christian Brotherhood, page 1;

Photography, page 17;

The Rampaging Outlaw, page 19;

Television, page 23.

Letters to the Editor, pg. 26. Maj. D.V. Clerkin: The Plot to Abolish White Racial Heritage, pg. 44. Gordon S. Clark: I Am A Propagandist, pg. 49. Dean Grace: Subliminals in the Movie "J.F.K.", page 54. A Rheingold Translation: America's Economic War Against Germany: Washington's Battle for Power and Profit, page 58.

VOL. 19 - NO. 12

AUGUST 1992

Voice Of Thinking Americans

LIBERTY BELL

The magazine for *Thinking Americans*, has been published monthly since September 1973 by Liberty Bell Publications. Editorial office: P.O. Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA. Phone: 304-927-4486.

Manuscripts conforming to our editorial policy are always welcome and may be submitted on IBM-, Apple //e-, or Apple/Macintosh-compatible diskette, or in double-spaced, neatly typed format. Manuscripts can not be returned unless accompanied by stamped, self-addressed envelope. Manuscripts accepted for publication become the property of Liberty Bell Publications.

© Copyright 1991
by Liberty Bell Publications.

Permission granted to quote in whole or part any article except those subject to author's copyright. Proper source, address and subscription information must be given.

ANNUAL SUBSCRIPTION RATES:

SAMPLE COPY	\$ 5.00
THIRD CLASS-BULK RATE-USA only	\$40.00
FIRST CLASS-USA	\$50.00
FIRST CLASS-all other countries	\$60.00
AIR MAIL-Europe, South America	\$70.00
Middle East, Far East, South Africa	\$75.00
Sample Copy	\$ 6.50

BULK COPIES FOR DISTRIBUTION:

10 copies	\$ 22.00
50 copies	\$ 90.00
100 copies	\$150.00
500 copies	\$600.00
1000 copies	\$900.00

FREEDOM OF SPEECH — FREEDOM OF THOUGHT FREEDOM OF EXPRESSION

The editor/publisher of *Liberty Bell* does not necessarily agree with each and every article in this magazine, nor does he subscribe to all conclusions arrived at by various writers; however, he does endeavor to permit the exposure of ideas suppressed by the controlled news media of this country.

It is, therefore, in the best tradition of America and of free men everywhere that *Liberty Bell* strives to give free reign to ideas, for ultimately it is ideas which rule the world and determine both the content and structure of our Western culture.

We believe that we can and will change our society for the better. We declare our long-held view that no institution or government created by men, for men, is inviolable, incorruptible, and not subject to evolution, change, or replacement by the will of an informed people.

To this we dedicate our lives and our work. No effort will be spared and no idea will be allowed to go unexpressed if we think it will benefit the *Thinking People*, not only of America, but the entire world.

George P. Dietz, Editor & Publisher

POSTSCRIPTS

by Revilo P. Oliver

CHRISTIAN BROTHERHOOD

When you pick up a newspaper these days, you are likely to find, perhaps in a small item at the bottom of a column on an inside page, the report that another holy man is in trouble for paederasty or, at least, homosexual perversion. Only infrequently do you see, probably with a sense of relief, news of a Man of God so illiberal and old-fashioned that he seduces women.

The Roman Catholic Church is most often the denomination involved in the scandals. According to a reporter in the *Los Angeles Times*, 13 October 1990, that church had already paid out between \$100,000,000 and \$300,000,000 to quiet parents whose children were victims of libidinous priests, and had just settled for \$22,000,000 claims arising from the libido of a priest who had been convicted in the courts of Louisiana of enticing or forcing thirty-seven altar boys, Boy Scouts, et al. to satisfy his sexual appetites. The *San Diego Tribune*, 21 July 1990, reported that a priest who had been convicted of sexual molestation of some thirty children in 1986, but given probation, had been caught enjoying a fourteen-year-old boy and sentenced to prison. The *Chicago Tribune*, 16 June 1992, reported that fifteen priests in or near Chicago had been or were being relieved of their holy office when found guilty of sexual molestation of boys, and on 22 June, reported that a priest had been indicted in Wisconsin for sexually assaulting altar boys and for threatening to kill another boy if he disclosed the holy man's favorite sport—and that the indicted priest was asking his parishioners to secure his release from custody by posting a \$50,000 bond! And so on, around the country.

One expects sexual scandals in the Roman Church, given its doctrine that sexual continence somehow contributed to the efficacy of the magic performed by priests. The monastic orders, needless to say, were founded on the theory that sexual abstinence manufactured merits that were duly recorded by the celestial bookkeepers, thus giving the Church a huge credit balance on which its ministers could draw to

cancel the debts of sinners. Whether celibacy was also required of priests and their superiors in the hierarchy was long in doubt. As early as the Fifth Century, a pope named Leo, whose effective authority probably was limited to the vicinity of Rome, is said to have forbidden married men to put away their wives when they were ordained as priests, relying on them to take vows that after ordination they and their wives would live together without sexual intercourse. A canon lawyer once told me that as late as 1917 such an arrangement remained *theoretically* a legal possibility.

The first real attempt to enforce celibacy (and presumably abstinence) on the clergy was made by a wily Jew who masqueraded under the Germanic name of Hildebrand and became Pope Gregory VII.¹ There is always a vast difference between enacting legislation and enforcing it. Gregory's ukase did in time limit married priests to outlying regions, such as Scandinavia, and it eventually prevented the ordained clergy everywhere from having wives, except by special dispensation from the Papacy.² The result, naturally, was concubinage, which was very common, almost normal, until the Council of Trent and the Counter Reformation.

Since the Counter Reformation regarded a presumption of sacerdotal chastity as an important factor in competing with the Protestant sects, concubinage, the maintenance of resident concubines, became relatively rare and clandestine, and priests of normal masculinity had to content themselves with more or less temporary liaisons with available females. Some, no doubt, had a success that Casanovas might envy. It is said that in France in the Nineteenth Century there were sophisticated priests who boasted to their confrères that they had seduced *every* attractive woman in their parishes.

Whether the Counter Reformation increased the incidence of homosexuality among the clergy is problematical. Judicious Catholics, whatever their public pronouncements, have always recognized that, as

1. See my *Christianity Today* (= *Liberty Bell*, November 1987), pp. 22-25.

2. Thomas Aquinas codified the opinion held by many theologians before him, that the celibacy of priests was ordained, not by God but by the Church, which could therefore grant dispensations from its own regulation. Needless to say, the requisite dispensations were usually given only to ranking and influential members of the hierarchy who were in the good graces of whatever pope was in power at the moment.

a practical matter, there was only a choice between the two alternatives.

About thirty years ago I had a graduate student who had spent two years studying for the priesthood in what was the finest Catholic seminary in the United States. A favorite project of Cardinal Mundelein, it had architecture that was in good taste, was lavishly furnished, and was culturally endowed in both its library and in the paintings (not always of religious subjects) by famous artists that adorned its walls. Even at that time, however, the seminary had only a fourth as many candidates for the priesthood as it could have accommodated. My student was certain there was no tendency to perversion among his fellow students; he described how eagerly they watched, every Friday afternoon, for the procession of limousines that brought to them a contingent of select whores to remind them that women were ever so much more fun.³

One of the few points of doctrine on which almost all Protestant sects agree is a married clergy, and since marriage can always be supplemented with judicious adultery, one would expect in theory that male homosexuality would be virtually unknown among the Protestant clergy. As we all know, paradoxically the reverse is true. Every one of the larger-denominations now has perverts in its clergy, many of them prancing proudly as they obscenely avow and justify their now fashionable depravity, and the sect's annual conventions are convulsed with heated debates over the piety of having perverts in its pulpits and of subsidizing a blessed increase in the numbers of *semi-viri* practicing this form of Christian love.

No Protestant sect is exempt, not even those in which you would least expect to find perverts. The President (head) of a quite small and reputedly conservative Lutheran sect recently confessed that he derived lots of fun and the virus of "AIDS" from the homosexual diversions with which he had indulged himself for twenty years, although he has a wife of whom he was presumably sufficiently fond to infect

3. This pragmatic practice may have fallen into desuetude. The *Champaign [Illinois] News-Gazette*, 16 June 1992, reported that the present Archbishop, alarmed by the scandalous (and, no doubt, expensive) tastes of so many priests in his diocese, would probably take the prophylactic precaution of hiring pseudo-scientific medicine men to discover, by psychiatric inquisition, among the students in the seminaries under his jurisdiction, those inclined to paederasty. There was no mention of other forms of male homosexuality.

her. Even a minister of the Missouri Synod of the Lutheran Church has recently confessed to sexual abuse of thirteen-year-old boys under his care. The bureaucracy that is now running that church into apostasy and dissolution resorted to blanket denials and frantic denunciation of the *Duluth News-Tribune*, the newspaper that reported the scandal, evoking from its editor a public letter that occupied almost an entire page of *Christian News*, 18 May 1992. The editor, addressing the Synod's bureaucracy, concluded by remarking: "As a human being, I must confess that I find your lack of concern for the victims to be outrageous."

How much of the current scandals is to be attributed to the frantic promotion of an exacerbated sexuality by the public schools, "Liberal" circles generally, and the Rockefeller Foundation,⁴ and how much results from the weakening of the political forces that once stifled scandal about religious bodies, and how much is excited by the publicity that has made known the opportunity of victims to recover fairly large sums of money in compensation for the degradation and suffering that was inflicted on them by divines, is uncertain.

CHRISTIAN LOVE

Since Christianity inherited the Jews' morbid and nasty preoccupation with sex, the religion has always had a strong underlying eroticism. Female mystics and pietists usually identify their Jesus as an ideal lover.⁵ Male mystics and pietists frequently think of Jesus in homosexual terms. For this they could claim scriptural authority, for although a homosexual passage was expunged from the gospel attributed to an unidentified Marcus,⁶ there remained in the gospel attributed to a

4. See *Liberty Bell*, February 1992, pp. 14-21.

5. Among the laity, this is commonly done by Nordic women who have a strong faith in their superstition. A distinguished attorney, who has observed many Protestant congregations, tells me that whenever the women are permitted to choose a hymn, their choice is usually "In the Garden," in which the singer affirms that she met Jesus "And He walks with me, and He talks with me, | And He tells me I am His own, | And the joys we share as we tarry there, | None other has ever known." Mediterranean women, on the other hand, usually focus their devotion on Mary, a goddess who, like Isis and Juno, bore children but miraculously recovered her virginity, and who can understand and sympathize with their sexual desires and frustrations.

6. For an English translation of this passage, see Professor Morton Smith's *The Secret Gospel* (New York, Harper & Row, 1975).

certain Iohannes the suggestive episode of the "beloved disciple," who reclined on Jesus's breast at dinner, as a favorite mignon would do.

A clear illustration of homosexual piety may be found in autobiographical passages in the voluminous writings of Rupert of Deutz,⁷ who was one of the most important theologians of the Twelfth Century.⁸

Rupert tells us that, in preparation for his task of correcting the current interpretations of Scripture, he subjected himself for eight years to austerities (which would have driven anyone mad!), after which he received divine authorization when he perceived that the Christ on a lofty crucifix was alive and regarding him benignly. He was miraculously raised to the level of the crucifix so that he could embrace Jesus, who not only kissed him again and again passionately, but opened his mouth so that they could kiss with tongues as well as lips.⁹

Male homosexuality, a subject about which almost no one seems willing to talk sense, must be understood historically and requires a

7. *Divitia*, in Mediaeval Latin also *Dujitia* and *Tuitium*, in the Rhineland near Cologne, where Rupert was Abbot of the Benedictine Monastery. He died before 1136, perhaps in 1129, when he was about sixty.

8. So far as I know, no one of the many "specialists" in the study of Mediaeval Christianity has thought of writing a potentially sensational book by claiming Rupert as a precursor of the Protestant Reformation. Rupert's writings are mostly expositions of portions of the Vulgate, but he insists on interpreting the texts in ways that contradict Augustine and the established doctrines of the Church, thus making the texts (as he understood them) superior to the established orthodoxy. He was prosecuted at least once for heresy while he was at Liège, and had eventually to flee to the more tolerant Rhineland.

9. This mode of osculation, sometimes called "French kissing" or "soul kissing," is popularly believed to be so sexually provocative as to make coitus an almost inevitable sequel. The passage to which I refer will be found in Rupert's exegetic commentary *De gloria et honore filii hominis, super Matthaëum*, in Migne's *Patrologia Latina*, Vol. CLXVIII, column 1601. Rupert thought (*ibidem*, 1603) that his canoodling with Jesus was in keeping with the opening verse of the *Cantica canticorum*, where the King James version has "Let him kiss me with kisses of his mouth: for thy love is better than wine." Whether the masculine pronouns are justified depends on a nice point in the grammar of Koine Greek, but they are inconsistent with the following phrase which, subjected to theological tampering in the King James version, really says, "for thy breasts are better than wine," certainly implying a female recipient, as elsewhere in that diatribe of incoherent eroticism.

treatment far beyond the scope of this article, which is concerned with only a phase of that subject, paederasty,¹⁰ and, for the most part, indeed, with a special and especially repulsive form of that vice, the abuse of very young children of either sex.

The sexual abuse of very young children is a vice of which we find little trace in the records, except among Jews, where specified forms of it are explicitly sanctioned by the Talmud.¹¹ So far as I know—and I must remind you that I speak on the basis of general reading and without having undertaken any research on a most unpleasant topic that is not mentioned in the few works of reference that I have at hand—the rape or virtual rape of young children, usually boys but sometimes girls, beginning at the age of four or five, which seems to be becoming popular in some circles today, is attested in the past only for a few creatures that are accounted monsters of inhuman depravity and actually insane: Giles de Rais, the infamous “Marquis” de Sade,¹² and a very few others.

I cannot tell you, therefore, when holy men first acquired a taste for assaults on young children. It may have been common for a long

10. ‘Paederasty’ is the accepted, and indeed the only correct term for sexual intercourse between an adult and a boy who is pubescent or in the earliest stage of adolescence. Perverts, like Jews, like to hide by crawling under misused euphemisms, and, as we all know, the creatures who morosely exhibit their depravity in public are called ‘gay’ by the whores of journalism, who are so debased that they gladly prostitute their mother tongue. The creatures who practice sexual abuse of young children call themselves ‘pedophil’ in their propaganda, not knowing what that misspelling of ‘paedophil’ would really mean if interpreted by a plausible etymology. Now paedophilia is simply a liking or fondness for children, and is often used of women who are fond of children in general as well as their own. There seems to be no specific term for the sexual abuse of children far below the age of puberty. One could suggest *paedophthoria*.

11. For some of these provisions, see the pages photographically reproduced from the official English translation of the Babylonian Talmud in the documentary appendix to Elizabeth Dilling’s *The Plot Against Christianity* and the revised edition of that work now in print, *The Jewish Religion and Its Influence Today* (Torrance [now Costa Mesa], California; Noontide Press, 1983.)

