



ABOUT THE AUTHOR: Dr. Revilo Pendleton Oliver, Professor of the Classics at the University of Illinois for 32 years, is a scholar of international distinction who has written articles in four languages for the most prestigious academic publications in the United States and Europe.

During World War II, Dr. Oliver was Director of Research in a highly secret agency of the War Department, and was cited for outstanding service to his country.

One of the very few academicians who has been outspoken in his opposition to the progressive defacement of our civilization, Dr. Oliver has long insisted that the fate of his countrymen hangs on their willingness to subordinate their doctrinal differences to the tough but idealistic solidarity which is the prerequisite of a Majority resurgence.

SOME QUOTABLE QUOTES FROM AMERICA'S DECLINE:

On the 18th Amendment (Prohibition): "Very few Americans were sufficiently sane to perceive that they had repudiated the American conception of government and had replaced it with the legal principle of the 'dictatorship of the proletariat,' which was the theoretical justification of the Jews' revolution in Russia."

On Race: "We must further understand that all races naturally regard themselves as superior to all others. We think Congoids unintelligent, but they feel only contempt for a race so stupid or craven that it fawns on them, gives them votes, lavishly subsidizes them with its own earnings, and even oppresses its own people to curry their favor. We are a race as are the others. If we attribute to Ourselves a superiority, intellectual, moral, or other, in terms of our own standards, we are simply indulging in a tautology. The only objective criterion of superiority, among human races as among all other species, is biological: the strong survive, the weak perish. The superior race of mankind today is the one that will emerge victorious—whether by its technology or its fecundity—from the proximate struggle for life on an overcrowded planet."

AMERICA'S DECLINE

Order No. 1007-\$8.50
plus \$1.50 for postage and handling.

376 pp., pb.
ORDER FROM:

LIBERTY BELL PUBLICATIONS, Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA

Liberty Bell

ISSN: 0145 - 7667

SINGLE COPY \$4.00

GERMANY A TIME FOR RENEWAL

By Ernst Zündel

page 1

ALSO IN THIS ISSUE:

TEUTONIC UNITY Newsletter, by the British Circle of Friends, London, page 11. Manfred Roeder to His Friends, page 13. Little Known Facts About the *Wirtschaftswunder*, by James Gibbs Stuart, page 15. European Nemesis: The Impending Collapse of the Iron Curtain Bids to Shatter an Entire World of Falsehoods, Myths and Illusions, by John Tyndall, page 19. Policy Statement on Germany, by Chancellor Helmut Kohl, page 29. A Day to Remember: 9 November 1989, by Hans Schmidt, page 35. Letters to the Editor, page 48.

VOL. 17 - NO. 5

JANUARY 1990

Voice Of Thinking Americans

LIBERTY BELL

The magazine for *Thinking Americans*, has been published monthly since September 1973 by Liberty Bell Publications, George P. Dietz, Editor, Editorial office: P.O. Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA—Phone: 304-927-4486.

Manuscripts conforming to our editorial policy are always welcome, however, they cannot be returned unless accompanied by stamped, self-addressed envelope. Manuscripts accepted for publication become the property of Liberty Bell Publications.

©Copyright 1988

by Liberty Bell Publications.

Permission granted to quote in whole or part any article except those subject to author's Copyright. Proper source credit and address should be given.

ANNUAL SUBSCRIPTION RATES:

SAMPLE COPY	\$ 4.00
THIRD CLASS-BULK RATE USA only	\$35.00
FIRST CLASS-USA	\$45.00
FIRST CLASS-all other countries	\$50.00
AIR MAIL - Europe, South America	\$60.00
Middle East, Far East, So. Africa	\$65.00

BULK COPIES FOR DISTRIBUTION:

10 COPIES	\$ 22.00
50 COPIES	\$ 90.00
100 COPIES	\$150.00
500 COPIES	\$600.00
1000 COPIES	\$900.00

FREEDOM OF SPEECH—FREEDOM OF THOUGHT FREEDOM OF EXPRESSION

The editor/publisher of *Liberty Bell* does not necessarily agree with each and every article in this magazine, nor does he subscribe to all conclusions arrived at by various writers; however, he does endeavour to permit the exposure of ideas suppressed by the controlled news media of this country.

It is, therefore, in the best tradition of America and of free men everywhere that *Liberty Bell* strives to give free reign to ideas, for ultimately it is ideas which rule the world and determine both the content and structure of our Western culture.

We believe that we can and will change our society for the better. We declare our long-held view that no institution or government created by men, for men, is inviolable, incorruptible, and not subject to evolution, change, or replacement by the will of the people.

To this we dedicate our lives and our work. No effort will be spared and no idea will be allowed to go unexpressed if we think it will benefit the *Thinking People*, not only of America, but the entire world.

George P. Dietz, Editor & Publisher

On the 9th of November 1989, my plane took off from Toronto for

Europe. My plan was to rush to the aid of my stricken friend and chief advisor, Dr. Robert Faurisson. For security reasons, no one knew that I was going to Europe. Only one man had been notified "in code". Only I knew my itinerary, and not even those whom I was going to see knew that I was on my way.

Once inside Europe, I turned my car radio on and was greeted by the sound of an excited babble of noises and voices, at once discordant and yet also familiar. As I turned the dial slowly, I made an electronic journey via the ether, through Europe. There was Polish, Czech, Russian, Dutch, French and German on the airwaves. Naturally, the familiar German caught my attention, and I paused a little longer and could not believe what I heard.

I pulled to the side of the road and listened to the excited voices of the male and female announcers who, judging by their voices, were younger than myself, maybe by some twenty years. Overcome by emotion, they were giving a blow by blow description of the "opening of the Wall of Shame." Inadvertently I had landed in Europe

GERMANY

A TIME FOR RENEWAL

By Ernst Zündel

206 Carlton St., Toronto ONT M5A 2L1

and was in Germany at this moving and historic moment. I was over-

come by joy, and quietly wiped away my tears as these supposedly brainwashed "New Germans" on the radio reported the falling of the wall, the cutting of the barbed wire, the sound of the pneumatic drills and the scraping of the shovels. Ah, what a joy to have been there that day, at that moment. What a day full of promise and hope for the future!

I shook myself out of my near-enchanted state and brought myself under control. Looking at the map in front of me, I kept track of where the borders between the two Germanies were opening and was overjoyed. For a lifetime I had gone across this mark of shame and stain on Germany's proud honour and traditions. I remembered having crossed at Eisenach in my youth, on my first visit to Germany's Soviet Zone of Occupation in 1953, with my new brother-in-law and my sister, going to Karl Marx Stadt, Leipzig, and Dresden which was still in rubble and ruins from the devastating air raid by the U.S. and British "Air-Gangsters", as the populace referred to them at the time.

I also remembered having been turned back at the same border area by the Communists in the sixties, and having to enter divided Berlin via Helmstedt, close to the "Volkswagen City" of Wolfsburg. This town, the first town in the world based and built on a "Helicopter Survey" using National Socialist Germany's first helicopter for that purpose. All this went through my mind as I made my way across Germany, a Germany rebuilt, vibrant, pulsating with traffic, and virile energy at every moment.

I had not been "at home" for 12 years for various reasons, all having to do, perversely enough, with my defense and struggle for truth about Germany's recent past.

Here I was, surrounded by the latest of shiny cars, trucks, and buses, whizzing purposefully past me at great speed, all of them controlled and orderly, heading for some distant destiny it seemed.

I looked at their faces as they moved past me left and right, and to my surprise, all of them were White! That's the first thing which hit me—they were all White. In my twelve years in the Gulag of Canada, for years unable or forbidden to travel, I had gotten used to the many coloured faces of Pakistanis, Chinese, to Jamaicans, Arabs, Africans. Gone were the turbans of the Seikhs, gone the many different head-shapes and hair-textures. Here, all were Aryans, it seemed.

I kept turning the radio dial as I drove and finally stopped for gas, and there in front of me I saw parked by a gas pump the unmistakable shape of an "East-German" TRABI, a car so small that it looked more like the trunk of my own Chrysler. The heavy-set German who carefully filled his tank, intently gazing at the Deutsch-Mark counter, looked at me with his shiny blue eyes as I made my way towards the "Tankwart", the man who took the money. I told the man that his tank full of gas was a gift from me, we shook hands, exchanged greetings. I asked him how long he had been en route, since I saw the grime and dirt on his car, and he said, four days in a transit camp, some time in Czechoslovakia, and then the trip. The little car could barely travel at 75 kilometers an hour, which is about 50 miles. He had come to see relatives, actually to flee, but now that the border could be crossed legitimately, he said he would have to see, and would await developments.

During my stay, I encountered many more "Trabis", all had the same cautious attitude and very few really wanted to stay in the West; they just wanted to see brothers, sisters, parents or rela-

tives which some of them had not seen since the end of the war, or at least since the wall had gone up.

I visited a lady supporter in the north and stayed with her overnight. At 4:30 in the morning we were awakened by the doorbell—when she opened, her niece and her husband stood there; they had not seen one another since 1961. What a hallo and Wiedersehen this was! What an insight I gained in those few short hours, as the effects of Germany's division were brought home to me one more time in all its tragic consequences. I soon took my leave, after many a moist eye and shed tear, and drove off into the beautiful and clear Saturday morning. The sun was rising over a Germany which was pouring out of its houses and cities towards the border areas. Soon traffic slowed to a crawl. Every time a "Trabi" came into sight, there was honking of horns and joyous waving and flicking on and off of lights by just about everybody. The Germans of the West responded with flowers, and soon brass bands, at the border. Naturally, the visitors were hungry and tired, having often waited in lines up to 15 kilometers deep for twelve hours.

Soon, huge tents sprang up as if by magic. Each visitor from the "East" got 100 Deutsche Marks to start with and the old German penchant for creating order out of chaos took hold. Food, sausages, buns, soft drinks, and beer were made available. Schools opened their gymnasiums, churches opened church halls; by Satur-



day afternoon, as I made my way across my native land, driving parallel north-south along the "Inter-Zonal Border" region, the German Emergency Service network was unfolding. For a while I had feared that "democracy" might have destroyed this one German characteristic as well—but no—by 3 o'clock that Saturday afternoon, the efficient and cool voices of youngish German border patrol and emergency services personnel could be heard over the shortwave radio that although the city was "schwarz mit Menschen"—a saying meaning the city was "crowded to the gills"—all was running smoothly.

I picked up a call by one border post, to move up the "truck-loads of roadmaps" to the "holes in the wall". Soon this was done, and when I got towards Göttingen, Kassel, and Frankfurt, regular convoys of "Trabis," surrounded by their traditional pale blue cloud of smoke, were moving along the Autobahns, accompanied by the usual light-flicking and horn honking.

What then followed on Germany's airwaves and newsstands was nothing short of amazing. The same media which for forty years had coldly forecast an "eternal" or "ewige" German Division was now abuzz with commentaries about the coming "Wiedervereinigung" or German "Reunification".

The vassals installed with their regimes in East and West desperately tried to stem the tide of the "primordial" burst of joy and expectation. It became obvious that the people of Germany once again were ahead of their political masters inflicted upon them by their respective "friends" in East and West.

Without a doubt, the radio broadcasts emanating from East Germany (actually Central Germany, since the Poles, Russians, and Czechs presently occupy vast stretches of German territory) were the most interesting. I was riveted to the radio and listened to speaker after speaker at some East German Communist Party "Revival-style Meeting" in Leipzig, where speaker after speaker, all of them young, eloquent and with a beautiful and carefully chosen phrase or two, took the old "Bolsheviks" to task for their corruption, terror, misrule, and heartless behaviour. All speakers, except one old apparatschik who still insisted on wanting to defend communist morality and ethics and who was promptly booed and hissed into silence, wanted change, freedom, secret ballots, more social justice, more openness in government, and all gave their name, their age, their profession and where they were from.

I was astounded! I had never in my entire political career

heard such controlled anger and fury expressed anywhere! That, to me, was a typically "German Revolution". There was no call for manning of the barricades, no storming of the bastilles. Here in this City of Leipzig, Communists— German Communists, were "letting it all hang out".

Then I turned to another station. This time I heard East Germany's new leader, a rather Jewish-looking son of a tailor from Koehlberg, Egon Krenz, speak. He was a good and forceful speaker and gave what I thought under the circumstances a very good account of himself and how he intended to improve things, and explained why the border was finally opened.

One interesting East German broadcast I monitored was an interview with a captain of the "Volkspolizei", or Vopos; he was asked if it was true that the East German "Volksarmee" had been put on alert to quell the demonstrations, numbering by then in the hundreds of thousands, in Leipzig and Dresden and in other cities. He said that certain elements had been trying to arm themselves, and that about 300 weapons had been stolen from the garrison of the "Volksarmee" in Leipzig but that the police, and not the army, had the job of controlling internal unrest, that the Army would be loyal to its oath and function and not fire on the citizens of the "DDR", which is the present name of East Germany. Loud and prolonged applause greeted his remarks!

Then there were news reports of the political party bosses of



Halle and Erfurt committing suicide by shooting themselves, which in turn prompted one German newspaper's banner headline, "Bunkerstimmung in der Zone" ("Bunker mood in the (Soviet) Zone"), alluding to the alleged events in Hitler's Bunker in 1945 in Berlin.

The air was charged with a potential for something big to happen: Revolutions have begun against such backgrounds. I instinctively thought back to the Hungarian Revolution in 1956 but realized that this time the Soviet tanks would not roll, because the impulses for this peaceful revolution now taking place had originated with the Russian leader Gorbachev. This time the Communist leaders had only their own apparatus, their own secret police, their own terror system and torture chambers to rely on—and, true to form to all illegitimate, externally imposed systems, it became each man out for his own skin.

Apparently, Honecker, East Germany's long-time leader, had ordered the Army to do what they had done in 1953, to brutally put down the demonstrations in a "Chinese style massacre" but found no one willing to give, much less to execute, the order to shoot thousands. When he failed, he was ousted by yet unclear means, some even say by pressure from Moscow!

Egon Krenz, the long-time favourite of Honecker, took over, now there are investigations afoot against him, it is reported. Some high-ranking political "mummies" have already resigned. East German party officials, apparently armed until now, have been ordered by the new government to turn in their weapons. The dreaded "Staatssicherheitspolizei", in short "Stasi", has allegedly been disbanded; frankly, I think 'renamed' would undoubtedly be a better term.

Negotiations are going on between East and West Germany which could lead to an easing of tensions and further improve things.

All this, however, has a shadow-side to it, "eine Schattenseite", as the Germans would say, and that is that the West German puppet state inherited a totally undeserved image-improving windfall. Furthermore, outright German traitors like Willy Brandt [a former mayor of West Berlin, a former Federal Chancellor, the author of *Deutsche und andere Verbrecher (Germans and Other Criminals)* after he fled from Germany and sought refuge in Norway, contributor of German-bashing articles to the U.S. media during WW II, and alleged collaborator of the CIA in post-war Germany!], who for years did the bidding of their Allied masters, were given a totally undeserved lease on life. Suddenly, these "political cadavers" who had

faded into political insignificance had the cheek to use words like "German nation," "German unity," etc., and then gave speeches to the multitudes. Thank God, at least Helmut Kohl was whistled down in a 25-minute "Pfeifkonzert" (whistle concert) in Berlin!

