



**ABOUT THE AUTHOR:** Dr. Revilo Pendleton Oliver, Professor of the Classics at the University of Illinois for 32 years, is a scholar of international distinction who has written articles in four languages for the most prestigious academic publications in the United States and Europe.

During World War II, Dr. Oliver was Director of Research in a highly secret agency of the War Department, and was cited for outstanding service to his country.

One of the very few academicians who has been outspoken in his opposition to the progressive defacement of our civilization, Dr. Oliver has long insisted that the fate of his countrymen hangs on their willingness to subordinate their doctrinal differences to the tough but idealistic solidarity which is the prerequisite of a Majority resurgence.

### SOME QUOTABLE QUOTES FROM AMERICA'S DECLINE:

**On the 18th Amendment (Prohibition):** "Very few Americans were sufficiently sane to perceive that they had repudiated the American conception of government and had replaced it with the legal principle of the 'dictatorship of the proletariat,' which was the theoretical justification of the Jews' revolution in Russia."

**On Race:** "We must further understand that all races naturally regard themselves as superior to all others. We think Congolds unintelligent, but they feel only contempt for a race so stupid or craven that it fawns on them, gives them votes, lavishly subsidizes them with its own earnings, and even oppresses its own people to curry their favor. We are a race as are the others. If we attribute to Ourselves a superiority, intellectual, moral, or other, in terms of our own standards, we are simply indulging in a tautology. The only objective criterion of superiority, among human races as among all other species, is biological: the strong survive, the weak perish. The superior race of mankind today is the one that will emerge victorious — whether by its technology or its fecundity — from the proximate struggle for life on an overcrowded planet."

### AMERICA'S DECLINE

Order No. 1007-\$8.50  
plus \$1.50 for postage and handling.

376 pp., pb.  
ORDER FROM:

LIBERTY BELL PUBLICATIONS, Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA

# Liberty Bell

ISSN: 0145 - 7667

SINGLE COPY \$4.00

## Liberalism: Destroyer of White Man 'WHITE AFRICANS': A BIOLOGICAL FAILURE

from *The South African Observer*  
page 29

### ALSO IN THIS ISSUE:

POSTSCRIPTS, by Professor Revilo P. Oliver: GREEN POLITICIANS, page 1; SMALL VOICES, page 3; CHRÉTIEN MALGRÉ LUI, page 6; THE DEVIL TO PAY, page 11; HEARING ABOUT JESUS & CO., page 20; THE TRUTH SEEKER, R.I.P., page 22. BOOK REVIEW: *Why Did the Heavens Not Darken?*, by Dr. Charles E. Weber, page 36. THE REWRITING OF AMERICAN HISTORY, by David McCalden, page 42. LETTERS TO THE EDITOR, page 50.

VOL. 16 - NO. 12

AUGUST 1989

## Voice Of Thinking Americans

## LIBERTY BELL

The magazine for *Thinking Americans*, is published monthly by Liberty Bell Publications, George P. Dietz, Editor. Editorial Offices: P.O. Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA — Phone: 304-927-4486.

Manuscripts conforming to our editorial policy are always welcome, however, they cannot be returned unless accompanied by stamped, self-addressed envelope. Manuscripts accepted for publication become the property of Liberty Bell Publications.

COPYRIGHT 1983

by Liberty Bell Publications

Permission granted to quote in whole or part any article except those subject to author's Copyright. Proper source credit and address should be given.

### ANNUAL SUBSCRIPTION RATES:

SAMPLE COPY with several reprints .....	\$ 3.00
THIRD CLASS — U.S.A. only .....	\$25.00
FIRST CLASS — U.S.A.-Canada-Mexico only .....	\$32.00
FIRST CLASS — All foreign countries .....	\$35.00

AIR MAIL — Europe-South America .....	\$45.00
Middle East-Far East-So. Africa .....	\$49.00
Sample Copy .....	\$ 4.00

### BULK COPIES FOR DISTRIBUTION:

10 copies .....	\$ 18.00
50 copies .....	\$ 65.00
100 copies .....	\$110.00
500 copies .....	\$400.00
1000 copies .....	\$700.00

These prices apply only to our standard 52-page editions.

### FREEDOM OF SPEECH—FREEDOM OF THOUGHT FREEDOM OF EXPRESSION

The editor-publisher of *Liberty Bell* does not necessarily agree with each and every article in this magazine, nor does he subscribe to all conclusions arrived at by various writers; however, he does endeavor to permit the exposure of ideas suppressed by the controlled news media of this country.

It is, therefore, in the best tradition of America and of free men everywhere that *Liberty Bell* strives to give free reign to ideas, for ultimately it is ideas which rule the world and determine both the content and structure of culture.

We believe that we can and will change our society for the better. We declare our long-held view that no institution or government created by men, for men, is inviolable, incorruptible, and not subject to evolution, change or replacement by the will of the people.

To this we dedicate our lives and our work. No effort will be spared and no idea will be allowed to go unexpressed if we think it will benefit the *Thinking People*, not only of America, but the entire world.

George P. Dietz, Editor & Publisher

# POSTSCRIPTS

by  
Reylo P. Oliver

## GREEN POLITICIANS

Quite a few Americans were pleased when a comparatively new political organization in Germany, commonly called the Green Party, obtained so many votes in the municipal elections in Berlin that it supplanted the older parties in the coalition government of that city.

It may be worthwhile to remark that the Green Party in Germany has no connection with the International Green Guard, which publishes a periodical called *Green March* and is Colonel Qaddafi's attempt to gain international support for his variety of socialism, which, like so many others, is to create "a new man," a "new society," and a "new age." The Libyan colonel's movement has nothing to do with ecology; it is "green" because that is the sacred color of orthodox Islam. While it doubtless appeals to the Semitic mentality, it would have no chance of success internationally, even if it were not persecuted by the governments the Jews have installed in Western nations. His variety of socialism has no appeal to Mongolians and is alien to the temperament of Aryans, except, perhaps, a few twittering "intellectuals" in search of exotic novelties.

The Green Party in Germany is based on what is now called ecology. It demands abatement of the "acid rain" that is destroying German forests and gnawing the stones of the nation's most venerable monuments. It would prohibit the defilement of rivers and oceans with the waste of industries. It seeks preservation of the German countryside and would halt the sacrifice of land, whether cultivated or in a state of nature, to factories and the acres of jerry-built housing that accompany them. It is also apprehensive about the possible consequences of the present type of nuclear power-plants.

All these are laudable policies, but Americans were especially pleased by the electoral defeat of the party of the present *shabbat*-government of Germany, which is most conspicuously repre-

sented by its Chancellor, Helmut Kohl, who spews forth every week the verbiage about "progress" and "negotiations" and "world peace" with which the thugs who rule a "democracy" entertain and confuse their victims. The one noteworthy item in Kohl's drivel is his insistence that "human rights" must take precedence over a nation's laws and the wishes of its people; in this he is doubtless sincere, since he must know that, as stated in the Holy Talmud, only Jews are human and have rights, whereas the members of all other races are merely animals, like sheep and hogs, and can have no rights.

Kohl brazenly jabbered in honor of "Simon Wiesenthal, Champion of Humanity [i.e., Sheenies]" in a disgraceful speech printed by the Embassy in Washington in its propaganda sheet, *Statements and Speeches*, 16 November 1988. He beats his hollow breast in simulated remorse for the imagined horrors of the "Kristallnacht," when some Germans, enthusiastically foreseeing liberation from their parasites and indignant because a Kike had openly assassinated a ranking German official in Paris, broke a few windows of shops owned by their domestic enemies. Kohl pretends he believes the Yids' crude Holohoax, although he must be sufficiently intelligent to know what a fraud it is, and he rolls on the ground in fits of simulated horror at the wickedness of Germans who killed millions or billions of Yahweh's masterpieces in ways that are chemically and physically impossible. For a specimen of his obscene grovelling, see the propaganda sheet, *The Week in Germany*, 18 November 1988. Although superlatives are dangerous when there is so wide a field of selection, I nominate Kohl for the distinction of being the most disgusting lickspittle in a position of political power in the world today.

The electoral achievement of the Green Party in Berlin must have encouraged many Germans, as it encouraged thoughtful Americans, to entertain a hope that the new party might flourish and soon be in a position to supersede the nauseous régime of Kohl and his accomplices in treason.

The new party, despite some ecological hyperbole in its pronouncements, could not well be suppressed by the alien government in Bonn, which, with the wonted tyranny of "democracy," used its police powers to prevent a newly founded party, the Nationale Sammlung, from competing in elections in Hesse, where it was likely to obtain a small but numerically significant number of votes. The pretext was that the leader of the new party had been

guilty of "ideological crimes," i.e., had had thoughts that were not *kosher*. The Green Party was already too large to be eliminated in that way, and persons concerned for the future of Germany and of our race could hope that it, whatever its defects, would at least clear the way for a German government of Germany.

Alas, for the human propensity to invent delusive hopes! According to *The Week in Germany*, 26 May 1989, the Green Party, at its national convention, yelled for "a policy of open borders," which would permit and encourage enemies and human refuse from the whole world to enter Germany and reside in it as "refugees" whenever they wished and without restrictions of any kind. And when a mass of anthropoid garbage had been parasitic on the Germans for five years, it was to be given the right to vote in all German elections! The party that objects to the chemical pollution of the atmosphere and waters of Germany is the most rabid advocate of biological pollution of the captive nation.

Not content with this exhibition of hatred of civilized Germans, the Green rabble made their convention a comic *sottie* by accusing Kohl's Christian Democratic coalition of "turning racism into government policy" by a shocking failure ruthlessly to suppress the horrid "extreme right-wing," who hold the damnable belief that Germany should belong to the Germans and should not become a stinking compost heap of human offal.

So now we know why Kohl so ostentatiously tolerated "opposition" from the Green Party.

The ideological spokesman for the Green Party is an individual named Ralf Fücks. The personal name is Nordic; the family name suggests conjecture about the man's race, which might explain a great deal. He opines that his Green Party, in coalition with the Social Democrats, may win the elections to the Bundestag next year and so replace Kohl and his accomplices in Bonn. Perhaps we were wrong in assuming that there could be *nothing* worse than Kohl.

## SMALL VOICES

The few who are seriously interested in preserving our civilization and race have frequently observed that the local weekly newspapers will often print letters and even small articles that could never appear in the newspapers, i.e., the daily press of large circulation.

An interesting example is the issue of the *Post Eagle* for 12 April 1989, of which a copy was recently sent me. The *Post Eagle* is a weekly, published, almost entirely in English, for Poles in this country. Letters to the editor are published as though they were signed articles.

One letter begins with a description of the way in which a hatchet man on one of the television stations ganged up with a rabbi to interview a young white man, "a twenty-year old skin-head," who was not ashamed of his race. Using the technique of the Spanish Inquisitors, who often trapped their victims by verbal trickery, using a word in several different senses, thus confusing them and making them make inconsistent statements, the rabbi and his goy hunting dog were able to make the Aryan youth seem somewhat ridiculous.

The author of the letter then deplores a doctrine of "White Supremacy," the term now applied to the wicked notion that even creatures so self-degraded and abject as White men and women have rights. He gives a list of major crimes committed by Whites, among which one especially notices, e.g., "The Jewish traitors, Julius and Ethel Rosenberg, were white." "The Russian and Jewish KGB agents who murdered...Polish officers and intellectuals at Katyn were white." "The Russians and Jews who starved 8 million Ukrainians in the 1920's and 30's. were white." "The violence and filth televised into American homes is done primarily by white people. Fifty-nine percent of them were raised in Jewish homes (from statistics)." I do not know what was the writer's purpose, but I am sure he must have incited serious reflection in some readers.

"Anti-Semitism Phrase by Jews a Fraud" is the title in large type before a good letter that exposes one of the sneaking tricks, the pollution of language, used by God's People to stultify their victims.

In English, the term 'anti-Semitism' must mean opposition to or antagonism toward Semites, the race now most fully represented by the Arabs and the Arabic-speaking Semites in the Near and Middle East. The Kikes have contrived to make the average reader understand the word in a sense that it cannot have, if language is not to become mere babble. If the word is used correctly, it becomes obvious that the Yids, a hybrid race that contains some Semitic blood, are now the most violently and viciously anti-Semitic people in the world, since they are now engaged in an

effort to liquidate the Palestinians and eventually all the Semitic nations.

In the *Post Eagle* Wlodek Zierkiewicz observes that, "The Jews are always wailing about Polish antisemitism, their biggest fraud. Poland is 100% pro-Arab...which equals 95% pro-Semitic and 5% anti-Zionist." He then comments on "Jewish antisemitism against Palestinians" and concludes: "Anti-Semitism... refers to... 'hostility to Arabs' and is racial. Jewish anti-Semitism (hostility to Arabs) is the only one in the world.... Anti-Semitism, signifying 'hostility to Jews' as printed in U.S. dictionaries is a fraud, a falsehood, and should be removed from all editions."