12. He was actually a count, but promoted himself to the rank of marquis. He claimed descent from the noble family that attained prestige in the Seventeenth Century by asserting that Petrarch’s Laura had

time and successfully kept from public knowledge, or it may have been a consequence of the promotion of an exasperated sexuality by the public schools and much touted “sexologists” (e.g., Freud, Kinsey). Although cases of such abuse have been publicly reported only in the past few years, they have been common since the 1950s at least. The *Christian News*, 13 July 1992, contains an article on a priest who indulged his propensities for thirty years and whose victims are said to number three hundred. The attitude of the Roman Church, which transferred him from parish to parish when there seemed to be a danger of prosecution, is shown by an irate Cardinal’s boast that he will get old Yahweh to punish the newspapers that did not keep the pious peccadillos secret (“We call down God’s power on the media!”).

A particularly heinous example of the sexual abuse of children is the subject of a new book by Michael Harris, *Unholy Orders* (Toronto, Penguin Books Canada, 1991). Before considering it, I think it worthwhile to sketch the historical antecedents of the events it describes.

CHRISTIAN BROTHERS

In 1684 Jean Baptiste de la Salle, a French priest and canon of the cathedral at Rheims, founded the Institutum Fratrum Scholarum Christianarum to provide free instruction for male children who were orphans or had parents too poor to pay tuition in the existing schools in France. And to train teachers in his schools he established what appears to have been the first normal school, thus setting an example that was imitated throughout the world.¹³ The order was eventually recognized by the Pope and given headquarters in Rome. It established

been a De Sade. He is best known for his famous dictum that “All men are created equal,” his project of a “United Nations,” the word ‘sadism,’ and three atrocious books, *Juliette*, *Justine*, and *Cent vingt jours de Sodome*, all of which are worth reading, if you are cynically hardened to the desolating panorama of human depravity and if you have a very strong stomach. There are said to be English translations, but I have seen none of them and shall be astonished if any is complete.

13. Every state in the United States established several normal schools, usually one in each of the four quarters of the state, so that pupils would not have to travel long distances from their homes. These normal schools were intended to provide the rudiments of a college education in the subjects that the pupils, usually young women, prepared

many schools in France, where its members were popularly and satirically called *Frères ignorantines*, because its founder, himself a learned priest, wisely provided in the by-laws that men with a theological education were excluded from membership; and *Frères fouetteurs*, from the frequency and severity with which they applied the lash for even very minor infractions of discipline. The schools were so successful and highly regarded that Baptiste de la Salle was eventually made a saint and thus became the celestial recipient of prayers his ghost would transmit to the rulers of Heaven.

The Catholics of Ireland, living under the Protestant government of England, which looked with disfavor and suspicion on their religion and all that was connected with it,¹⁴ found a patron in their fellow Catholic, a very wealthy merchant named Edward Ignatius Rice, who began in 1801 to endow schools for poor boys, and enlisted followers and supporters for an organization, modeled on La Salle's, that was recognized and blessed by Pope Pius VII in 1829 as the *Fratres Scholarum Christianarum in Hibernia*, commonly called in English the Christian Brothers.¹⁵ The Brothers took vows of chastity, poverty, perseverance in providing free instruction for boys, and monastic obedience to their Superior General, who had his headquarters in Dublin.

themselves to teach in elementary and, later, secondary schools. They were eventually invaded by the racket called "Science of Education," which John Dewey and his fellow conspirators used so successfully to keep American children ignorant and prepare them for an existence as unthinking chattels in a state that is communist in all but name. — The normal schools flourished until the wild inflation of "higher education" that followed the catastrophe of 1945, when every normal school, so far as I have noticed, set itself up as a "University" and a bottomless funnel into which the state's legislature poured millions and millions of dollars extracted from tax-paying animals so stupid that any "do-gooder" can milk their udders. It is true that a few of these institutions attained a measure of academic respectability.

14. See Appendix.

15. When I last noticed, decades ago, the official designations of Catholic orders, episcopal sees, etc., were still officially in Latin, but in the routine administration of the Church Ecclesiastical Latin had been supplanted by Italian, in which the order is called *Fratelli delle Scuole Christiane d'Irlanda*. It will be found under that title, for example, in the *Annuario Pontificio*.

The first to hold that office was Rice; his successors were, perhaps, more dependent on their order's Procurator General in the Vatican. The new order acquired a high and, so far as we know, deserved reputation in Catholic Ireland.

NEWFOUNDLAND

In 1583, the ill-fated Sir Humphrey Gilbert, commanding a small squadron, set out to annex for Queen Elizabeth the island of Newfoundland, taking with him, by the way, a young Hungarian Humanist, Stephanus Parmenius, who wanted to describe and celebrate the new colony in Latin verse.¹⁶ Gilbert found the island frequented by numerous Portuguese, French, and English fishermen, who were exploiting the seemingly inexhaustible shoals of codfish on the Grand Banks. British claim to the island was vindicated after several wars with France. Although immigration from Ireland was discouraged by various restrictions imposed by the British authorities, who feared that Irish Catholics would constitute a domestic danger in any war with France,—an opinion not without justification in experience,—there was a considerable influx of Irish during the Seventeenth and Eighteenth Centuries, when they formed about a third of the island's total population.

Many of the Irish on Newfoundland, regarded with not entirely unjustified suspicion by the British government, were unable to pay for their children's education, and those who could pay found that their offspring were not welcome in either Anglican or other Protestant schools. They accordingly asked the Christian Brothers of Ireland to establish schools on Newfoundland, but it was not until 1875 that the first Christian Brothers came to the island, and, in the following year,

16. We know only his Humanistic name; his Hungarian name is unknown. He was evidently the child of a prosperous family of Calvinists in Hungary, which was then a Turkish province. When he perished at sea, as Sir Humphrey was to do a little later, he must have had with him the manuscript of the projected poem, which he had doubtless begun, so we now have on this subject only the 330 hexameters *De navigatione* that he wrote in anticipation of the voyage to Newfoundland. They were published in London in 1582 and edited, with a biographical memoir, the Humanist's other extant writings, commentaries, and English translations (which I have not checked) by David B. Quinn and Neil M. Cheshire: *The New Found Land of Stephen Parmenius* (University of Toronto Press, 1972).

began to teach male children of the poor in the Old Orphan Asylum. A combined orphanage and school was opened at Mount Cashel in 1898. In the 1950s, Mount Cashel, which had thus far depended on private charity and the operation of a farm that produced foodstuffs, began to receive subventions from the Province of Newfoundland and later from the Federal government of Canada.¹⁷

During all those years and until sometime in the 1970s the combined orphanage and school had a high and unblemished reputation and was regarded by the people of Newfoundland, Catholic and Protestant alike, with respect and gratitude. We may assume that until 1952 this reputation was justified, although we cannot be sure that it was not in some part attained by successful secrecy.

THE HOLE IN HOLINESS

The boys in the school, orphans or separated from their parents, were, of course, totally at the mercy of the Brothers, and it is not clear when the latter or some of them first began to abuse the boys sexually.

Mr. Harris has given us a book of 383 pages, of which the essentials relevant to our interest here could have been stated in a twenty-page article. He was Editor and Publisher of the newspaper in St. John's (capital of Newfoundland) that was principally responsible for the investigation that finally resulted in the conviction of eight Brothers, and he has written an extremely detailed and often tiresome chronicle of the affair, because he regards the conduct of the Christian Brothers, deplorable as it was, as posing an even more fundamental social, religious, and political question.

The first report of the sexual abuse of children in the orphanage and school at Mount Cashel reached the Archbishop of the province in 1952. How was it possible for the Church and the government to keep the Brothers' sexual abuse of children secret for twenty-three years, and even then so stifle the inquiry conducted in 1975 that it was not until 1988, when the scandal could no longer be concealed from the public, that there was a real investigation which led to the arrest and eventual conviction of some of the child-raping perverts?

If you are familiar with the normal behavior of the hierarchy of any large and prosperous ecclesiastical establishment, and are also familiar with the normal process of "democratic" government, you know 17. Newfoundland became a Province of Canada in 1949.

very well what gave the holy men at Mount Cashel freedom to indulge their appetites for thirty-six years, and the details will merely bore you. Mr. Harris, on the other hand, is determined to establish the responsibility of the ecclesiastics, politicians, and others who kept the lid on the boiling and malodorous pot, and to recognize the courage and industry of the few men who finally breached the wall of official silence and evasion. He accordingly painstakingly details each complaint and the way in which it was hushed up, and gives us biographical sketches of all the numerous individuals who were concerned with the scandal in one way or another. His account will doubtless interest many citizens of Newfoundland, but you and I will find this long narrative merely another performance of a play we have seen too often.

Mr. Harris, furthermore, has confused two radically different matters: corporal punishment and sexual rape. A long chapter is devoted to a mother's protest over a beating of her son in the orphanage. It is not at all remarkable that her protest was shelved by the authorities. The Brothers, after all, had a tradition that went back to the "*Frères fouetteurs*," and the corporal punishment they administered, though sometimes severe, was not more painful—was usually less painful—than the "birching" to which English boys were routinely subjected in the best preparatory schools for infractions of discipline or failure to prepare lessons properly. There is no evidence that such punishments harmed the boys.¹⁸ Swinburne, one of the greatest of all English poets, even composed a series of verses about the often bloody "birchings" inflicted on him and his fellows at Eton. Corporal punishment by the Brothers was noteworthy only when it was a preliminary to sexual assault or a means of terrorizing the victims and ensuring their silent submission. Had Mr. Harris perceived this, he could have spared himself and his readers many tiresome pages about "child abuse."

The Brothers' rape of young boys, described in some detail here and there in the course of the book, followed a more or less fixed pattern, but each Brother seems to have had a favorite or favorites whom his fellows did not molest. It is not clear how many of the Brothers enjoyed the privileges of their pious calling. We learn that the eight who were convicted on criminal charges and sentenced were not 18. Whether they served to "form character," as was believed in the Nineteenth Century, is a question that need not detain us here.

the only offenders; others—we are not told how many—have been accused. Yet others may have escaped implication because their victims, if still in the school, were ashamed or afraid to speak, while those who had left the orphanage and were trying to establish themselves in some trade or profession did not come forward as witnesses. What is beyond doubt is that in so relatively small and closed a community *all* of the Brothers must have been aware of the sexual activity of the guilty and condoned it, for they made no protest and did not appeal to their ecclesiastical superiors. They may have known, of course, that complaints to the hierarchy of the Church would not only be futile but would bring upon them reprisals from episcopal dignitaries who resented being informed of what they already knew or suspected and were determined to ignore.

The libidinous Brothers usually began their erotic efforts by manipulating the sexual organs of impuberate boys to induce an unnatural and morbidly precocious sexuality as a preliminary to sodomy and irrumation. They thus gave a kind of informal “sex education,” going a little beyond what is recommended in the public schools today, and, of course, the result was that after the victims became puberate and adolescent homosexual activity became prevalent among the boys themselves. That was ensured, if by nothing else, by the natural impulse that accounts, in large part, for the perpetuation of college fraternities, fraternal orders, and secret societies that are not political conspiracies. That impulsion is sometimes called the First Commandment: “Do unto others as was done unto you.”

One secret of the Brothers’ long immunity from prosecution and even suspicion was their success in terrifying their victims. One man, who had been placed in the orphanage at the age of eight and at once given a sexual education by one of the Brothers, testified that he and his two brothers had been so terrified that no one of them learned that the others were also victims until after they were released from Mount Cashel years later. Some who lived through their incarceration in the orphanage tried to commit suicide when they were released or took to narcotic drugs to escape from their memories.

I see no need for you to read this tedious and disgusting account, but if you do, read carefully and analytically the passages which de-

scribe the Brothers’ sexual activities in detail as recounted by victims who did not censor their own stories.

PRAGMATIC “SEX EDUCATION”

Needless to say, the sexual violation of children is not a speciality of holy men. I first became aware of it among members of our race not notoriously insane¹⁹ about twenty-five years ago, so nearly as I can recall. A chauffeur employed by a line of taxicabs that I frequently used was convicted of aggravated homicide. He had inadvertently killed the five-year-old son of one of his friends, because he was so intent on his own delights that he did not realize that the boy was being suffocated.

A particularly revolting case was reported in *The Spotlight*, 1 June 1992, pp. 14-17. If that report is correct, the McMartin Pre-school in Manhattan Beach, California, must have been constructed for the purposes for which it was used for ten years, with tunnels beneath the building’s floor into which the “pre-school” children were taken and forced to witness Satanic rites and the killing of animals as sacrifices to fictitious demons. The children were thus terrified to the point of mental aberration and their silence ensured. Our ardent feminists will, no doubt, be pleased that there was no discrimination between male and female children.

The school, however, was not founded merely to provide entertainment for its staff. After the District Attorney of Los Angeles County and other authorities could no longer ignore the evidence that at least forty-one children had been victims of the educational establishment, there was a series of trials, at which some of the principal witnesses were not permitted to testify, ending in the eventual acquittal of all the accused. That is not at all astonishing, if it is true that the children, after having been accustomed to sexual violation, were taken from the school and prostituted to clients who paid lavishly for the kind of fun they preferred, and that the clients included men who “were household names: actors, sports figures, politicians.” That fact, also, is not in the least astonishing.

If you have the fortitude to consider analytically attested cases of the rape of young children, you will discover that in many cases, at 19. E.g., Albert Fish of Washington, D.C., who was executed in 1935. He was a thoroughgoing “pedophil,” so fond of children that he not only violated them sexually but ate them. I am not informed of his race, so you are free to suspect that he was some kind of hybrid.

least, the conduct of perverts cannot be adequately explained by a need to release sexual tensions or indulge sexual lust. There is an underlying—perhaps ever overriding—motive, a determination to degrade and defile their victims. That frenzied desire is sadism, which Christians will identify as Satanic, and to which rational men apply that term metaphorically. That is more than lubricity; it is something inherently evil—and, remember, sadism is not mammalian or bestial: it is specifically and exclusively human.

All this presents us with an urgent problem. Now that male homosexuality has not only been made fashionable, but has been so glorified that perverts, in and out of pulpits, now preen themselves as though they were heroes decorated for valor in battle, the “pedophils”²⁰ are preparing to attain the same social distinction. I have seen propaganda from their organizations which proves “scientifically” that impuberate children are saved from “psychological imbalance” and “damaging cultural constructs” by being given a practical education in sex at the earliest possible age. And the propaganda complains about legal violations of the “civil rights” of the “pedophils” who are so anxious to do good to young children.

More significant is a recent development in the academic world, where, you must remember, most of the administrators of colleges and universities think first of staying in fashion: “monkey see, monkey do.”

The University of Massachusetts has long advertised its high intellectual standing by assuring homosexuals that they will be welcomed and taken to the bosom of their *alma mater*. (Whether scholarships have been established to attract such pearls of great price, I do not know.) The institution, with its eye on the stupid tax-payers, exempted from its cordial invitation paederasts, of whose recreations it officially disapproved. But the University has now become more “Liberal” and is keeping in tune with the times. According to a news item reproduced in *Christian News*, 15 June 1992, the University, at least on its principal campus at Amherst, has rescinded the bigoted provision that denied the protection of Alma Mammy’s bosom to “persons whose sexual orientation includes minor children.” The “pedophils” will now enjoy the special privileged status given to “minorities,” such as ordinary homosexuals, niggers, etc.