However, the trend of history is for German unity. These political fossils will just play an interim role!—Upon reflection, I think they might actually be quite useful for the moment, for they can go and calm the likes of Bush, Thatcher, and Mitterrand with their beseeching of "Western European Unity," with their talk and reassurances of "Kein Deutscher Alleingang" ("No single German thrust"), etc. I for one am ever hopeful, optimist that I am, that Germany will shake off its shackles. The occupiers—oops, our friends, will see the light and leave, or "be made go." No country with a healthy economy, a sound economic and social infrastructure, rebuilt by the sweat of its own people's labour, speaking one language, being more or less of common bond and blood, can be kept down forever.

As America's and Russia's economic, but more especially racial, problems come to the fore, exacerbated by the AIDS crisis looming on the horizon, Germany, as the heart of Europe, will take its rightful place in history once again. American politicians can now choose between forever hitching their stars and their fortunes to the ghetto-dwellers and occupiers of a hapless Palestine, or to come clean, to do what would be right for America's future, to help undo the effects of Yalta, Teheran and Potsdam. In short, America can now, belatedly, call off its continued war against Germany by stopping its lies in the media and by curtailing the hatred fostered for so long against my own people.

The opportunity is there—I doubt that Bush is free enough to take it! Poor America—so free and yet such a slave!

BRIDGE BETWEEN TWO WORLDS!

I have tried over the years to be a transmission belt between Europe and North America, and also between North America and the rest of the world. My newsletter goes to every continent, except Antarctica, and I have many hundreds of letters, thanking me for circulating my "unusual" views or the News behind the News. I want to continue to do that and thank you for your financial contributions which make this work possible.

Here are translations of some articles and editorials from the

German news media:

Bild, by Peter Bartøls and Hans-Hermann Tiedje, November 11, 1989

BERLIN, yesterday, just before 1 p.m. The bells were ringing in the tower of the Kaiser Wilhelm Memorial Church. Suddenly, the pedestrians stopped on the Kurfürstendamm. They folded their hands. Right there in the middle of the street, they began to pray. Citizens of East and West Berlin—many were crying. Some covered their faces with their hands, others were kneeling.

That was perhaps the most moving moment in this city's history. In the history of our nation.

And it was the most peaceful, the most hopeful.

What took place the previous night, all day yesterday, and this past night in the divided city, in divided Germany, was heart-rending. People from East and West took the Wall and the border by storm, fell crying and laughing into each other's arms. It was as though all of Germany were embracing itself. And Eastern and Western police watched, smiling, and traded sandwiches. After GDR-television had announced Thursday evening that citizens were free to travel "as of now," there was no stopping the people in East and West. The Brandenburg Gate, Kurfürstendamm, Alexander Square—it was the day of re-unification, the day of the Germans, the powerful acknowledgement of unity and justice and freedom. In the evening, there was jubilant cheering as Willy Brandt, the former Mayor of Berlin, called out to the thousands before the Schöneberger City Hall: "In the summer I wrote that Berlin will live and the Wall will fall—Berlin lives, the Wall has fallen."

Whatever may happen now—no one can take this day from us. It was a day for Germany.

* * * * *

Bild, by Peter Bartøls and Hans-Hermann Tiedje, November 13, 1989

This was the longest day in German history—it lasted for an entire wonderful weekend. And it was the happiest and most peaceful invasion of all time: the East Germans took West Germany by storm.

They arrived in trains and buses, on foot and per taxi. They came in endless, chugging caravans of Trabis. They flooded Berlin and Bebra, Hamburg and Hof. Everyone recognized them by their jeans, their colorful windbreakers, their running shoes—but most of all by their incredible modesty and politeness. And the West Germans opened their hearts and their arms, invited them to dinner, to tea, put money in their pockets and roses under the Trabis' windshield wipers. "Come back soon," was written on the handbills. Many stores stayed open on Sunday. Gas stations mixed Trabi-cocktails, ADAC [Allgemeiner Deutscher Automobil Club—the German automobile club] kept its monkey-wrenches on the ready, broadcasting stations brought Trabi-news. Innkeepers welcomed the GDR-citizens with champagne.

Germany, this weekend, was one single wonderful, crazy celebration of re-unification. Holes were dug into the Wall, an East-baby was born

under a maple tree in West Berlin. Lower Saxony's Prime Minister Albrecht was kissed by GDR-citizens, on GDR-territory. And almost all of those who came, went back home to the GDR. But they promised: We'll come back soon! It was a wonderful weekend. **Good morning, Germany!**

* * * * *

Die Welt, November 23, 1989

1641 Immigrants in 24 Hours

Hannover—There is no end to the inflow of emigrating East Germans: According to the national border guard, 1641 newcomers had announced themselves in 24 hours by Wednesday. This has increased the total number of immigrants to West Germany from the GDR in November to more than 100,000. Due to the great accommodation problems in North-Rhine-Westphalia, more than 2,000 immigrants from nations in Eastern Europe are temporarily housed in Schleswig-Holstein's vacation establishments.

* * * * *

Concentration-camp survivor Naomi Jacobson, on the events in Berlin, in *Newsweek*, December 4, 1989, page 31:

I hoped in my lifetime I wouldn't see the German people enjoy themselves so much. The Germans don't deserve this happiness.

* * * * *

Die Welt, by Enno von Löwenstern, November 23, 1989

Shades of Yalta?

The Chancellor has stated in Strassbourg that the Federal Government continues to adhere to "the goal of a free and united Germany in a free and united Europe." That was something that needed to be said in European circles, after the immutability of the "present map" has recently been discussed in Paris—as if the French, of all people, who complain the loudest about Yalta, wanted to advocate a mini-Yalta to the Germans' debit.

Re-unification is coming; it is embarrassing enough that a democrat has to repeatedly explain, among democrats, that it doesn't threaten anyone—how could the dissolution of a criminal dictatorship, the incorporation of what has to date been the realm of aggression into the community of peaceful peoples, be something evil? Let's be frank about it—all this talk is motivated by nothing other than France's pitiful balance of trade and the sad state of the pound. He who strives for grandeur and leadership without having his own books in order may well grow nervous at the prospect of seeing his successful neighbour come into a greater taxation district and even repairing it economically. But continually trying to label one's partner with the overcome tendencies of the past won't improve one's own economy.

Certainly, there are still the Allied occupation powers; some believe they have to be appeased. But the day is coming where the Germans will manage their own destiny to the point of demanding the departure of those troops that they perceive not as protectors, but as oppressors. No one has the "right" to keep a foreign nation occupied against its will

for the purpose of playing at being a "superpower" or a "balancing agent" or whatever. If Gorbachev really thought that he could prove bad intentions on the part of NATO, the union of peace-loving constitutional states, he would have put those cards on the table long ago. "German re-unification threatens no one"—that's not a showy phrase, it's a truth that should finally be taken beyond Sunday speeches and introduced into everyday politics.

Die Welt is one of Germany's most prestigious newspapers, something like the *New York Times*. The above editorial appeared the day I left Europe and was handed to me by the stewardess on the plane, where I wrote this newsletter. Löwenstern sounds Jewish to me, but whoever the writer is, he certainly expresses a widely held German viewpoint.

When I was in Paris I saw, all over the newsstands, newspapers with the banner headline: **The end of the Second World War has arrived!**

That sums it up!

DOES THE WEST HAVE THE WILL TO SURVIVE?

That is the obvious question posed by Jean Raspail's terrifying novel of the swamping of the White world by an unlimited flood of non-White "refugees." But there is also a less obvious and even more fundamental question: Must Whites find their way to a new Morality and a new spirituality in order to face the moral challenges of the present and overcome them? **THE CAMP OF THE SAINTS** is the most frightening book you will ever read. It is frightening because it is utterly believable. The armada of refugee ships in Raspail's story is exactly like the one that dumped 150,000 Cubans from Fidel Castro's prisons and insane asylums on our shores in 1980 — except this time the armada is from India, with more than 70 times as large a population. And it is only the first armada of many. If any book will awaken White Americans to the danger they face from uncontrolled immigration, it is **THE CAMP OF THE SAINTS**. For your copy (Order No. 03014) send \$9.50 (which includes \$1.50 for postage and handling) to:

LIBERTY BELL PUBLICATIONS
Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA.

TEUTONIC UNITY



British Circle of Friends

BM Beejay, London WC1N 3XX

NEWSLETTER

19 November 1989

MANFRED ROEDER was not released on November 12 as was expected, having served 9 years and two months, two thirds of his 13 years sentence.

You will know that Kurt Rebman, Federal Prosecutor/Attorney General, who has demonstrated unremitting hostility to Manfred Roeder since the time of his trial in 1982, when he stated at a press conference that he hoped there would be silence on the ideological battle front (i.e., nationalist) by putting Roeder away for many, many long years, and set out his opposition to Roeder's release with the customary one third remission of sentence to the President of the Stuttgart Higher Regional Court. The reasons he put forward were summarized by Manfred Roeder in his Newsletter No. 54.

At the very last minute the Stuttgart Regional Court gave its verdict and ruled in favour of Rebmann's reasons for insisting that Roeder serve the full term of his 13 year prison sentence. These were, that he had continuing sympathy for the representatives of the former National Socialist regime and that it was suspected he would continue with the work that had brought him into prison. All of which is duplicitous humbug.

Manfred Roeder was brought into prison for no other reason than that for which the German "democratic" authorities are attempting to keep him caged for another four years, namely, craven political fear.

That is even more pronounced at this particular point in German history when the German Question has been moved to the front burner, so to speak. The status of both West and East Germany is being brought into question — except by those who enjoy *ersatz* power in the liberal-democratic and communist orders of the so-called Federal and Democratic Republics, by permission of America, Russia, Britain, and France, those Allies who militarily overcame the Third Reich. They are their master's voice and, like the dog that used to grace HMV record label, they bark at any suggestion of their days being numbered, that Germany should be anything but a loyal NATO ally and member of the European Economic Community on the one hand, and a loyal Warsaw Pact and COMECON ally of the Soviet Union on the other.

The catalyst for change, however, has been the manifestation of 'people-power' in what is, strictly speaking, Central Germany, or the German Democratic Republic. There, the people have taken to the streets in mass protests against the failed and repressive system of Marxism and its ruling communist party masquerading as a Socialist Unity Party, caught out of step with the new thinking in the Kremlin (which has, nonetheless, made quite clear that it will not tolerate any alteration in its puppet state's border).

People's power is the real fear of Western and Communist politicians alike. Here in the West it is kept from emerging by a cunning combination of inducements, soporifics, and laws which play on the almost innate sense of abiding by the laws and a reticence to take overt action. But in West Germany, where successive puppet administrations have succeeded one another under the benign direction of the Western Powers, backed by nearly half a million occupation forces for over 44 years (with not a little helping hand from the Zionist Power voiced by Heinz Galinski), the fear is constant. The ruling upstarts know that the German nation is not really behind them but waiting for a genuine patriotic German government elected by all Germans which is empowered to sign the Peace Treaty which, after all these years, has yet to be concluded.

To return to Manfred Roeder's plight...

While assorted democratic political clowns and media parrots chatter about the German Question, the facts that Manfred Roeder put on record and proclaimed as Speaker of the Liberation Movement of the German Reich on 23 May 1978 remain as true as rocks in eddying currents that are the unfolding events in Germany 1989. There is no Government of the Reich. Interference in German affairs by Allied occupying powers after 8 May 1945 was illegal under international law. There exists only an armistice under the law. A Peace Treaty can only be signed by the former belligerents. The legal successor of the German Reich is neither the administration of the Federal Republic nor the administration of the German Democratic Republic which are nothing but creations of the Allied Powers who reserve the right to forceful and arbitrary interference in German affairs at any time.

In point of fact and of law, since the last Reich President, Karl Doenitz, disclaimed the title, Manfred Roeder proclaimed the Imperial Conservatorship, or Reichsverweser, in the name of the Liberation Movement of the German Reich in May 1978, and so

12 *Liberty Bell* / December 1989

ensured the legal succession of the Reich. Thus, the *Freiheitsbewegung Deutsches Reich* has assumed provisional representation for a transitional period until the Constitution of a free German Government of all Government is voted upon by all Germans.

Hence, the determination of the Allied-backed democratic politicians and authorities in West Germany to keep Manfred Roeder in gaol while the likes of Horst Mahler, a left-wing, active terrorist and former lawyer, was released after two thirds of his sentence was served.

It would hearten and encourage Manfred to hear from Friends and Members of Teutonic Unity, so please, do drop him a line.

Bruno Clifton

* * * * *

Manfred Roeder
Dennhäuserstrasse 116
D-3500 Kassel-WEST GERMANY

Dear Friends:

20 November 1989

On November 10, the court in Stuttgart, the one that sentenced me to 13 years, denied to release me on parole. It was a real shock because nobody expected that anymore. Everything was at first moving in the right direction. Their opinion is that I have not changed enough, that I am still a "Nazi," glorifying the Führer, and that I am still trying to establish a National Socialist state.

According to our constitution, nobody can be persecuted for his political beliefs. This I was assured again and again in prison. My opinions about Hitler and the Third Reich are in no way relevant to my release. But now the judges ruled otherwise.

Of course, there is the possibility to petition the Supreme Court, which I have already done. But the outcome is more than doubtful. It is obvious that I should be kept under surveillance and out of action as long as possible. I am persecuted only for my political opinions and conviction. It is illegal, but is a fact. I will have to wait three or four months for the final decision. But I will stay at the so-called "Open House" in Kassel; I will continue to go to work in a printing firm every day; I can meet friends any time, but I will not be free. An absurd situation.

The administration of my "House" is quite alright. They do what they do what they can in order to help me. But they have to carry out the order of the court.

Liberty Bell / December 1989 13

Being in the "Open House" means I have to care for myself, do my own cooking, my own laundry, etc.

Whilst the communist part of Germany is opening the gates, millions are set free overnight, a political dissident like me is locked up for an indefinite time in the so-called Free West. It could be that there will be less freedom of opinion in the West than there might be in the East. Dramatic changes are taking place in every Eastern country.

Sometimes I wonder whether only the Communists need to change? Is our system so flawless, are our politicians so above criticism that nobody needs to apologize, nobody needs to be fired? No retirement necessary? Heaven help us if we stay in that attitude.

Free opinion is more and more possible in the East, and more and more suppressed in the West. The court in Stuttgart left no doubt that their only interest is — to shut me up, that I should quit writing. But that I will never do.

Manfred Roeder

THE ANTI-HUMANS

by D. Bacu (307 pp., hb. \$7.00 + \$1.50 for postage & handling) describes what was done to the young men whom Corneliu Z. Codreanu, the founder of the Legionary Movement in Romania, inspired, when seven years after his brutal murder, Romania was delivered to the Bolsheviks. They were subjected to what is the most fully documented Pavlovian experiment on a large number of human beings. It is likely that the same techniques were used on many American prisoners in Korea and Vietnam. *The Anti Humans* is a well-written document of great historical and psychological importance. Reading it will be an emotional experience you will not forget. "A sequel to Orwell's *1984*" — R.S.H. "A searing exposé of Red bestiality!" — Dr. A.J. App. Order #01013. Single copy \$7.00, 3 for \$15., 5 for \$20.00.