What Mr. Zierkiewicz says is, of course, obvious to everyone who respects the English language and believes in the use of words as a means of communication, rather than as noises made to excite emotions in the mindless rabble. The constant misuse of the word by the Jews and their stooges has made many otherwise intelligent persons accept it without thinking about its meaning. What makes the letter in the *Post Eagle* so valuable is that, by exhibiting one crude Jewish hoax, it will make intelligent readers aware of the systematic dishonesty and perfidy of the parasitic race, and that is the first step toward understanding the dark age in which we now live.

Weekly newspapers, addressed to the residents of a county or to a White ethnic group, have circulations that are trivial when they are compared to metropolitan newspapers, but although some are being bought up and formed into chains, most of them, I believe, are still independently owned. Their editors, although they have not been openly intimidated by the Jews, probably share the general ignorance created by the Communist-"Liberal" control of schools and the media of communication, but may still retain our racial sense of logic. They would probably be made incredulous or frightened by sweeping statements such as readers of *Liberty Bell* take for granted, but they can see logic when it is applied to a single specific point, such as the absurdity of the catachrestic meaning now generally given to the term 'anti-Semitic.'

Weekly newspapers, I believe, offer the only outlet still available to persons who hope to accomplish something by writing letters. If you send a letter to one of the large daily papers, it will, barring the unlikely chance of a blunder by an inexperienced underling, end in a wastebasket or be printed so mutilated or rearranged as to make it innocuous. If you write to a member of the

den of thieves in the Capitol of the District of Corruption, his secretary's secretary's assistant will either toss it in a wastebasket or press a button that will make a computer churn out a letter thanking you for your advice and promising that it will be given "due consideration." That usually means that your name and address will be transmitted to the Defamation League for addition to the Jews' watch-list.

Many editors of small weeklies are still simple folk, unsophisticated and holding the illusions about the United States that you had before you began to doubt what you read in the big liepapers or saw on the boob-tube. Write to him the kind of letter to which you would have given sympathetic consideration in the days before you had to face the terrible realities of the occupied country in which you now live.

### CHRÉTIEN MALGRÉ LUI

I have received a photocopy of a little book that is more informative than its author knew. The typography suggests that *The Call of Our Ancient Nordic Religion* was first published anonymously in Australia, s.l.& a., and then reprinted with the name and a portrait of the author, A. Rud Mills, c. 1958 or later. He is evidently the leader of an Odinist cult in Australia, for among his other writings is a *Guide Book for the Anglican Church of Odin*, which I have not seen.

The booklet by Mr. Mills is a clear example of the residue that Christianity leaves in the minds of persons who imagine they have emancipated themselves from it. He is an intelligent man, and I sympathize with his position and endorse his purposes, so I shall criticize his booklet with all good will. (Typographical limitations will prevent me from spelling Norse words correctly; I shall replace the thorn letter with *th*, and its voiced counterpart with *d*.)

Mr. Mills is a highly intelligent man. He perceives that Christianity is a Great Lie, a deadly poison that is destroying our race. As he says in his Chapter IX, "Christian nations move on towards a breed of people unable to discharge the functions necessary to living,...on to human mongrelism,...on to Equality." Thus men who look up from the feeding troughs and endeavor to understand the world about us "have seen the death ahead of us."

A man who has perceived that Christianity is just an elaborate system of make-believe has two alternatives: he may reject all superstitions about the supernatural as idle fantasy or he may, for

either of two reasons, elect a religion that is not patently deleterious to our race. He may choose the latter alternative because he himself wants the emotional comfort of disguising the terrible reality of our place in the cosmos—James Branch Cabell once observed that "five minutes of clear vision of man's place in the universe would suffice to set the most philosophical gibbering"—or he may believe, perhaps correctly, that the great majority of our people could not bring themselves to dispense with pleasant fiction and cozy illusions about a Big Daddy somewhere, and must therefore be offered an innocuous substitute for Christian hashish.

We, of course, cannot know which was Mr. Mills' motive; he elected the Odinism of our ancestors, and, we may suppose, founded the Anglican Church of Odin, for which he wrote a guide book.

The choice of the religion of our Germanic ancestors, most clearly exhibited in the Norse pantheon, was a logical one, but Mr. Mills then proceeds to misrepresent and distort it until it is almost unrecognizable. Since I refuse to believe that he behaved as do Christian holy men, who make their religion into whatever bait seems best for coney-catching at the moment, I assume that he was confused by what little he had read about the Norse religion and misunderstood even that in terms the residue left in his mind by the spiritual poison from which he thought he had recovered. And so much has been written on Norse religion by imaginative enthusiasts that it would require prolonged research to determine how much of his Odinism he derived from such sources and how much he imagined for himself.

He begins by deriving the name of Odin (*Óðinn*) from the Norse form of the Germanic word for a 'god' (*god*). This is extremely doubtful. The word 'god,' which appears originally to have been neuter, is derived from one of two Indo-European roots, one of which means 'that which is invoked,' while the other means 'that to which sacrifice is made,' but the etymology of Odin's name is obscure: there have even been suggestions that it was not originally Indo-Germanic.

Mr. Mills, furthermore, refers to Odin as 'God,' with a majuscule, thus using the Christian trick of implying that the god thus designated is the only one. It is true that Odin was generally regarded as the chief of the gods (*ásabragr*), comparable to Zeus, and, as the god of war, he seems to have been particularly the divine patron of the aristocracy; for landowners and territorial

magnates, however, war was less important than the seasons and the fertility of the soil. Thus we often find Thor (*Thór*) described as the 'most worshipful of the gods' (*arwurdose*) and in the great temple at Uppsala, devoted to worship of the trinity then regarded as the senior gods, Thor was the chief, superior to both Odin and Freyr. And there were individuals who regarded Freyr, the god of the sexual force, as the primordial deity.

The author proceeds to elaborate a conception of Odin as a father-figure, much as Christian theologians created such a Yahweh by ignoring the "Old Testament" and using their imaginations to interpret some references by their Jesus to the god of whom he was supposedly a part. For this Mr. Mills does have some basis in the epithet, 'All-Father' (*Alfadir/Alfödr*), given to Odin, although it is hard to see what was meant by it, since Odin was not the father of most of the gods and certainly was not regarded as having created the world. The epithet may be no more than a condensed form of the epithet, 'Father of men' (*Aldafödr*), given to Odin because he either fashioned Ask and Embla, the first man and woman, from ash trees or when the bodies of the first mortals were put together by other gods, he breathed life into them. He was also regarded as having been, like Zeus and other Greek gods, the ancestor of kingly families by intercourse with virgins.

If one is to describe Odin with reference to the epithets given him, one should take into account the score of other epithets equally representative of the personality of the god as conceived by his votaries, e.g., 'the worker of evil' (*Bölverkr*), which presumably refers to what he does to his enemies, and 'god of the hanged' (*Hanga-gud*), presumably because persons condemned to death were sacrificed to him by being hanged from oak trees.

With the omniscience of the Christians' god in mind, Mr. Mills makes Odin 'all-wise,' ignoring the myths about the ways in which Odin, who was 'Much-Knowing' (*Fjölnir*), not 'All-Knowing,' acquired knowledge of the past and knowledge about the world of the dead and magic rites.

We are told that the votaries of Odin "believed that all men and all nations...comprised a unity"! There is no 'One-World' hokum in Norse religion; on the contrary the gods are constantly at war with their and our implacable enemies, the giants of Jötunheimr, a land that lies to the east of our world, the 'middle land' (*Midgard*), i.e., the lands occupied by the Germanic peoples of northern Europe, which are also menaced from the north by the

frost-giants, and from the south, by the alien and hostile races of Muspelheim. There is no faintest hint of 'peaceful coexistence' in Norse thought; the future is one of perpetual war, which will end in defeat and the ruin of Asgard and the world in the Ragnarök.

From these misunderstandings, Mr. Mills soars into absurdity, telling us that "the architects of the great temple at Karnak dedicated their souls 'to Odin and Thor.'" He has probably seen some fantastic attempt to equate some of the Egyptian gods to totally different Norse deities. Even that is less ridiculous than the statement, "Roman governors and judges claimed...they expressed the Christ spirit[!]. Later Christians claimed that Jesus was the Christus." This is utter nonsense. Roman officials had only contempt for Jews. The Latin *christus* is simply a transcription of the Greek word *χριστός*, which means 'ointment, salve,' and was used by the Jews to translate their word *MSYH*, whence English 'messiah,' i.e., a divinely-ordained King of the Jews, especially one who will come to subjugate or exterminate the hated *goyim*. And since Jesus was supposedly the son of Yahweh, and Thor, by most accounts, was the son of Odin, Mr. Mills can even speak of "the Christ-Thor"!

Like many other theologians, Mr. Mills has created his own religion, compounding it from the débris of his repudiated Christianity, a smattering of information about the Norse religion, and a perfervid imagination. There could be no better example of the effect produced by the residue of Christianity in minds that imagine they have freed themselves of the Jewish poison.

\* \* \*

The best single source of information about the religion that Mr. Mills imagined he was restoring is E. O. G. Turville-Petre's *Myth and Religion of the North* (New York, Holt, c. 1964), which should be supplemented with Gwyn Jones's *History of the Vikings* (Oxford University Press, 1968). On the spirit of the sagas, I suggest, with reservations, *The Saga Mind*, by M. L. Steblin-Kamenskij, translated by Kenneth H. Ober (Odense University Press, 1973); he sadly underestimates the various skalds' freedom to invent mythological ornament. On the religion in terms of Aryan mentality, there are available in English translations the excellent work of Prof. Hans Günther, *Religious Attitudes of the Indo-Europeans* (London, Clair Press, 1967), and Georges

Dumézil's *Gods of the Ancient Northmen* (University of California Press, 1973).

There are, to be sure, some problems in understanding correctly much of Norse myth. Almost all of our information comes through Christian sources, who may have misunderstood or misrepresented the stories, failing to distinguish between religious belief and mythopoeic fancy. A major source is the *Prose Edda* of Snorri Sturluson (1179-1241), who was a Christian, although a heretic by the Christianity of his day, since he denied the existence of the Norse gods instead of fearing them as colleagues of Satan. He was interested primarily in the myths as a source of poetic ornament, and since he regarded the myths as fictions, he, much as he respected the achievements of his ancestors, did not take their religion (as distinct from their mythology) seriously.

If you have grown up in the belief that Christianity was a model of religion, even if you recognized its falsity, you will have to make an intellectual leap before you can understand an Aryan religion. Christianity depends on the 'inerrancy' of its scriptures: it tells you, for example, that Jesus was born of a divinely fecundated virgin, and that he rode into Jerusalem on the back of an ass. If either or both statements are false, the whole structure of revelation collapses like a house of cards.

Aryan religions have no revelations and hence no stories about the gods which votaries of the religion are required to believe. Even if a *völva* or a pythoness was thought to be divinely inspired and to prophesy in an ecstasy, it did not follow that what she said was necessarily true, and there were no scriptures of "revealed truth."

Aryans who believed in the religion (as by no means all of them did), believed in the existence of gods who, for the most part, were personifications of natural or social phenomena, so that their existence seemed indubitable, but no one presumed to write their biographies. It was generally believed that Sigurd's grandfather was born of a virgin, because virgin births are normal for the ancestors of heroes, but no one would have twitched an eyebrow if a skald made a better story of it by denying the miracle. (I am quite prepared to believe that the author of the *Thidreks Saga* invented the story of the heroic babe who was found drifting over the sea in a glass boat—invented it just because it seemed to him a pleasing fancy and he saw no reason why he should not change a tale about an event of which no one knew or could know the facts.

The Greeks thought the story of Antigone probably true, but no one denied Sophocles the right to invent a sister for her in his drama, because there was no 'inerrant' record of her life. Euripides invented a husband to whom Electra was married before her father's return from Troy. If a modern writer were to depict Jesus as having a wife, Christians would howl about blasphemy and sacrilege, but an ancient writer who gave Achilles a wife would have been criticized only for artistic impropriety.

Manuals of mythology are often written as though Aryans had no sense of humor. The tale of the adventures of Thór—Thór of the forked beard and mighty muscles—as he made his way into Jötunheimr disguised as a beautiful bride must have evoked wild guffaws as it was told over the mead.

Possible Christian influence is often problematical. In the Norse pantheon, Loki represented the spirit of thoughtless mischief so commonly seen in children and sometimes in adults, but in some tales he seems evil. Now Aryan religions never posit gods that are malevolent (as distinct from gods who, like the forces of nature, have no concern for the wishes and welfare of human beings). Did Snorri Sturluson or men like him, accustomed to the Christian (Zoroastrian) belief in an evil god, misunderstand or distort the tradition, or were the later pre-Christian skalds influenced by what they had heard of the strange beliefs prevalent in Christianized Europe?