20. Cf. footnote 10 *supra*.

We live in an age in which the public schools generally instruct boys and girls of eight or nine, somewhat prematurely, in the use of free condoms²¹ for “safe sex” with persons of the same or opposite gender, and in New York City youngsters in high school are instructed in the use of surgical gloves in a kind of almost incredible depravity of which most Americans have not even heard. Everyone believes in the magic of “education,” of course, but just the same, I am sure that there are Americans who disapprove of progress such as the University of Massachusetts is promoting. I am sure there are some, I say, but I shall not guess how many.

I shall not try to guess, for I have learned from experience. Around 1954 I made a colossal error in attempting to conjecture what was likely in the then immediate future. I assumed that Americans were still a viable species of mammals, and that, like all the larger mammals and many birds,²² they still felt the imperative to assure the survival of their species by protecting their offspring until the latter were old enough to fend for themselves. I reasoned accordingly that Americans

21. I cannot refrain from commenting on the amazing revolution of social standards in the past forty years. In 1951, thinking to be of service to the few who still practiced the high art of Latin epistolography, I prepared for the *Classical Weekly* a glossary of English words for which there was no equivalent in Classical Latin (typewriter, rifle, aëroplane, Communism, spiritualism, etc.), giving the Modern Latin equivalents approved in Cardinal Bacci’s *Lexicon eorum vocabulorum quae difficilium Latine redduntur* (in which the lemmata were in Italian). One of the words was ‘condom.’ The *Classical Weekly* had once been a strictly scholarly publication, but it had been taken over by an association of teachers in high schools and preparatory schools, many of whom were women. The editor, Professor Edward Robinson, consulted the editors of highly respected periodicals such as the *Atlantic Monthly* and *Harper’s*, and found that ‘condom’ was an obscene word that must never appear in a journal of general circulation. He and I would have been utterly incredulous, had we been told that within a few decades, ‘condom’ would become one of the words most frequently used in public schools, magazines, and newspapers, and that up-to-date holy men would be distributing those fallacious guarantees of “safe” promiscuity to their bovine congregations.

22. Only the other day a friend of mine, hearing peeping from a hedge, looked into it and saw a nest with several fledgelings in it. One of the parents, mistaking his interest and regardless of the possible consequences to itself, descended on the man’s head and slashed his scalp with its beak.

would never voluntarily consent to having their children subjected to the degradation and danger of forced association with young niggers. I was, of course, totally wrong. There were a few protests, but the mongrel traitor and War Criminal, Eisenhower, in flagrant violation of the Constitution that was then still regarded as in force, sent in troops to overawe the undegenerate parents with bayonets, and the majority of the boobs applauded, obviously not giving a damn about what would happen to their own hapless children.

So I shall not venture to say more than that I believe that there are still Americans who will disapprove of the Wave of the Future. And I do not expect them to do more than mutter to one another when they are sure they will not be overheard.

APPENDIX

Before you start cursing English "bigotry" and "intolerance" with Hibernian vehemence, perpend a well-known historical fact that is almost never mentioned in this context. In 1199, when Richard Cœur de Lion, suffering from mortification of the wound he had received at the siege of Châlus, was dying in agony, he named, or was said to have named, his younger and despicable brother, John, his successor as King of England, although he had theretofore tried to prevent John, who hated him, from attaining any political power, and had recognized as his successor the legitimate heir, Arthur of Brittany. Pope Innocent III, taking advantage of John's enormous unpopularity and his dubious title to the throne, which he had not strengthened by murdering the legitimate heir in 1203, forced John, in 1213, to acknowledge that the supreme ruler of England and Ireland, appointed by God, was the Pope, and that he, John, was King of England and Ireland only because those realms had been bestowed on him as a feudal fief by his sovereign lord, the Pope. This was not a legal fiction: Innocent actually began to rule England through his legates. This was more than Nor-dics could bear, and the revolt of the barons, who forced John to accept the Magna Carta of English liberties at Runnymede in 1215, was in part a revolt against Papal rule. It is uncertain what would have happened, if Innocent III had not died in 1216. John was succeeded by his son, the incompetent and thoroughly dishonest Henry III, who was little better than a Papal vassal, and the English had to force on

him the Provisions of Oxford in 1258 and finally to defeat him in battle in 1264, after which Henry was virtually or actually a prisoner until his death. Later Kings were strong enough to ignore Papal overlordship and later Popes realized they could not then enforce the right that John had conceded to them, but you should remember that the English always had hanging over them the menace of Papal suzerainty, which some reversal of fortune might make no longer theoretical. This political rather than religious consideration had much to do with the success of Henry VIII's repudiation of Papal authority over the English Church and with English enthusiasm for Queen Elizabeth when she succeeded Queen Mary.

Papal claims to Ireland were, for a long time, more than theoretical, and various pontiffs entertained hopes that, with the aid of France, Ireland could be detached from the English crown and made a separate kingdom in which Protestants could be exterminated. They counted especially on the activity of the Irish priests, most of whom constantly exhorted their ignorant customers to hate the English, the vile heretics who were enemies of God's Vicar on Earth, and declaimed about the Irish right to become true Christians and independent of the minions of the Anti-Christ, thus nurturing a spirit of clandestine sabotage and schemes for an open revolt, which was feared by the Catholic upper classes as much as by the Scotch and English Protestants in Ireland. Thanks to the Papacy and its priests, Ireland was for centuries a potential danger to England. That fact may make English distrust of Irish Catholics explicable in less emotional terms than you are accustomed to hear.

PHOTOGRAPHY

In the July issue I remarked that while the latest technology had made it much easier to produce photographic lies, especially in cinematographic form, it had long been possible to produce mendacious pictures, that is, pictures that portrayed something that did not happen. This, of course, is quite different from using genuine photographs while lying about the time and place at which they were taken, as, for example, the Sheenies do when they promote their great swindle, the Holofoax, by showing pictures of the bodies of German civilians massacred by the ferocious British and American barbarians at such places

as Dresden, but claiming the pictures showed members of the Holy Race whom the godless Germans had slain.

A subscriber has lent me a copy of the issue of *Trains* for August 1992, which reproduces on p. 27 a picture that was first published in that magazine in April 1970. The photograph was taken at night (with the aid of flash-bulbs) at Gilman, Illinois, where the tracks of the Toledo, Peoria & Western crossed the main line of the Illinois Central. A train on the former was shown waiting while a train of the Illinois Central was about to pass over the crossing. The photograph was interesting because such meetings of two trains became rare after passenger trains all but disappeared and freight trains became few, as a result of the Federal government's policy of liquidating American industry and the railroads on which it depended.

This photograph was accepted as showing an actual event for twenty-two years and until a man who had been familiar with the interlocking installation at Gilman and who had eyes worthy of Lynceus noticed that the signal on the left margin of the picture was in the wrong position. Investigation brought a confession from the photographer: he had simply set up his camera in a fixed position, photographed the Illinois Central train and then waited until a train on the Toledo, Peoria & Western came along, when he photographed it on the same film. He wanted only to produce an interesting photograph for sale to the magazine, and, by the way, put on his picture a caption that was misleading in its implication, but did not affirm that the photograph was genuine. (The old Jesuit trick of *suppressio veri*).

It is, perhaps, worthy of remark that the Illinois Central train shown in the picture was traveling on a track that was recently torn up and sold as junk.¹ The Illinois Central absorbed the Gulf, Mobile & Ohio, which had already absorbed the Chicago & Alton, the Gulf,

1. The same issue of *Trains* (P.O. Box 1612, Waukesha, Wisconsin; \$28.96 per annum) contains an article by R. L. Taylor, an economist with long experience in railway management and president of a small railway, who predicts "that in 10 years there will be no more railroads,....except for the publicly owned suburban passenger operations, which do not have to earn a return on investment." That, he says, will be the natural and inevitable culmination of the offensive against railways that began in 1920 "and will end only when the last mile of track is torn up and sold for scrap." If you want to date exactly the progressive hostility to railroads by an increasingly Marxist government, you

Mobile & Northern, and the New Orleans Great Northern, and the amalgamation fell into the hands of a management that has been dismembering it, selling off parts of it to corporations hurriedly organized to save some local industries, and ripping up much of the rest and selling it for scrap—scrap of which a large part may be sold to Japan for its ever thriving steel industry. The passing of the United States as an industrial nation is a phenomenon noted-with wonder throughout the world, except, of course, in the United States.

Mr. Taylor concludes his article with the hopeful suggestion that if the Americans come to their senses, they could create a system of transportation of both passengers and freight comparable to what is found in more advanced countries, such as Japan and France. But who can imagine that American peons, having long since passed the point of no return, could still become reasonable or again have a country of their own?

THE RAMPAGING OUTLAW

The tribunal in Tel-Aviv-on-the-Potomac has upheld a lower court, which sentenced Manuel Antonio Noriega, the former President of Panamá, to forty years in prison for having offended Lord Bushy. That was to be expected, since, as we all know, the function of the Revolutionary Tribunal is to give a legal coloring to the commands of our rulers.

What the old Supreme Court, which once sat in the same building, would have done is uncertain. It might well have held that the United States was bound by its treaties until it repudiated them, and that numerous treaties had recognized the Republic of Panamá as an independent and sovereign nation. But it is true that the Supreme Court often showed itself pavid and time-serving since 1860. The last Justice

might fix on 1917, when the crazed Americans set out on a Holy War that gave a pretext for seizure of all the railroads by the Jewish satrap who was the real ruler of the United States, "Barney" Baruch. When the railways were returned to their owners, the Federal government paid some compensation for the damage wrought, perhaps maliciously, by Baruch, but the sum paid was a mere fraction of the cost of restoring the railroads to efficient operation. For some of the looting in other fields of industry during the jihad, see Professor James J. Martin's *The Saga of Hog Island and Other Essays in Inconvenient History* (Colorado Springs, Ralph Myles, 1977).

who dared apply the provisions of the Constitution strictly, even when his decision would be unpopular, was Chief Justice Robert B. Taney.

It is true, also, that the Republic of Panamá was the result of a blunder by an American employee, who exceeded his instructions.¹ But the United States had repeatedly recognized it as a sovereign nation, as, indeed, it was necessary to do before stripping the American boobs of the canal they had built through the Isthmus.

The effect of the recent decision is merely to confirm the status of the American people as a horde of barbarians who have long repudiated international law and the standards of civilization.² Their government has now openly arrogated to itself the privileges of its Jewish masters, who operate as outlaws and terrorists, with contemptuous disregard of the integrity of civilized nations, as in their kidnapping of Eichmann from Argentina.³

The interesting corollary of this is that we cannot reasonably complain if other countries emulate our banditry and contempt for nations that do not have the power to answer our lawless violence with force. For example, a British court recently indicted the American aviators who, by what must have been more than negligence, killed nine British soldiers, whom Mrs. Thatcher had sent to the Persian Gulf to give a semblance of British coöperation in Lord Bushy's attack on Iraq. The indictments were followed by a demand for extradition of the guilty, but the Director of Public Prosecutions then ruled that British courts had no jurisdiction to punish crimes that were not committed on British territory (except when God's Race wanted to make some Aryan suffer).⁴

I do not know where the American aviators are now. If they are on some foreign station, the British Secret Service, emulating Mossad's

1. Cf. *Liberty Bell*, July 1990, pp. 1-16.

2. Cf. *Liberty Bell*, July 1992, pp. 17-28.

3. See *Liberty Bell*, August 1988. The article is accurate in its description of the Jewish outrage. (Mr. Taylor, of course, is the only authority for the narration of his personal experiences.) The best commentary on the affair is Paul Rassiniér's *Le véritable procès Eichmann, ou Les vainqueurs incorrigibles* (Paris, 1963; English translation available from the Noontide Press, Costa Mesa, California).

4. See the well-reasoned letter by H. S. Hall in the July issue of *Spear-*

kidapping of Vanunu in England, could use an attractive female operative as bait to lure them into a place in which they could be packed up and shipped to England, and the British, emulating the United States' treatment of Noriega, could then try them in violation of British law, and hang them. But if the aviators have returned to this country, they could not be kidnapped without arousing vehement protest from the Irish in the United States, and even Bushy might feel that the British had infringed on his righteous privilege to order terrorist abductions.

We can go farther in our speculations. When Bushy recently visited Panamá, a large contingent of agents with drawn guns protected him from the crowds of Panamanians, who jeered him to express their hatred of the country that had lawlessly and treacherously (i.e., without provocation and without warning) invaded their own. And, of course, his army was ready to act, should he need further protection. It is not inconceivable, however, that our Lord might some day, especially after he leaves the White House, find himself in a position in which Palestinian agents could kidnap him and take him to Saddam Hussein for trial and eventual execution as a "war criminal." There is an aphorism about men of violence who are hoist with their own petard.

This, of course, does not mean that we should welcome such a bit of "poetic justice." We know now that Ross Perot's antics were designed, as we suspected from the first,⁵ to ruin Patrick Buchanan's candidacy for nomination in the Republican wing of the

head, p. 15. The information that has reached me neither discloses the probable motive of the pilots who killed the British soldiers, nor indicates their race. Congoids are biologically incapable of piloting aircraft, but it is said that some mulattos can be trained to do so, and, of course, in a multi-racial compost heap, they would be cuddled and given preference over Americans. Jews have deeply penetrated the Air Force and are usually competent pilots, as are many Semites and Mongolians.

5. The alternative was that he intended to throw the election into the House of Representatives and thus ensure the election of the sleazy race-mixer called Clinton. That seemed less probable. In most states, persons who signed petitions to put Perot on the ballot thereby barred themselves from voting for Buchanan in the Republican primary. It is noteworthy that Perot, having appealed to the voters who were likely to support Buchanan, proceeded to demoralize them by a series of well-timed announcements by which he destroyed, one after another,

Demopublican Party. Buchanan, nevertheless, received 27% of the votes in California as a whole, 30% in Los Angeles County, and 52% in one district in the city. That, however, was the end of his campaign.

Now the voters are faced with a choice between Lord Bushy and a mongrel on whom respectable niggers will look down, recognizing him as a "piece of poor White trash."⁶ Plain self-respect obliges us to choose to serve Bushy, who, to everyone's astonishment, has, since Buchanan announced his candidacy, shown an unwonted respect for American interests.

He refused to give the Sheenies in Israel the ten billion dollars they demanded from their American serfs in addition to the \$110,000,000 the tax-paying animals give them *every week*. He thus—bravely, it seems—made indignant the Masters of Deceit, who expect their dogs to perform on command. He went down to Rio de Janeiro to attend an international conference on ecology which the "Third World" was using to shakedown the Aryan boobs in North America and Europe, and he refused to yield to blackmail, even though "scientists," ambitious for publicity and promotion, were hysterically yelling that we have "only two years to save the planet," at the expense, of course, of American tax-paying animals. It would be too much to suppose that he had a change of heart, but at the very least we can respect him as a literate man, infinitely superior to the bedraggled Jews' stooge whom the Demopublicans have presented to us as the only alternative. One could, of course, argue that election of the multiracial scalawag would encourage his masters to hasten the inevitable collapse of the United States, but it is hard to see how that would be an advantage.

their hopes that, if elected, he would represent American interests in one or another item of policy, such as the right to own guns and halting the immigration of racial garbage.