For postage and handling add: On domestic orders, \$1.50 for orders under \$10.00, 15% of order total for orders over \$10.00. On orders from abroad, \$2.00 or 20% respectively. Sample copy of our monthly magazine *Liberty Bell* and copy of our huge book list containing hundreds of "Eye-Openers," \$4.00. Subscription for 12 hard-hitting, fact-packed issues \$35.00 (U.S. only). Order from: LIBERTY BELL PUBLICATIONS, Postoffice Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA

Little Known Facts Behind The Wirtschaftswunder

One of the remarkable features of the post-war period was the rapid regeneration of the economies of Germany and Japan, the former Axis powers laid low by strategic bombing and military conquest, so that for several years, with their cities reduced to heaps of rubble, and many of their industries blasted out of existence, millions scraped and scratched for a living that would only then be made bearable by international relief based mainly upon American generosity.

Latterly, as the rebuilding progressed, as new cities and industries sprang up on the ruins of the old, and as international investment flooded in to take advantage, the erstwhile victorious allies became grudgingly aware of an economic miracle. Stimulated by the outside investment, and by their own resolve to make it effective, the Germans and Japanese were soon back into competition with the nations who had so recently ground them into the dust, and their

James Gibbs Stuart shows how West Germany started the post-war era as a debt-free country

This is a reprint of Chapter 12 of the book, *The Money Bomb*, by James Gibbs Stuart, available from the BNP Book Service, 154 Upper Wickham Lane, Welling, Kent DA16 3DP, ENGLAND.

momentum was such that they quickly assumed a predominance, outselling their rivals for quality, delivery, and price in a large range of goods, from automobiles to micro-computer

technology.

When endeavouring to explain this astonishing revival, socio-economists would point to the natural discipline and industriousness of the German and Japanese peoples, their willingness to accept strong leadership and their almost fanatical determination to wipe out the privations and humiliations of the military surrender. They would also indicate that the almost total destruction of obsolescent plant and factory complexes was a hidden blessing, in that it cleared the way for massive schemes of modernisation which would leave the vanquished with a dramatic technological advantage.

"Makes you wonder who won the war," the British and French would say in their own respective fashion, as German-manufactured products started taking over their most favoured

markets, and the Deutschmark became the preferred currency in the most expensive watering places of the Cote d'Azur. Meanwhile, America was rather belatedly waking up to the fact that there was more of the vital semi-conductor output sited upon the islands of Honshu and Hokkaido than upon the entire American continent.

That Hyper-Inflation in the Weimar Republic

So! The Allies had won the war and lost the peace that followed. Were the Germans and the Japanese proving to be superior peoples in the new technological age that had been ushered in by the robot and the computer? Were those sturdy Teutons so much more capable of sustained and productive effort than their fellow Anglo-Saxons across the Channel?

Had Japan's centuries of family tribalism, projected into an industrial dimension, produced a formula for economic predominance which would leave the bemused western peoples further and further behind? And in the United Kingdom context, was it much to do with the backwardness and pigheadedness of British trade unions, which indulged in endless strikes and mindless bickering whilst their markets and their industrial prosperity were being snatched from under their noses?

The author had nothing original to offer upon the resilience and versatility of the Japanese. But the Germans are a part of Europe and its ancient culture, and there are certain aspects of their recent history which have never been accorded their true significance *vis-à-vis* national effort and productivity.

The British, proud of the longevity and continuity of their institutions, are inclined to look with disdain and self-congratulation upon the cataclysms which from time to time befall their more volatile neighbours. The French and Russians have had their bloody revolutions, their guillotines and their purges. The Germans had their Bismarck, their Kaiser and their Hitler, all of whom led them into disaster [Well, that is one man's, one Englishman's *opinion*. The *facts*, however, point to entirely different "statesmen," who not only brought disaster upon Germany but also brought about the *Suicide of Europe*. — Editor, *Liberty Bell*] in one way or another. They had also had an extraordinary hyper-inflation in the aftermath of the First World War, during which it needed a shopping bag full of paper currency to buy the weekly groceries, and a waggonload for a modest suburban house.

That was in the days of the Weimar Republic. Many traumatic stories have been told about the uncontrolled inflation which was reckoned to have wiped out the German middle classes and prepared the way for the rise of Hitler. But it also did something

else which never invited popular comment. The total destruction of the post-war Mark, with all its debt-bearing loans and liabilities, had also wiped out the Federal Government debt.

An Economy Starting Without Public Debt

So when did the Germans get their Federal Debt back again? Certainly not during the Nazi regime, which began about 1933 and continued until the military collapse in 1945! The methods of Dr. Schacht, Hitler's financial wizard, were strictly unorthodox, and whatever else the Nazis did, to their own people or to the millions that languished under them, they funded their war effort without leaving behind a debt structure which would burden their successors. Post-Hitler Germany, rising like a phoenix from the rubble, did so under the aegis of a brand new banking and financial system which owed nothing to the past. Thanks to the bizarre excesses of the Weimar Republic and the unorthodoxies of Dr. Schacht, those West Germans embarked upon their economic miracle [*Wirtschaftswunder*] with a negligible degree of national indebtedness, whilst among their former enemies and future trading rivals, the old and settled British were funding a debt of some £25,000, and the Americans, having faithfully and steadfastly borrowed their way through the later stages of the war, right up to and beyond the Allied victory, were annually paying interest charges on a Federal Debt of about \$270,000 million.

We can put these different circumstances into perspective if we relate them to the financial stringencies of the nineteen-eighties, and reflect upon the number of desirable projects which we have seen canceled or deferred because money was tight or outrageously expensive. It pinpoints the advantages enjoyed by an economy which did not need to set aside a substantial portion of its annual budget just to service a debt which had been established at the beginning of the eighteenth century.

End of the Miracle

Naturally, the West Germans would not have been accepted into the international community unless they were willing to play the financial game according to the rules [as laid down by the International Jewish Finance Mafia. — Editor, *Liberty Bell*]. That meant the newly constituted Bundesbank would finance the era of industrial regeneration and expansion by **lending money to the Government**. Thus by 1950, the Federal Republic's debt had got to 7,000 million Deutschmark, to DM17,000 million by 1955, and DM33,000 million ten years further on. Divide by four to get an ap-

proximate figure in Pounds Sterling, and you will see that the Germans' initial advantage was being progressively eroded — though not in relation to their former wartime enemies, who just kept getting deeper and deeper into hock.

None of this should be taken as a comprehensive explanation of the differences in output per man-hour between the old and settled British and their European rivals. Many factors have a bearing upon that comparative degree of productivity, and only one of them is being considered here. But no-one should minimise the restrictions, inhibitions and downright discouragements which fall upon the wealth-producing sectors of an economy which labours under a crushing burden of government-funded debt.

Taxation, as every industrial cost-accountant knows, acts as a direct curb on output. The working man works expressly for himself and his family. He may accept heavy taxes for a specific purpose and for a limited period, but above a certain level further imposts invoke the law of diminishing returns. A man will tend to allocate the working day as between the time he's earning for himself and the time when he's working for the Government — and the Government will invariably get the worst of the bargain.

That is the true and inescapable cost of a debit-financing system which attacks the productive worker at the very source of his earnings. It explains to a large extent why the British and their allies won the war but lost the peace that followed. It also explains much of the sourness, slackness and bloody-minded lack of co-operation which have characterised worker-management relations in the post-war years. A labour force which sees its efforts rewarded by a positive improvement in living standards is usually too busy clocking up output bonuses to tolerate strike, go-slows and the gerrymandering of politically motivated shop stewards.

Such, for a time, was the happy position of the West German factory operative when, with a negligible Federal Debt, and dramatic improvements in the economic life of the nation, he could see only too clearly the benefits of his high productivity. It did not necessarily make him a superman, merely a functionary whose self-interest coincided with the needs of his industry. With the Federal Republic rapidly acquiring its own quota of post-war debt, and taking taxes out of the economy to pay for it, the benefits for the individual were progressively eroded — and the German miracle was over.

From *Spearhead*, No. 250, December 1989

European Nemesis

Much more than Communism stands to suffer liquidation as a result of current events now taking place in the countries of the so-called 'Eastern Bloc'. What is

The impending collapse of the Iron Curtain, says John Tyndall, bids to shatter an entire world of falsehoods, myths and illusions.

From *Spearhead*,
No. 250, December 1989
Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW, England

Germany...from the unification of Germany in 1871 until its division in 1945, the central geopolitical position of the German state and the dynamism of its

doomed to fall apart is the whole structure of ideas and strategies that have passed for conventional wisdom over most of the present century — a structure dogmatically maintained by the British Establishment and serving to justify every major act of British policy during that time.

William Wallace, writing in the *Sunday Times Magazine* on the 29th October in an article headed 'The shape of things to come', focused our minds on the issue when he began by saying: "Where shall we be without the cold war?" He continued: "As the cold war melts away, historical memories are beginning to re-emerge as political realities."

One important reality that Mr. Wallace clearly had in mind was the profound alteration in the balance of power in Europe brought about by the looming prospect of German reunification. "The core of Europe," he said, "is

economy became the axis around which European politics revolved."

The merging of the two Germanies — until quite recently a seemingly remote contingency — has been turned by recent events within the DDR [=Deutsche Demokratische Republik] into one that we must now view as probable within the coming decade. Said Norman MacRae, writing in the *Sunday Times News Focus* a week later: "The two big events of the 1990s...will be the reunion of Germany and the break-up of the Soviet Union." He continued: "The Fourth German Reich, some 78 million people rich and strong, will then temporarily replace the Soviet Disunion as our nearest super-power."

Similar terminology was used by John Ellison, writing in the *Daily Express* on November 6th, when he headed his report

from East Berlin 'Freedom march that may create a Fourth Reich'. Mr. Ellison's thesis was that the present massive exodus from East to West Germany was likely before long to bring down the rotting and crumbling DDR regime. Only Soviet tanks could save it," and said the writer, "that is an option most believe Mr. Gorbachev has ruled out." He continued, speaking of West Germany: "Faced with a choice between the Common Market and the rebuilding of the old German Empire, which way would Bonn's rulers move?" Said Ellison: "European leaders such President Mitterand and his colleague Jacques Delors, President of the Brussels Commission, are so alarmed at the outcome should that question be posed that they are demanding the creation of an early European federation to which West Germany's future could be firmly anchored."

As well they might! But their plans would in any event be futile since, whatever formal relationship West Germany may have with the EEC [=European Economic Community], such a relationship would never be allowed by the Germans to stand in the way of the reunification of their country and people. Not the EEC, but only America and Russia, could prevent this happening, and neither, as Mr. Ellison acknowledged, are likely to do so. "Soviet sources," he said, "are hinting that if the collapse of East Germany meant the creation of a neutral zone (Germany) at the centre of Europe and the withdrawal of American forces, then it would be perfectly acceptable to Moscow."

And acceptable to Washington? Probably. Said Ellison: "Mr. Bush has already accepted the concept of a reunified Germany...There is also open debate as to when American troops can be returned home, resulting in huge budget economies."

Back to Square One

While all three of the quoted writers have done us the service of pointing out certain facts of overwhelming importance that are going to face us in the future, none of them, of course, has dared to extract from those facts the deductions that inevitably follow—deductions that make a nonsense of the whole world-picture underlying British Government actions during the 20th century and the dominant consensus of British public opinion during that same period.

What coming developments in Europe are going to do, in effect, is reverse the verdict of both the First and Second World War, and thereby invalidate the entire strategic criteria on which rested the British foreign policy leading to those wars.

According to the prevailing orthodoxy, the two world wars were fought to prevent German domination of the European Continent. Yet here we are back to square one, facing just that situation—one of the historical memories that, according to Mr. Wallace in the *Sunday Times Magazine*, "are beginning to re-emerge as political realities." The crucial question is: is not the re-emergence of this political reality something that enlightened statesmanship should have foreseen from the very beginning, when the strategy of keeping Germany down was first decided upon? What has happened is that Europeans have been made to wade through oceans of blood, in two successive holocausts that have witnessed the slaughter of the finest of our race, to prevent happening that which was bound anyway to happen. If German supremacy in the European theatre was a natural and inevitable development—intrinsic, as Mr. Wallace has indicated, "in the central geopolitical position of the German state and the dynamism of its economy," and also, as he did not say but might have said, in the fact that there some 25-30 million more Germans in Europe than there are Britons or French—then by what measure of insanity can the mind-numbing destruction of 1914-1918 and 1939-1945 be justified? In effect, present developments are consigning all 'orthodox' British strategists and policy-makers since the beginning of the century to the corner of the classroom where they should be required to wear the dunce's caps of children who have got their sums horribly wrong.

And the biggest dunce's cap of all should be placed squarely on the head of Winston Churchill, whose life's mission might be summed up in the words he uttered in 1936, before Hitler had marched into Austria, Czechoslovakia or Poland: "Germany is getting too strong. We must destroy her." Were the Establishment's tribal deity to enjoy the gift of resurrection in the Europe of 1989, what, one wonders, would be his thoughts?

A EUROPE OF NATIONS

This, indeed, brings us to the next of the historical memories that are again appearing as political realities: the fact that Europe is not, never has been, and never can be anything other than a collection of **nation-states**—nation-states which may indeed coalesce into certain combinations at certain times and in order to achieve certain objectives which, just for the moment, they may feel they share in common—but nevertheless nation-states above

all, who will never, except in fits of momentary treason to themselves, work for any higher aims than those of their individual and separate national interests.

Were it otherwise, there would not be the slightest reason to view as a momentous event the reunification of the two Germanies and the assumption by a unified Germany of the position of a European super-power—the 'Fourth Reich', in the words of Messrs. MacRae and Ellison. Were the present European community anything more than just a purely ephemeral organisation—were it in fact the embryonic European national state of the future—German unification would be seen as no more significant than the merging of, say, Lancashire and Yorkshire to make what would be by far Britain's largest county and one whose gross product well exceeded all the others. But precisely because Germany—throughout all the years of her internal division and the incorporation of one part within the EEC and the other within the Communist Bloc—has remained a nation, just as Britain, France and the rest have remained nations, committed to the furtherance of national interests, the unification of Germany is to be an event of profound significance to the whole international contest of power which is yet another re-emerging reality of which we must take account.

The same reality is asserting itself in the rapid erosion of the Soviet monolith, the Iron Curtain, and the 'cold war.' Instead of the Eastern European nations being regarded, and regarding themselves, as some kind of homogenised entity called the 'Communist Bloc', the 'Warsaw Pact', or some such grouping, they are again emerging into the daylight as what they always were: Poles, Hungarians, Latvians, Lithuanians, Estonians, and, yes, Germans! They no more represent a common interest than the states of the EEC represent a common interest. If they all share in common the fact that they are 'Europeans', then it is only in the same sense as Americans, Canadians, Australians, New Zealanders and White South Africans are Europeans. An anthropological similarity and cultural affinity do not in themselves eliminate national traditions and loyalties that have taken centuries to form, any more than those national traditions and loyalties can be eliminated by the artificial graftings of political ideology by which the European nations have been herded together into supra-national blocs since 1945.

And it is now the falling apart of that phony and *ersatz* concept known as the 'cold war' that is sweeping away the last of the remaining excuses for European nations to be grouped together in

alliances that have never corresponded in the slightest to real national interests but have only been constructed in defence of political systems now, at least in one case, near expiry.