These considerations may be of some use to you, if you undertake a study of the religion of your ancestors.

## THE DEVIL TO PAY

Satanism, a recognition of the existence and power of the god of pure evil imagined by Christians, is best known today because it offers a pretext for motion pictures of spectacular pornography and horror, and a pretext for the crimes of sadistically insane degenerates. It is unlikely, however, that either the Jews in Hollywood or the degenerates actually believe in the reality of Satan.

In the late 1920s, young men of college age or near it who wanted to shock their elders often professed Satanism as an alternative to Communism, which they disdained because the Communists whom they knew were either vulgarians or perverts. That Satanism was, of course, an extended *jeu d'esprit*, good fun at a time in which men could still be optimistic about our civilization.

Apparently serious consideration of Satanism belongs to more recent decades, and accompanies the astonishing increase of general ignorance and belief in the supernatural that is one of the most ominous manifestations of our race's abdication from the future it once had. In the dwindling minority who are aware of our approaching doom, quite a few attribute our plight to the machinations of a conspiratorial continuation of Weishaupt's Illuminati, and this opinion is commonly accompanied by a belief that the conspirators are Satanists, who worship the god of evil and are protected and abetted by him.

Although witchcraft is traditionally associated with worship of the Devil, he is conspicuously absent from the organized cults of witchcraft today, of which the most noteworthy and perhaps largest is oddly called Wicca, although that Anglo-Saxon term means 'a wizard, warlock,' while a witch (female) is *wicce*, witchcraft is *wiccecraft*, and the body of persons practicing it is *wiccedóm*. This cult, which is said to have a large number of True Believers, practices astrology and a kind of magic that is much older than Christianity and was until recently common among Anglo-Saxon and Celtic peasants, professes "a religion of joy and love," and is perhaps best represented by *The Witch's Bible*, by Gavin and Yvonne Frost (Los Angeles, Nash, 1972; paperback, New York, Berkley, 1975). Among the plethora of other books on this kind of occultism, I shall mention only *The Witch's Workbook*, by "Ann Grammary" (New York, Pocket Books, 1973), which is full of up-to-date talk about Psi-powers and other currently fashionable fads, and *The Do-It-Yourself Witchcraft Guide*, by "Luba Sevarg" (New York, Universal-Award, c. 1971), which you may find nearer your notion of witchcraft.

One hears astonishingly little these days about Black Masses, whether celebrated for fun, in the manner of Lord Francis Dashwood, or with faith in supernatural evil, as in Huÿsman's well-known *Là-bas*. But an organized church of Satanism was established around 1969.

The issue of *Christian News* dated 17 April 1989 is devoted entirely to Satanism. The immediate occasion was a lecture given at Westminster College by a High Priest of the Satanist Church, which has already shown itself an authentic church in the Christian manner, since it has been split by a schism, on which it is needless to dwell here, since that would entail a long exposition of what the heresiarch did not know about Egyptian religion.

That naturally leads the editor and his several contributors to discussion of the crimes that are ostensibly or presumably occasioned by Satanism, and that in turn brings the writers to an estimate of the actual power of the Christians' anti-god in the world today.

Since *Christian News* represents the authentic Lutheran Church, the editorials and numerous articles by contributors assume the existence of Satan as a mighty deity and adversary of their god. They thus differ radically from most of the Christian churches today, which have killed off Satan, thus repudiating the authority of the holy book that is the only basis for their creed and involving themselves in a theological muddle from which the only escape is to the Marxian Reformation of their religion, called "the Social Gospel" by dervishes who think that sounds better than "Communism."

That brings us to a subject of great historical importance.

## II

The theological dilemma was the subject of a book by a rather distinguished French theologian, Jean Turmel, who prudently concealed his identity under the pseudonym, Louis Coulange, when he published his work in an English translation, *The Life of the Devil* (New York, Knopf, 1930; the French original, which I have not seen, was later published under the title, *Histoire du diable*).

Father Turmel rightly attributes the eclipse of Satan in modern Christian sects to rejection of the belief in witchcraft and magic, and he wittily concludes that "Satan, cast out from the refuge which, formerly, he found with the possessed and the sorcerers, and the witches, is like the Son of Man, of whom the Gospel tells us that He had nowhere to lay His head."

Father Turmel traces the history of belief in the Devil from the standpoint of Roman Catholic theology. What is now the fundamental work on the subject is the series of four volumes by Professor Jeffrey Burton Russell, published by the Cornell University Press: *The Devil* (1977), *Satan* (1981), *Lucifer* (1984), and *Mephistopheles* (1987). (If you are especially interested in the meaning of the Hebrew word, STN or ŠTN, which the Jews translated into Greek as *διδβκος*, you may find one interpretation in Peggy Day's *An Adversary in Heaven*, published by the Harvard Semitic Museum.)

Professor Russell writes in a time in which the Jews have made the facts of race as unmentionable as were the facts of sex in



Victorian literature, and he even takes some illustrations from their vicious fictions about a "Holocaust" and assumes, as do well-trained Americans, that God's Race are persecuted innocents, by definition incapable of the crimes they are known to have committed. This concession to the inculcated ignorance of the American public is a blemish that should not make us underestimate the authority of his historical scholarship.

He begins by identifying evil as the conscious infliction of unnecessary pain on sentient beings, including, of course, the animals to whose suffering Christians were made hard-hearted by the notion that Yahweh created soulless dumb brutes for the use of talking anthropoids.

The crucial point is that the infliction of suffering must be intentional, i.e., malicious—a point often obscured by a refusal to recognize that the suffering of beings whom we Aryans compassionately pity (e.g., a caribou pulled down by a wolfpack, a wounded wolf, a starving child in India) is simply a fact of nature, and that, if you, like sentimental "Liberals," find that fact distressing, all that you can do is lament that you got yourself born in the wrong universe.

Evil, therefore, is limited to human beings, for no other species of animal inflicts unnecessary pain on the animals it kills to nourish or defend itself, or finds satisfaction in their suffering. And if Professor Russell had not been limited by the reticence imposed by our Jewish masters, he would doubtless have noted that the moral perception of evil is, for all practical purposes, limited to our race—not all persons of Aryan ancestry, but those whose genetic inheritance we, if intelligent, would strive to perpetuate.

The delight that Congoids and American Indians find in the suffering of persons of their own or other races is notorious. Mongoloids, although they recognize suffering as something an individual should avoid, except when demonstrating his superiority to it, as in choosing the most painful form of suicide, seem not to consider unnecessary infliction of pain on others as morally reprehensible. The same moral indifference is found in Semites; the atrocious cruelty of the Assyrian king, Ashnur-nasir-pal II (883-859 B.C.) is cited as a shocking example of evil by Professor Russell, but there is no indication that it ever excited reprobation from members of his race, and the ingenuity of Arabs in torturing prisoners in recent times is famous. The sadistic delight of Jews in

the suffering of other races is obvious from the "Old Testament," and both history and archaeology have shown they were equally ferocious toward members of their own race who were dissidents or suspected of sympathy for *goyim*.

The Aryans' instinctive perception of evil is related to his equally distinctive compassion. Only Aryans, I believe, are capable of the rationality which shows them that mortal enemies must be destroyed, combined with regret, even sorrow, at their suffering. A concise illustration of this is a stanza by Mayūra, an early Sanskrit poet, in honor of Śiva, the terrible god of ruthless destruction. The short hymn is preserved in the *Saduktikanāmṛta*, a relatively late anthology. I quote my translation:

I sing the god of world-destroying might,  
Śiva, who smote with bolts of quenchless flame  
The triple city of the anti-gods:  
For when he saw the molten walls decay  
And fall, the thund'ring bow fell from his hands  
And his immortal eyes were touched with tears.

In inner rooms the demon-women stood;  
He saw the fire cut away the hems  
Of their embroidered robes and lave their hair.  
He saw the flame upon their bodiced gowns—  
He saw its fingers stroke their girdled loins  
And pluck the silver apples of their breasts.

The "anti-gods" of the poem are the Asuras, implacable rivals of the Devas, the gods of Hinduism. They had to be destroyed to give the gods of Indra's Heaven security, but the terrible god weeps for the brave enemies whom he had to annihilate, and the poet emphasizes that pathos by describing the supernatural courage and dignity with which even the women perish.

In Mayūra's time, miscegenation was blighting irretrievably the civilization of the conquerors of India; his date is uncertain, but his race is not. The poem proves that he belonged to the race that many centuries before had, in the noblest of epics, treated the Trojan enemies of the Greeks with respect and admiration—the race that many centuries after Mayūra produced the American naval commander who destroyed a hopelessly inferior and hopelessly outnumbered and outgunned Spanish fleet during the

United States' war of aggression against Spain in 1898. He understood enemies who, without possible hope of victory or escape, fought for honor, and as the Spanish ships sank or burned, he reproved his men, "Don't cheer, boys; the poor devils are dying." He belonged to the race from which the Jew-crazed Americans of today have defected as they stumble blindly and ignominiously toward the doom they have brought upon themselves.

Milton tried "to justify the ways of God to man," but he was an Aryan, an accomplished Humanist, and a great poet, and therefore, despite the creed he professed, the hero of his *Paradise Lost* is Satan, a celestial being far nobler than the tyrant from whose despotism he has revolted.

What though the field be lost?  
All is not lost: th' unconquerable will,  
And study of revenge, immortal hate,  
And courage never to submit or yield.

No Aryan man can read or hear those lines without a thrill of admiration as he recognizes the spirit and glory of his great race. Milton's Satan, indeed, is an Aryan of the Aryans and, whatever the poet may have intended, he will be the hero of the epic for all male Aryans who have not lost their manhood through narcotics, superstition, or degeneracy. He represents the peculiarly Aryan pride and self-mastery seen again, for example, in the imperious will of Byron's Manfred, who, in his last moments, defies the infernal god of Christian belief:

It knew, and know my hour is come, but not  
To render up my soul to such as thee:  
Away! I'll die as I have lived—alone.

That characteristic of our race is one reason why we are the primary targets of the Jews' unappeasable hatred, and why the religion with which they poisoned us perpetually admonishes its dupes to be humble—to have no more pride than earthworms. But even that degrading superstition could not change the nature of the Aryans who adopted it, as Landor, who understood the pious Christians of his time, recognized in the long and brilliant poem which he composed in Latin and of which he then made his own

English version: "Humility, | A tatter'd cloak that pride wears  
when deform'd."

### III

Evil is peculiarly and exclusively human, but what is bizarre, to our minds, at least, is a god of evil.

Although Professor Russell had to write within the limitations that the Jews impose on their subjects, two facts emerge clearly from the historical and almost philosophical discussion in his first volume.

1. No Aryan religion conceives of a god of evil. Our religions are relatively rational and polytheistic, recognizing the diversity of the forces that govern human life and are often in conflict with each other. There are gods who personify the forces of nature and, like storms and tidal waves and earthquakes, reckon nothing of the convenience, safety, or wishes of human beings. There are gods who represent the tropisms that are inherent in human nature, such as sexual attraction and ambition, which are often opposed to each other. There are gods who, in their youth, exhibit children's pleasure in mischievous sport. There are gods who, like mortal kings, protect and aid their favorites, and, when angered, strike down the insolent and insubordinate. But the Aryan does not conceive of divine malice and sadism, for their gods are not unnatural. Fire is not evil when it destroys a city and perhaps accumulated and irreplaceable treasures.

2. It is astonishing, therefore, that a god of pure evil was first created by a man who seems to have been an Aryan, the prophet whose name, of obscure etymology and variously spelled in the original texts, usually appears in English as Zarathustra or in the form it was given in the time of Hellenism, Zoroaster.

So far as is known, it was he who, probably while having hallucinations excited by the sacred mushroom (*Amanita muscaria*), invented the grotesque conception of a world dominated by two great gods, one of good and the other of evil, whose powers are equal as they fight each other for suzerainty over the world, for they are so evenly matched that each needs the trivial help that can be given him by puny mortals. The two gods are engaged in perpetual war for possession of the universe, although Zarathustra's religion, with an almost pathological disregard of simple logic, absurdly knows that the ultimate victory of the good god is assured, no matter what happens.

Zoroastrianism may be the delusion most pernicious to our race ever excogitated by a human mind, but in the form presented by its prophet, it was, if one accepted the absurd premises of his "revelation" (i.e., hallucination), a coherent doctrine. As every impartial student knows, Christianity is basically a Judaized and vulgarly muddled *refacimento* of Zoroastrianism, as is even symbolically shown by the myth that the terrestrial birth of its incarnate god was foreseen and attended by Zoroastrian priests.