6. 'Poor' refers to quality, not to income. Before the Jews succeeded in mobilizing the niggers as a weapon against us, there was a social structure in all communities that contained a considerable number of blacks: (1) White people, always recognized as superior; (2) Decent "colored folk," who took pride in the Aryans who employed them; (3) "White trash," persons whom respectable Whites regarded as unacceptable and untrustworthy or whose conduct evinced dishonesty or lawlessness; and, barely below them, (4) "no-count niggers," who were shiftless, disinclined to work, and criminally inclined.

TELEVISION

I shall not be astonished if the Den of Thieves¹ enacts legislation to restrict or harass the companies that provide television by cable. I do not know whether a preliminary step was taken by the new regulation that permits local telephone companies, many or all of which are now in the hands of Jews, to engage in that business.

Alternatively, technology may be used to accomplish the same end. In Britain, the Jewish government has just opened to a broadcasting company the ultra-high-frequency channels that "are currently used to connect video machines and games, satellite receivers, and simple computers to television sets." It is estimated that "between four and eight million video recorders and an unknown number of satellite receivers and video games will need retuning."² The possibility of manipulation to the disadvantage of cable television is obvious. And there is in the offing the present deadlock between the Japanese, who have invented a type of television that produces pictures of much sharper definition and greater clarity, and a European consortium, headed by the Philips Company, which has devised a different system that is "almost as good." Whichever system is finally adopted, all existing television sets and video-tapes will be made obsolete.

The objection to cable television, of course, is that it permits Americans to watch television screens without having their faces pelted with Jewish excrement from the three big broadcasting networks. And what is even worse, some cable television gives to its subscribers information that has not been warranted *kosher*.

1. The boobs recently manifested some indignation when it was disclosed that many Congressmen habitually wrote fraudulent cheques on their private bank, making it insolvent, but they have for decades acquiesced with bovine stolidity when the thieves regularly embezzle the funds that are ostensibly extorted for "Social Security," which, in addition to being a means of numbering tax-paying animals and subjecting them to perpetual surveillance, is simply a swindle of the type first made famous by Ivan Kruger and adopted by innumerable crooks thereafter. And the boobs acquiesce when the elected thieves increase taxes or the public debt and produce an inflation that robs every American who owns bonds, insurance policies, equity in pension plans, or other investments that have a fixed return—robs him as openly and criminally as though the Congressmen flourished gats and emptied his pockets.

2. See the *New Scientist* (London), 18 July 1992, p.6.

In the June issue of *Liberty Bell* I mentioned the Cable News Network, which had permitted its subscribers to see what was actually happening in Baghdad during our War Lord's attack on Iraq. That must have been most annoying to our rulers. And now Cable News Network has obtained and exhibited to its subscribers the whole of the video-tape that shows the efforts of the Los Angeles police to subdue a vicious criminal named King, thus exposing the Jewish broadcasters' mendacious use of a tiny segment of that tape, over and over again, for an entire year to help the black scoundrel that is mayor of Los Angeles take over and sabotage the city's distressingly efficient police force and incite the riot that was the opening of the coming race war in the United States.

The viewers saw the huge black felon, who must be a creature of almost Herculean strength, attack the police officers who had stopped him with a roadblock after pursuing him as he drove through a residential district at a hundred miles an hour with typically negroid disregard of human life. They saw the four officers' efforts to subdue the rabid beast in a fight of which the outcome was for a time in doubt. And they knew how the Sheenies' slime machine had lied to the American public for thirteen months.

This is not to say that the policemen's conduct was irreproachable. What they should have done, of course, was not engage in physical combat with the ferocious criminal, but just shoot him as one shoots a rabid dog. The nigger who was elected mayor by the stupid White oafs in Los Angeles would have yelped, of course, but a responsible state government would have had the National Guard prepared to deal promptly and properly with any outbreak by his fellow savages.

You can see why cable television distresses our rulers. You would suppose that visual revelation of the truth would be news, but all the daily newspapers are either owned by the Sheenies or aware that they exist only on sufferance. I seldom read newspapers, for I must rely on friends to send me cuttings of items they deem important, so I may be mistaken, but so far as I know, the *Houston Post* was the only newspaper which even printed so much as a letter from a subscriber who had watched the Cable News Network's showing of the cinematographic record. Many other papers, no doubt inadvertently, reprinted the two letters from the *Post* when they were quoted in a syndicated column

for perplexed females conducted under the name of Abigail Van Buren by a clever woman who is said to be a Jewess. I hope B'nai B'rith will not spank her for her indiscretion. □

WHICH WAY, WESTERN MAN? SURVIVAL MANUAL FOR THE WHITE RACE

William Gayley Simpson has spent a lifetime of keen observation, careful analysis, and deep reflection developing the principal thesis of his book: that the single, undying purpose of all human activity should be the ennobling of man. In support of this thesis he looks at the foundations of Western Society, at the structure of our government, at the effect of technology and industrialization on man, at the rôles of the sexes, at economics, and at race. The book goes to the roots of the problems facing the White Race today, and it shows the ways in which White society must be changed if the race is to survive. *Which Way Western Man?* is an encyclopedic work whose conclusions can be ignored by no one with a sense of responsibility to the future. For your copy of *Which Way Western Man?* send \$17.50 (which includes \$2.50 for postage and handling for the softback edition (Order No. 22003).

DOES THE WEST HAVE THE WILL TO SURVIVE?

That is the obvious question posed by Jean Raspail's terrifying novel of the swamping of the White world by an unlimited flood of non-White "refugees." But there is also a less obvious and even more fundamental question: Must Whites find their way to a new Morality and a new spirituality in order to face the moral challenges of the present and overcome them? *THE CAMP OF THE SAINTS* is the most frightening book you will ever read. It is frightening because it is utterly believable. The armada of refugee ships in Raspail's story is exactly like the one that dumped 150,000 Cubans from Fidel Castro's prisons and insane asylums on our shores in 1980—except this time the armada is from India, with more than 70 times as large a population. And it is only the first armada of many. If any book will awaken White Americans to the danger they face from uncontrolled immigration, it is *THE CAMP OF THE SAINTS*. For your copy (Order No. 03014) send \$10.00 (which includes \$1.50 for postage and handling). Sample copy of our monthly magazine *Liberty Bell* and copy of our huge book list containing hundreds of "Eye-Openers," \$5.00. Subscription for 12 hard-hitting, fact-packed issues \$40.00 (U.S. only). Order from:

LIBERTY BELL PUBLICATIONS
Postoffice Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA

Why Despair? — Comments on
"Here Comes the Revolution". *Liberty
Bell*, May 1992

I have just read *Sex vs. Civilization*.
I agree with Eric Thomson and Elmer
Pendel's conclusions that the gradual
fall of the Aryan civilizations through-

out history have been caused by racial degeneration. This is true for
America also. The Jews are right when they say, "Race Is Everything."

The reason that I may have sounded so defeatist to *Liberty Bell* read-
ers in past letters is that I find it so very painful to watch my race dying
before my eyes. The white race is physically the most beautiful race on
planet Earth. This is why young white girls bring the highest price on the
slave market.

The white race is plummeting in America, and will soon be a numer-
ical minority. When this happens, the real horrors will begin. Even in
rural America, I see my race's beautiful blonde, blue-eyed girls eager to
line up for servicing by Negroes or Mexicans. Of course, the white boys
stand by and whimper about it; they having learned how to best whim-
per from their fathers. Then, the white boys marry Mexicans, Asians, or
queers, because they can't find any decent white girls to marry. It goes
without saying that the white girls' Christian fathers, mothers, and broth-
ers, won't say a word about race-mixing for fear of loosing their jobs, new
homes, new cars, new boats, new TVs, new stereos, new Nintendos, new
credit cards, new baubles and bubbles. Many white Americans are a dis-
grace to the white race.

To be honest, their destruction will be a benefit to the race as a whole,
so that the white race may survive somewhere else other than America.
Surely you must have figured out that the white race will necessarily
have to fight and defeat the American military in order to survive the up-
coming real Holocaust already being waged against it. Good luck fighting
against Cruise missiles, M-1 Abrahms, F-11's, and F-15's! America is
doomed, and rightfully so. Many white Americans don't deserve to be
saved anyway.

Have you ever asked yourself how *you* are going to stop the destruc-
tion of Western Civilization and the white race?

The Jewish religion, which is aimed at destroying the white race,
has been working on this goal for centuries. The Aryans are the Jews'
foremost threat to world domination. The white race's ancestors, the
Romans, sacked their Temple twice in ancient times. Thus, the Jewish
Babylonian Talmud is rife with hatred of the white race. Somehow, the
Aryans have always managed to survive and create new civilizations
after the Jews have destroyed their previous ones. Now the historical
cycle is different. This is the first time that the Jews have risen to

LETTERS to the EDITOR

World Masters and are targeting the white race for "utter and total" de-
struction. The Jews have upped the ante to causing mortal combat be-
tween the diverse races; but only the whites are too ignorant to
understand this. After the white race's genes have been destroyed, the
race will be lost forever. The only good news is that if this happens, the
world will finally be rid of that cursed abomination of Christian hypoc-
risy.

By the way, if one considers oneself to be an Anglo-Saxon by race,
then, according to Webster, one is German of blood and by race. If this is
so, what were WWs I and II all about anyway?

The viability of a herd can be measured by the number of its breed-
ing females. The white herd of *goyim* in America is entering into its death
throes. The majority of young Caucasian females of breeding age won't
breed true to race. One can see this in every metropolitan area in America.
True racial breeding is the only thing that will keep the white race viable
as a people. The racial demographics of the situation in this country are
obvious.

Don't sugar coat the desperate situation of the white race in America
by criticizing with self-righteous words of "defeatism" or "negativism,"
or "secret death-wish," in talking about the attempts of those who under-
stand the difficulties that lie ahead for the survival of the white race on
planet earth.

Instead, please tell us *Liberty Bell* readers when you are going to take
up the sword, pull the trigger, and start the bloody revolution that *must*
take place in America in order for the white race to survive. Or, will you
go back to your paycheck, your new home, your new car, or your new
bubble and bauble? When and where are *you* going to die to save the
White Race? Excuses, eh?

Publicus Prudentis

Rescuing an Ideologue. At a time when ideologies are collapsing more
quickly than fat people at a Marathon, I think we might just be able to muscle
our way into the main-stream of the "World-Spirit," if we can call on the ex-
ertions of all of the soldiers in the Fascist "Legion of the Lost."

One such figure whom I would like to bring to the attention of
readers of *Liberty Bell* is the well-nigh forgotten philosopher and theo-
logian Jakob Friedrich Fries, who was born in 1775 and died in 1843.

Apart from the contributions made by our hero to a large number of
philosophical disciplines, what should interest us most particularly is a
booklet published by Fries in the summer of 1816, entitled "Über die
Gefährdung des Wohlstandes und Charakters der Deutschen durch die
Juden;" in this astonishing little work, Fries anticipates with uncanny
prescience the conclusions of forthright thinkers of a much later day: on
page 243 of the version published in the *Heidelbergische Jahrbücher der*

Litteratur, Fries describes the Jews as the "bloodsuckers of the Volk," who live not according to the laws of Moses, but according to the Talmud." He goes on to assert that the Jews must be eliminated in their entirety ("mit Stumpf und Stiel ausgerottet"), because of the obvious fact that the Jews are "of all secret political societies and states-within-a-state by far the most dangerous"! He then goes on, in quick succession, to demand a prototype of the Nuremberg Laws to protect the German Volk from the unending depredations of these vampiric aliens, an end to all immigration of Jews into the Fatherland, and that the Germans should force all Jews to wear a "special mark upon their clothing." All this in 1816!

So the next time you see the mutants in the urban bookstore clearing off the shelves of now-obsolete Marxist "literature" (except, that is, for the bilge of the Trotskyites—they learn nothing, and forget nothing), remember that that vacant shelf-space might soon be occupied by the writing of the illustrious—but temporarily obscure—Jakob Friedrich Fries.

J.P., New York State

Dear George:

Kosher In, Kosher Out! The kosher outfit known as Minnesota Mining and Manufacturing, Inc. has come up with a splendid piece of Jewish engineering. It is an All Purpose Scotch Brite Toilet Bowl Scrub, in blue, no less. This kosher item carries the circled 'U' on the cardboard holder indicating approval by the Union of Orthodox Hebrew Congregations. You can buy one for only \$3.69. Such a bargain!

The Jews with their kosher extortion racket not only clip Americans at the check-out counter of their food store, they clip them again at the other end of their digestive tracts. That's called chutzpah!

Sincerely, M.D., Washington

Dear Mr. Dietz:

I think you will have some Spanish speaking person within your staff; thus I send you a copy of the front page of a weekly which deals with the "Attentat" against the embassy of Israel in Buenos Aires. Everybody here knew of its background, but to the editor of this weekly goes the credit for publishing it.

It's common all over the world that when Jewish issues are at stake—e.g., push laws through parliament which favor Jews, struggle for privileges, or simply to camouflage Jewish crimes (mainly economic ones) and distract public attention off major issues—an "anti-Semitic" action will be staged. Such is the case here too.

In deed, an attentat with a car bomb was planned and effectively car-

ried out. On this behalf, shortly *before* the attentat started, the staff of the Israeli embassy (in the ground- and upper floors) was evacuated—the same thing happened with the staff of the Romanian embassy in the immediate neighborhood. Recently, after these measures were carried out, the attentat was executed as planned.

But then something happened that wasn't in the plan. You must know that in the basement of the embassy building there was a huge quantity of weapons, ammunition and explosives. (It is the general opinion that among all this war-material there was also one (or more) Argentine Condor rocket. This rocket was employed by Iraq during the Gulf War, superior to the Scuds, and it was the only one that caused damage and losses to the American armed forces. Consequently, the plant which produced the rockets (near the city of Cordoba) was not only closed by pressure of the USA, but also entirely dismantled. The rockets already finished or half finished were shipped away. And the Israelis claimed their share. Thus a rocket reached the embassy in question). All this stuff exploded, unforeseen, with the car bomb. This was the very reason for the enormous destruction, not yet knowing up to know the entire extend of the catastrophe.

Why was this attentat staged? First, by accusing the Iranians they wanted to discredit the government of Teheran as well as the Arabic and Islamic world as a whole. Second, hit the Arabic influence on and in connection with the Argentine government as well as denounce nationalist groups in Argentina, push through "anti-hate laws" and intimidate the entire population which is seriously concerned about the growing influence of the Jews on the clonish, dictatorial President Menem. Third, accelerate the way for and suppress any objection against the planned mass-immigration of Jews from Russia.