This 'cold war', as pointed out in numerous articles in this magazine over the years, never was a reality—how could it be when its two principal 'antagonists' had been in constant co-operation throughout the globe for its entire duration? But what it did do was serve as a pretext for the joint occupation of Europe—in particular of Germany—by U.S. and Soviet forces. This much was admitted, at least partially, by Mr. Wallace in his article, when he said:

The institutional structures of post-war Europe—NATO, the European Community—were erected to hold back a reviving Germany as well as to protect the West from the Soviet threat. On the other side, the Soviet forces in East Germany were stationed there as much to guard against the threat of a reunited Germany as to bring pressure on the West.

And:

British and French government made ritual commitments to the reunification of Germany as a NATO objective, at West Germany's insistence; but in reality they were happier with a status-quo which kept the Germans politically subordinate. That status-quo is now dissolving as American and Soviet troops get ready to leave...

The only difference between the 'cold war' theory now and in former years is that, whereas in the past its fraudulence was largely concealed, today the theory is lacking credence in the eyes of increasing millions. Russia under Stalin and his successors and imitators could be made to appear as a power that genuinely menaced the West, no matter how empty that menace was in reality; in the case of Russia under Gorbachev, such a pretence can no longer be maintained. Europe thus reverts to what it was in the 1930s and before: not a continent divided into mutually hostile and sparring internationalist blocs but one comprised of many nations, pursuing national interests and for the most part unwilling to be the vassals either of Russia or the United States.

FUTURE OF THE COMMON MARKET

What is the future of the European Common Market in this scenario? With so many powerful forces backing it, it would be premature to say that the Market is due soon to disintegrate. What most certainly is happening, however, is the dismantling of one of its major *raison d'être*. Even to those not persuaded by the

economic arguments in support of the EEC, the concept has had an appeal as long as it could be presented as a means of promoting greater solidarity among Western Europeans in the face of an apparent 'threat' from the East. Now that that 'threat' is being exposed as the illusion it always was, such a coalition falls by the wayside. With this, there is bound to come a call for the 'European Community' to extend its embrace to Russia's former satellites and thus for its boundaries to become 'wider still and wider'. Such a development will, of course, be welcomed by doctrinaire internationalists and their backers in the world of cosmopolitan finance. However, the wider the Community embrace the more diverse the national aspirations and interests it will have to accommodate. It has all, of course, been seen before. There was the Roman Empire, followed by the Holy Roman Empire. There was Napoleon's Continental System. There was the Empire of the Habsburgs. Each in its days seemed to contemporaries to point the way to the European future, but each disintegrated as the forces of ethnic nationalism reasserted themselves, as they always do. Now those forces are again rampant, not only within the Soviet Bloc but within the Soviet Union itself. They are also rearing their head again in Yugoslavia and threatening to tear that artificial state apart. If they still lie somewhere beneath the surface in Western Europe, this state of affairs is likely to change dramatically as Germany emerges from occupation and division and redraws the map of the continent along much the old lines.

For all these reasons, we should not regard the EEC as an organisation likely to survive in the longer term — unless it does so simply in the way that the Commonwealth has survived: as something of an old boys' 'club', held together by nothing more than political origins and nominal allegiance to certain paper abstractions, taken seriously by an ever declining minority. Without Germany, the EEC would become a hollow shell; with Germany it would cease to be what it has claimed to be over all these years — an international partnership — and would become merely a euphemism for German hegemony, just as 'Soviet Union' and 'Communist Bloc' have always been euphemisms for the hegemony of Russia.

BRITAIN'S POSITION

We come finally to the question which, to this writer, is by far the most important of all: that of what Britain's position is going

to be in the face of these coming developments. First, however, we must get fixed clearly in our minds the areas in which such developments are exploding and demolishing the principal pillars of the established 'orthodoxy' that has ruled British thinking in modern times, namely:

(1) The idea that there is any lasting way of preventing Germany from asserting her position as the dominant power in Europe. History brought up to date has clearly demonstrated that such prevention can only ever be temporary, and would require a major European war two or three times every century for it to be achieved. Is this what we want?

(2) The myth of a 'cold war' dividing Europe by reason of a supposed 'threat' on the part of Russia and her minions to march westwards, and the resulting pretext for the Western European nations, together with others in NATO, to combine in a common defensive front.

(3) The idea that there is an essential common interest uniting the nations of the EEC, together with the idea that, with the coming enlargement of Germany, the EEC can be anything other than an instrument of Germany hegemony.

(4) The idea that, in the circumstances indicated above, Britain can play a central and leading role in the European Community and accommodate its policies to British interests.

With these illusions swept away, we may see that British foreign policy throughout most of the present century has been one long chapter of disaster, since it has been based on a series of suppositions about Europe that events are proving to be utterly groundless. Such a recognition is a necessary prelude to any serious examination of the question of where we go from here. We clearly must not go in the direction we have been going in the past and are still going now.

BASIS OF BRITISH POWER

From the first moment that Anglo-Saxons established substantial communities in near-empty continents thousands of miles overseas the basis of British power has always been oceanic and global, rather than European. Britain-in-Europe possesses about the same size of population and less than half the territory of France, while Germany exceeds us in population by about 50 per cent. On the other hand, the people of British stock living beyond Europe are greatly more in number than those of either French or

German stock, while the lands they inhabit are many times in excess of the areas and resources of either France or Germany. An estimate of power on the basis of pure statistics is, of course, an unreliable one—other factors have to be taken into account. But assuming some sort of equality in these other factors, statistics do indeed count. Not only is size of population of importance—in the way of manpower for military use when needed and also as a consumer market for industry—but so also is area and living space, inasmuch as these factors regulate what population growth is possible without undue strain on resources of land.

Seen in this light, Britain was extremely well placed to retain her great-power status—for as long as she took care to keep her connections with her overseas kindred close and intact, and exploited every opportunity to make them stronger. As long as British leaders understood and pursued this concept of an oceanic destiny, this country was set on the right course of development. Even Churchill himself showed a glimmering of recognition of this truth when he said that Britain, “when forced to choose between Europe and the open seas, would always choose the open seas.” All the more the pity that WC, by the time he died, had done so much to foul up the sea routes in which he professed to believe.

This did not mean that Britain could always afford to isolate herself from European affairs. What happened in Europe was of import to us just for as long as we faced serious European rivals in our overseas spheres of influence. These rivals were, respectively, Spain and France. It therefore became sound policy to oppose Spanish and French power in Europe for as long as that power, if released from European commitments, could be used against us in the New World. By the final quarter of the 19th century, however, Spanish world power had become a thing of the distant past, while that of France could no longer pose any serious threat to us in our two spheres of influence of great importance, namely Canada and Australasia.

NO THREAT

Germany, by contrast, in no case ever represented a rival to us in these vital areas. The time that marked Germany's assumption of European ascendancy was therefore the time that marked the end of any need for Britain herself to embroil herself in European quarrels in pursuit of the ‘balance-of-power’ strategy (to be exact, the first came a little while after the second). At any rate, from

1871 onwards, Britain's proper policy should have been to detach herself from European politics and economics, while, of course, remaining very much a part of Europe culturally. A whole vast world across the oceans beckoned to us and should have absorbed our entire energies and whatever blood we were prepared to risk spilling on the part of our manhood. We could therefore well have afforded to let natural forces on the Continent take their course, elevating Germany to the position of leading European power, as they were bound to do; so long as we remained consistent in pursuit of our oceanic destiny, such a development could in no way threaten us.

By the time of the outset of World War II, the British Empire and Commonwealth possessed a white population which on its own was nearly the equal of that of Greater Germany in Europe, while the natural resources available to that Empire and Commonwealth were far in excess of anything to which the Germans could hope to aspire. Even in the illogical, and therefore highly improbable, event of Germany coveting some possession within the British sphere of influence in preference to expansion within Europe, the combined resources of the British World as it was at that time should have been more than adequate to deter her from any aggressive design against us.

But Britain could not be two things at once: she could not be a European power while at the same time trying to hold on to her world power. And while, within Europe, she could not hope to be more than a power of the second rank in relation to Germany, on a global level she could have been a power of at least equal rank to Germany, while seeking her development in spheres that provided the best possible assurance of an avoidance of Anglo-German conflict.

Britain, as we know, did not take the course that all rational assessments of her interest required that she should take. She aligned herself with the anti-German coalition in World War II and made a vast expenditure of blood and treasure—for what? To prevent happening what, half a century later, is now happening: the capturing by Germany of European leadership. The one difference between now and then is that, whereas in 1939 Germany's greater population and resources in the European theatre were counter-balanced by Britain's imperial populations and possessions beyond, today Britain to all intents and purposes cut herself off from those imperial populations and possessions and is stripped

down to the role of an under-resourced and overcrowded island, doomed by the decision of her rulers to play the part of a second-fiddle European power instead of a first-class world power. Can history offer any example of greater folly?

Even the lunacy of the anti-German crusade of 1939-1945 could have been limited in its effects had Britain then used the post-war years to extricate herself from Europe and consolidate her position with her dominions overseas. But she did not do this; instead, she declared to the world that she saw her future as lying in Europe, and in the process alienated the vast reservoir of goodwill she still possessed across the oceans among people of her own kind. The dominions, snubbed by the mother country, drifted away into the American and Japanese orbits, where they remain today.

WHAT MUST NOW BE DONE

I am one of those who believe that it is not yet too late to remedy this lunacy and set our nation back on an alternative course. But even if I should be proved wrong the effort must still be made—for there is no alternative open to us if we are to have a future worth living for. Anyone who seriously believes that Britain can have a future in a united Europe dominated, as it inevitably will be, by a single German Reich is living in pure cloud-cuckoo-land, and in fact supporting what is bound to become a prescription for yet further Anglo-German enmity—something of which we have had quite enough.

The path towards a renewal of Britain's oceanic ties will be a long and difficult one—contending, as it must, with numerous formidable obstacles that are our legacy of the disastrous policies of the past 30-40 years. What first has to be achieved, above all else, is clear-headedness as to the necessity of the aim, and the silencing of those defeatist voices among us that counsel that it cannot be done. In this respect we might learn something from those Germans who have been the focus of much of this article. To them, at one time the reunification of their divided peoples seemed a distant, perhaps impractical, dream—given the apparent strength of the forces keeping those peoples apart. Today, all has changed, and yesterday's dream looks like becoming tomorrow's reality—one of the political realities that, according to Mr. William Wallace, was not long ago merely an historical memory.

To us British lies the task of making the greatness of our own nation yet another. □

Statements & Speeches



Volume XII No. 24

December 5, 1989

GERMAN INFORMATION CENTER, 950 THIRD AVENUE, NEW YORK, N.Y. 10022 (212) 888-9840

Reprinting Permitted

Policy Statement

on Germany

by Chancellor Helmut Kohl

In the German Bundestag

November 28, 1989

Since the opening of the intra-German border and sector boundary in Berlin on November 9th, German-German politics has entered into a new phase, which offers new chances and poses new challenges.

We are all overjoyed about the newly-won freedom of movement for those living in divided Germany. We, along with those Germans in the GDR, are glad that the Wall and border blockades could finally, after decades, be overcome peacefully.

We are also proud that Germans in the GDR have, with their powerful and peaceful intercession for freedom, human rights and self-determination, shown an example of their courage and love of freedom for the whole world, an example which is being estimated throughout the world.

We are all deeply impressed by the lively and unbroken desire for freedom, which is moving the people of Leipzig and other cities. They know what they want. They want to determine their own future, in the true sense of the word. We will, of course, respect every decision made by the people of the GDR under conditions of free self-determination.

We, in the free part of Germany, stand in solidarity alongside our fellow countrymen.

At the beginning of last week, Federal Minister Seiters spoke with the Chairman of the State Council, Krenz, and Prime Minister, Modrow about the new East German leaderships position. We wanted to learn how the announced reform program shall be put into effect and in within which time period concrete steps are to be expected.

It has been agreed to continue discussions at the beginning of December. If, as we hope, initial results can be seen in these discussions, I would personally like to meet the responsible parties in the GDR before Christmas.

Federal Minister Seiters also spoke with representatives of the opposition and the Church in East Berlin. In the last few weeks, I have personally welcomed representatives of the opposition in Bonn. We regard it as advisable to take into account the views, opinions and recommendations of the opposition in the GDR in all the moves and decisions currently being made. We continue to place great value upon these contacts and want to carefully cultivate them in the future.

Chances are arising for us to overcome the division of Europe and that of our fatherland. The Germans, who are reuniting in the spirit of freedom, will never pose a threat but will rather be of benefit to a Europe which is growing together.

The move to change, currently being experienced, is primarily the deserts of the people who are so impressively demonstrating their will for freedom. It is also, however, the result of the many political developments of years gone by. We have also made substantial contributions to these developments with our policy.

- First, it was decisive that we conducted this policy on the solid foundation of our integration in the community of free democracies. The uniformity and steadfastness of the Alliance during the difficult test of 1983 have paid off. We have strengthened the backbone of the reform movement in Central, Eastern and South-Eastern Europe by pursuing our clear course within the Atlantic Alliance and in the European Community.

- We have, with the transition to new stages of economic and political integration within the European Community, successfully further developed the model for the free coalition of European peoples, a coalition which has attractive powers far beyond the Community.

- On the other hand, General Secretary Gorbachev's reform policy within the Soviet Union and the new way of thinking in Soviet foreign policy were a decisive prerequisite. Without their recognition of the rights of peoples and countries to determine their own course, the reform movements in other countries of the Warsaw Pact would not have been successful.

- If Poland and Hungary had not led the way with far-reaching political, economic and social reforms, the dramatic occurrences in the GDR would not have taken place. I welcome the fact that changes are also becoming apparent in Bulgaria, and the CSSR. I am particularly pleased that the winner

of this year's German Book Trade Peace Prize, Vaclav Havel, is now finally able to harvest the fruits of his long-standing work and suffering for freedom. His magnificent acceptance speech at the Paulskirche in Frankfurt, which he himself could not deliver, was an impressive final reckoning with the socialist-communist system.

- The CSCE process also played an important role. We, together with our partners, have always pressed for a dismantling of sources of tension, on dialogue and co-operation, and most particularly for the respect of human rights.

- Thanks to the continual summit diplomacy of the major powers and the numerous intensive meetings between Eastern and Western heads of state and government, a new trust was able to develop in East-West relations. The historical breakthrough in disarmament and arms control is a visible expression of this trust.

- The broadly based contractual policy of the Federal Government towards the Soviet Union and all other Warsaw Pact states has made considerable contributions and given important impulses to the development of East-West relations.

- The consistent policy with regard to the coherence of our nation is amongst the causes of the most recent changes. Since 1987, millions of fellow countrymen from the GDR have visited us, amongst them many young people. Our "small step policy" has, in difficult times, kept awake and sharpened the sense of the unity of the nation, and has deepened that of German fellowship.

This is particularly apparent at the present time.

These developments refute all gloomy predictions by those people who have been predicting a "new ice age" in East-West relations since the beginning of my term of office, and who have accused us and myself personally - of being unable to foster peace. Exactly the op-

reference to modern high-speed trains.

Third: I have offered to extensively extend our aid and co-operation, should fundamental changes of the political and economic system in the GDR be firmly agreed upon and put irrevocably into effect. By irrevocable, we mean that the East German leadership comes to an understanding with opposition groups concerning constitutional change and a new electoral law.