These two facts have an odd corollary. The Jews' adaptation of the Caananite god Yah was not evil by Jewish standards, for he aided and abetted them in their thefts and depredations and pleased them by sadistically inflicting suffering and destruction on innocent peoples to appease his pets' insatiable hatred of civilized mankind. But to Aryans, as is obvious to everyone who reads the "Old Testament" with a mind unnumbed by superstition, Yahweh is a vicious and repulsive being and the thought that such a deity could exist excites horror. Some early Christian sects, not dominated by Jews, logically concluded that the Jews' savage god must be Satan under another name. But nevertheless, our race was somehow induced to worship and revere an alien and ineffably monstrous god, and acceptance of subordination to such a being necessarily blunted our race's moral sense as well as intelligence. How can you explain that spiritual abasement?

#### IV

Professor Russell's thorough analysis of the concept of a god of evil makes it obvious that, as simple logic would teach you anyway, a bipolar religion depends on the existence of opposites. There could be no Ahura Mazda without Angra Mainyu (Ahriman), his great antagonist. And in Christianity, a tawdry Jewish imitation of Zoroastrianism, there can be no God without Satan, anymore than there can be a magnet with only one pole.

That, of course, is patent to any Christian who thinks about his religion, and the learned and honest editor of *Christian News* recognizes that fact, that the faith of Luther, as well as the doctrine of every Christian sect that is not a mere fraud, depends on the existence of Satan, without whom a belief in the existence of his divine adversary would be preposterous.

(I am reminded, by the by, of the Anglican bishop who, when I was a youngster, back in the days when the Anglican Church was not a mere sham and device for subsidizing perverts and vicious apemen, such as the infamous Tutu, told me, "It is impossible to

prove the existence of God, but easy to prove the existence of the Devil." If you think about it, you will see his point.)

Recognition of Satan exposes, of course, the Christians' pretense that their religion is a monotheism, a claim made possible only by the shabby trick of calling Yahweh "God," to the exclusion of all the other gods, Mars, Jupiter, Venus, et al., in whose existence the early Christians firmly believed, and now even of Satan, whose existence is indispensable to a religion based on their "New Testament".

Even if we accept the bizarre Christian claim that the three partners in Yahweh & Son, Inc., form one person, much as the three heads of Cerberus belong to one praeternatural canine, it is obvious from the "New Testament" that Satan is a mighty supernatural being, not only independent of Yahweh & Son, but an antagonist of that firm, with powers on earth so great that he could kidnap one-third of it, carry it to a mountain top, and try to bribe it by offering dominion over the earth, which, it is assumed, Satan could have delivered at once. Satan, therefore, is obviously a god opposed to the tripartite god Christians prefer to worship, and the equal, if not the superior, of that god, at least on earth.

The Jews, after they abandoned, in the fifth century B.C. or later, their original conception of Yahweh as chief among the five or more *elohim* they thought it expedient for their tribe to worship, and after, probably late in the second century B.C., they had the colossal impudence to claim that their tribal deity and accomplice was the *animus mundi* posited by the Stoics, can claim to be monotheists, for in the tale about Job Satan appears as the bailiff of his cruel lord and torments the old Jew for the amusement of Yahweh and, no doubt, himself. There is no problem of theodicy, for Yahweh is the admitted source of evil.

Christianity, on the other hand, is, like its source, Zoroastrianism, necessarily a ditheism, for a *theos* is a superhuman and divinely powerful person, regardless of your attitude toward him. The world is a battleground between two *theoi*—and, indeed, one on which Satan seems to have achieved a victory, even if Christians hopefully believe it is temporary, for he is often recognized as the Lord of this World, Jesus and his allies having beaten a strategic retreat to their citadel in the stratosphere.

Christians, having chosen to worship and assist the god they disingenuously call God, can properly claim to be monolaters (granting their claim that 3 = 1 in their Trinity), but they cannot,

without absurdity, deny the existence of the other god. Satanists are also monolaters, for they have elected to worship the other god, but at least they do not have the impudence to claim that they are monotheists.

No Satan, no Yahweh. Such is the dilemma of Christianity today, and the editor of *Christian News* has taken the only position that will preserve the religion as a faith instead of a racket.

One may regret the decline of Christianity, as one regrets the labefaction of any established and imposing structure, but the process is irreversible, except, perhaps, in the new Dark Ages planned for us. So long as our race retains rational minds, they will not indulge in opium to efface their perception of unpleasant realities. It is often said that Christianity as a viable religion was doomed by the *De revolutionibus orbium caelestium* of Copernicus and the ensuing discovery that the universe is so vast that the earth and all its inhabitants and history is far less than a drop of water and the animalcules in it. But the religion was equally and earlier doomed by the short and concise little work of Laurentius Vallá, *De libero arbitrio*, which demonstrated, with irrefragable logic, that no god can be at once benevolent, omniscient, and omnipotent. An imagined god may have two of those qualities, but he cannot have all three, any more than he can be both round and square. And no matter which two of the possible attributes you select, no Christian will be content with such a deity. *Vale, Jesu!*

## HEARING ABOUT JESUS & CO.

I have received from the Ignatius Press a catalogue that astonished me. The press publishes the book on the African Plague ("AIDS") by Gene Antonio, which I recommended in *Liberty Bell*, April 1988, p. 8, and a book of intellectual and historical significance, *The Restoration of Christian Culture*, by Professor John Senior, which I have long intended to discuss in these "Postscripts" as soon as I could find space and time.

The Press was doubtless named in honor of Ignatius Loyola, the founder of the Jesuits. He, in turn, was given the name of the saintly hero of one of the martyr-stories the Christians began to concoct near the end of the Second Century, although wholesale production of such fictions belongs to the time of Jerome. According to this tale, Ignatius was a Bishop of Antioch who hastened to Rome, around 115 in joyous expectation that the wicked Romans would throw him to wild beasts in the arena and he, thus mar-

tyred, would not have to wait for a natural death to become one of Jesus's buddies up in the clouds. The silly story was probably imagined to provide a pious author for a group of letters about the proper organization and conduct of Christian communities, written in imitation of the series of letters by various hands attributed to Paul, of which a selection was included in the "New Testament." The seven letters, which are extant in several conflicting versions, were composed before the Fourth Century, when holy forgers produced other screeds to which they attached the name of Ignatius, much as their predecessors had done for Paul.

Although the Press does publish some books worth reading, its staple product is books in which Roman Catholic holy men exercise their imagination and rhetoric to provide soothing-syrup for Catholics who want to be told, over and over again, how much Jesus and his mama love them, and who need to be told how assiduously they must obey and finance the shamans who alone can get them reservations in Jesus's famous hostelry for devout ghosts.

The catalogue which came to me is of such devotional works now orally recorded on tapes for the benefit of Catholics who can't or won't read. There are 240 sets of such recordings, each set comprising from four to twenty-six tapes. Just imagine! Assuming that the average set consists of ten tapes, and that each tape is only sixty minutes long, you could, for almost a year, spend your days listening to practiced and smoothly insidious voices tell you glowing fantasies about imaginary gods and saints, and you wouldn't need opium, hashish, or alcohol to keep you in a trance and oblivious of reality.

It is not easy to choose anything from this welter of oleaginous gabble, much of it with affectedly quaint titles, e.g., *Living Bread*, a series of "inspirational meditations on the greatest of all acts of love," the Eucharist, the Christian imitation of the theophagous rites of various orgiastic Oriental sects. The presiding holy man miraculously converts bread into the flesh of Jesus, which is then decorously devoured by well-bred cannibals, who believe they will absorb mana from the psychic meat.

Another amusing set of tapes is entitled *Woman Clothed with the Sun*. The splendidly dressed female is, of course, the Egyptian goddess Isis, whom the Christians took over and converted into the mother of one-third of their god when they saw the need to add a feminine interest to their cult. The tape recordings are "clas-

sic accounts of eight authentic appearances of Our Lady by great authors." One of the authors may be Harry Daly, whom I mentioned in *Liberty Bell*, October 1986, pp. 23-25, with reference to his report of an incident in which the Virgin, with the furtive manner that seems characteristic of the shy quasi-goddess, sidled up to some adolescent Spanish girls and confided world-shaking secrets to them.

I have mentioned two titles that caught my eye as I glanced through the catalogue. You can obtain from the Ignatius Press 238 other sets of tape recordings. Is there not something impressive and almost fearsome about that proof of the insatiable human appetite for dulcet illusions?

### THE TRUTH SEEKER, R.I.P.

In Flanders fields, and in all the cemeteries behind the long battle lines of the First World War, the crosses, row on row, each bore the letters R.I.P., followed by the name of the dead man (if known). The letters stood, of course, for the pious wish, *Requiescat in pace*. But in a war fought with high explosives the corpses were often so mutilated or even fragmentary that soldiers at the front sardonically said that the abbreviation on the cross indicated the burial of the dead man's Remains In Pieces.

I was reminded of that cynical quip by the death of the once excellent periodical, *The Truth Seeker*, of which the corpse has been ripped into two pieces, which have not yet been buried.

I became aware of that small monthly publication in 1958 or 1959, when I read the monumental work by its editor and proprietor, the late Charles Smith, *Sensism, the Philosophy of the West*, (2 vols., New York, Truth Seeker, 1956). Despite some superficial defectsawkward neologisms, such as 'sensism' and 'im-mechanism,' and an excessively diffuse stylethe two handsome volumes, written with both keen perception of the parlous plight of our endangered civilization and the optimism that thoughtful men could still feel in 1956, are a fundamental analysis of our culture and its chances of survival. It is a book that will never become obsolete, and, if I am not mistaken, it remained in print so long as the *Truth Seeker* was published.

I soon became acquainted with Charles Smith, for whom I had a high regard, although my public expression of it was limited by my position in the John Birch Society, which had adopted a policy of conciliating Christians who were willing to subordinate their

private emotions to the urgent task of recovering control of our subverted nation. He was a thoroughgoing atheist, and, of course, did not recognize the special sanctity of Yahweh's Peculiar People or of the enervating religion they had foisted on the hated *goyim*.

For legal and tax purposes, Truth Seeker Publications was a corporation, which Smith had founded and of which he owned almost all of the stock. He sometimes gave a share of stock to persons of whom he approved, much as some organizations make "awards of merit" which have no monetary value. I probably still have somewhere in a mass of unsorted papers the share he gave me.

I inferred that the corporation had always operated at a loss and with deficits that were absorbed by Smith or his friends. And I surmised that the losses were becoming greater each year as the number of subscribers who preferred cold realism to verbal narcotics declined and the cost of printing increased. The last book published by Smith, so far as I know, was the handsomely printed and bound reprinting of Francis Parker Yockey's *Imperium* (New York, Truth Seeker, 1962; a paperback reprint is available from Liberty Bell Publications, \$10.00 + postage).

Smith's enterprise was naturally the target of harassment and privileged crime, including arson, in New Jerusalem-on-the Hudson, and after some heavy losses, he decided to move the entire operation to San Diego, California, then a more civilized community, where he had an ally in James Hervey Johnson, the author of a booklet that was sold through the Truth Seeker Co. and is a frontal attack on superstition, *Superior Men* (San Diego, the author, 1949; kept in print as long as the *Truth Seeker* was published).

The booklet consists of 120 pages of trenchant text followed by seventy pages of letters and short articles by men and women who cured themselves of superstition by their own study and reflection. I have been told that Johnson had a brief political career: elected Assessor of Taxes for the county, he proposed that churches should be taxed on the same basis as private clubs or theaters, race tracks, dance halls, and other places of amusement. He thus excited frenzy among the salvation-mongers and panic among corrupt politicians, who found some way to remove him from office.

Shortly before his death in 1964, Charles Smith gave his publishing company to Johnson, who became its proprietor and the editor of the periodical.

I never met James Hervey Johnson, but I had some correspondence with him and spoke with him over the telephone two or three times. When I wrote *Christianity and the Survival of the West* in 1969, I knew from the annual statements that subscriptions to the *Truth Seeker* had constantly declined, and, drawing a not unnatural inference from the use of a typewriter to replace linotype composition, I wrote that the periodical was being forced to the wall. I was mistaken. Johnson had refused to pay exorbitant charges for printing a comparatively small number of copies, and he assured me, as he several times assured his readers in print, that the *Truth Seeker* was eminently solvent and would continue publication so long as he lived.

Unlike almost all other "right-wing" publishers,

Johnson never solicited subventions from any source, for reasons which did not become apparent until shortly before his death. He seems to have made no effort to promote the *Truth Seeker* through any of the few channels open to a publication that offended Yahweh's Master Race. He apparently took the attitude that he would publish the periodical; let those who wanted it come and get it without being urged.