It was officially announced that Argentina will admit 1,000 Jewish families (that means roughly 5,000 persons) from Russia every three months—settling them mainly in Patagon (but also in the provinces of Entre Rios and Misiones) where an adequate infrastructure already exists. This turns into reality the prophecy of Theodore Herzl made in his book *The Jewish Estate* where he proposes Argentina as an alternative to Palestine. After the revolution of 1905 in Russia, already a stream of Jewish immigrants (financed and protected by Baron Hirsch, chief of Bunge & Born, one of the most important grain dealers in the world) entered this country. They formed the basis of Jewry in Argentina. Enlarged by the influx of many gassed Jews after WWII, this community is very powerful now and counts nowadays between 700 thousand and 1 million members.

Sincerely, E. S., Argentina

THE PANSY CORPS

by
Major Joe Stano, USAF-Ret.
22 July 1992

ONE: GAS BAG ATTACK!

Can there be a greater delight than puncturing the pomposity of a posturing ass? I rather doubt it. On 7 July 1992, a monstrous Zeppelin named Robert Mitchell, Commander, U.S. Navy Reserve, blotting out the sun and darkened the face of the land as it sailed majestically across the "Viewpoints" page of the *Asbury Park Press*.

Not since the days of Lieutenant Frank Luke, World War I "Balloon Buster," has a fighter jock had a better opportunity to let the wind out of a great bag of gas! So...let's "eyeball a mil setting in the gunsight...arm the switches," and "roll in" on the good ship Robert Mitchell!

"Tallyho the bogie!"

"Attacking!"

"SPLASH ONE!"

* * *

A very minor victory. One bulging bag of gas out of a vast Armada of "Politically Correct" Zeppelins that have been launched by the media to carry this message: QUEERS BELONG IN THE MILITARY!

This vast Armada of P.C. Gas Bags is commanded by the greatest GAS BAG of them all: Field Marshal, Air Marshal, Fleet Admiral Pat Schroeder, Congress..er..person? and Democrat from Colorado. A self-styled "military expert" who would have great difficulty in telling a Zeppelin from a Zucchini. A thoroughly dumb broad, who was apparently informed by "Shirley of Hollywood"—a really dumb broad—that she was Karl von Clausewitz in another life.

Well...maybe—intellectually speaking—the horse of Karl von Clausewitz. Specifically: the south end of Karl's horse—as it gallops north!

If there's any justice in this world—or the next one—"Shirley of Hollywood" will spend her next life as a fire hydrant—right in front of Doris Day's house!

Of course, it's "a piece of cake" for a fighter jock to shoot down a big, slow, unmaneuverable bag of gas like the Robert Mitchell—a target that big is hard to miss. That's why all the jocks have been grounded by the media, and it's a rare event when a real jock is actually allowed a shot at these politically correct blimps. Let's face it, all those non-military types could not possibly survive in a real "dog fight" with the professionals. So the American public is informed all about the military by non-military "experts," and the professional soldiers are pointedly ignored and silenced.

Now, this aerial skirmish actually had its start when the *Asbury Park Press* screwed-up and inadvertently allowed a real fighter jock, Lt. Cmdr. Ed Toner, to shoot down another bag of gas, the titanic dirigible: Arthur P. Brill Jr.

So, let's debrief these two sorties. Both of them were good, clean kills.

TWO: OF CABBAGES AND NAVIGUESSERS.

Robert Mitchell, Commander, U.S. Navy Reserve, is an unabashed supporter of homosexuals in the military. Specifically, in the U.S. Navy, where one Lt.J.G. Tracy Thorne is about to get his butt and frilly frocks booted out of service.

It seems our Nancy-boy had come flouncing out of his closet to proclaim that he was "gay." Which is perfectly all right with the U.S. Navy: The Navy doesn't mind if a chap is "gay": joyful, cheerful—even quite jolly—as long as he's not QUEER! And Tracy Thorne is now boasting that he can make change of a nine dollar bill—with three threes! Although Robert Mitchell might think this trick is "precious"—the U.S. Navy thinks otherwise.

Naturally, that great vegetable intellect of the House of Representatives, "Cabbage Head" Schroeder, has introduced a bill in Congress that would make perverts welcome in the military. Of course, this bill is in keeping with a campaign pledge of "Slick-Willy" Clinton to allow perverts in the military. Well...what can you expect from a cowardly DRAFT-DODGER who wants to be Commander In Chief?

If Schroeder doesn't get her bill passed, she has threatened to go into her infamous "CABBAGE-PATCH" blubber! A terrible sight that has been known to curdle a fellow's beer!

In his *Asbury Park Press* "Viewpoints" article, Mitchell declared that he was "outraged" because Lt. Cmdr. Ed. Toner, a retired Naval

fighter pilot, and a good, upstanding, beer drinkin' Irishman, had a letter published responding to a long article written by Arthur P. Brill Jr. In his article, Brill fervently supported the gay navigator Tracy Thorne, whom he declared to be a "navigator-bombardier" of heroic proportions, as in: "...one hell of a flight officer, capable of spotting and zapping a target at tree-top level in an A-6 attack jet at 500 mph."

Y A W N ! Say...how come I'm not thrilled?

Brill, a non-flyer, may be just thrilled to pieces with his gay navigator, but there are some fighter jocks who consider the "naviguesser" to be a "passenger," or less kindly, "dead weight."

Lt.Cmdr. Toner, writing for the overwhelming majority of the military—and all fighter jocks—noted that Brill was a non-pilot, a non-aviator, and he did not have the expertise or experience to comment on Thorne's flying in a combat aircraft. Indeed, it is that same lack of any expertise and experience possessed by the thoroughly "outraged" Robert Mitchell, that makes him, like Brill, "experts" in something they know absolutely nothing about. Like "doctors" with degrees in Sociology—in Operating Rooms.

Of course, it doesn't take an intellect much greater than that of a Barbary Ape to realize that non-aviators, like Brill and Mitchell, must support a homosexual in Naval Aviation, because the aviators who do the job don't want homosexuals in the aircraft. It's the same reason the National Organization of Women must support females as line-backers in the NFL, because the professionals don't want broads on the field.

Robert Mitchell may sometimes wear a Navy uniform in the reserves, but he is certainly not Navy, or—THANK GOD!—Air Force. All his huffery and puffery about flying and combat clearly defines him as a non-aviator and non-combatant. I'm afraid that Mitchell falls into that category known to the Navy and Air Force as a "Ground Pounder," or a "Gravel Grinder." In short, one who has never seen the deck of a ship or the cockpit of an aircraft.

I don't know what Mitchell does in the Navy, but whatever it is, it ain't military. What kind of job is it that gives Mitchell this strange view of the military? Supply, accounting, dentistry? Proctology?

In point of fact, if Mitchell were military, he would realize that he has committed a serious breach of military etiquette: It's considered very bad form for a "Desk Jock" to criticize a "Fighter Jock."

Shame on you Robert Mitchell!

THREE: TARGETS OF OPPORTUNITY.

If it's not breaking some unwritten law of journalism that abso-

lutely prohibits persons with expertise and experience in a specific field from commenting on that field, as an old Air Force pilot with plenty of combat time, let me answer some of the silly statements made by the thoroughly "outraged" Mitchell, and in doing so, explain why having homosexuals in the military would be an absolute disaster for the United States.

* * *

Mitchell pouts that Ed Toner "makes several unreasonable statements and implications." Well, let's take a look at them:

Mitchell takes exception to the fact that Lt.J.G. Thorne lied when he took an oath that he was not a homosexual. Mitchell dismisses this oath as meaningless.

Is it as meaningless as Thorne's oath to defend the Constitution? Is it as meaningless as Thorne's oath to obey the orders of his Commander In Chief? As meaningless as all those other oaths regarding Secret and Top Secret information? According to Mitchell, one may selectively obey oaths.

* * *

Mitchell is "outraged" because a fighter pilot like Ed Toner is not properly impressed with Thorne's job as a "navigator-bombardier." A job Mitchell obviously knows nothing about.

Alas, if Mitchell were military, he would realize that those who fly regard themselves as far superior to those who don't. And those who fly fighters regard themselves as superior to anything on the planet.

In point of fact, fighter pilots only reluctantly concede that bomber pilots are actually pilots—well, sort of—and they do not hold them in the highest of esteem, as evidenced by this fine old fighter pilot's song:

"Oh, the bomber pilot's life is just a farce.

Oh, the bomber pilot's life is just a farce.

The automatic pilot's on...he's reading novels in the john.

The bomber pilot's life is just a farce."

As for all other aviators—including the magnificent navigator-bombardier Tracy Thorne—the fighter jocks sort of summed things up during the Second World War with this little ditty:

"Oh, there are no fighter pilots down in hell.

Oh, there are no fighter pilots down in hell.

Oh, the place is full of queers...navigators...bombardiers.

There are no fighter pilots down in hell."

* * *

Mitchell claims there are many gays, "still in the closet, who are excellent sailors."

By my orders:

"Ten-n...HUT!

Open-n...MOUTH!

Inser-r-t...FOOT!"

If Mitchell knows of homosexuals in the military, he is now in violation of a half-dozen Articles of the Uniform Code of Military Justice. And, I rather expect, very soggy feet—from constantly shoving them in his mouth.

* * *

Poor Mitchell is in a positive tizzy over Toner's statement: "no pilot in his right mind would want a wounded homosexual next to him." He pouts that this is "AIDS trash."

Is it? Well...let's see about that:

The U.S. Center for Disease Control clearly documents the primary spread of AIDS as coming from two sources: Homosexuals and Intravenous drug users.

Both of these groups are now barred from the military—with good reason! If homosexuals are allowed in the military, we can expect to have in service the type of individual that the Center for Disease Control found in the so-called "Gay Community."

According to CDC research: The "average" homosexual living in a "Gay Community" went to gatherings of homosexuals on an average of three times a week; wherein, he had sex with an average of five different partners. On the other days of the week, he had sexual encounters with strangers or planned liaisons with known partners.

The reason AIDS spread like wildfire amongst homosexuals, is their lifestyle, it is a lifestyle that would duplicate an effort in a laboratory to reproduce a disease for study. The homosexual is a walking Petri Dish, very receptive to the production and spread of any bacteria or virus.

Make no mistake about this: The lifestyle of the homosexual has no counterpart in the heterosexual community. Unless one were to compare it to the promiscuity of a prostitute—in a Panamanian "crib."

Even with the whole of the media shouting AIDS, morning,

noon and night, homosexuals are still the primary vector for the spread of AIDS. Clearly, the perverted lifestyle of homosexuals means more to them than life itself. Their claims of practicing "safe sex" with condoms runs into a law of probability. A condom is only 80 to 85 percent effective. That's just about the odds you will get playing Russian Roulette. Given their multiplicity of partners, it would be remarkable if a homosexual didn't get AIDS.

First Aid and Emergency Squads now wear masks, goggles, gloves and disposable smocks when dealing with any trauma case that produces bleeding. In every Trauma Center of every hospital, extreme care must now be taken to prevent the spread of AIDS from the blood of patients.

And then we have something called...COMBAT. Combat is a BLOODY MESS!

* * *

A naval ship in combat may have to use the Mess Deck as a hospital, its usually the only large space available. The wounded are simply carried in by their shipmates who have invariably come into contact with the wounded man's blood.

During combat, the deck may be splattered with blood, with men slipping and sliding in it as the ship maneuvers during a naval action.

If we add contaminated blood to this charming abattoir, we will certainly have men who survive their wounds, only to die of AIDS, years later, because an infected homosexual had his blood spread about. These men may even infect their wives and children before they find out that they are HIV Positive.

* * *

As for the "Grunts" on land, the threat is even greater, in that, they may encounter wounded, and bleeding, on a daily basis.

Unlike a ship, stretchers are not readily available in the field. The wounded are usually moved with a "Fireman's Carry," on the back of a fellow soldier, who may end up soaked with the wounded man's blood.

It's interesting to note that a pilot program in New York City that had firefighters responding to cardiac emergencies, along with the Emergency Medical Service, has been suspended because some of the firefighters were sent to treat the gunshot and knife wounds of

persons who were later found to be HIV positive.

The New York firefighters are now demanding the goggles, gloves and protective smocks that the Emergency Medical Service are required to wear. Who can blame them?

What safety measures can be taken in dealing with a wounded homosexual in the field? Obviously none. Do you ask for a "volunteer" to carry a wounded homosexual to a medical station or to a helicopter "Dust Off"? Or, does the Officer / NCO order another homosexual to carry a wounded homosexual? And...will he do it?

* * *

There are two reasons for typing the blood of military personnel: The first is to give the fellow a transfusion if he is wounded and he needs blood. The second reason is to use the fellow's blood for a battlefield transfusion. All armies rely on their "walking blood banks."

With homosexuals in the military, and in combat, many of our wounded would refuse a battlefield transfusion and prefer to take their chances until properly screened blood is available. Without a doubt, this will cost us needless deaths.

* * *

Mitchell doesn't see why Lt.Cmdr. Toner would not want to fly with a homosexual in the cockpit. Ed Toner put it quite succinctly: "No pilot in his right mind would want a wounded homosexual next to him."

Mitchell, the non-pilot, the non-combatant, calls on every bit of his non-expertise and non-experience to make this preposterous state

Aerial combat produces special problems when there is bleeding. The Bad Guys have punched holes in your nice cockpit and maybe wounded someone in the process. Add any fluid, and those holes, along with the wind-blast, produce the same effect as an aerosol can. There were times when wounded pilots had great difficulty seeing and flying the aircraft, because the wind-blast from the holes in the cockpit was spraying their blood in their face and coating the inside of the canopy.

Mitchell, old boy, aerial combat can really be a very BLOODY MESS!

In side-by-side seating—a type of aircraft flown by our gay navigator—an AA hit could result in a man having an arm or a leg blown off. A massive wound of this type will produce a massive problem in the cockpit.

In fact, it happened to a friend of mine. He was flying as a navigator in the right seat of an A-26 on a night mission over the Ho Chi Minh Trail in Laos.

They took a 37MM hit on the right side of the aircraft. It blew his right arm off. The pilot actually managed to get that shot-up wreck back to the base—they had to scrap it. But it was too late, he died from a massive loss of blood.

* * *

If the politicians like "Slick Willy" and old "Cabbage Head," force the military to accept homosexuals, all the BLOODY PROBLEMS that I've mentioned will be solved on the field of combat.

It's inevitable that a wounded homosexual will be found to be HIV Positive. Members of his unit will be called in from the field, or assembled on board ship, and informed of this fact. And they will be tested for AIDS—just like the New York firefighters.

It will not take very long for military personnel in combat to realize that the homosexuals amongst them constitute a threat to themselves, their comrades, and even their wives and children. A threat above and beyond anything they bargained for when they volunteered to serve their country.

The Pentagon will vehemently deny this next statement, but I'm certain the Grunts will solve their problem in the field by "fragging the queers." The Navy boys will schedule them for "shark bait," and a great many homosexuals will simply be reported as "missing at sea."