We support the demands for free, equal and secret elections in the GDR incorporating independent, even non-socialist parties. The power monopoly of the SED must be lifted.

The introduction of the rule of constitutional law means, above all, the abolition of laws concerning political crimes.

Economic aid can only be effective if fundamental reforms within the economic system take place. Previous experience with all COMECON states has shown this. The bureaucratic planned economy must be dismantled.

We do not want to stabilize conditions which have become indefensible. Economic improvement can only occur if the GDR opens its doors to Western investment, if conditions of free enterprise are created and if private enterprise becomes possible. There are already examples of this in Hungary and Poland, which can be used by the GDR for orientation. Under these conditions, joint ventures would soon be possible. Many firms, both at home and abroad, have expressed willingness to undertake such ventures.

These are not preconditions but factual prerequisites needed before our aid can take effect. Additionally, there can be no doubt that the people in the GDR want an economic order which can also provide them with economic freedom and wealth.

Fourth: Prime Minister Modrow spoke in his governmental declaration of a "contractual community." We are

prepared to adopt these thoughts. The proximity and the special nature of the relationship between the two German states demand an increasingly close-knit network of agreements in all sectors and at all levels.

This co-operation will also increasingly demand common institutions. Commissions which already exist can be given new tasks and further commissions can be created. Here I am particularly thinking of the economic, transport, environmental, scientific and technical, health and cultural sectors. It goes without saying that Berlin will be fully included in these co-operative efforts.

I call upon all social groups and institutions to actively participate in the development of such a contractual community.

Fifth: We are also prepared to take a further decisive step, namely, to develop confederative structures between the two states in Germany. Here, the goal is to create a federation, a federal state order in Germany. For this, a legitimized democratic government in the GDR is an indispensable prerequisite.

We can imagine that, soon after free elections take place, the following institutions could be created:

- a common government committee for permanent consultation and political coordination,

- common technical committees,

- a common parliamentary gremium.

Previous policy with reference to the GDR had to essentially limit itself to small steps. These strove to alleviate the condition of national division and keep awake and sharpen the sense of national unity. If in the future, a democratically legitimated, that is, a freely elected government becomes our partner, new perspectives will become available.

posite has occurred. Today, we have a greater sense of understanding and community in Germany and Europe than has ever been felt since the end of World War II.

Today, as everyone can see, we have reached a new epoch in European and German history, an age which points beyond the status quo, and the former political structures in Europe.

The change is primarily the work of people who insist on the concession of freedom, on the respect of their human rights and on their right to determine their own future.

All who carry responsibility in and for Europe have to make allowance for the will of people and nations. We are all called upon to design a new architecture for the house of Europe and for a permanent and just order of peace on our continent - as both General Secretary Gorbachev and I already stressed in our common declaration of June 13th this year.

Hereby the legitimate interests of all parties concerned must be guaranteed. This, of course, is also true of German interests.

We are therefore approaching the goal already set by the Atlantic Alliance in December 1967 - I quote: "A final and stable settlement in Europe is ... not possible without a solution to the German question, which forms the nucleus of the current tensions in Europe. Any settlement of that kind must remove the unnatural barriers between Eastern and Western Europe, which are manifested in the clearest and most atrocious fashion in the division of Germany."

We cannot plan the way to unity from our "armchairs" or with our appointment calendars. Abstract models will help us no further. Today, however, we are in a position to prepare in advance those stages which lead to this goal. I would like to elucidate these with a Ten-Point-Program:

First: Immediate measures need to

be taken. These result from the events of the past few weeks, particularly the movement of refugees and the new dimensions of intra-German traffic.

The Federal Government is prepared to provide immediate concrete aid where it is needed. We will assist in the humanitarian sector and provide medical assistance as far as is required.

We are also aware that the "welcome money," which is given once a year to every visitor from the GDR, is not a long-term solution for the financing of travel. The GDR must equip its nationals with the necessary currency. We are, however, prepared to contribute to a currency fund for a limited period of time. This is conditional upon the abolishment of the currency exchange requirement for persons entering the GDR and also requires that entry into the GDR be made easier. Also, the GDR must be willing to make a considerable contribution to this fund.

Our aim is to establish the most unhindered form of tourist travel possible in both directions.

Second: The Federal Government will, as before, continue its co-operation with the GDR in all areas where it is of direct benefit to people on both sides. This is particularly true of economic, scientific and technological co-operation and of co-operation in cultural fields. It is of particular importance to intensify co-operation in the field of environmental protection. Here we will be able to shortly take decisions concerning new projects.

Additionally, we also want to help to ensure that the telephone network in the GDR is expanded as quickly as possible.

We are continuing negotiations pertaining to the expansion of the railway network Hanover-Berlin. In addition, we need to discuss fundamental questions concerning rail traffic within a Europe with open borders the linking of the GDR network, with particular

Gradually, new forms of institutional co-operation can be created and further developed. A coalescence of this kind is inherent in the continuity of German history. State organizations within Germany have always been confederations or federations. We can once again make use of this historical heritage.

Nobody knows how a reunified Germany will look. I am sure, however, that unity will come, if it is wanted by the German nation.

Sixth: The development of inner-German relations remains embedded in the overall European process and in East-West relations. The future structure of Germany must fit into the future architecture of Europe as a whole. The West has to provide pace-making aid here with its concept for a permanent and just European peace order.

In our common declaration of June this year, the Soviet leader Gorbachev and I spoke of the building elements of a "common European house." I can name, for example:

- Unlimited respect for the integrity and security of each state. Each state has the right to choose its own political and social system.

- Unconditional respect for the principles and standards of international law, particularly respect for the peoples' right of self-determination.

- The realization of human rights.

- Respect for, and upholding of the historically-based cultures of the people of Europe.

With all of these points, as Mr. Gorbachev and I set down, we want to link onto the historically-based European traditions and help to overcome the divisions in Europe.

Seventh: The power of attraction and the aura of the European Community are and remain a constant feature in European development. We want to strengthen this further.

The European Community is now required to approach the reform-oriented states in Central, Eastern, and Southern Europe with openness and flexibility. This was determined unanimously by the Heads of State and Government of the EEC member states during their recent meeting in Paris.

This of course includes the GdR.

- The Federal government therefore supports the quick conclusion of a trade and co-operation agreement with the GDR. This would expand and secure the GDR's entry into the Common Market, including the perspectives of 1992.

- For the future, we can envision specific forms of association which would lead the economies of the reform-oriented countries of Central and South-Eastern Europe to the EC, and thereby dismantle the economic and social differences on our continent.

We understand the process leading to the recovery of German unity to be a European concern. It must, therefore, be considered together with European integration. In keeping with this, the European Community must remain open to a democratic GDR and to other democratic countries from Central and South-Eastern Europe. The EC must not end on the Elbe, but must remain open to the East.

Only in this way is it possible that the foundation of the EC truly include a comprehensive European unity. Only in this way can it maintain, assert and develop the identity characteristic of all European nations. This identity is not only based on the cultural diversity of Europe, but also, and especially, on the fundamental values of freedom, democracy, human rights and self-determination.

If the countries of Central and South-Eastern Europe fulfil the necessary prerequisites, we would also welcome their entrance into the European Council, especially into the Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and

Fundamental Freedoms.

Eight: The CSCE process is and remains a centerpiece of the total European architecture and must be vigorously advanced. In order to do this, the following CSCE forums must be taken advantage of:

- The Human Rights Conferences in Copenhagen, in 1990, and in Moscow, in 1991.

- The Conference on Economic Cooperation in Bonn, in 1990.

- The Cultural Inheritance Symposium in Cracow, in 1991 and

- last but not least, the next CSCE meeting in Helsinki.

There we should think about new institutional forms for European cooperation. We envision a common institution for the coordination of East-West economical cooperation, as well as the creation of a European Environmental Council.

Ninth: The surmounting of the separation of Europe and the division of Germany demands far-reaching and speedy steps pertaining to disarmament and arms control. Disarmament and arms control must keep step with political developments and therefore, might have to be accelerated.

This is particularly true of the negotiations in Vienna for the dismantling of conventional armed forces in Europe and for the agreement upon measures to establish trust, such as the worldwide ban of chemical weapons. This also demands that the nuclear potential of superpowers be reduced to a strategic minimum. The pending meeting between President Bush and General Secretary Gorbachev offers a good opportunity to add new impetus to current negotiations.

We are trying - even in bilateral discussions with the countries of the Warsaw Pact, including the GDR - to support this process.

Tenth: With this sweeping policy, we are working towards the attainment of freedom within Europe, whereby the

announcement made at the NATO Summit in Brussels, in May of this year.

We are conscious of the fact that particularly difficult problems will arise on the road to German unity - problems to which we cannot yet provide a final answer to today. Above all, this includes questions pertaining to overlapping security structures within Europe.

The linking of the German question to European developments and East-West relations, as I have explained in the previous ten points, enables an organic development which takes into consideration the interests of all concerned and guarantees a peaceful co-existence in Europe.

Only together and in an atmosphere of mutual trust will we be able to peacefully overcome the division of Europe and Germany together and in an atmosphere of mutual trust. We need prudence, understanding and sound judgement on all sides so that the current developments may continue steadily and peacefully.

This process cannot be hampered by reforms, but rather by the non-acceptance thereof. Freedom does not cause instability, but rather the oppression thereof. Every successful reform step means more stability and increased freedom and security for all of Europe.

A Day to Remember: 9 November 1989

by
Hans Schmidt

Dear Friends:

I'll bet many of you thought I went to Berlin immediately upon hearing of the breach of the Iron Curtain on November 9, 1989. (That date will probably become a German holiday sometime in the future. Ironically, it was a major N.S. holiday during the Third Reich: Der Tag der Bewegung, the day of the National Socialist Movement.) I wish I could have gone but I felt that at that very time my place was here in the United States, and the large ad reproduced in this issue proves this point. You know the saying about the best-laid plans of mice and men. Well, I am happy to tell you that all my plans for a "quiet" November and December went out the window the moment "East" German leader Krenz was forced to "let his people go", (to borrow an often used phrase from our "dearest" political adversaries), and to open that most horrible edifice of this century, namely, the Berlin Wall.

A few hours after the first pictures of the incredible scenes near the Brandenburg Gate were shown on American television, calls from GANPAC members and supporters started coming into my office, with "everybody" obviously being tremendously elated about this earth-shaking occurrence but also inquisitive as to my assessment of the situation. Now, two weeks later, the calls are still coming in, and it is imperative that I write a special issue of the *BRIEF*. By now sufficient information is available to analyze the situation, and devise a possible scenario for the future. Interestingly, I heard first of the opening of the wall from an AP reporter who called me even before the news had been broadcast to the American people. I gave him a twenty-minute interview of which (not surprisingly) subsequently nothing appeared in the press. Perhaps I was a little too "Germanic-proud" in my answers.

Here we go again:

Retired general E. C. Meyer, former Army chief of staff:

If you believe the Soviet has decreased in its international threat, then the two biggest threats are reunification of Germany, and what that really might mean, and what happens in Japan if they get involved in weapons production and others like this over time...

You may recall that in these *BRIEFS*, for years I have been calling for the destruction of the "Status Quo of 1945", that unholy "Alliance By Tacit Agreement" of the WW2 victor nations, (particularly the United States and the Soviet Union) that was directed against Germany. The breach and negation of the Berlin Wall by the Germans themselves effectively ended the status quo, and as of November 9, 1989, a new era of world history has begun. Judging by the reactions of our enemies, I wonder whether they feel that "Das Deutsche Jahrhundert", the "German Century", has begun?

In the last *GANPAC-BRIEF* I wrote that the incredible welcome (like a welcome extended to long-gone family members) that the tens of thousands of mostly young "East" Germans who had fled via Hungary in September received in Bavaria had a tremendous psychological effect on all Germans everywhere, and with this single event the German "Volksgemeinschaft", namely, the feeling of belonging together as one people, one nation, was reborn. In addition we now had the mass coupling (I can't find a better word!) of Germans from East and West along the openings of the "Wall of Shame", the German word for the Berlin Wall. The latter had an even greater psychological impact, and meant at least a spiritual reunification of Germany, with the economic, political and administrative union sure to follow "soon". The dour reaction of some (politely unnamed) world leaders and of most of the Jews following the opening of the Wall definitely sprang from the realization that *forty-four years of brainwashing of the German people had been for naught.*

The events surrounding the breach of the Berlin Wall showed American television at its best. For days, the major networks (ABC, CBS, CNN and NBC) proved what they can do when not hindered by restrictive orders from 'the rulers'. Most of the reporters were, without question, deeply affected by the "enthusiasm for freedom" that could be seen on the faces of the German masses. The reason for this unusual behavior by the U.S. correspondents is (likely) this: the Jewish and some of the always anti-German non-Jewish bosses of the networks were as surprised by this turn of events as we were, and they simply didn't have any contingency plans for it. And, without instructions from above, the reporters spoke with their hearts, which translated into sincere sympathies for the Germans who had been held in bondage for such a long time. The only jarring notes were the ubiquitous interviews inside the United States with numerous Jewish spokesmen such as

Rabbi Hier (Simon Wiesenthal Center) whose hate against the Germans could be clearly seen in his face, and whose presence had to be embellished through the associated showing of tired footage of concentration camp scenes. Also out of place was the interview Jane Pauley had in West Berlin with an East Berlin Jewess named Irene Runge who "naturally" was against reunification, and the questioning in front of the Brandenburg Gate of a Dr. Wolfgang Ullmann, also an East Berlin Jew, by Peter Jennings. This latter interview was such an obvious attempt by the Jews in power to negatively influence the growing American sympathies for the Germans that it was sickening. The questions Peter Jennings asked Dr. Ullmann had obviously been written by somebody else (I give you ten guesses of which 'ethnic background' this somebody was). But, thankfully, Jennings "forgot" to ask what the "nice, friendly, albeit politically active, elderly Jewish gentleman" thought of the prospect of German reunification. (By the way, Dr. Ullmann had left Germany some time after Hitler's ascension to power, and spent the war years in the United States. He returned to Berlin after the German defeat, when it became apparent that Jews would have a privileged position in the new communist order. For instance, like getting—to this day!—double pensions, free apartments and free public transportation.)

"East" Germany has a population of nearly 17 million people. According to the *Washington Post*, only 500 of them are Jews. (*USA Today* writes of 5,000!) Isn't it a bit odd that 2 out of the 500 should be interviewed by major American TV networks? To me, this again proves the Jewish power and influence over the world's news media of which Cardinal Glemp spoke, a power which Jewish activists allege they do not have (but do they themselves believe what they pretend?). Coincidentally, it must be mentioned that several "Germans" in leading positions with "East" German reform movements seem to have typically Jewish visages and names. It may be part of a Jewish/Zionist attempt to play a "Solidarity"-like role in "East" Germany also. Will "they" succeed in this? I doubt it. (I have my good reasons for having these doubts.)