So far as I know, he did all of the work himself, from book-keeping and typing copy to mailing issues and wrapping books, except the actual printing by photo-offset. He repeatedly advertised for one or more assistants who were convinced atheists, fully literate, and addicted to neither tobacco nor alcohol, but evidently had no applicants whom he was willing to employ. He asked subscribers to renew their subscriptions on a certain date each year, but I doubt that he kept a list of those who did. As the quality of the periodical declined, some sent in their annual renewals for old time's sake, but I know that those who did not continued to receive it. It seems clear that Johnson soon began to publish the journal at his own expense and to neglect bookkeeping that thus became irrelevant.

The *Truth Seeker's* impious treatment of the Kikes and their superstition, and its rational perception of race, naturally aroused resentment, and arsonists, probably the same holy crew that burned down the offices of the Institute for Historical Review in Torrance, set fire to the building that was the periodicals office

and warehouse. Mr. Johnson had an apartment on an upper floor of the building and it was doubtless intended to cremate him, but he escaped the flames. Shortly thereafter he was run down by an automobile while he was crossing a street. He again escaped death, but was severely injured and immobilized in a cast for months. An old man's broken bones knit slowly and often imperfectly.

He never entirely recovered from his injuries; he remained partly crippled during the brief remainder of his life, and, I am told, irascible, particularly resenting well-meaning inquiries about his health. His typing became even more erratic, and issues of the *Truth Seeker* became a grotesque hotchpotch.

As I recall, it was after his partial recovery that he began to extol the dietary system to which he attributed his longevity, and to advertise a booklet of financial advice, by which, he said, anyone could gradually accumulate a fortune by following certain rules of prudent investment. I have not seen the booklet, but a man who has tells me that the recommendations were more suited to 1930-1950 than to the present.

It soon transpired, perhaps through Johnson's indiscretion, that he was going to leave an estate estimated at \$17,000,000. (The estimate was conservative; according to latest reports, the net worth is \$22,000,000.) That news was electrifying.

Several persons, who, between them, possessed ten or twelve shares of the stock that Charles Smith had distributed as compliments, held a "stockholders' meeting" of The *Truth Seeker, Inc.*, and simultaneously resurrected two other defunct corporations of which I know nothing. They proceeded *incredible dictu!* to fire James Hervey Johnson as editor of the *Truth Seeker*, and began legal proceedings to recover the \$17,000,000 which he must have embezzled from the vast profits that the little publication must have made.

This wonderous litigation is still in the courts, but its net effect was that Mr. Johnson, shortly before his death, added to the will by which he left his entire estate for the promotion of atheism a holographic codicil in which he specifically excluded from benefit the organization which he, rightly or wrongly, regarded as instigators of the lawsuit.

James Hervey Johnson died in his eighty-eighth year shortly before his body was found in his apartment on 6 August 1988, having appointed as executor of his will a banker who is said to be

one of Jesus's lambs or rams. As soon as Johnson was dead, a woman who claimed to have been "like a daughter to him" suddenly appeared and became the executor's favorite as successor to Johnson and new "President of the Truth Seeker, Inc."

There are now two *Truth Seekers*, each of which purports to be the legitimate continuation of the periodical James Hervey Johnson edited for so many years, and is principally devoted to denouncing the other.

What is strange is that the two reciprocally hostile publications are in almost complete agreement about all other matters. That is significant, and that is why I have devoted so much space to my reminiscence about the antecedents of what would otherwise by a commonplace and sordid affair.

The antagonists agree that Charles Smith was a very wicked man. He did not believe in a god, and professed atheists cannot object to that, but he actually disbelieved in God's Chosen People! And he must have been bribed to become so vile as to speak irreverently of God's Own. He believed in biological evolution, and that is permissible to atheists, provided, of course, that they add the proviso that God stopped the evolution of anthropoids a hundred thousand years ago to make sure that all anthropoids are equal (except God's Race, which is infinitely more equal than the others). Smith, *horrible dictu*, was a "racist" and he impiously and in defiance of God's Will claimed that Aryans were somehow superior to Congoids and Astraloids, instead of recognizing that Providence appointed Aryans humbly to work for the savages.

There is a slight difference in the rivals' attitude toward James Hervey Johnson. The group which denounces him as a thief and embezzler regards his "racism" and disrespect toward God's Own as only natural in so depraved a criminal. That would be an embarrassing position for a woman who has discovered she was "like a daughter" to Johnson, so her group claims that the old duffer was only misguided and stupid. Sale of the famous "Protocols of the Elders of Zion," which describe with damnable accuracy the techniques employed by God's People for the destruction of our race and civilization, was immediately stopped, so if you want a copy of that unspeakable document, you must now order it from Liberty Bell Publications (\$8.00 + postage).

Both groups have plastered on the title of their *Truth Seeker* the slogan of the French Revolution, *Liberté, Égalité, Fraternité*.

\* \* \*

It is a disagreeable fact that some atheists seem to have derived little intellectual benefit from their atheism. In one of the northern states Minnesota, perhaps a band of atheists has appealed to the courts to prohibit hotels from keeping copies of the Gideon Bible in their rooms. In their missionary zeal they overlooked two facts.

Hotels are the property of their owners, so far as property still exists in the United States under crypto-Communist rule. The serfs who own them are no longer permitted to decide whom they will admit to their hotel or whom they will employ in it, since Americans seem resolved that all hotels must be slums and must promote equality by providing equal opportunity for disseminating diseases, but the owner is still allowed to decide with what color the walls of his rooms are to be painted and what furniture he will put in them. If he chooses to place copies of the Bible or *Penthouse* in the rooms, he is still permitted to do so without permission from the commissars who now herd the American boobs.

Whether the Gideon Bibles in hotel rooms are ever opened is another matter. The only use of them that I recall was by a man of scientific attainments with whom I was acquainted many years ago. He would smoke only the black, almost powdery tobacco that was used in good Russian cigarettes in the time of the Czars. He had, of course, to roll his own cigarettes, using a special kind of paper, and one night, when he returned from a bibulous party to his hotel in the early hours, he found that he had lost his packet of papers. Being a resourceful man, he tore pages from the Gideon Bible and found them an acceptable substitute.

An important consideration overlooked by the crusading atheists was pointed out by David McCalden, who has written for *Liberty Bell*. In a letter to *Christian News*, he observed that the Bible had probably produced as many atheists as any book ever published. He may have been right.

Several persons have told me that their rejection of all superstition about supernatural beings began with a reading of some part of the Christians' holy book. I do not recall a specific mention of a Gideon Bible in that connection, but some may have opened one in an idle hour.

A gentleman once told me that he, like most Christians, had accepted the religion because it was generally supposed to be a

Good Thing and so many people attended churches and professed to believe what they were told by their dervish. Once, having nothing better to do—possibly in an hotel room—he opened at random a Bible that was at hand and read an account of how the tough old Jew god had beaten up a Semitic god named Dagon. Unwilling to believe in the existence of Dagon, the gentleman found that the existence of many rival gods, including Satan, was affirmed in both parts of the “inerrant” collection of tales, and, on investigation, he found that the Fathers of the Church, including Augustine, required belief in the existence of “pagan” gods, and that in the Middle Ages disbelief in the existence and power of those gods was deemed a pernicious heresy. His rational powers having been thus stimulated by a chance look at the Bible, he quickly came to the inevitable conclusion that stories about Jack and the Bean Stalk, Jesus and Lazarus, Aladdin and his lamp, Mahomet and Allah, and innumerable similar tales were merely childish fictions that should not impose on a mature and educated man or woman. □

### **WHICH WAY, WESTERN MAN? SURVIVAL MANUAL FOR THE WHITE RACE**

William Gayley Simpson has spent a lifetime of keen observation, careful analysis, and deep reflection developing the principal thesis of his book: that the single, undying purpose of all human activity should be the ennobling of man. In support of this thesis he looks at the foundations of Western Society, at the structure of our government, at the effect of technology and industrialization on man, at the roles of the sexes, at economics, and at race. The book goes to the roots of the problems facing the White Race today, and it shows the ways in which White society must be changed if the race is to survive. *Which Way Western Man?* is an encyclopedic work whose conclusions can be ignored by no one with a sense of responsibility to the future. For your copy of *Which Way Western Man?* send \$14.00 including postage and handling for the softback edition (Order No. 22003) to:

**LIBERTY BELL PUBLICATIONS**

Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA

# THE SOUTH AFRICAN OBSERVER

June 1989

A Journal for Reality

EDITOR: S.E.D. BROWN  
P.O. Box 2401, PRETORIA

Telephone 3-7788

## LIBERALISM: DESTROYER OF WHITE MAN

### 'WHITE AFRICANS': A BIOLOGICAL FAILURE

BY N.A. HUNT

Many leaders and supporters of the present National Party have long ceased to regard themselves as Europeans but as “White Africans.” The more selective ones such as Dr. Anton Rupert, Afrikanerdom’s “wonder boy” and multi-millionaire, prefer to regard themselves as “pale skin Africans.”

The following article by N.A. Hunt is a salutary reminder to those who have lost, or are in the process of losing, their racial self-respect as White men. — *Editor, S.A. Observer.*

If any History is written after the disappearance of the White race, it will record that the evolutionary attempt to create a “White African” was a failure.

This is surprising, because central and southern Africa are admirably adapted to the needs of the White. The climate is, on the whole, healthy and temperate. The soil is fertile. The mineral wealth of sub-Saharan Africa is beyond computation.

With flanks secured by the Indian and Atlantic Oceans, and with the immensely important Simonstown Naval Base, southern Africa should have been a bastion of the White race.

Nor can any fault be found with the White stocks which populated southern Africa. These came from the British Isles, Holland and France in the main. The admixture of Semitic and Mediterranean stocks was small.

There never were more than a quarter of a million Rhodesians. Yet such was their energy, ability and determination that even today



what they built largely survives, in spite of the massive deterioration which invariably accompanies Black rule.

There were never more than four and a half million Whites in South Africa. In just over three centuries they built a minute victualing post into a second rate world power. South Africa had one of the world's strongest economies. Its currency was one of the strongest and most stable in the world.

An observer looking at South Africa in say 1914, observing the vigour and confidence of its growing White population, its enormous wealth, and its still more enormous potential, could only conclude that the future was bright indeed for both countries and for the race which ruled them.

### SAME FATE AWAITS SOUTH AFRICA

The same observer today would have to concede that it is only a matter of a few years before southern Africa could also be finally lost to the Whites.

In what was Rhodesia, the White is a slave in the house which he built for himself. It is as certain as anything can be that the same fate awaits the White South African, under the present National Party government.

It is true that both countries contained large numbers of Blacks. But these were never capable of being a threat to the Whites. The Whites had the brains, the resources, and the weapons to ensure their continued dominance. Yet it is clear that the "White African" could shortly become extinct.

The reason can only lie in the character or the institutions of the White man. It is to these that we must look to discover why one of the most intelligent and resourceful of human stocks, blessed with an ideal climate and unlimited natural resources, could not survive.

So what could have gone wrong? How was it possible that the flower of the White race, living under ideal conditions, should wither away?

### WHITE GROVELING

We know that in Nature all groups are in perpetual conflict; the situation usually expressed as "the survival of the fittest." A species which does not attempt to dominate and to spread ever more widely is doomed by Evolution. A species which seeks to establish and maintain a *status quo* is probably doomed.

Any species which yields territory and controls is certainly doomed. That this is true is proven by the histories of Rhodesia and South Africa.

Such was, and still is, the power of the White race that there is nothing to stop it from ruling every inch of the planet. Yet we see it thrust ever further back by weaker races, evicted from its outposts, grovelling before peoples which the Whites could easily exterminate. The only cause of such a state of affairs must be something in the nature of the beliefs of the Whites.

For instance, Rhodesia held every inch of its territory by the best of all titles, that of conquest. If called upon to pinpoint the beginning of Rhodesia's decline, a historian would probably plump for the date of the introduction of a constitution which guaranteed to the conquered Blacks 50% of the territory owned by the conquering Whites.

The Blacks were in no way a threat to the Whites. Most were well content under White rule—as well they might be. The tiny minority, controlled from outside the country, who were not were completely impotent.

The only reason for this astonishing concession by the all-powerful conquerors to the powerless conquered must be sought in the minds of the Whites. It seems that only the existence of a death-wish among the Whites can explain why the White gave away half their birth-right, and in addition conceded the right of the more numerous Blacks to own property in the area reserved to Whites.

One might have expected that the South Africans would learn from the fate of the Rhodesians. The two countries had close ties; their problems were largely identical. They did not learn.

### REASON FOR DECLINE

The decline of the South African White began, and his eventual extinction became certain, when the National Party established Bantu Homelands. The basic idea—to provide a place for the Blacks to live under their own laws and customs—was undoubtedly sound, an example of wise statesmanship. Unfortunately the Whites felt it necessary to subsidise the Homelands very handily, in particular to provide Health services virtually free.