Of course, the politicians and the media will be HORRIFIED! Combat veterans will not be the least bit surprised.

The politicians who stick their big nose into something they know nothing about—COMBAT—and think it's like a Hollywood movie—are in for one hell of a big surprise!

FOUR: SCREAMIN' EAGLES, OR SCREAMIN' QUEENS?

If our politicians cave in to the awesome media might of PERVERT POWER and force the U.S. military to accept homosexuals, I hope the American people realize that the military will not be able to discriminate amongst any of the different perverts eager to join the Pansy Corps of Pat Schroeder. Once they get their foot in the door, it may be squeezed into a patent leather pump instead of a combat boot. Along with the "almost" normal looking, we will also get the freaks who mince and flounce down Broadway in New York City, when New York airs its garbage during its "Gay

Pride Parade." Ah yes, the air is filled with lavender, as 70,000 marching, mincing-flouncers take to the streets to the squeaks, squeals and cheers of a half-million of New York's terminally strange.

The blatantly perverted "Queens" will probably necessitate some fundamental changes in Basic Training. Changes ordered by Commander In Chief..SLICK WILLY! Orders carried out by Secretary of Defense...PAT SCHROEDER! As in:

"Ten-n-n...HUT!

By the RIGHT FLANK!

FOR-WAR-R-RD...FLOUNCE!

You there! Stop that skipping!"

And then there is that problem associated with the "new" military dress code. Since the military now permits service personnel to wear "civies" in their off hours—and only limited space is available in a barracks or on board a ship—where will our military queens keep their ball gowns? And what security will be provided for their TIARAS?

Admittedly, this will not be a problem for all the BULL DYKES, who prefer to dress like one of the guys...only more MACHO...in leather...with chains...

The feminists—or FEMINUTS—are always complaining about the so-called "Old Boy's Network." Do they really think it will be any better with an "Old Queen's Network"?

One can well imagine the new variety of "sexual harassment" cases that the military will have to deal with: None of the usual weeping, wailing and blubbering about being physically, or the ever-popular, "verbally" molested—just a short right to the jaw!

Now, if a junior decks a senior, or an enlisted man decks an officer, whose word does one take about what, when, where and how? With

Given the undisputable fact that homosexuals and lesbians always "network" in support of their kind—they're famous for it—with a Pervert's Network in place, any "straight" male or female will have as much chance as a snowball in hell if he or she is engaged in a conflict with a pervert.

The result of forcing the military to accept homosexuals, will be an internecine battle. A battle between the "straights" and the "per-

verts." A battle that will destroy discipline and therefore military effectiveness.

Military "discipline" is not to be confused with the pervert "discipline" of the "whip and chains" degenerates, who routinely send their paramours off to the Emergency Room or the Morgue when their so-called "lovemaking" gets out of hand. The loathsome "S&M" perverts who, I'm sure, are just "dying" to get in the military.

During wars the military gets its share of perverts and degenerates—some of whom even volunteer for service. We get the sadists who will beat a prostitute to a bloody pulp—just for kicks! We get the child-molesters. Any kind of perversion you can name—we get it! It's the same with any military during any war, when the military can't properly screen those coming into service.

The professional soldiers have the duty to keep an eye out for this filth and toss it in the stockade or brig. That's because these despicable actions are now crimes in the military. However, some of these filthy perversions are not regarded as "crimes" amongst homosexuals. Some homosexuals regard these actions as a kind of "foreplay" and perfectly normal. Indeed, we see homosexuals all the time on the Boob Tube trying to hustle their perversions as normal. And when all the freaks, the homosexuals and lesbians of all kinds, march and mince proudly down Broadway in New York during their "Gay Pride Parade," there is always a group amongst them proudly marching and carrying their banner—it is the banner of NAMBLA. NAMBLA is an abbreviation of: North American Man Boy Love Association. A "proud" band of child molesters, whose motto is: "Get 'em before eight, before it's too late." These filthy, loathsome degenerates who prey on little children are just as welcome in a Gay Pride Parade as any other degenerates committed to "doing their special thing."

It's an old expression: "People judge you by the company you keep." So, homosexuals and lesbians should be judged by all the filthy perverts and perversions that they so willingly tolerate.

The "clean cut" queers selectively presented to us on the ol' Boob Tube by our glorious media—they will never show you the freaks—willingly associate with degenerates whose disgusting perversions would make most people lose their lunch!

* * *

Our media has given us this queer set of double-standards: A heterosexual who molests little children is regarded as mentally deranged and one of the lowest forms of life by a heterosexual society.

This is certainly not true of a homosexual in a homosexual community—the filthy perverts proudly parade down the avenue to the cheers of the community. A heterosexual who is into “kinky” or bizarre sex is shunned by a heterosexual society and regarded as a mental degenerate.

Not so with a homosexual in his community, where any perversion, no matter how revolting, falls under the umbrella of, “doing your own thing.”

If we apply the same standards to homosexuals that we have always applied to heterosexuals, we end up with precisely the same result: a diseased mind that should be shunned by civilized society and NEVER permitted in the military.

FIVE: YA GOTTA HAVE RESPECT!

Discipline can mean success or failure in combat. All failures in combat are paid for in the coin of combat: casualties!

Discipline means having the respect and confidence in those Officers and NCOs giving the orders to execute the orders without question.

If an American patrol were to stumble into an ambush, their survival would depend, in large measure, on their discipline. If you are taking fire, the “natural” thing to do is to bunch together—returning fire—and try to back out of the ambush. Doing the “natural” thing will naturally get you killed.

Bunching up will get you killed in bunches. Maintaining proper separation will reduce casualties.

The weakest point in the ambush is probably straight ahead. Even going to the right or left might get you out of it. However, going back where you came from is sure death—it’s certain to be the strongest point of the ambush.

Disciplined troops who will follow orders—without question—have the best chance of surviving an ambush. Undisciplined troops “naturally” get shot to hell.

* * *

As a Forward Air Controller in Vietnam, I worked with different Battalions of the same Brigade in the Tay Ninh sector of Three

40 — Liberty Bell / August 1992

Corps—a very active area.

The difference between Battalions was almost a difference of night and day. The aggressive, well-disciplined troops were great: they got the job done and they took very few casualties.

One Battalion became infamous—a joke. It lacked aggressiveness and discipline, because the Commander thought the officers, NCOs and enlisted men should all be...pals. Troops rapidly lose respect for authority if it isn’t exercised, and “pals” are a nice, friendly bunch who wouldn’t think of exercising authority on other “pals.”

In a sweep through an enemy area, when an aggressive, well-disciplined Battalion comes under fire...they attack! They concentrate fire. They call in artillery, air and gun ships. Most importantly, they keep moving.

On taking fire, our Battalion of buddies would stop DEAD in their Armored Personnel Carriers—like sitting ducks—and get shot to pieces. They couldn’t seem to follow orders from their “buddies” and “pals.” Some APCs actually tried backing up. Others sort of milled around. Seen from the air, it was THE KEYSTONE KOPS! They got shot to hell and accomplished nothing.

* * *

It doesn’t really matter if soldiers like or dislike their NCOs and officers—as long as they respect them. A lot of guys who have survived combat thoroughly detested the NCOs and officers who drove them, night and day, until they dropped. They hated their guts! And yet, they’ll still say: “Those miserable bastards kept me alive—I respect them for that.”

Whether Pat Schroeder likes it or not, soldiers do not respect queers! Soldiering is a manly profession, and it attracts the aggressive male that all armies must have to succeed in any war. This aggressive male regards queers with contempt and derision—creatures not worth of respect.

Once respect is lost amongst the hard-core aggressive male, when they are forced to obey orders from perverts, the discipline of the fighting force will go to hell and take combat effectiveness with it.

I don’t think the American people are willing to needlessly sacrifice their kids in combat because some of Pat Schroeder’s best friends are homosexuals and lesbians. And ol’ Cabbage Head Schroeder sees nothing wrong in pleasing them by forcing the American military to accept homosexuals and lesbians. For Schroeder it’s little more than

Liberty Bell / August 1992 — 41

political patronage: a government job for loyal Democrats. For the American people: A BODY BAG!

* * *

Pat Schroeder was appointed to the Armed Services Committee by the leftwing of the Democrat Party to vote NO! on any effort to defend this nation. This is her job and she does it better than anyone else. She has demonstrated, time after time, year after year, that she knows nothing about the military, or weapons, or warfare, or combat; and yet, she has anointed herself as a kind of feminist Clausewitz, quite prepared to kill off large numbers of Americans with her mind-boggling ignorance and stupidity. It would be far more humane of Schroeder to go into an operating room in Washington and kill them off one at a time as an amateur surgeon, then by the thousands as an amateur Clausewitz.

Erwin Rommel's Panzers were well-disciplined troops, regarded as "crack troops" even by their adversaries like Patton and Montgomery. Patsy's Pink Panzers will be an historical disaster created by one of the leading CRACK-POTS in the Congress.

SIX: PATSY'S PERFECT PANZERS.

The kind of military that Field Marshal, Air Marshal, Fleet Admiral Schroeder yearns to impose on the United States is already in existence. Yes indeed, it is the world-famous military of the Netherlands.

A military guaranteed to bring a tear to the eye and a rather damp feeling to the baggy knickers of any BULL FEMINIST! All ranks in this symphony of military perfection have their very own LABOR UNIONS! Yes, it's quite an irresistible military dream for any Liberal Democrat. Whoops! There goes the knickers again!

Now, these Labor Unions actually negotiate their pay, their work hours, and all manner of military decisions. So, you see, discipline is simply not needed in this perfect military where one may even negotiate orders. Instead of having military personnel frantically rushing about to obey an order—like the foolish American military—isn't it so much more civilized to sit down at a bargaining table and have a truly meaningful discussion on that order? After all, it may involve overtime.

In Patsy's symphony of military perfection, everyone may "do his own thing." So there is lots and lots of PINK and POT about.

And...long hair. And beads. And very scruffy beards. And smelly, unwashed bodies. And bits and pieces of uniforms. It's been said that Patsy's Perfection rather resembles a mob of unwashed beatniks who raided an Army-Navy store.

This world-famous military mob is known as: THE WORST ARMY IN THE WORLD! Even the most backward Third World military mob—chucking spears at a tourist bus—considers itself to be better than Patsy's Wet Dream: the "alleged" army of the Netherlands.

Believe it or not. This army joke is actually part of NATO! But no one actually thinks that it would defend Europe—or even the Netherlands! Well...not on a weekend anyway. And certainly not if it's raining. But, what the hell, the queers love it.

SEVEN: THE BUTCHERS ON THE "HILL".

I've served in the U.S. military as an enlisted man, an NCO, and an officer, during two hot wars and as a SAC bomber pilot during the Cold War. I have never seen any interference in the military by politicians produce a worthwhile result. NEVER! Every action by every self-styled Field Marshal, Air Marshal and Fleet Admiral strolling the halls of Congress produced a negative result. It either degraded the ability of our forces to defend this nation, or it cost us needless casualties in combat. Pat Schroeder's crazy plan to homosexulize the American military will do both. She will seriously degrade the ability of our forces to defend this nation, and she will cost us thousands of needless casualties in any combat.

If a professional soldier makes a mistake, he is held accountable for his mistake by his peers and by military historians. The politicians who are so eager to play soldier are NEVER held accountable for their stupid butchery, because, like Pat Schroeder, they are almost always liberals and our liberal media will never blow the whistle on one of their own. During the whole of the Vietnam War, the liberal American media never blew the whistle on even one of the liberal Congressional BUTCHERS who slaughtered Americans with their stupidity.

If the American people are willing to let the likes of Pat Schroeder make military decisions that will effect the very survival of their sons and daughters in combat, they can't complain if they get their kids back in plastic bags, or with missing parts. □

The Plot to Abolish White Racial Heredity

by
Major D.V. Clerkin

The races of the world are clearly delineated by facial features, hair textures, skin colors, skin areas, which makes each racial group distinguishable from all the others. We do not usually mistake a white man for a negro, an Asian, or an American Indian, at least when there is no evidence of blood mixture. Races are millions of years in the making. Heredity of a group-racial nature is not contrived, not the result of a man-made ideology or plan. Like-unto-like is the natural order.

Trips to foreign places where other races are indigenous show that each race has a unique aptitude for creating cultural forms. China and Japan display architectural forms that are peculiar to the people who built them, an expression of a cultural soul that is unlike anything to be seen in Europe. Europe abounds with examples of Aryan racial culture: the Gothic cathedral; the symphony orchestra; portrait painting in oil; and earlier, Doric, Ionian and Corinthian capitals, Roman feats of engineering and administration. Proof that races differ not only in the colors of their skins, but differ as to how to view life; philosophies as widely different as fire and water.

The black race has no cultural expression beyond the cohesiveness of the tribe. Africa below the Sahara has no writing, therefore no history; it has no architecture, no technics, not even a tribal medicine beyond the *mojos* of the witch doctor. Of the three major racial groups in the world, the black race is the most poorly equipped to bring forth a civilization of its own. The attempt to impose European civilization upon the black race has been a dismal failure, as witnessed by the upheavals in the Belgian Congo after independence in the early 1960s. Today, blacks riot over the slightest social pretext, when in fact it is a total rejection of the white man's way of life that is taking place. African savagery is genetically predisposed. What was seen in the video of the beating of Reginald Denny in Los Angeles was a black tribal dance of victory over the fallen white man. There is no cultural difference between the dance in Los Angeles and that dance of the "Simbas" in Stanleyville, on the Congo River, in 1964. When the Belgian paratroopers and the white mercenaries entered Stanley-

ville they witnessed the "Simbas" dancing wildly over the bodies of their white victims. No "Simba" prisoners were taken that day; they were put up to a wall within the sight of those whites who remained alive and conscious.

Aryan warmaking is in general a matter of chivalry when the enemy is also a kindred member of the Aryan race. The concept of the "gentleman's war" was a product of the European medieval period. And up until World War II, which was a distorted example of Jewish psychological influence on the Allied side, leading to mass bombing of German civilian targets, warfare among the states of Europe was usually brief and relatively humane, ending in a treaty that both sides could live by. There were notable exceptions, especially the brutal Thirty Years' War, a religious fiasco between Catholics and Protestants much like the seemingly endless sectarian strife in Northern Ireland.

Asian warmaking is generally a sanguinary event, though of all the peoples of Asia the Japanese have proven to be the most warlike, the Chinese the least warlike. Japan's Samurai code of *Bushido*, tied to emperor worship, brought forth the formidable Japanese military and naval forces, whose victims stretched from Korea and China, to the British, French and Dutch empires in Southeast Asia; and even to the United States in the air attack on Pearl Harbor. When the militant Asian makes war, as the Asian Triad drug gangs, immigrants to the United States, are now waging in the streets of this country, he kills not in a utilitarian sense, but almost religiously. Bodies everywhere! The day will come in America when the fools in the Justice Department who patted themselves on the back for locking up the Mafia will yearn wistfully for the days when the Mob took out just one guy, the guy they were after.