We can be sure that few Americans were emotionally untouched by the events in Berlin. Many, many of them told me that they were moved to tears when the "East" Germans began to cross the Wall in ever larger numbers, and had their first taste of what was usually described as freedom. This normal reaction by the American people will translate into a tremendous amount of goodwill for our cause if we act wisely and prudently. Hundreds of millions of people all over the world saw on TV

a (so far) bloodless revolution occurring right before their eyes. And this was accomplished by the "warlike", "aggressive", "troublesome" Germans! Incidentally, the people in the "DDR" [= Deutsche Demokratische Republik; GDR = German Democratic Republic] themselves are proud of their "nette Revolution" (nice revolution), and their name for the great change is "die Wende" (the turn-about). One reporter mentioned that with hundreds of thousands of people who had been deprived of so many things for most of their lives coming to West Berlin (and seeing, suddenly, material abundance about them), only one mugging and one car theft had been reported. Even if these figures were in reality somewhat higher (what I suspect), there is no question that in that fateful week the Germans (both "East" and West) were at their best, and we, outside of Germany, have reason to be very proud of our heritage.

What now?

I wonder how many Americans can really imagine what it means to live almost one's entire life in circumstances that can only be described with the previously used word "bondage". Just envision *never* being able to shop in a store with a complete selection of whatever you want to buy, never to eat in a decent restaurant where they have everything that is on the menu, never being able to realize your very own "personal potential" (like making a million through an invention). You may recall that I visited the "DDR" in 1985, and later told of my experiences in the Nov. 85 issue of the *BRIEF*. While at that time I did not embellish anything, it is also true that I did not dwell on certain negative aspects of the life over there for the simple reason that I had no intention of insulting my hosts, most of whom had been caught against their will in a system that had been imposed upon them by Moscow. The fact is that there were many facets of life in "East" Germany which I found appalling, and they had less to do with the absence of so-called democratic freedoms than with the absence of what I consider "normalcy". In this respect I have to take issue with many American pundits and politicians who regard these new developments as a victory for "American ideals and democracy". Nonsense! A free market system and beautiful shops with an abundance of wares in brightly-lit business districts existed in Berlin, Budapest, Prague and Warsaw long before the American military ever set foot on European soil in 1917. Furthermore, the United States (the American political system) cannot abdicate its co-responsibility for the great crime of keeping hundreds of millions of "Eastern" Europeans in such dire circumstances ever since the end of WW2. Lawrence Eagleburger, now again a high member of the U.S. Ad-

Liberty Bell / January 1990 39

To whom it may concern...

WE DEMAND

REUNIFICATION
SELF-DETERMINATION
and a **PEACE TREATY**
for **Germany!**

Advertisement (reduced size from 1/2 page)
WASHINGTON TIMES, November 13, 1989
Following the Nov. 9 opening of the
BERLIN WALL

"The Soviet Union may win a major victory with longterm implications if, in the future, the Germans can regard "Russia" as the foreign nation most instrumental in reuniting Germany." "If the Soviet Union plays its German hand wisely, and can someday claim to have been the main supporter and initiator of Germany's reunification, then the United States will have lost a major battle with consequences that will be felt far into the future."
Excerpts from our Position Paper on Germany submitted to the Bush administration and other interested parties in the spring of 1989.

COUNCIL FOR A FREE AND UNITED GERMANY
(and other German-American organizations)
P.O. BOX 10600
Burke, VA 22009-6000

ministration, and a former (?) collaborator of *Henry Kissinger*, pointed with nostalgia to the "stability" and the "peace" that had been assured through the silent acquiescence of the two superpowers. My question is this, was not the practical enslavement of hundreds of millions of people for decades under such soul-destroying conditions far worse than an occasional, short-lived European war (like the war between Prussia and Austria of 1866)? Those who now point to the two world wars "that emanated from German soil" forget that World War I was much more likely concocted in London than in Berlin, and certainly, the 1939 German invasion of Poland was far more justified than any U.S. interference in Central America, and it did not have to lead to a world conflagration.

It was the entry of the United States in two essentially European wars that caused the greatest destruction, and, ultimately, the rise of that inhuman system, communism. And while I am at it: In Hitler's Third Reich private enterprise flourished, and the brightly-lit shopping streets went dark only when the war broke out. Reading many American articles on the motives that caused tens of thousands of mostly young "DDR"-Germans to leave their homes, their jobs, and their extended families for an uncertain future in the West, the word "freedom" is used much too often and much too loosely. Is West Germany really free? Is the United States really free? Try to question the untenable "Holocaust" claims in West Germany, and you will soon discover how free the allegedly "freest state that ever existed on German soil" really is. Or, try to defend the white race publicly in the "free" United States, and see how much protection you still have under the Constitution and the Bill of Rights. There are obviously other examples I could mention. What most of these people wanted was a decent life where one can look with optimism into the future, and a chance for "Entfaltungsmöglichkeiten" (an opportunity for the development of the creative and human potential of the individual). Don't you love those long German words?

Some American correspondents expressed their surprise that most of the "East" Germans returned to their homes in the GDR after their first look at the West. I was not surprised. Most Germans know by now, as well as I do, that circumstances will now change very fast, and the "DDR" leadership will have to abide by the people's desire for normalcy if they want to stay in power. (As I am writing this, it is doubtful that the Communists will be able to retain power there.) As a result, I foresee a very quick opening of the GDR to West German businesses

and investments. Suppose you had a successful store in Hamburg, wouldn't you right now contemplate another one in "East" Germany, especially if the investments there were guaranteed by the West German Government (something that will likely occur)? A couple of years from now the currently dismal downtown areas of Leipzig, Dresden, East Berlin, and elsewhere will look very different. In order to accomplish this, the GDR leaders will have to revamp their currency and abolish many of their "socialist" subsidies. What does it help to have beautiful new shops if nobody has the money to buy anything? In other words, after the spiritual reunification of the Germans in the days following the opening of the Wall, we will now see (first) the unavoidable economic "equalization" which will eventually lead to economic reunification. The people over there have taken all that communistic crap for so long, and are so fed up with unnecessary deprivations that they will insist in gaining all the material advantages of their West German brothers SOON. I have a hunch that it will be the women who will be the driving force behind this change. And most of the West Germans are ready and willing to help bring about this change.

Someone close to me believes that West Germany will be impoverished by the tremendous task of rebuilding and modernizing the "East" German industry, and assuring the 17 million Germans there a decent life. I totally disagree with this assumption [—and so do I! After the end of World War II, prostrate, having their faces rubbed in the dirt, their industry dismantled and carted off, their legal Government officials kicked out and (most of them) hung in a Jewish-Ritual-Murder-like frenzy by the "Allies", the Germans faced, *and mastered*, a much more ominous task in rebuilding their country, their industry, and their economy—they just got their "act together" and went to work. I am sure, they will do it again on this memorable, historic occasion. —Editor, *Liberty Bell*]. There are about 10 million wage earners in the GDR, most of them well-trained professionals with a (hitherto) exemplary work record. Imagine what a fantastic credit risk they represent (using American nomenclature), and how much real capital can be created on the strength of this human talent pool alone. Do not be fooled by the latest negative reporting by the American media which tells of discontent at this turn of events in West Germany, and of the desire by many "East" Germans to remain separate and communist. Now that the Jewish overlords of the U.S. media have caught themselves, we can expect much more of that nonsense. It is nothing but a desperate effort to return to the 'status ante', when it was so easy to

malign the Germans with "Holocaust" propaganda. According to the latest GERMAN opinion polls, approximately three quarters of all Germans on both sides of the "Wall" want reunification. This in spite of decades-old incessant anti-unification propaganda by Bonn and East Berlin rulers.

What about political reunification?

It will come, but not yet. I assume that due to pressure from abroad (West Germany and the U.S.), the "East" Germans will have to call "free" elections (for a multi-party system) in the relatively near future. Especially West Germany will insist on this since the Bonn leadership definitely does *not* want a resurrection of the Reich (something attainable only through an ALL German plebiscite). Allegedly free "democratic" elections, whereby the German people as a whole is *never* asked about true reunification, will (in the opinion of Bonn) legitimize the split of the Reich in two (or, counting Austria, three) separate states. It is the same trick one uses to claim legitimacy for the Bonn Republic, a trick they have learned from the United States where the American people is *never* allowed to express its will directly on important issues such as the death penalty, immigration, integration [school busing], abortion, or war. Plebiscites on such issues might go against the wishes of the great manipulators. (And someday, when they have a revolution on their hands in the United States, "they" will be surprised at the viciousness of it.) *Personally, I am unequivocally for the reunification of Germany* [—and so am I! —Ed.] However, I do *not* want an "Anschluss" of just the "DDR" with West Germany, since this would destroy very quickly that old, true Germany that, ironically, can still be found in the middle of the Fatherland but rarely in its Western part. Therefore, I am for what I call the "third solution," namely a return to the (1871) laws and the constitution of the old Reich, but, obviously, without a King or Kaiser, or a hereditary aristocracy.

The Soviet Union has approximately 380,000, and the United States 340,000 occupation troops in Germany. They are all armed to the teeth, with at least 50,000 tanks, nearly 100,000 artillery pieces and over 10,000 combat aircraft (from all nations) being stationed on German soil. *For the continuing occupation of Berlin* (not counting additional costs for West German territory proper) the West German taxpayers have to shell out 1,500,000,000 DM every year. When will the occupiers, no matter under what designation, leave? I wouldn't press the issue; in other words, I wouldn't worry much about it, knowing that both the U.S.

Germany's past

Editor: I have been having a lot of fun watching and reading the news accounts of recent events in the German Democratic Republic (known erroneously to most as East Germany, when in fact it is actually Central Germany) and the emigration from the GDR to the Federal Republic. A few historical facts bear recitation.

What is now the GDR was created through the clumsy political agreements between Franklin Roosevelt and Josef Stalin at both Teheran and Yalta Conferences. Left to the Soviet sphere, the GDR was never to receive one cent of Marshall Plan aid. Her factories (the ones that were left from the firestorm bombing of such places as Dresden and Berlin) were stripped and sent off to Moscow, Leningrad and Volgograd as "reparations," and she was left with untold numbers of refugees, no natural resources and only the grit and determination of her 14 million people to arise from the ruins.

No objective observer can fail to see just how far those wrongly denigrated characteristics of hard work, orderliness and perseverance have paid off. Today, what was a prostrate society 40 years ago, is among the top dozen national societies in the world. Indeed, if the economies of the two artificially created German states were one, she would be the third largest economic power in the world, surpassing Japan, France, Great Britain and giving

the faltering Soviet economy a run for its money, social and health schemes too.

All right-thinking people know that a reunited Germany would be the heart of a steadily uniting Europe, and that is something all should commend and strive to achieve. Such will bring an era of peace and prosperity almost unimaginable half a century ago.

Is the GDR a "perfect society?" No, it has people in it. But that goes for Leipzig as well as London or Los Angeles or Lahore. Instead of allowing this superficial social adjustment (and remember, thousands go the other way, too) to cloud our vision and the long-range goal, we should instead learn from what has been done by the German people with almost nothing in the GDR these past 40 years.

We should ask ourselves what we could do with the same orderliness, perseverance and concern for someone and something beyond our own greedy selves and then apply those qualities to our own world. Then we could take a real step toward dealing with the homeless, the millions denied any medical care, the growing "under-class" and the problem of drugs which seems to be this year's cause celebre'.

With our bounty of natural and technical resources, the sky would literally be the limit.

G.D.V. Wiebe
Hayward

Sept. 29, 1989
DAILY READER

and the USSR have impoverished themselves while trying to keep their revenge-based policies of 1945 in place. Now, neither can afford to keep that many troops abroad, and an ever accelerating withdrawal can be expected. In this context I must mention, however, that "somebody" (again, guess who?) in the U.S. Government proposed that both the United States and the Soviet Union *together*(!) store all sorts of war materiel on *Polish* soil, a move that would obviously be directed only against a resurgent Germany. To the best of my knowledge, this proposal has now been laid to rest.

Everybody agrees that the current developments in Germany are of world-shaking importance. The power brokers in Moscow and Washington were obviously surprised by this turn of events. I have no doubt that they all (i.e., the anti-Germans here and there still in charge of things) had believed that four decades of reeducation, of one-sided propagand, of the criminal division of one of the world's major culture nations, would have split the German people psychologically in two. Now it is obvious to everyone that they have been wrong. There is only one German Volk, one German nation. The re-fusion taking place right now, right before our eyes, will unleash spiritual, economic, political, and cultural energies that can only be guessed at for the moment. It will be like a successful "cold fusion" in a metaphysical sense (cold, because it occurred without war). I, personally, believe that we will now also see an upturn in the German birthrate. In other words, the danger of death-by-attribution (genocide caused through psychological means) of the German people has now also passed. **IF THE ANTI-GERMANS DO NOT UNLEASH ANOTHER WORLD WAR IN ORDER TO STOP THE GERMANS FROM TAKING THEIR RIGHTFUL PLACE WITHIN THE FRAMEWORK OF EUROPE (THE RIGHTFUL PLACE BEING THE ONE TO BE ACCORDED TO THE LARGEST, MOST ENERGETIC AND MOST CREATIVE PEOPLE), THEN GERMANY WILL BECOME THE NATURAL CENTER TO WHICH EVERYTHING IS DRAWN, AND BERLIN WILL, THROUGH THE NATURAL ORDER OF THINGS, BECOME THE DE FACTO CAPITAL OF EUROPE.** "May the best man win..."

In the last few weeks, there has been much talk about the security needs of all of Germany's neighbors, and of both the Soviet Union and the United States. Never once did I hear anything of concern about the security needs of Germany. It is as if the *Germans* had sent massive fleets and millions of troops across the world in order to impose their

system of government on others. In this context, the "20 million" of alleged Soviet war dead was mentioned again, a figure that is as phony as is the famous "6 million." Another subject matter of newsmen and government ministers was how to prevent the establishment of a German hegemony over Europe. At this precise moment in history, when the former allied victors have to abandon *their* hegemony over Europe, a hegemony that brought untold suffering and enslavement to hundreds of millions of innocent people for decades, *they* worry about the Germans who, in my opinion, are the superior state-builders! I think they all should go home (wherever they came from) and hang their heads in shame for having failed so dismally.

Many Americans seem to like the unfortunate saying, "the law is the law," meaning, of course, that even the most asinine law (like the one that led to prohibition, for instance) has to be followed to the letter. And it is clear that the American ruling circles ALWAYS hide behind the law when discussing rules and regulations such as the so-called "Holtzman Amendment" that brought us the OSI, and has little to do with justice and common sense. Now that the reunification of Germany is really inevitable, we shall see how seriously the U.S. elite is in regards to both the professed ideals of this nation ("self-determination" and "democracy"), and of international law. The *Washington Times* ad in this *BRIEF*, for instance, calls for "REUNIFICATION", "SELF-DETERMINATION" and a "PEACE TREATY" for Germany. To most of you this will sound reasonable, especially in the light of the recent happenings. But for the U.S. (and other) ruling establishments these three points are everything but reasonable (even though we did not specify that we demand all this at once). I'll explain:

- 1. By *reunification* do we mean merely the union of West- and "East" Germany within their present borders? Or do we stick to the letter of international law, according to which, in the absence of a peace treaty, the German Reich still exists?
- 2. "Everybody" is for *self-determination*. The U.S. system seems to fight for the right to self-determination of even the most remote tribe in Black Africa. But German self-determination is something else, THAT is dangerous. Using democratic principles, *both (allied instituted) "German" governments ought to resign right now, and let the German people decide, in an internationally supervised PLEBISCITE, what they want.*
- 3. The matter of the *peace treaty* is really the dynamite in the situation. For rather nefarious reasons (they loved to play the over-

lords!), the allies never allowed negotiations to begin that would really have ended World War II. As it is, all we have had up to now is an armistice signed by German general officers who were subsequently hanged. Now that the world situation has drastically changed, and Germany (as a whole) is much stronger than it ever was since 1945, negotiations concerning a peace treaty would obviously be much more difficult than with a country that lies prostrate. *Furthermore, in the absence of a peace treaty, people like myself can insist that all "German" treaties signed since 1945 are null and void (and have to be renegotiated), because they had been concluded with interim or illegal German governments. That is why the Poles, for instance, are so jittery about their border with Germany. They also know international law.*

Reading the above, it becomes apparent why so many of the WW II victor nations fear a reunified Germany. Laughably they seem to believe that by not dealing with the problem it will go away. But the fact is that, unless *they* manage to unleash another world war, Germany will become stronger still, and become a more difficult partner in future negotiations. As to the matter of the German borders, I have a simple question: what would people like Jim Baker or George Bush do if they were (now) in the position of the Germans? I guess we know the answer!