Although based upon the most generous and laudable of motives, this policy in practise meant that the White would never lack ever larger numbers of Blacks to hate and envy him. A similar policy was followed in Rhodesia, with of course the same effect.

Together with the Bantu Homelands, townships were set up in the White area for the labour attracted by the promise of a better life than the Homelands could offer. This made perfect sense, but only on the condition that at the end of his working life the Black was compelled to leave the White area.

True wisdom, too, would have insisted that every effort be made to include the immensely powerful tribal system into township administration, rather than attempting to make the township governments "democratic."

This is not done. In fact, the history of both Rhodesia and South Africa can be seen as attempts by well-meaning Whites to impose "democracy"—by which was meant universal suffrage—on peoples to whom the concept was meaningless when it was not repugnant.

### SELL-OUT OF S.W. AFRICA

South Africa's mental sickness became clear, when she agreed to hand over South West Africa ("Namibia") to what she and the world both knew would be a Communist-dominated terrorist government. We noted above that a race which cedes territory which it could control is doomed. This is certainly true of South Africa.

There was no political, military or economic need for her to hand over S.W.A. A White racial group which will willingly hand over thousands of its own race, including women and children, to Black rule has forfeited its moral right to survive. In Darwinian terms, that is in terms of the unceasing struggle for domination on this planet, the South African White committed suicide.

Yet not even the abject abandonment of S.W.A. satisfied either the political pigmies who now ruled South Africa or the outside world.

The National Party pressed on with negotiations with Black groups intent on destroying White rule. All were more or less Communist-dominated. All were motivated by hatred of the White and envy for his achievements, which they knew they could never equal.

Western political thought dominated the world. The overwhelming power of the West meant that its political concepts of "racial equality" and "democracy" became the norm. Not that these lunatic ideas were ever believed by any but a small, sick section of the West itself.

The rest of the world found it expedient, and even rewarding, to accept this White nonsense at face value. It enriched them by foreign aid, it allowed nasty little African gangsters to lecture their betters and, best of all, it ensured that the White race could never act as its own interests dictated.

### MASS CORRUPTION

The concept of "freedom," "self-determination" and "racial equality" suddenly made the coloured races important. Thus we find a petty state like Panama, with a population smaller than that of a medium American city, defying the United States with impunity.

India, probably the largest and most festering example of mass corruption in the world, felt free to deliver moralistic lectures and admonitions to the West. What is more, she was allowed to get away with it.

Perhaps in this abject truckling we have a clue to the reasons behind the Western collapse.

South Africa had a perfectly viable and morally unexceptionable political policy in *apartheid*. So long as she adhered strictly to its principles all was well. But South Africa tried to marry the irreconcilable ideas of *apartheid* and "democracy," by which was meant universal suffrage or ochlocracy. They failed, of course. They lost their country too. They also ensured that the world would never see a White African.

### HARD TO BELIEVE

It is difficult for us to understand why the Rhodesian and South African Whites acted as they did, and do. They of all peoples had ample daily experience of living and working with the Black. Their experience of the Black covered centuries.

Their very religion instructed them to keep themselves separate.

The two races were wildly different. The White race—not "mankind" but the White race—had put men on the moon. The Blacks had yet to invent the wheelbarrow. In 1961 the British Association for the Advancement of Science noted that "*No contribution to the Arts of civilisation has come from Africa, which has produced nothing but destruction and ruinous exploitation of its natural resources.*"

Rhodesians and South Africans knew these words to be true. Yet they persisted in their attempts to make equal what Nature had made unequal. We see exactly as foretold by Spengler in his

*Decline of the West, "Quantity replaces quality; spreading replaces deepening".*

Rhodesia and South Africa, in spite of their long experience of Africa, staked their political lives on counting heads rather than what was in them. They lost the gamble, and in so doing committed racial suicide.

Evolution abandoned her attempt to create a White African. The urge to survive, to dominate together with the needed ruthlessness were simply no longer to be found in this off-shoot of the Whites.

Exit the "White African". He walked up a biological blind alley, like the gorilla and Australian black-fellow. The White African was an evolutionary failure. He perished.

### SICKNESS OF LIBERALISM

With the advantage of hindsight we can see what destroyed the African White. It was the deadly sickness of liberalism, as fatal in Africa as it was in Europe.

The policy of *apartheid*, which alone could have ensured racial peace, was universally derided. "Social justice" and "democracy", never precisely defined, were held to be essential in Africa.

This was all nonsense, of course. In their hearts the Whites knew it. But the whole of the communications media ceaselessly told them that what they knew to be true was false, and what they knew to be false was true.

His religious leaders betrayed him by their sudden "discovery"—without any proof being given—that *apartheid* was contrary to the word of God. His political leaders, who were elected to ensure the safety and dominance of the Whites, openly schemed to hand over the country to the Blacks.

### PRICE OF WEAKNESS

Of course, not all the Whites were blind to what was happening. The "reform" policy of the National Party certainly lost it some support. This policy was nothing but a carefully planned strategy designed to bring about Black rule.

Yet although its popularity diminished, the bemused electorate continued to return the National Party to power. It was clear that the majority of the Whites lacked the steel and the resolution to act in their own interests and those of nobody else. The price of this weakness will be their extinction.

As long ago as 1917 C.M. Walsh wrote prophetically:

*But woe to that people which has not men that will stand up and fight without flinching. Those countries where the moral decay shall have gone deepest, where the proved stock shall have died out and given way to poor stock ..... when the strong and the wise and the shrewd shall gain no more of wealth and power and influence than the weak, silly and incompetent; all being equal,— those will go to the wall. And when this fate shall have overtaken most of our Western White mens' countries, our cycle of civilisation will be completed.*

### DESTROYED BY 'LIBERALISM'

As a picture of the West since 1945 and of South Africa in particular, this analysis could hardly be more accurate. It is what inevitably happens when "liberalism" replaces sober thought and reflection.

If, when the West has collapsed, any History is written it will certainly not be by a man of the White, Black or Brown races. He may be a Chinese. He will probably be Japanese.

Should he be moved to speculate upon why Evolution failed to create a "White African," and why the West collapsed, it seems probable that this future historian will conclude that, just as the Blacks were destroyed by AIDS, so the Whites were by "liberalism." □

### THE LATE GREAT BOOK: THE BIBLE

#### AN ACCOUNT OF CHRISTIAN AND BIBLICAL ORIGINS.

Nicholas Carter feels that it is time for us to sit down and do what many Christians rarely do: Study the Holy Bible. In doing so, we may discover whether or not the Bible is indeed "Holy" and the "Word of God." In strict biblical order, the author methodically, and scathingly, examines the various claims made in the "Holy Book" and shows how many of them bear no relationship to reality whatsoever. For your copy of *The Late Great Book: The Bible* (Order No. 12006) send \$5.00 + \$1.50 for postage to: LIBERTY BELL PUBLICATIONS P.O. Box 21, Reedy WV 26270

**THOSE  
WHO WILL NOT READ  
HAVE NO ADVANTAGE OVER  
THOSE WHO CANNOT READ**

## BOOK REVIEW

Arno J. Mayer, *Why Did the Heavens Not Darken? / The 'Final Solution' in History*, New York: Pantheon Books, 1988. xv + 493 pages plus two maps. \$27.95.

Mayer is a Jewish professor who teaches at Princeton University. Born in 1926, Mayer fled his native Luxembourg along with his family just ahead of advancing German forces in May 1940. Mayer's other books include *The Persistence of the Old Regime: Europe to the Great War*, *Dynamics of Counterrevolution in Europe, 1870-1956*, *Politics and Diplomacy of Peacemaking: Containment and Counterrevolution at Versailles, 1918-1919* and *Political Origins of the New Diplomacy, 1917-1918*.

The title of the book is derived from a lament of Solomon bar Simson (p. 26) on the massacre of Jews in Mainz in May 1096. Some of Mayer's motivations for writing the book are set forth on page 16, where he points out that the "Holocaust" material has become an overly sectarian "cult of remembrance." He then explains his position as an historical scholar: "The embryonic creed of the 'Holocaust,' which has also become an *idee-force*, has taken the reflective and transparent remembrances of survivors and woven them into a collective prescriptive 'memory' uncondusive to critical and contextual thinking about the Jewish calamity. A central premise is that the victimization of the Jews at the hands of Nazi Germany and its collaborators is absolutely unprecedented, completely *sui generis*, and thus beyond historical reimagining."

Mayer's attempt to put the plight of the Jews in Europe during the Second World War into its historical context and thus to take it out of the isolation that has characterized the approach of a number of Jewish writers, such as Lucy Dawidowicz, has infuriated a number of Jewish critics. Dawidowicz, in fact, characterizes Mayer's efforts as a "very dishonest book" (as quoted in a rather superficial and distorting review in *Newsweek* of 15 May 1989, pages 64-65.) Mayer states that he is indebted to Raul Hilberg, Hans Mommsen and Pierre Vidal-Naquet for having read over the entire manuscript with the exception of the Prologue. The checking of Mayer's manuscript by these men no doubt constitutes a sort of "nihil obstat" amongst Jewish writers on the Extermination Thesis. Nevertheless, a quite hostile review appeared in the *New Republic* of 17 April 1989.

concede that sources for the study of the gas chambers are at once rare and unreliable and that most "of what is known is based on the depositions of Nazi officials and executioners of postwar trials..." (p. 362). Mayer must know that many of such depositions were obtained by torture but does not mention that fact. On page 365 Mayer states that "from 1942 to 1945, certainly at Auschwitz, but probably overall, more Jews were killed by so-called 'natural' causes than by 'unnatural' ones."

Mayer even suggests that "excavations at the killing sites and their immediate environs may also bring forth new information" (p. 363). Indeed, such information has been brought forth, to be specific, in the form of the Leuchter Report, which offers very strong evidence against the Extermination Thesis by actual analyses of fragments of buildings with regard to traces of cyanide. (See *Bulletin* 35, p. 3.) Mayer does not mention the Leuchter Report, nor does he mention the very strong evidence against the Extermination Thesis, already known a decade ago, in the form of the Allied air surveillance photographs taken over Auschwitz at various times during 1944.

On p. 195 Mayer states that after the German occupation of western Poland, Denmark, Norway, Luxembourg, the Netherlands, Belgium, and France a "total of about 3.5 million Jews were now under German control." We would thus have to assume that no less than 2.5 million in addition came under German control and that they were all killed in order to arrive at the famous six-million figure, which is thus quite impossible. After the beginning of the German invasion of the USSR a huge number of Jews and other persons were moved by the Soviet government far to the east ahead of the advancing German forces. The approximately 3,000,000 Jews in Israel alone in excess of those there in 1939 are overwhelmingly of European origin or descent. It is significant that Mayer does not mention the six-million figure, which he seems to have tacitly avoided as being too absurd to defend.

In Chapter XII (page 376-408), entitled "The Extermination Sites/Chelmo, Belzec, Sobibór, Treblinka," Mayer gives rather detailed descriptions of these sites, which he characterizes as "out-and-out killing sites." It is revealing to compare Mayer's description with those by Mark Weber in his "Open Letter to the Rev. Mark Herbener" (*Journal of Historical Review*, VIII, 2, pages 173-184). Mark Weber supports his descriptions by mentioning sources. The following are some of the main aspects of the descriptions by the two authors:

Some of Mayer's terminology is innovative. Instead of the propaganda word *Holocaust* he employs the more precise *Judeocide*. For the National Socialist laws restricting Jews in various fields he uses the historically appropriate verb *deemancipate* with reference to the fact that in Germany and some other parts of Europe the Jews were freed from various restrictions during the course of the late eighteenth and early nineteenth centuries, a process generally designated as *emancipation*. Mayer frequently refers to the 1914-1945 period as the Thirty Years War of the twentieth century with reference to the earlier war of that name (1618-1648). Mayer finds a number of similarities in these periods.

Mayer concedes that during 1938-1940 there was no intention or plan to exterminate the Jews (p. 159) and that "until the fall of 1941 the Nazi drive against the Jews remained indeterminate and erratic" (p. 201). He continues with this vein on p. 348, where he states that the extermination camps "had not been planned by the Nazis from the very first.... To examine the camps apart from the context of the colossal horrors and miseries of war engulfing large parts of the European Continent is to fail to understand the camps, for this context contributed to the environment of rampant general violence in which the Nazis were able to execute the Judeocide."

At this point Mayer could and should have contrasted the interning of Jews in Europe with the interning of persons of Japanese descent in the United States, the latter of which took place almost immediately after the Japanese attack on Pearl Harbor, while the massive internment of Jews did not take place until some 30 months after the Anglo-French declaration of war against Germany. It should not be overlooked that both internings took place largely for real or imagined reasons of security. The German fear of Jewish partisans operating behind thinly manned German lines was a justified one and Mayer recognizes it (p. 380; see also R. Aschenauer, *Krieg ohne Grenzen*, 1982, pp. 246 ff.).