Racial integrationists, mongrelizers, have a deep-seated hatred for the thoroughbred human, for the man who is a paragon of his race, for heredity. Heredity among human beings can mean vitality and greatness. The ideology of mongrelization prefers the human condition to be life-less, devoid of spirit, with the stated intent that racial levelling through miscegenation will bring forth a utopian world, a world in which each individual will be equal and of no greater value than those around him. Racial selection through discriminatory mating has given the world the only progress it has

achieved. There can be demonstrated no evidence of equality among individuals as to health, capabilities or intelligence. Each race is better equipped for certain things that are beyond the abilities of the other races. The mongrelizer would make an end to human progress in the cause of absolute equality. It is not the white racist who holds back progress. He cherishes race and culture. The mongrelizer hates that natural caste that race brings with it, but that caste is everything to human achievement.

The integrationist ideology poured into the United States hierarchy during the Roosevelt New Deal of 1930s. Rising out of Jewish nihilism in the universities, the desire of the Jews to expunge the Aryan culture from America, and behind which desire lurked the Jewish Bolshevik, the idea that black-white sexual union was the inevitable wave of the future became the driving force of public education after World War II. Prior to that time, no one in ordinary society had an inkling of what was to take place in the American classroom. When *Brown v. Board of Education* was decided in 1954 by the U.S. supreme Court, it was mandated by the lower U.S. courts that "desegregation" of public education take place "with all deliberate speed," which meant the primary grades first, then the secondary grades. Kids were shoved onto buses and moved all over the cities, but mostly busing meant black children in white schools. It did not matter that black parents thought it unfair that their kids had to do the busing, or that white parents threatened everything from a tax strike to a boycott of the integrated schools themselves. The buses rolled because the mongrelizers conceived of the day when little white girls would grow up to bear black babies. The fraud of school integration claimed that it would expand opportunities for blacks to sit next to whites in school. Opportunities for *what* was never the question integrationists would ever answer.

We now know *what* that was. Mixed marriages in the United States up nearly 400% from 1970 to 1991: 65,000 - 231,00.

Still, the integration, the mongrelizing goes on unabated by logic or costs; or even by bloody riots that have clearly demonstrated that when blacks can get hold of a white man they will either kill him or try to. To the average black, for whom white taxpayers have shelled out billions in welfare payments and special government stipends, integration means an opportunity to prey on whites. Obviously the

peace, love and harmony bunk of the mongrelizers has not affected the African psyches of the black population in the United States. Was it meant to work on blacks, or only on the gullible whites?

Clearly the white race is threatened by the plot against heredity. White heredity cannot compete against non-white genes. A black cat and a white cat will usually have a litter of four: one black, one white, a brownish or tan tabby, and the possibility of a calico. That is Gregor Mendel's Law of Heredity in the animal world at work. But a black-white human sexual union will produce no white offspring. White genes are at a 4:1 disadvantage to black genes. There can be no miscegenation that does not utterly ruin and destroy the white race. How many young girls who sleep with blacks realize this genetic fact? Would they do it if they knew that every child they bear will have negroid features kinky hair, thick lips, and probably a lower potential for intelligence? Facts such as these are denied to white kids in the integration process. They do not know that what they are doing is creating more blacks, no possibility of white offspring. Nevertheless, facts are facts; and no white who really cares for his or her race, its continuation, can have anything to do with race mixing.

Will whites lose this struggle to retain their heredity? That depends on whether the mongrelizers and their false equality propaganda have their way with the coming generations of whites. The mongrelizers are well aware that they are involved in a plot to commit genocide against the white race, though they would like us to believe that white preservation is somehow the act of genocide. Only the white race is targeted for extinction. Miscegenation, abortion propaganda, equality mongering, each is a definite part of a larger strategy designed to drive the white race to the wall. The sooner whites come to realize that they are the target, the sooner they will organize to put a halt to the process and punish those who have been responsible for it.

☆☆☆

ET CETERA

In the last issue of the *Quarterly*, we commented on the Chief Crazy Horse monument that is in the process of being completed in the Black Hills of South Dakota. We said that the monument was probably financed with white taxpayers' money, and that it was a

shame because if anyone was anti-white it was Crazy Horse. Chester Grabowski of the *Post Eagle* newspaper, a Polish organ, called me to say that the designer of the monument, a Polish immigrant to the United States would accept no federal funds to build the Crazy Horse monument. We weren't certain, so a letter was sent to Congressman Gerald D. Kleczka of Wisconsin, to ask whether any federal money was used. Rep. Kleczka confirmed Mr. Grabowski's statement that the artist refused all public moneys, at least he refused federal money. We stand corrected, but there is a question as to why a white man would dedicate his life to memorialize the life and deeds of Crazy Horse, or Rain-In-The-Face, or Sitting Bull, or Geronimo, or any other anti-white warrior. We respect our skillful opponents, but we do not set aside our own people to praise them.

☆☆☆

CBS News has reported that hundreds of American "recession asylees" have invaded Prague, Czechoslovakia looking for jobs. One wonders why these punky swells converged on Prague? Certainly not for the Czech Philharmonic concerts! Most likely the bulk of these American expatriates are Jews and brain-dead whites who want to do drugs and figure that the Czech authorities will look the other way. Their next move will be to petition the Czech government to import some Congoids from the inner cities of America. Yuppies just can't "get down" without anthropoids. □

**THE BOOK THAT MADE THE JEWS SO MAD
THEY HAD TO INVENT
THE MOVIE HOLOCAUST!**

AUSCHWITZ:
An Eye-Witness Report
by Thies Christophersen
Foreword by Manfred Roeder

**Order No: 01017—single copy \$3.00 + \$1.50 for postage,
5 copies \$12.50 + \$1.50 for postage. Order from:**

LIBERTY BELL PUBLICATIONS
Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA

I AM A PROPAGANDIST

by
Gordon S. Clark

As a reader of *Liberty Bell* once contacted me saying he was communicating with me because I obviously had guts if I wrote the kind of things I did and didn't hesitate to give my name and address, I must confess that there was a time when I withheld my name, contacted certain people without giving a return address on the envelope for the Feds to list on the "mail cover" they can by law keep on suspected people and groups.

I realized that this was futile when a letter without return on outside was returned "Opened by dead letter office" after being stamped undeliverable as addressed. So nice of the Federal snoops to return my check intended to buy books from National Youth Alliance. They even charged me return postage, so I paid double for them NOT delivering my letter. As the letter did have the NYA proper address, what was I to conclude, that the mail man was an 'Affirmative Action' hire who couldn't read, maybe? Yet there were other times I was not totally open in my writings, as when I was a bureaucrat. A man should not risk his job because he believes that crap about "freedom of expression and speech," but if he is stupid enough to believe that, maybe he should lose his job.

If you think it is easy or even probable that you can remain a part of what the Klan used to call "The Invisible Empire," then consider the Federals use of Right Wing mailing lists as "evidence" of conspiracy, their Mother Hubbard charge against the fourteen tried at the Ft. Smith witch hunt. The groups did not offer those lists to the unwashed Federal dogs freely. The information was stolen!

Understand further that the Ft. Smith trials were obviously not intended to convict but rather to persecute, a deliberate act by government against our people. The intent was to keep those men out of circulation for a while, worry the older ones into heart attacks if possible, cost them all they owned to hire lawyers and to drain cash from the true patriots who supported them. From the ZOG/JOG point of view the trials succeeded. Surely charging them all with being white supremacists when two were full blooded Choctah Indians should make that obvious. Yes, even non-Whites can fervently

Liberty Bell / August 1992 — 49

hate ZOG.

That the word 'propaganda' was given a bad name by allied propaganda itself when Dr. Goebbels was information minister for the Third Reich is unfortunate and clouds people's understanding until many Americans understand about like the Nigger who asked a wench, "What do dey means by propaganda?" and she replied, "Le's put it dis way, I'z a goose but youz not de propaganda."

But a propagandist I must be because when some Kike didn't like a letter of mine to the editor of a Dallas paper he addressed a letter to me "Gordon S. Clark, Propaganda Agent For The PLO, Eastland, TX" and that one was delivered faithfully. Then, if further evidence that I am what I claim be needed, I really was once offered a subsidy by a Palestinian but had to decline. The money would have been traced to the PLO and there is a law that states that all agents of foreign powers must register and, furthermore, that anything they send out must be clearly identified as paid propaganda for a foreign power. Now, obviously, in my case that would be a damnable lie as I write against Zionism out of my own heart and, furthermore, since the US government refuses to recognize the PLO as a legitimate government or the Palestinians as even being a distinct people (the Israeli party line), then how could I have been acting for a government that did not exist? Ah, but unless you are the brother, wife of, or are otherwise closely connected to, the president, a senator or big Jews in the District of Corruption, you can be prosecuted as I was clearly informed by the Department of INjustice. So, I gave them my cheer for that most famous university for low class Mexicans, "Peon U! Peon U!" and redoubled my efforts for Palestine free of charge. Damn, but I could have used a few more pieces of Federal phony money.

In FDRs war to make the world safe for Jew Communism I lost a hand and an eye with the other eye so badly damaged that I am now classed as legally (though not totally) blind.

Do not think for a minute that Communism is dead. It lives in Cuba, a dozen African countries and in Israel, to name just a few places. However, there is one good development coming out of the "communism is dead" lie. It is now harder for professional anti-communist pulpit pounders to skin poor old ladies out of their social security with tales of the Red Dragon poised to swoop down upon the "Promised Land" and the "Chosen People". Even the few who were able to double clutch and quick shift to the threat of "Babylon" when 'Saddam is Hitler' was in vogue were unconvincing after the Bush-

whacker so quickly destroyed his straw man. But the people seem desperate to believe something the Jewsmedia will provide anyhow.

The old adage was "Believe none of what you hear and only half of what you see", but that was before the boob tube, so now you can cut the part you see to a small fraction. With my visual problems, I enjoy the point of the story wherein a news boy offered a blind man a paper. The man said, "Son, don't you see this white cane that tells you I am blind?"

The lad asked, "But you can still smell can't you?"

When the blind man agreed that he could still smell, the boy said, "Well buy a paper then. It's all full of BS anyhow."

So, likewise, when I hear "You are watching CBS," I think "with extra stress on that BS part and the other networks, too."

A propagandist should have a good stock of quick replies like, "Yes, I have a friend who will agree with you that they are a 'Chosen people' but he disagrees as to who chose them." Or again, when a dear lady wrote me with the intention of saving my soul and freeing me of anti-Semitism, in one of my letters I expressed my opinion of treacherous, lecherous, dirty old FDR. She informed me that it was sinful to say evil of the dead who could no longer defend themselves. I wrote back expressing my joy that in her at last I had found one person who would never say anything bad about poor old ADOLF HITLER who also was no longer able to defend himself. She quit writing.

Now understand, please, that 'propaganda' can serve many purposes. I write to bring a ray of sunshine to political prisoners in the dungeons and one even made a few bucks by sending some of my risque jokes to magazines that pay for such. Propaganda may be chiefly in op ed columns, but there are rules governing best results. The shorter and harder the letter, expect as few as one in five to be printed; some writers don't even seem to know that profanity will be rejected. Some papers print only local letters, most reject mass copied stuff and some have a "one letter each 60 days" policy though not always stated. An amateur can play the game but study the pros.

Regarding letters to prisoners, though it should not need said, most have no income and will beg money. Some are professional con artists. So, you should make a definite decision as to whether, and if so, exactly how much you will contribute.

Maybe I have been a sucker at times, but having been in the navy and in hospitals, I know at least part of the feeling of being cut off from the outside world and "No Letter Today".

How awful it must have been for Rudolf Hess and I wonder if some enterprising Russian who has access to KGB files might sell anything they had on Hess. Wouldn't that have the potential for nightmares in London and Washington?

The recognized propagandist may collect strange friends. I would not have before suspected there were so many Right Wing groups and it gives a warm feeling to know you have so much agreeable company.

My services have been requested by a couple of politicians, persons having personal grudges, a teacher unjustly fired (as she is related to a close friend, you KNOW her termination was unjust), and even students with reports to write.

Though not universally loved, I am at least respected. At least I take it as a sign of respect when one asked me if I soaked my typewriter ribbons in curare or some other poison. One fellow said that at least I made people think, and the other said, "Yes, even if what they think is 'damn'.

I certainly agree that 'He who will not read is no better off than he who cannot read', but reading without thinking is as bad as hearing without understanding.

What use is 'freedom of speech' if people fear to express themselves, and who has the authority to decree what is 'politically correct'? The brutal truth is that not only is Communism not dead but the old Party Line has been renamed Politically Correct and it rules most American campuses. So, P.F. or F.L., it is the S.O.S. (Same Old S—).

Again re letters to political prisoners (what ZOG would consider aid and comfort to the enemy), each institution has its own rules and many are 'Mickey Mouse', so try to learn the rules for each. Even that will not prevent crap like a Ms. Washington at a California prison rejecting a letter on which I put the zip code but left off city and state. She marked it "RETURN TO SENDER, reason: NO RETURN ADDRESS." If it had no return address you might wonder how she expected it to be returned, but when did Nigger 'mentality' make sense anyhow. A complaint to both California and D.C. were only to express my low regard for them and, as expected, from California no reply and from D.C. the sickest excuses for no action. I would have been disappointed if either had done otherwise.

A propagandist may have to tailor his words so as to make them acceptable to more people but he will hurt somebody's feelings regardless. Some are just naturally ready to be offended. I was sur-

prised at how perceptive a black woman in England was when she demanded other workers be reprimanded and apologize for leaving a ball point pen on her desk. She claimed they were inferring that she was a monkey. Well, the ball point was a Bic Banana. You remember those yellow, curved pens. Now, how could authorities believe that a gift pen was an insult? Well, maybe someone had told her she could swing in the trees. But in these JewSA they would probably call that remark a threat of a lynching. The things people can read into a few words!

You don't even need words to be misunderstood. Like the Peace Corps worker in an African country who was sent home because she happened to put a stamp with the Nigger dictator's picture on the envelope upside down.

Now I have heard various people say that a stamp upside down meant "I love you," while others say it means "no answer desired". But when I put on a flag stamp upside down it is the old naval signal of distress, and believe me, this "Ship of State" is in great distress. Just look at the rat politicians leaving Washington as a ship destined to sink. □

THE ANTI-HUMANS

by D. Bacu (307 pp., hb. \$7.00 + \$1.50 for postage & handling) describes what was done to the young men whom Corneliu Z. Codreanu, the founder of the Legionary Movement in Romania, inspired, when seven years after his brutal murder, Romania was delivered to the Bolsheviks. They were subjected to what is the most fully documented Pavlovian experiment' on a large number of human beings. It is likely that the same techniques were used on many American prisoners in Korea and Vietnam. *The Anti Humans* is a well-written document of great historical and psychological importance. Reading it will be an emotional experience you will not forget. "A sequel to Orwell's 1984" —R.S.H. "A searing exposé of Red bestiality!" —Dr. A.J. App). *THE ANTI-HUMANS*, Order #01013. Single copy \$7.00, 3 for \$15., 5 for \$20.00, 10 for \$30.00.