As this goes to press, President Bush and Mikhail Gorbachev are about to meet aboard warships in the Mediterranean. The fate of Germany has been propelled atop the agenda. Thankfully, both superpowers are now in no position to dictate another "Yalta" to the Europeans.

Once more the best wishes for a Merry Christmas and a Happy New Year.

Sincerely,
Hans Schmidt, Natl. Chairman GANPAC

**THOSE WHO WILL NOT READ
HAVE NO ADVANTAGE OVER
THOSE WHO CANNOT READ**

**THE BOOK
THAT MADE THE JEWS SO MAD
THEY HAD TO INVENT THE MOVIE
HOLOCAUST!**

**AUSCHWITZ:
An Eye-Witness Report**

by Thies Christophersen
& Foreword by Manfred Roeder

Order No: 1017
single copy \$2.50 + \$1.50 for postage
5 copies \$10.00 + \$1.50 for postage.

Order from:
LIBERTY BELL PUBLICATIONS
Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA

**THE LATE GREAT BOOK:
THE BIBLE**

AN ACCOUNT OF
CHRISTIAN AND BIBLICAL ORIGINS.

Nicholas Carter feels that it is time for us to sit down and do what many Christians rarely do: Study the Holy Bible. In doing so, we may discover whether or not the Bible is indeed "Holy" and the "Word of God." In strict biblical order, the author methodically, and scathingly, examines the various claims made in the "Holy Book" and shows how many of them bear no relationship to reality whatsoever. For your copy of *The Late Great Book: The Bible* (Order No. 12006) send \$6.00 + \$1.50 for postage to:

LIBERTY BELL PUBLICATIONS
P.O. Box 21, Reedy WV 25270

Dear Mr. Dietz,
May I suggest
to Mr. David Mc-
Calden and Mr. Ed
Toner to purchase
Teutonic Unity by
Earnest Sevier Cox.
Gentlemen, please

LETTERS TO THE EDITOR

read this book and perhaps you
will understand why the Aryan
race is in such a state of decline in
1989.

There have been so many
wars of Aryan fighting Aryan,
brother fighting brother, that we
no longer dominate the planet
earth. The bloodshed in Northern
Ireland is a disgrace to our race.
Both the IRA and the Loyalists
kill White people, which does not
help our cause in any way, shape,
or form. We have to stop fighting
each other and start fighting the
non-White world.

Heil Hitler!

C.W., Rhode Island

Dear Landsmann:

...Here in New York things
are going like usual. The Jew
mayor is apparently too rotted
with AIDS to go another 4 years
so the Yids are installing a black-
face mulatto named Dinkins (he
has blue eyes!) as mayor. Despite
being a mulatto he is called
"black." 96% of the Niggers voted
on a racial basis and it would
probably have been more had
some not been too stupid to pull
the right lever. Some 65% of Spic-

Mestizoes voted
for Dinkins and
26% of Jews. The
real disgrace is that
some 33% of
Whites seem to
have voted for him.
Of course, this was a

Democratic party primary and the
choice was between a Jew and a
Nigger, so the election was a sick
joke. But still, it is gross to see how
people betray their race.

The Republican candidate is
a White Italian named Guilliani.
He is a Liberal and, in some ways,
even worse than Dinkins as he is a
traitor as well as a black racist.
The only issue in the election is
race since the politics of the two
Liberals are identical. Dinkins is
to open the way for the blacks to
loot what is left of the taxpayers'
money after the Jews cart off the
lions share. In the face of this,
there would be a chance for the
Republicans to win if they cam-
paigned on the racial issue. All
the Whites, most Jews and anyone
else who works for a living and
pays taxes would probably vote
against Dinkins out of sheer self-
preservation if the choice was put
to them the right way. This is what
Cuomo, the governor (who is
probably a secret Jew), was refer-
ring to when he declared that he
"wouldn't stand for any Willie Hor-
ton nonsense in this campaign."
Guilliani is following this dictate to
the letter. The only issue in the race

is that Dinkins and his followers are black racists who will openly favor
their parasitic race when in office. G. has apparently made some sort of
deal to throw the election to Dinkins by rigidly not mentioning the issue
of race. That leaves him as a weak "me too" candidate doomed to inevitable
defeat as Democratic registration outnumbers the Republican by 5:1.

Dinkins will finish the job of destroying New York that Lindsey and
Koch began. In 4 years NYC will look like Detroit. In 8 or 12 like E. St.
Louis. But this is poetic justice. Remember when New Yorkers were all
for inflicting nigger rule on South Africa and the U.S. South? Well, now
they will get it here. Just what they deserve! They should pass a law
forbidding Whites to move out of NYC so they can get and enjoy the
full benefit of black rule. It is the Whites' ignorance of blacks that allows
"racial equality" foolishness to flourish. Nothing makes for White
solidarity then being forced to live with blacks.

Over in the Mideast the U.S. is to "preposition" \$100,000,000 worth of
equipment (tanks, trucks, artillery, etc.) in Israel. Far from being another
giveaway to Israel, this is open preparation for the Mideast war Israel is
pushing for. Khomenei managed to avert the war for Now by making peace
with Iraq in the aftermath of the Vincennes provocation, but the Jews have
not given up on the war. They must have American aid in the next major
war. The Arabs are becoming too powerful for Israel to handle anymore.
They must be destroyed and soon before they can match and exceed Israeli
power. Direct intervention by the U.S. is the only way this can be done.

Information I receive from various publications indicated the defla-
tionary collapse of the U.S. economy began the week of September 15
with the Junk Bond panic. From now on the deflationary spiral will
gather momentum.

Sincerely,

R.S., New York State

Dear George:

I enclose the money to renew my subscription together with a small
contribution.

Keep up the good work, it is so hard to find today a publication like
yours which spreads the truth and is like a beacon of light in the dark-
ness. All the best for you.

Sincerely,

H.B., New York State

Dear Mr. Dietz:

Thank you for mailing me the ... info. I think Dr. Oliver said it best,

"Regrettable." Unfortunately, very regrettable. I really feel for Dr. Oliver, he busts his butt working for us and then have some Jr.-level prima donna call him "pro-Zionist"!!??

I do recall I sent a rather "mean-spirited" letter to you after reading Covington's letter to the editor, so this time I thought I'd cool off a little first before I write.

Let me put it this way: I think Covington was right in *The March Up Country*. [available from Liberty Bell Publications, \$6. + \$1.50 postage] "Small groups with no identifiable leader or hierarchy that pop up here and there with no grand Poo-baa to get his face on TV and cause damage and give the Yids a target" (I'm paraphrasing). I think people should get their facts straight via *Liberty Bell*, Truth Missions, the I.H.R., etc., etc. and do their own thing, in their own area, where they know the situation. No Grand Confederacy, no Pontifex Maximus and established chain of command, no camouflage and "war" manoeuvres. All of these things have failed miserably and rather recently, it seems. What really mystifies me, George, is why Covington would then go starting the CNC. Where all us other Whites are "less" White 'cause we ain't Southerners, snort. Originally I thought it was a good idea (for Southerners), then, the more I thought about it I came up with the letter I sent you last spring where you wrote back with your views on the CNC, "Fat Chance." I imagine Harold and you go back quite a ways and you might have been a little teed off at the time you wrote, but it still seem to me "Fat Chance" describes the CNC's chances at going anywhere.

As far as money goes, I try to send \$20 a month if at all possible. I was really hoping for better but my health cropped out a year ago and only now is getting better. If you were to compare notes with Harold, I think you'd find I gave him once what I try to send *L.B.* every month. I don't expect a pat on the back when I send money; *it is* my fight also. However, Harold really jumped on the wrong guy, I don't read anything in my August letter to the editor where I thought enough of the CNC to send it more money. As far as *L.B.* goes, I suppose I send More than some and less than others, added to the same (roughly) for Zündel, and that's all I can afford. I think Harold has ruined his chances for more money from me not by his letter but by the CNC. Likewise, Klassen by his dipshit ideas: (1) toss out Christianity and starts his own religion(?) (2) great big hierarchy Pontifex Maximus, down to the littlest supporter, Jeeees! (3) sends *my* address out to people (*I hate that!*) so they can contact me!? If I want somebody I'll find them.

I don't know, maybe its my "time of the month" or something, but (1) Klassen vs Covington, the (2) Irish vs the Scots, have lately really

added up. Plus this Jim Taylor deal, Klassen's latest mailing to me; Hell, I'm not even sending money and they are asking for money to keep their tax-exempt status down there. Plus they list their whole organizational plan, I really don't know. The guy I really feel for is you, George; you break your back, exclude yourself from getting a "real" job by your political and racial views and the people who contribute articles bicker, as do the subscribers, and you scratch for an existence. I guess it doesn't seem fair.

I in no way intend for this to turn into another Hand vs Klassen or the Irish vs the Scots in the letters to the editor. I thought *you* might be interested in how one of your subscribers feels. I did forward the ... Info on to my cousin in Germany [in the U.S. Army]. I think you can tell by his letters in the Letters to the Editor section that his head is in the right place, and if there is the slightest doubt on your part, let me assure you there shouldn't be.

I hope you continue to keep *L.B.* in print; I feel it is the best publication on "our side" and if in fact sometime in the future you need to take an extended vacation to "get away from it all" or drop out completely and go back to selling real estate, I would still sign my letters with the sincerest,

Respectfully,
V.G., Michigan

* * * * *

Dear George:

I hope this letter finds you in good health and spirits, though I am sure your superhuman efforts tax both.

I must register my disagreement with several recent letters glorifying the Irish Republican Army, apparently prompted by equally ignorant letters criticizing the national character of the Irish or German peoples, or both.

I have no admiration for the government of once-Great Britain, but I am more bothered by the cowardly murderers who claim to represent Ireland.

The sub-men, who creep in shadows and murder men, women, and children they catch unaware and unarmed, are not helping our race. The killing of genetically sound members of our race is not a good thing. The death of a traitorous politician or other racial enemy is not a cause for mourning. But the murder of healthy Aryans is. The majority of British soldiers are of valuable Aryan stock. Their deaths can not benefit our race, as these men are only pawns of our real enemy—the Yid. If we killed all ignorant pawns, there won't be many Aryans left.

The other issue that concerns me is the equally ignorant letters which criticize the national character of the Irish people. Every nation has a collective nature, which may not please members of other groups, or even members of the group in question. The contributions of the Irish to our race are many and need no justification by myself or anyone else.

As a threatened minority, Aryans must put aside trivial differences and face their real enemy united. Yids surely enjoy watching inter-Aryan hostility develop.

I continue to support your wise policy of encouraging free discussion of matters pertinent to our race, but remain disturbed about the threats to Aryan unity seemingly expressed by these several recent letters...

I am sure you will find a good use for my small contribution, which I enclose.

Yours for the Revolution,
F.E., US Army, Europe

* * * * *

Dear Mr. Dietz:

...I happened to catch David Duke on ABC's Nightline last night and thought I'd send a note while it was still fresh in my memory.

I've seen Mr. Duke on TV three times now, once each on Jerry King Live, CNN's Crossfire and now ABC's Nightline. Each time Duke has managed to achieve *at least* a stalemate or *better* in the face of hostile interviewers and/or co-guests. Duke has the ability to side-step a loaded question that would surely result in the interview collapsing into the same old "well, so you're aunty-Semitic, why don't you explain that one? heh, heh, heh," while the audience is led to think, "oh horrors, another evil Nazi who doesn't believe!" Last night, after being confronted with a book he sold questioning the "Holyhoax", Duke just stated "well, I've sold a lot of books and, like every other bookstore owner in America, I don't necessarily agree with the content of every book I've sold." There, a nice tactful way of playing the vicious game the media prostitute play.

Myself, I happen to agree with Dr. Oliver and others, that (1) you should call "a spade a spade," and (2) most likely, this country cannot be retaken by taking back the government with which we are presently afflicted (see "Before Midnight" in the September issue of *Liberty Bell*.) However, it is extremely refreshing to see someone get on TV and make the Yids howl and whine. Never mind that by Duke's cool, calculating approach he is getting many free minutes of national exposure simply because the media is trying its best (worst) to discredit him.

I couldn't begin to guess if Duke has "sold out" as I have read in

places; he certainly is going to a lot of extra trouble if he has. Personally, I don't take exception to Duke's saying "no, I don't hate Yids and Jigs" and similar comments. Were he to make such statements as "I hate Yids and Jigs", any *shabbaz goy* interviewer would tear at that one issue relentlessly until Duke gave up in disgust, thereby ending any kind of constructive debate.

It's very unfortunate that one cannot say exactly what one means while on TV or radio, but that is the reality with today's press, and Mr. Duke seems extremely capable of playing the obnoxious game played by the media nit-wits and using it against them.

I noticed that Duke may consider running for the U.S. Senate in Louisiana; while he may not be perfect, he's certainly 99% better than any of the sell-outs presently in the Senate or House of Representatives.

Respectfully,
G.V., Michigan

* * * * *

Dear Mr. Dietz:

For all it is worth, Merry Christmas, and let's hope 1990 will reap us a better harvest. I have enclosed another meager donation to further the cause. Thank you for all your efforts; you most certainly serve as an inspiration to us all. Needless to say, it is not necessary to waste a stamp on an acknowledgement of contributions. Use it where it is needed.

All the best to you and your "bride".

Sincerely and with all due respect,
J.D., Pennsylvania

* * * * *

Dear Sir,

I wish to thank you for the complimentary set of *Liberty Bells* recently sent to the Center for Nationalist Studies [Box 621, Sarasota FL 34278]. The brilliance of the Honorable Revilo P. Oliver and the Honorable Nicholas Carter was just incredible. I could not have been more impressed. I am injured worker awaiting a Workman's Compensation settlement, so I cannot subscribe at present but will do soon. Thank you for the beacon of light—*Liberty Bell*.

Happy Holidays,
J.B., Florida

* * * * *

Gentlemen:

In February I requested a list of publications from *Liberty Bell*. From this list I selected several books which I thought would help me in my personal research efforts to understand the problems which I face as

a developing human being. My research efforts were motivated by a profound "confusion" concerning the private and public conflicts in which I continually found myself engaged. In effect, I am very conditioned to "failure and ignorance" concerning the decisions which affect my left. No matter how outstanding my efforts or my talents, my end result has been a repeated failure to understand and comprehend the existing Establishment.