Mayer claims that Goebbels was the "chief instigator" of Crystal Night in November 1938 (p. 170). Ingrid Weckert, whose important writings on this subject Mayer does not list and perhaps does not even know, presents evidence that Goebbels was shocked at the violence of the pogrom-like action. Moreover, Hitler's office sent out orders to cease the destruction at once soon after it started. (See *Bulletin 31*, republished in *Liberty Bell* of January 1989, where Weckert's book, *Feuerzeichen*, is reviewed.)

Mayer insists on the presence of gas chambers in German concentration camps for the purpose of killing human beings but he must

## MAYER

### Chelmno (Kulmhof)

First killing site to be set up, 35 miles northwest of Łódź in secluded place. Killing operations begun 8 December 1941. Within 15 months 100,000 Jews killed, over 70,000 of them from the Łódź ghetto. Mayer concedes that "there is little reliable data for Chelmno (p. 392). The installation was apparently dismantled in March 1943. Mobile gas vans used for the killings.

### Belzec

Second killing site to be set up, 100 miles southeast of Warsaw. Gassing facilities stationary, employed bottled carbon monoxide and later exhaust fumes from trucks. Dimensions and capacities of gas chambers uncertain. Facilities destroyed in December 1942.

### Sobibór

Northeast of Lublin. A variant of Belzec. Large contingent of Dutch Jews were victims there in September 1942.

### Treblinka

Located 50 miles Northeast of Warsaw. Started operation 23 July 1942. Three gas chambers in brick structure with Diesel (!) motor to provide fumes. By late August 1942 over 250,000 Jews estimated to have been killed there, largely from Warsaw area, thus something like 10,000 a day.

## WEBER

### Chelmno (Kulmhof)

"So little is known about Chelmno (or Kulmhof) that it is difficult to effectively refute the charge that it was a mass extermination center." One Jewish source claims that 1,350,000 Jews were killed there but other Jewish sources claim far fewer. Large truck used as a "gas van."

### Belzec

Like Sobibór, a small transit camp near the Polish-Ukrainian border. A secret German memorandum dated 17 March 1942 indicates that Jews were to be permanently deported from Belzec to the Ukraine. The Polish underground courier Jan Karski did not see evidence of gas chambers in 1942 in Belzec.

### Sobibór

In the shifting accounts by Jewish historians this camp has been lately accorded greater significance, with claims that between 200,000 and 600,000 Jews were gassed there in 1942-3. On 5 July 1943 Himmler directed that the transit camp was to be turned into a concentration camp with a center for dismantling captured ammunition. Correspondence shows that neither Himmler nor Pohl regarded Sobibór as an extermination center. A Brazilian court refused to convict or extradite the former acting commandant of Sobibór.

### Treblinka

Although over a million Jewish victims have been claimed for this camp, it was not a secret camp and its establishment as a labor camp was publicly announced on 2 December 1941. A German document dated 7 July 1942 also refers to Treblinka as a labor camp.

Although Mayer devotes much space to the status of Jews in Hungary, he scarcely mentions the Béla Kun régime in Hungary (p. 85), let alone the horrors and atrocities of this ephemeral régime (1919), which are vividly described in Cécile Tormay's *An Outlaw's Diary*, the first English edition of which was published in 1923. Certainly, a "specialist in the recent European history," as Mayer calls himself (p. vii), should be well aware of the impact of the Kun régime on European attitudes toward the danger of Communist régimes dominated by Jews. This cursory mention of the Kun régime is typical of the lack of balance in Mayer's presentation of recent European history as related to Jews (pp. 39-89). Perceptive western Europeans were well aware of the preponderant rôle played by Jews in the horrors of Communism. Not just Goebbels in his famous speech of 18 February 1943 ("Wollt Ihr den totalen Krieg?" discussed at length by Mayer, pp. 342 ff.), but also Winston Churchill in his famous article in the London *Illustrated Sunday Herald* of 8 February 1920 recognized the Jewish nature of Bolshevism. (For information on the collaboration of Wall Street Jews and Bolsheviks, see Anthony Sutton's *Wall Street and the Bolshevik Revolution*, 1974.)

When Mayer suggests that Heydrich might have been using "coded language" at the Wannsee Conference of 20 January 1942 (p. 304) we must question his logic or at least his knowledge of the putative minutes of this conference, which were, after all, stamped "Geheime Reichssache." With the usual protections of military secrecy there would have been no reason to use "coded language." This document, at least parts of which appear to be spurious for a variety of reasons, makes no mention of a plan to exterminate all the Jews of Europe, let alone details as to how this was to have been done.

Whatever merit Mayer's thesis that the bogging down of Operation Barbarossa caused a desire for revenge against the Jews might have, he fails to mention a number of reasons for the intense hostility toward Jews for which Jews themselves were responsible. The genocidal threat in Kaufman's *Germany Must Perish*, which recommended the extinguishing of the German nation by sterilization, was taken seriously and publicized widely at the time of its publication in 1941. Then in the fall of 1944 the Morgenthau Plan, with its clear genocidal objectives, became well known in Germany.

Not once does Mayer mention one of the psychological and diplomatic turning points of the war, the discovery of mass graves of Polish officers at Katyn by German intelligence in the spring of 1943, which caused the Polish government in exile to break off diplomatic relations with the USSR and should have been a strong warning even to the United States with regard to what was in store for postwar Europe.

In contrast to works of such revisionist authors as Butz, Stäglich, Sanning, and C. Weber, Mayer's book contains no footnotes, a circumstance which reduces his credibility considerably, both in the eyes of revisionists and authors who represent the "Intentionalist" school of writing in this field. Why this lack of footnotes? To save paper? More likely it was because Mayer used some sources which he might have considered difficult to defend, such as the effectively discredited *Kommandant in Auschwitz*, putatively by Rudolf Höss. (See R. Faurisson's article in the *Journal of Historical Review*, VII, 4 and Stäglich's discussions of this work.) Without footnotes we hardly can judge the reliability of Mayer's text—or the lack of it. In his extensive bibliography Mayer lists well over 500 works, even including two revisionist classics by A. Butz and P. Rassiner, but of course we cannot be certain what use Mayer made of them, if any. Perhaps they were "token" listings to give the impression that the author had an open mind about revisionist literature or even made use of it.

This book has provoked a good deal of hostile comment from Jewish writers of the "Holocaust" material because it contests some of the main contentions of their all too well publicized and lauded publications. To a quite limited extent, but in some important ways, we might categorize Mayer's book as a revisionist work. □

Reprinted from *Bulletin 33*, published by the Committee for the Reexamination of the History of the Second World War, Charles E. Weber, Ph.D., Chairman.

### THE ANTI-HUMANS

by D. Bacu (307 pp., hb. \$7.00) describes what was done to the young men whom Corneliu Z. Codreanu, the founder of the Legionary Movement in Romania, inspired, when, seven years after his brutal murder, Romania was delivered to the Bolsheviks. They were subjected to what is the most fully documented Pavlovian 'experiment' on a large number of human beings. It is likely that the same techniques were used on many American prisoners in Korea and Vietnam. *The Anti-Humans* is a well-written document of great historical and psychological importance. Reading it will be an emotional experience you will not forget. ("A sequel to Orwell's *1984*"—R.S.H. "A searing exposé of Red bestiality"—Dr. A.J. App). Order #01013. Single copy \$7.00, 3 for \$15.00, 5 for \$20.00.

For postage and handling add:

On domestic orders, \$1.50 for orders under \$10.00, 15% of order total for orders over \$10.00. On orders from abroad \$2.00 or 20% respectively. Sample copy of our monthly magazine *Liberty Bell* and copy of our huge Book List containing hundreds of "Eye-Openers," \$4.00. Subscription for 12 hard-hitting, fact-packed issues \$35.00 (U.S. only). Order from:

LIBERTY BELL PUBLICATIONS  
Postoffice Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA

# THE REWRITING OF AMERICAN HISTORY

by  
*David McCalden*

The United States not only rewrites history in history books, it also rewrites inscriptions on public monuments, currency, and slogans.

The most blatant example of this distortion is the Jefferson Monument in Washington, D.C., the nation's capital. The memorial to Thomas Jefferson, the nation's third president, was erected on reclaimed Potomac tidal land in 1942, the 200th anniversary of Jefferson's birth. It is dominated by a 19ft tall statue of Jefferson. But around the circular walls of the temple-like structure are various quotations attributed to him. The most famous reads:

Nothing is more certainly written in the book of fate than that these people are to be free. Establish the law for educating the common people. This it is the business of the state to effect and on a general plan.

However, a referral to Jefferson's own biography reveals what he really said about the emancipation of the slaves:

Nothing is more certainly written in the book of fate than that these people are to be free. nor is it less certain that the two races, equally free, cannot live in the same government. nations, habit, opinion, has drawn indelible lines of distinction between them. it is still in our power to direct the process of emancipation and deportation...

Many other slogans around the walls of the monument quote Jefferson's reference to "God", giving the impression that he was a Christian. In fact, Jefferson, like many of the Founding Fathers, was a Deist. In other words, he believed that there had at some time been a supernatural Creator, but that since that Creation, the Creator had taken a back seat and refrained from any divine intervention by way of miracles, messiahs, apparitions, or whatever. Thus, the Declaration of Independence (which was written by a committee chaired by Thomas Jefferson) contained deistic, non-Christian, terms such as "Nature and Nature's God" and "Divine Providence." And, by the time the United States Constitution was written a whole decade later, Jefferson

and the other Founding Fathers had turned even further away from theocratic tendencies. The U.S. Constitution closely followed Jefferson's "Virginia Act for Establishing Religious Freedom", and Article VI stated quite emphatically that "no religious test shall ever be required as a qualification" for public office. Jefferson himself wrote:

I have recently been examining all our known superstitions of the world, and I do not find in our particular superstition (Christianity) one redeeming feature. They are all alike founded upon fables and mythologies. The Christian God is a being of terrific character—cruel, vindictive, capricious, and unjust.

Jefferson later became so disgusted with Christianity that he re-wrote the Christian Bible. By using the "scissors-and-past" process, he cut out all the ugly and illogical sequences, and retained the humane aspects. He named his "wee little book" *The Philosophy of Jesus Christ*, and it is still available in reprint as *Thomas Jefferson's Bible* (available from Liberty Lovers Library, P.O. Box 3, Otter Rock, OR 97369, U.S.A.)

Thomas Jefferson's monument, therefore, is a lie. Contrary to the impression given by the Roosevelt-era inscription, he was most certainly **not** in favor of negroes being granted American citizenship—in fact, he wanted them deported, and he was most certainly **not** in favor of Christianity—in fact, he wanted strict separation of Church and State, and a radical re-writing of the Holy Bible.

The president who actually freed the slaves, Abraham Lincoln, shared Jefferson's views on repatriation to Africa. Shortly before his presidency, he was engaged in a public debate with a Senator Douglas, who endorsed the deportation policy. Lincoln had this to say in agreement:

I will say then that I am not, nor ever have been, in favor of bringing about in any way the social and political equality of the white and black races—that I am not, nor ever have been, in favor of making voters or jurors of Negroes, nor of qualifying them to hold office, nor to inter-marry with white people; and I will say in addition that there is a physical difference between the white and black races which I believe will forever forbid the two races living together on terms of social and political equality.

Such separation if effected at all, must be effected by colonization incidentally...what colonization most needs is a hearty will...Let us be brought to believe that it is morally right, and at the same time

favorable to, or at least not against, our interests to transfer the African to his native clime, and we shall find a way to do it, however great the task may be.

And so it was that as President of the United States, Abraham Lincoln proclaimed:

I, Abraham Lincoln, President of the United States, and Commander-in-Chief of the Army and Navy thereof, do hereby proclaim and declare that...it is my purpose upon the next meeting of Congress to again recommend...the immediate or gradual abolishment of Slavery...and that the effort to colonize persons of African descent, with their consent, upon the continent or elsewhere, with the previously obtained consent of the government existing there, will be continued; that on the first day of January, in the year of our Lord 1863, all persons held as Slaves within any State, or any designated part of a State, the people whereof shall then be in rebellion against the United States, shall be then, thenceforward and forever free.

Two years later, Lincoln was cut down by an assassin's bullet. A few months later, slavery was officially outlawed by the U.S. Constitution's addition of a 13th amendment. However, Lincoln's original plans for repatriation were quietly and quickly abandoned.