For postage and handling add: On domestic orders, \$1.50 for orders under \$10.00, 15% of order total for orders over \$10.00. On orders from abroad, \$2.00 or 20% respectively. Sample copy of our monthly magazine *Liberty Bell* and copy of our huge book list containing hundreds of "Eye-Openers," \$4.00. Subscription for 12 hard-hitting, fact-packed issue \$40.00 (U.S. only). Order from:

LIBERTY BELL PUBLICATIONS
Postoffice Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA

Subliminals in the Movie "J.F.K."

by
Dean Grace

This is a record of observations of subliminal and possible subliminal messages in the film "J.F.K." using the slow motion and freeze frame controls on a VCR. I have made this list in the order of appearance in which they occurred. Subliminals are at their greatest frequency and intensity during the middle and especially the second half of the film. The following list of subliminal descriptions is totally the result of my own personal observations. All interpretations of scenes, opinions, and conclusions are my own.

Scene number:

1. A man's face wearing eyeglasses can be seen subliminally three times during the movie. He appears to wear the same style eyeglass frame that Garrison wears. This is the first subliminal image seen in freeze frame in the movie. Is it Garrison's face?

2. Black and white scene of Sowed being questioned by F.B.I. agent after Sowed is arrested for fighting with anti-Castro Cubans. One moment Sowed is sitting in a chair being questioned by F.B.I. agent sitting behind a desk. By advancing the scene frame by frame Oswald is seen sitting in a chair facing his questioner then Sowed is standing against a wall facing the opposite direction. The chair is empty. The subliminal message is that Sowed can be in two different places at the same time.

3. Garrison questions homosexual convict O'Keef. In the flashback homosexual party scene there appears a full screen subliminal skull, rat in a cage, and image of a skull in the background over Shaw's raised left hand.

4. Man in dark suit passes three hoboes being taken into custody by police. There is a hint that the man gives the hoboes a secret hand sign. This happens again later in the film in more detail.

5. White ghost-like figure in picture on wall watches Garrison and his staff meeting in Garrison's home.

6. During a carnival parade an American flag is lowered to expose huge human skull.

7. Garrison questions Shaw in Garrison's office. There are flashback homosexual scenes and subliminal scenes suggesting homosexuality.

8. After David Farrie's death the subliminals seen in Farrie's apartment are a skull, rats, and religious figurines.

9. Garrison goes to Washington D.C. to meet with a former intelligence agent called "X" who knows all. While leaving the Lincoln Memorial Garrison opens an umbrella and raises it over his head. Garrison becomes an "umbrella man." Agent "X" instantly identifies Garrison and their talk begins. Passersby have raised umbrellas, however it does not appear to be raining. The subliminal message is that perhaps Garrison has something to do with the conspiracy that assassinated J.F.K.

10. Man in dark suit passes three tramps and gives a clear secret-like hand sign supposedly for the alleged tramps benefit. Man turns and looks back at tramps.

11. Moments after Martin Luther King Jr's assassination is announced on television, Garrison's young daughter talks to a stranger on the telephone who tells her that she has just been entered into a beauty contest. Over her head on the wall behind her is again the picture frame containing a curious ghost-like figure. Advancing the scene frame by frame the figure appears to talk.

12. Garrison talks to his legal staff in meeting room about F.B.I. agent questioning Oswald in New Orleans after Sowed is arrested for fighting with anti-Castro Cubans. Scene changes to black and white. And again one moment Sowed is questioned sitting in the chair. The next moment he is standing facing the opposite direction. The chair is empty. Repeat of the same earlier subliminal message reinforces feeling that Oswald can be in two different places at the same time.

13. Moments before Garrison sees Robert Kennedy shot on television, Garrison makes a sandwich using 'Wonder Bread.' Of course, viewers wonder who killed J.F.K.

14. Robert Kennedy speaking on television is heard to say: "We are a great country, and a selfish country, and a compassionate country . . ." Seconds later he is shot on television.

15. Moments after Robert Kennedy is assassinated, Garrison goes upstairs and makes love to his wife. Horrible televised violence gets Garrison in the mood.

16. While Garrison and his team are walking up the court house steps a mysterious man with white hair similar to Clay Shaw is seen moving behind Garrison's left shoulder. Clay stalking Garrison?

17. During Willy O'Keef's testimony on witness stand, scene flashes back to a homosexual meeting of Shaw and O'Keef. Over Shaw's left raised hand in the upper right corner of the screen is seen an image of a human skull. By advancing frame by frame the skull opens its mouth in horror and then appears to explode.

14. Downward zooming shot of judge's desk shows judge's hand pounding gavel. Slightly to the left and up is a round ash tray that resembles symbolic Wickerman face shown on the cover of the 'Wickerman' video rental box. The theme of the Wickerman movie was symbolic ritual murder.

19. Kennedy waves as his car passes through Dealy Plaza. Scene momentarily shifts to a close-up of a man's face wearing eyeglasses similar to Garrisons. The image of a four-legged, deer-like animal moves as a reflection from right to left across a further close-up of the left lens of the glasses. A gun shot cracks out.

20. Doctor puts the remains of Kennedy's brain in supermarket style weighing scale basket.

21. In Garrison's final summation to the court, Garrison says: "So what really happened that day? Let's just for a moment speculate, shall we?" Man in black suit, white shirt slowly raises open umbrella in front of another man wearing a jacket with the word 'RIPLEY' emblazoned on the back. Hollywood science-fiction character 'RIPLEY' fought aliens, or perhaps its merely 'Ripley's Believe It or Not.'

22. Man aims rifle at Kennedy. The rifle has a telescopic sight. Camera zooms in for an extreme close-up. Scene shifts to the objective lens of the telescopic sight. In other words, the entire screen is filled by the front lens of the telescopic sight. A reflection of an emotionless face can be seen in the lens. This face then changes to another face with a wild-eyed, devilish grin. The face disappears and then light begins to emanate from within the telescopic sight. This light emanating from within the telescopic sight effectively changes

the impression that this is now not a telescopic sight but instead has become the projection lens of a movie projector.

23. After James Tague is nicked by stray bullet, scene changes to Clay Shaw holding an umbrella over his head. Several frames later scene changes to a younger dark haired man holding umbrella over his own head.

24. Immediately after the shooting in Dealy Plaza patrolman Joe Smith stops and questions a man. The man produces a Secret Service badge. The questioning stops and the man moves off. Scene shifts to courtroom where patrolman Smith is testifying on the witness stand. He says: "Afterward it didn't ring true but at the time—we were so pressed for time." Immediately scene shifts to a tall young man wearing a dark suit. He has his left hand up to his ear as if listening to an ear phone. This man turns toward the camera and clearly gives the Masonic sign of distress of the first degree of Freemasonry. This sign is given by holding the palm of the left hand up and crossing it with the right hand palm down. This is done several times at waist level, with the hands held out about twelve inches from the body. This is the first sign of a Mason. It is the sign of distress in the Entered-Apprentice, first degree of Freemasonry. It seems that Freemasons as a group are selected for a subliminal charge of emotion.

25. After Garrison delivers his summation to the court there is another overhead downward zooming shot of the judge's desk. The judge is pounding the gavel. The round ash tray that appears to be a symbolic Wickerman face appears to be smiling.

Other notes about Garrison in the film:

In the first scene of Garrison in the movie, Garrison is sitting behind his desk. There is a German style infantry helmet on the top left of his desk. While standing in front of Guy Bannister's old office building Garrison is heard to say: "I used to have lunch with him (Bannister)." Garrison's young son, while waiting for his father to attend family dinner in a restaurant, says: "Daddy never keeps his promises." Garrison's baby then cries. The jive-talking lawyer, Dean Andrews, hired by Clay Shaw to be Oswald's attorney, and Garrison have been friends for years. They attended the same law school. Secret intelligence agent "X" says to Garrison: "Remember fundamentally people are suckers for the truth." □

America's Economic War Against Germany

Washington's Battle for Power and Profit

During the U.S. terror-bombers' Holocaust against innocent Iraqi women and children in the Persian Gulf, an anti-German campaign of the worst kind was pulled off by America. In Madison Avenue, as well as in the Federal German mass media enslaved by America, it was claimed that Germany had armed Saddam Hussein and was profiting from the harm that he was doing. In truth, however, the share had by German businesses in Iraqi armament imports was 0.08%. The United States, on the other hand, quite literally made a killing to the end with the Arab nation they bombed. The successful mass murders in Iraq are cause for celebration in the USA. In the land of limitless opportunity, Holocaust Commander Schwarzkopf is celebrated like a true war hero. The sluggish dollar rose again, and some hope that the USA could again become the world's greatest economic power—at the expense of the Germans.

Minister of Finance Waigel is presently paying Germany's so-called solidarity contribution to the Gulf 'War', to the last dime. Washington receives gifts of billions from Bonn. And for what?—For anti-German incitement and economic boycott!

The Wall Street Journal, so highly esteemed in the USA, recently wrote: "The Germans played a central role in the arming of Iraq." *Business Week* even went so far as to claim that Germany is Iraq's "secret ally in the striving for the atom bomb." And the chauvinistic American Senator, Jesse Helms, had the audacity to slip Federal Foreign Minister Genscher a list of 109 German companies, titled 'Saddam's Fifth Convoy'. Genscher let him get away with it.

But now, a German magazine has published secret documents which prove that the USA have led the entire world around by the nose. The documents prove conclusively that it was the USA who armed Iraq with its technology.

The USA Supplied It All...

The United States had been Iraq's most important trading partner for many years, and they profited handsomely from it—some \$1.5 billion for highly explosive shipments: Hussein was supplied with helicopters, airplanes, sophisticated electronic equipment and masses of spare parts. Shipments included not only weapons, but also veritable munitions factories. The deals were always cleverly camouflaged. Shipments were declared as being of civilian nature. The U.S. government sanctioned it all, and at times even recommended the transactions. It is of course perfectly well known among the experts that any civilian helicopter, for example, can very easily be converted into a lethal weapon. Among other things, the USA also supplied the know-how necessary for the cultivation and development of deadly bacteria for use in warfare.

American firms relied partially on German sub-contractors in these endeavours. Thus it is that it could later be claimed that German firms, in fact controlled by U.S. capital, had armed Saddam Hussein. The anti-German agitation was purposefully stage-managed by secret services. This becomes apparent from a statement made by FDP economic expert Grünbeck: "Almost 80% of the information on alleged German exports to the Middle East stems from secret service sources. This information has been launched into the world public by certain American circles with the aim of discrediting the German export economy and in order to gain a competitive advantage for American industry by damaging the German reputation.

This is, of course, just another way of saying that the USA are waging an economic war against an ally. Such is the true face of the Western Values Community that links us to our Big Brother on the far side of the Pond. Economic expert Dr. Jörg Huffs Schmid comments: "This defamation campaign against the Federal Republic of Germany is part of a global tactical strategy on the part of the Americans."

The Damage Done to Germany's Reputation

Huffs Schmid suggests that Washington's perfidious plan just might work. Germany is losing its good reputation among the Middle Eastern states. Our former Arab friends are writing Germany off as world political factor. It is American firms who are making the reconstruction profits following the horrible destruction. And those Ger-

man companies who themselves have founded subsidiary firms in the USA are met with icy disapproval. Sales of German automobiles in the United States have sunk to an extreme low. Peter Moser, Chief Managing Director of the German-American Chamber of Commerce in Los Angeles, realizes that the 'good will' that has been built up with great difficulty in the USA could be destroyed overnight. Gerhard Rechel, Managing Director of the Bonn Federal Association for German Wholesale and Foreign Trade, states: "The American campaign is certainly one of the many factors that contribute to a decrease in German exports. The balance of trade will decrease greatly."

As the saying goes—anyone who can call America his friend needs no enemies; a lesson that Vietnamese politicians also had to learn, as far back as the 1960s.

A *Rheingold* translation from
Deutsche National Zeitung, 3 May 1991.

FOR MY LEGIONARIES

The Legionary Movement in Romania, commonly known as the Iron Guard, —perhaps the oldest anti-Communist movement in the world, still alive—was founded by Corneliu Z. Codreanu in 1927. *For My Legionaries* (353 pp., pb., \$10.00 + \$1.50 for postage & handling), Codreanu's stirring work, is a complete and authoritative account of the ideals and principles of the Legionary Movement which shaped the character of young Romanians before WWII. Control over the communications media and the normal channels of book distribution by our international enemies makes it impossible to reach the broad market this unique book deserves. We are certain that *For My Legionaries* will soon become a collector's item. This book also provides the 'missing pieces' of the drastically censored *The Suicide of Europe* by Prince D. Sturdza; the identity of those who masterminded Romania's takeover and who are now engaged in carrying out the same program in the U.S. will no longer be unknown to you. ("Solzhenitsyn would appear to have not the slightest inkling of who conquered HIS country!"—B.C.) **FOR MY LEGIONARIES**, Order #06003, single copy \$10.00, 3 copies \$25.00, 5 copies \$35.00

For postage and handling add: On domestic orders, \$1.50 for orders under \$10.00, 15% of order total for orders over \$10.00. On orders from abroad, \$2.00 or 20% respectively. Sample copy of our monthly magazine *Liberty Bell* and copy of our huge book list containing hundreds of "Eye-Openers," \$5.00. Subscription for 12 hard-hitting, fact-packed issues, \$37.00 (U.S. only). Order from:

LIBERTY BELL PUBLICATIONS
Postoffice Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA

KEEP THE LIBERTY BELL RINGING!

Please remember: *Our Fight is Your fight!* Donate whatever you can spare on a regular—monthly or quarterly—basis. Whether it is \$2., \$5., \$20., or \$100. or more, rest assured it is needed here and will be used in our common struggle. If you are a businessman, postage stamps in any denomination are a legitimate business expense—and we need and use many of these here every month—and will be gratefully accepted as donations.

Your donations will help us spread the *Message of Liberty* and *White Survival* throughout the land, by making available additional copies of our printed material to fellow Whites who do not yet know what is in store for them.

Order our pamphlets, booklets, and, most importantly, our reprints of revealing articles which are ideally suited for mass distribution at reasonable cost. Order extra copies of *Liberty Bell* for distribution to your circle of friends, neighbors, and relatives, urging them to subscribe to our unique publication. Our bulk prices are shown on the inside front cover of every issue of *Liberty Bell*.

Pass along your copy of *Liberty Bell*, and copies of reprints you obtained from us, to friends and acquaintances who may be on our "wave length," and urge them to contact us for more of the same.

Carry on the fight to free our White people from the shackles of alien domination, even if you can only join our ranks in spirit. You can provide for this by bequest. The following are suggested forms of bequests which you may include in your Last Will and Testament:

1. I bequeath to Mr. George P. Dietz, as Trustee for Liberty Bell Publications, P.O. Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA, the sum of \$ for general purposes.

2. I bequeath to Mr. George P. Dietz, as Trustee for Liberty Bell Publications, P.O. Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA, the following described property for general purposes.

**DO YOUR PART TODAY—HELP FREE OUR WHITE
RACE FROM ALIEN DOMINATION!**