It is with this "developmental process" in mind that I am writing this letter. Over the past twenty years, I have had major difficulty functioning in this "democratic" society wherein economics and politics seem so akin to "racketeering." For all the effort and intelligence that appeared to be going into our social and business programs as education, social and government instability seems to be a given constant within our society. In effect, the system is designed "failure." In my post-college years, I have found from experience that the operating systems of business and government were not concerned with quality or competence. I could never know enough to function effectively within the system, and when I did know what was going on in the hierarchy, I found myself quickly sitting on the outside looking in. It was always a conflict of interest about "the right way ass the honest way" of doing things.

Originally, I thought I did not have the necessary "business acumen" to understand what was going on in finances and general economic thought. But when I read the economic books by Coogan (*Money Creators* and *Lawful Money Explained*), and the masterpiece of social and economic commentary by Yockey (*Imperium*), I sensed for the first time a realization of my own living experiences. I do have the capacity to understand comprehend the system for what it is, for my life experiences are a direct reflection of the principles as laid down by Coogan, Yockey, and Ford (*The International Jew: The World's Foremost Problem*, 4 Vols.). What I have been living has been a negation of my abilities...a denial of myself on behalf of false information and indoctrination wherein "equality" is the "containment" word of our "modern" and "enlightened" times.

These books have led me to: *Which Way, Western Man*, *The Camp of the Saints* and *Partners in Blunder*. What a waste of money and youthful energy my high school and college education was in terms of historical and social "knowledge" and in the "worry and aggravation" that this "testing process" produced as indoctrination and elimination. At this time, I am beginning to exercise a better "discrimination" about the affairs which are constituting the world in which I live as I learn to educate myself. These books have helped me understand what is going

54 - Liberty Bell / January 1990

on in this world and to recognize fabricated propaganda for what it is in character and content.

D.W., Virginia

[*available from Liberty Bell Publications]

* * * * *

Dear George:

I hope you and Betty are fine...

I will be talking to a class at a Portland college on the 14th of November. Hopefully, professors in other classes and schools will want somebody to talk to their classes. I don't see many Movement people talking to classes these days. There will be plenty of Movement news in the local media, so any speaking engagements I get will be timely and will be covered in the *NSV Report* [P.O. Box 328, The Dallas OR 97058].

Anti-White attorney Morris Dees of the Southern Poverty Law Center, which publishes *Klanwatch*, has filed a civil rights suit in the U.S. District Court in Portland on 20 October 1989 against two Skinheads who pleaded guilty in a plea bargain regarding the death of an Ethiopian last November 13th. The State of Oregon offered the plea bargain deal to the two Skins because it did not want a trial our of fear of the publicity which would put the Skins in a more positive light and put the Blacks, the Portland police, politicians and bureaucrats in a negative light. This is not all. Names also in this suit are Tom and John Metzger [P.O. Box 65, Fallbrook CA 92028] who are being charged with instigating the Skins into acts of violence against non-Whites. This entire thing will backfire on the enemy—just wait and see!

I recently saw a home video movie titled "Skinheads: The Second Coming of Hate" with Chuck Connors and which is in video stores now. After Greg Withrow, founder and former leader of the White Student Union, turned traitor, he had dinner with Hollywood actor Sean Penn regarding a future movie about Skinheads. The only indication that this movie may have been based on any of Greg Withrow's experiences is the part where some Skins nailed another Skin to a wooden house beam and left him there to die. Eventually, a bear finished him off. The movie is hate-filled, anti-Skin propaganda. There will be more on this later.

Hail Victory,
R.C., Oregon

* * * * *

Dear Mr. Dietz:

Well, you missed it with Noel Hunt's "White Africans..." [See *Liberty Bell* for August 1989: "Liberalism: Destroyer of White Man; 'White Africans': A Biological Failure."] Evolution had nothing to do with their

Liberty Bell / January 1990 - 55

failure.

Their mistake was that they copied the American stale food diet. And now they are paying the penalties of STALE food.

It takes about 20 years for the effects of stale food to show up in a population. And the change-over from FRESH food is slow. So, it all goes unnoticed.

Stale food affects the personality and the operation of the brain. The mind is dulled, the person loses the facility of foresight, his thinking is subtly warped, he "takes a part for the whole," and other problems. The Gita gives a good description of the LIBERAL mind.

I send a quote from the Bible. Ole Leviticus' observation of natural phenomena is not to be disregarded. Well, you can forget this part of the Bible, BUT do not discount the findings of modern Biochemists.

Our big shift to stale food started after WW II. We are not the people our grandparents were. We eat differently.

I have not told you much. Few Americans know the meaning of the words FRESH or STALE. So they have no idea of what I am talking about.

Good luck.

H.S.,(Biochemist), Illinois

* * * * *

Dear Friends:

7 November 1989

The Southern Poverty Law Center says that we can't do it!

Emergency funds for white supremacists do not draw the kind of support necessary to mount a formidable defense, and sustaining a full-time operation is likely to be even more difficult...

The sad truth of the matter is that they're right. Just as opposition to tyranny is obedience to god, so is filing lawsuits to protect *your* rights and liberties expensive. Most do not realize the time, expense, energy, and sheer will-power required to see a civil rights lawsuit or malicious criminal prosecution through to the bitter end.

If you believe in protecting you rights and those of your neighbors against the inroads made by unconstitutional laws and regulations, then help me push the foundation [Patriot's Defense Foundation, 2323 McCue Road, Suite No. 2, Houston TX 77056 — Phone 71-439-0328] over the top: Let's prove the ADL and Morris Dees wrong!

Soon, the Foundation will be incorporated and an application filed with the IRS for tax-exempt status. Until then, please continue to send your contributions to me personally. When I receive enough funds to establish a bank account and pay for the incorporation and exempt-

status application (the IRS charges \$300 for the application), then your contribution can be sent to the Foundation.

Please note that until I file the application and receive our Federal Employer Identification Number, your contribution will NOT be tax-deductible.

Since my last letter, I have been engaged in the following projects:

- Completed the research in establishing the Foundation
- Provided legal assistance to Skinheads indicted on civil rights charges in Dallas, Texas.
- Monitored civil rights violations of Aryan Nations marchers in Pulaski, Tennessee.
- Conducted a fact-finding and evidence-gathering trip to Arkansas, inquiring into the deaths of Gordon Kahl and Sheriff Matthews, where we hope to soon present evidence to a grand jury.
- As always, assisting prisoners in Marion with their water poisoning case and defending them against that ridiculous escape conspiracy charge.

An assistant, Randy, has been hired to help me with the administration of the Foundation. If you require any information on the Foundation or any case, please feel free to contact either of us at the above number.

The establishment of this foundation is a great and long-overdue enterprise. It will require more capital than has ever been raised by the Patriot's movement before if we are to stand united and defeat the common enemy on his own ground and in his own territory.

Let us not succumb to the propaganda of our opponents in suggesting that this is a "get-rich-quick" scheme for me. Every cent of your contributions goes to the organization of the foundation and to support the cause it serves. This work requires my full and undivided attention, therefore, some of the funds are used to support myself and my assistant. When the foundation is formed, I will be a salaried employee of the organization.

Again, I take this opportunity to thank you for your generous contribution and urge you to continue supporting me and the Foundation in this great endeavor. The best way for evil to succeed is for good men to do nothing. Join us in our crusade to preserve the liberties and freedoms given to us by the founding fathers and preserved by the blood of our patriots and martyrs.

Sincerely,

Kirk David Lyons, Attorney at Law

The credit belongs to the man who is actually in the arena, whose face is marred

by dust and sweat and blood... who knows the great enthusiasms, the great devotions; who spends himself at a great cause; who best knows in the end the triumph of high achievement, and... if he fails, at least fails daring greatly so that his place shall never be with those cold, timid souls who know neither victory nor defeat.

—Theodore Roosevelt

* * * * *

The following is a submission to the FORUM (*News Tribune*, Woodbridge NJ) by

Ed Toher
52 Newbury Rd.
Howell NJ 07731

6 July 1989

Dear Editor,

The FORUM item by Mr. Kornitzer (5 July) entitled "A lie told more often does not become the truth" and since it was prompted by my letter of 12 May, I feel obligated, and qualified, to reply.

How often do we see, hear, and, in general, suffer a literal bombardment of the "6 million" Jews who supposedly perished in WW II in gas chambers? Let me count the ways: Shoa, Winds of War in its many parts, War and Remembrance, mini-series upon min-series, ad nauseam, until WW II has been made to look like a Jewish soap opera. The bigger the lie, the more often it must be repeated. The Zionists have learned this lesson well! What they have failed to realize, however, is that by this constant bombardment they no longer show the banality of evil, but rather the evil of banality.

My letter, which contradicted the 6 million figure, was not a product of information from the prestigious Institute of Historical Review, as Mr. Kornitzer suggests. It was a bit of independent research on my part, using official West German government figures which list the number of surviving Jews who made monetary claims for restitution against the government. The other document I used was the B'nai B'rith anthology entitled "The Holocaust and Genocide," a textbook used in New Jersey Public High Schools to brainwash the minds of our susceptible youth. Using the figures presented therein for survivors in the Eastern Bloc nations, plus the survivors who made claims against West Germany, subtracted from B'nai B'rith's own figures of the number of European Jews prior to what they call "the final solution" (q.v.), or $2,515,000 + 4,393,385 = 6,908,385$ survivors, of the original 8,861,800, for an absolute maximum number of casualties of 1,953,415. Keep in mind, the latter figure assumes no deaths of any cause of any of the

survivors over 39 years (1935-1984, when the restitution laws ended), and ignores the fact that a single surviving head of a household could make one claim for all surviving members of his family.

What we have here is the exposure of a monstrous lie, a slander of the German people, and a deliberate attempt to lay a "guilt trip" on the Christians of this country in order to curry sympathy for Zionist, Fascist Israel.

Other distinguished historians have seen through this smoke screen. Two recently on the scene are the British giant, David Irving, author of *Hitler's War* and other monumental works, who now acknowledges there were no extermination gas chambers, and Arno Mayer, a Jewish historian who holds an endowed chair in history, and author of *Why Did the Heavens Not Darken?*, which points out, as the International Red Cross did, that typhus, caused by lice infestation, was the real killer in the concentration camps.

Similarly, the scholars of the University of Chicago, Oxford, Cambridge and London Universities, using their vast facilities, have combined to produce the standard reference of the English speaking people, the *Encyclopaedia Britannica*. In my volumes, printed in 1960, I find no mention of a holocaust of Jews in any number, or extermination gas chambers, or any of the twaddle that passes for truth that passes on our boob tubes, concerning these events of WW II.

Contrast the above with the wild statements by those historians who of the "exterminationist" leanings, such as the English-Jewish biographer of Churchill, Martin Gilbert. In Gilbert's book, *Auschwitz and the Allies*, 1981, pg. 26, he plainly states, "in the Spring and Summer of 1942, hundreds of thousands of Jews were being gassed every day." Let's examine this, now. Using 200,000 as the *minimum* figure to represent "hundreds of thousands," and assuming Spring starts on 20 March, and Summer ends on 22 September, we have 186 days in which a minimum of 200,000 Jews were gassed. Lessee here, $186 \times 200,000 = 37,200,000$ Jews were gassed. Anybody out there believe that? Don't look at me, I'm only quoting Gilbert, a highly respected "historian."

To err is human, so let's say he MEANT "Spring and early Summer," or let's say, 17 weeks. Well, that still comes out to 23,800,000 Jews gassed, out of a total of 23 million Jews in the whole world, in 1942. That leaves a vacuum of 800,000 Jews.

All that is left of the myth, then, are "eyewitness" accounts. Let us examine one. In Canada, where writing on this subject is against the law, Ernst Zündel has been fighting a conviction in court for teaching the revisionist point of view. The prosecution brought in an eyewitness, Arnold Friedman, a certified survivor like Mr. Kornitzer. Friedman tes-

lified under oath that while in Auschwitz he could tell who was being burned in the ovens by the color of the flames that shot out of the crematoria chimneys. He told the court that if blue flames shot out of the chimney, it meant a Hungarian Jew was being burned. If the flames were green, it meant a Polish Jew. Zündel's council, Doug Christie, confronted Friedman with the patent for the Auschwitz crematoria, which, like all crematorium facilities, was incapable of giving off smoke, flames, or odors. Faced with this, Friedman recanted his testimony.

Finally, Mr. Kornitzer's sly reference to a "judicial decision" in California in which a holocaust survivor won a judgement against the IHR, proving that Jews were gassed at Auschwitz. The case was Mermelstein vs. IHR, and no judicial decision of any kind was rendered. The matter was settled out of court, as a matter of necessity by the IHR, which did not have the unlimited funds that were at Mermelstein's disposal.

Very Respectfully,
Ed Toner

WHICH WAY, WESTERN MAN?

SURVIVAL MANUAL FOR THE WHITE RACE

William Gayley Simpson has spent a lifetime of keen observation, careful analysis, and deep reflection developing the principal thesis of his book: that the single, undying purpose of all human activity should be the ennobling of man. In support of this thesis he looks at the foundations of Western Society, at the structure of our government, at the effect of technology and industrialization on man, at the roles of the sexes, at economics, and at race. The book goes to the roots of the problems facing the White Race today, and it shows the ways in which White society must be changed if the race is to survive. *Which Way Western Man?* is an encyclopedic work whose conclusions can be ignored by no one with a sense of responsibility to the future. For your copy of *Which Way Western Man?* send \$14.00 including postage and handling for the softback edition (Order No. 22003) to:

LIBERTY BELL PUBLICATIONS

Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA.

**THOSE WHO WILL NOT READ HAVE
NO ADVANTAGE OVER THOSE
WHO CANNOT READ**

KEEP THE LIBERTY BELL RINGING!

Please remember: *Our* Fight is *Your* fight! Donate whatever you can spare on a regular—monthly or quarterly—basis. Whether it is \$2., \$5., \$20., or \$100. or more, rest assured it is needed here and will be used in our common struggle. If you are a businessman, postage stamps in any denomination are a legitimate business expense—and we need and use many of these here every month—and will be gratefully accepted as donations.

Your donations will help us spread the *Message of Liberty* and *White Survival* throughout the land, by making available additional copies of our printed material to fellow Whites who do not yet know what is in store for them.

Order our pamphlets, booklets, and, most importantly, our reprints of revealing articles which are ideally suited for mass distribution at reasonable cost. Order extra copies of *Liberty Bell* for distribution to your circle of friends, neighbors, and relatives, urging them to subscribe to our unique publication. Our bulk prices are shown on the inside front cover of every issue of *Liberty Bell*.

Pass along your copy of *Liberty Bell*, and copies of reprints you obtained from us, to friends and acquaintances who may be on our "wave length," and urge them to contact us for more of the same.

Carry on the fight to free our White people from the shackles of alien domination, even if you can only join our ranks in spirit. You can provide for this by bequest. The following are suggested forms of bequests which you may include in your Last Will and Testament:

1. I bequeath to Mr. George P. Dietz, as Trustee for Liberty Bell Publications, P.O. Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA, the sum of \$ for general purposes.

2. I bequeath to Mr. George P. Dietz, as Trustee for Liberty Bell Publications, P.O. Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA, the following described property for general purposes.

**DO YOUR PART TODAY—HELP FREE OUR WHITE
RACE FROM ALIEN DOMINATION!**