The very first President, George Washington, has also been hijacked by unscrupulous Christians. In St. Paul's chapel of Trinity Church in New York City, there is displayed a plaque purporting to bear the inscription of a "prayer to God" regularly raptured up to Heaven from George Washington's adjacent pew number 60. In fact, the "prayer" is lifted and extensively amended, from a circular letter sent by George Washington to the governors of the 13 American colonies. In 1935 a group of atheists sued the Trinity Church for consumer fraud. During the suit the church dignitaries admitted the fakery, but claimed that it was justified in the context of "a place of religious worship." *sic, sic* and *sic* again!

The Statue of Liberty on Liberty Island (formerly Bedloes Island) in New York Harbor is also a deception. Most people think that the statue represents unlimited, free immigration to the United States. Their confusion originates from (a) the close proximity of the (now abandoned) immigration entry station of Ellis Island in the same harbor, (b) the existence of an immigration museum in the basement of the statue, and (c) the addition of a plaque bearing a pro-immigration poem written by one Emma Lazarus.

The poem reads in part:

Give me your tired, your poor  
Your huddled masses yearning to breathe free  
The wretched refuse of your teeming shore.  
Send these, the homeless, tempest-tossed to me,  
I lift my lamp beside the golden door.

In fact, the Statue of Liberty has nothing whatsoever to do with immigration, free or regulated. It was a gift in recognition of French-American friendship, and a miniature version stands on the banks of the Seine river in Paris. The statue was manufactured in France in 1884, and shipped to the U.S. in 214 packing cases. It was erected on a plinth which had previously been the foundations of a fort, and was unveiled in 1886. Ms. Lazarus' poem was not added until 1903—almost twenty years later. Many commentators indicate that Ms. Lazarus later abandoned her support for free immigration, when she witnessed the severe social problems which it caused.

The Pledge of Allegiance to the flag of the United States did not have its origins in revolutionary times. It was originally published in a *Beano*-type magazine, the 8 September 1892 issue of the *Youth's Companion*. The extra two words "under God" were only added at the height of the Red scare in 1957.

The theocratic slogan "In God We Trust" was likewise not a part of the Founding Fathers' plans. It was added to large coins (where space permitted) in 1864, and to all currency in 1955—again at the height of the McCarthy era. The following year, the American National Motto "E Pluribus Unum" was abandoned in favor of the sectarian, and by now ubiquitous, "In God We Trust."

Thus, many Americans are under the mistaken impression that Victorian-era jingoism and McCarthy-era righteousness were somehow part of the Founding Fathers' blueprint.

Christians and theocrats have not been alone in tinkering with the American currency. Several years ago, the Department of Printing and Engraving noted the declining purchasing power of the dollar, and the popularity of a \$2 bill north of the border in Canada. A U.S. \$2 bill was introduced into circulation. However, on the reverse side of the bill is a reproduction of a canvass which hangs in the main lobby of the Capitol building in Washington, D.C. It portrays the signing of the Declaration of Independence. On the bill, a signer at the extreme right of the picture appears to be a negro. But the color painting in the Capitol shows the man correctly as Caucasian. This has not stopped



desperate negro leaders from promoting the \$2 bill as some kind of symbol for their ethnic cause.

Nowadays, limousine Liberals, effeminate clerics, and unscrupulous historians, are fond of hi-jacking everybody who was anybody in American history, and making them into patron saints of liberalism. Often the most flagrant deceptions and plain re-writings of history takes place. There is not space here to go into this Big Brother activity—it would take a book; actually several books, to list the accurate quotations of historical figures which belie these latter-day claims of congenital liberalism.

One book which contradicts the supposed historical support for Zionism, for example, is *The Jew in Review* [prepared by Zuhdi Al-Fatih, and originally published in November 1972 under the title *The Jews*] (re-published in 1977 by, and available from, Liberty Bell Publications, Order #10008, \$8.00 + \$1.50 postage & handling). I am myself currently working on a book provisionally entitled *Great Racists of Our Time*, which will profile great western thinkers, such as Margaret Sanger, Charles Darwin, and Thomas Jefferson, whose ideas of racial inequality have been perverted and reversed by the machinations of unscrupulous liberal fetishists.

Most Americans believe in democracy and self-determination; at least where their own country is concerned. There have only been a couple of wars fought by America where American soil was at stake: the Revolutionary War of 1776, the Revolutionary War Part 2 of 1812, and the Texan Wars of Independence from Mexico, fought in 1836 and 1846. During both wars, there were substantial minorities whose loyalty was to the losing side. If the tides of war had been different, and the vanquished had been the victors, then everything would have been reversed. The American revolutionaries and the Texas rebels would have been hanged or shot as traitors.

Since America stabilized in the late 19th century, and her territory became homogeneous, there have been more wars, not less. None of these involved foreign invasions of American soil, nor even the threat of such. Every single war involved the American government intervening in foreign nations. A list follows, together with the "beneficial" results:

Date	War	Result
1898-1899	Spanish-American War	Millions of non-Whites in Guam, Puerto Rico, the Philippines come under American government, and can later claim U.S. immigration privileges.
1899-1903	Philippines Insurrection	The Philippines remain under United States jurisdiction for another 43 years.
1900-1901	Boxer Rebellion	Chinese are alienated
1906-1909	Cuban Pacification	Reactionary regime installed. Anti-American sentiment created.
1912-1925	1st Nicaragua Expedition	ditto
1914-1914	Vera Cruz Expedition	ditto
1915-1915	1st Haiti Expedition	ditto
1916-1917	Punitive Exped. to Mexico	ditto
1916-1916	Dominican Expedition	ditto
1919-1920	2nd Haiti Expedition	ditto
1926-1932	2nd Nicaragua Expedition	ditto
1917-1918	First World War	Dismemberment and humiliation of Germany; Bolshevik takeover in Russia; Zionists obtain Balfour Declaration from British in return for Zionists shoe-horning America into war.
1917-1918	Bolshevik Revolution (Intervention of U.S. & Allies)	Russia under total Communist control
1941-1945	Second World War	Germany dismembered even more; Communist takeover of half of Europe; Zionist become sacrosanct—above all criticism because of so-called "Holocaust"; Zionists steal Palestine from Palestinians.
1950-1953	Korean War	Communists installed in North; Reactionaries installed in South.
1958-1958	Lebanese Expedition	Reactionary regime installed.
1965-1965	Dominican Expedition	ditto
1954-1975	Vietnam War	Communist control over whole of Vietnam, Laos and Cambodia; millions of Asian and half-caste "refugees" flood into America.
1982-	Lebanese Intervention	Israeli de facto rule over South Lebanon.
1982-	El Salvador Intervention	wait and see....
1982-	Chad Intervention	wait and see....
1983-	Grenada Intervention	wait and see....

America joined in the major wars in this list due to deception, and jiggery-pokery on the part of the U.S. government.

The Spanish American War broke out in 1898 after an American ship, the USS *Maine* blew up in Havana harbor, leaving 260 dead. The American government "assumed" that a Spanish bomb had been responsible, and declared war against Spain on several fronts, resulting in the virtual annexation of large chunks of territory. Subsequent investigations have shown that an accidental coal dust explosion was the more likely cause of the destruction.

The First World War had been waged for three years in Europe before America stepped in. The ostensible reason was that the Germans had killed 128 American passengers on board the SS *Lusitania*. This British ship was carrying munitions, as well as passengers, to the European front, from New York. The Germans had placed advertisements in the New York newspapers advising neutral Americans not to travel on such a ship. However, the ship was sunk by a U-boat when it tried to cross the German blockade into the war zone. Germany offered to pay monetary compensation to the bereaved families, and issued an official apology. British "dirty tricks" operatives replied with a faked German "Lusitania celebration medal." The true reason for U.S. entry into the war was that American Zionists had successfully negotiated a deal whereby Britain would be rescued (from military stalemate or defeat) by American intervention, on condition that Britain should issue a declaration of support for Zionism. This "Balfour Declaration" was duly issued, and America promptly came in on the Allied side. [See, *Origins of the Balfour Declaration*, available from Liberty Bell Publications; Order #15006, \$3.00 + \$1.50 for postage & handling.]

The Second World War had likewise been waged for three years before America joined the fray. Again, a trick was used to bamboozle a neutralist, non-interventionist American public. Once again, the same old "American ships attacked" formula was wheeled out and dusted off. During the years 1939-1941, America had been aiding and abetting the British war effort to a greater and greater extent, most of it kept secret from the American public. Then, Roosevelt declared an embargo of materiel against Japan, and a threat that if British or Dutch possessions in the East Indies were invaded, America would react militarily. Both actions were threats of war. Japan decided to stage a pre-emptive strike, and Washington obliged by conveniently placing the Pacific Fleet as a

sitting duck at Pearl Harbor, Hawaii. Roosevelt's staff knew three days in advance that the Japanese attack was coming, but held the information back from Hawaii so that a maximum number of American servicemen would be offered up as sacrificial lambs. Knowing that Hitler was a loyal ally of Japan, Roosevelt correctly anticipated that he would inevitably declare war against America. By contrast, America's ally, the Soviet Union, did not declare war against Japan until the war was almost over—the Soviets wanted to fight a one-front war only.

The real reason for American entry into the war had nothing whatsoever to do with Japan. Roosevelt was itching for a fight with Hitler simply because Roosevelt's Jewish advisers and supporters had a score to settle. As with the First World War, the Zionist cause was enhanced and within a few years of the war's end, a state of Israel had been superimposed onto the understandably reluctant Palestinians' land. □

Reprints of *The Rewriting of American History* (Ord.#32061) are available at the following prices: 10 for \$2.50, 20 for \$4.00, 50 for \$7.50, 100 for \$14. Please add \$1.50 on orders for \$10.00, 15% for orders over \$10.00, for postage & handling. On orders from abroad, please add \$2.00 or 20% respectively. Order from: Liberty Bell Publications, Box 21, Reedy WV 25270

### THE ANTI-HUMANS

by D. Bacu (307 pp., hb.) describes what was done to the young men whom Corneliu Z. Codreanu, the founder of the Legionary Movement in Romania, inspired, when seven years after his brutal murder, Romania was delivered to the Bolsheviks. They were subjected to what is the most fully documented Pavlovian experiment on a large number of human beings. It is likely that the same techniques were used on many American prisoners in Korea and Vietnam. *The Anti Humans* is a well-written document of great historical and psychological importance. Reading it will be an emotional experience you will not forget. "A sequel to Orwell's 1984" —R.S.H. "A searing exposé of Red bestiality!" —Dr. A.J. App). Order #01013. Single copy \$7.00, 3 for \$15., 5 for \$20.00.

For postage and handling add:  
On domestic orders, \$1.50 for orders under \$10.00, 15% of order total for orders over \$10.00. On orders from abroad, \$2.00 or 20% respectively. Sample copy of our monthly magazine *Liberty Bell* and copy of our huge book list containing hundreds of "Eye-Openers," \$4.00. Subscription for 12 hard-hitting, fact-packed issue \$35.00 (U.S. only). Order from:

LIBERTY BELL PUBLICATIONS  
Postoffice Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA

## LETTERS TO THE EDITOR

Dear Mr. Dietz,

I write to thank you in general for the issues of *Liberty Bell* which you so kindly continue to send me regularly, and which are not only always read with great interest by myself and made much use of for information there contained, but often passed on to others who similarly appreciate seeing them.

In particular I write to thank you for, and to express my admiration for the superb Adolf Hitler centenary issue (April 1989) which is indeed something to treasure permanently as a magnificent commemoration.

It was of course an additional personal pleasure to discover that you had found my old article "National Socialism: A Philosophical Appraisal" worthy of inclusion in this monumental issue.

If, without seeming to detract in the slightest, I can just draw attention to a printing error in the reproduction of that article so as perhaps to prevent a repetition in the future, this occurs in the second paragraph of the article proper, namely on page 124 of the centenary issue, immediately after "his instinct for health and strength," where we have:

"his desire to remain on this earth, the creed of National Socialism will remain indestructible."

The passage should instead read:

"and his desire for beauty in life; and, as long as that will, that instinct, and that desire remain on this earth, the creed of National Socialism will remain indestructible."

The passage in question did appear correctly in the reproduction of the entire issue of *National Socialist World* Number 1, which was the original home of the article, which White Power Publications produced some time ago.

It was kind of you to preface the reproduction in the centenary issue with the too complimentary remarks concerning myself, and the wording of one of my centenary stickers. My very best wishes to you.

Colin Jordan, England

\* \* \* \* \*

Dear Editor,

As a Revisionist, I have the utmost respect, and further, a debt, to Mr. David McCalden, for his role in the IHR. As an Irishman, however, I take exception to his article that appeared in the July *Liberty Bell*. In the spirit of historical accuracy, therefore, I challenge the bulk of his article.

Yes, indeed, the men of the six counties of Northern Ireland are Freemasons, mostly Presbyterians, and, in fact, Scottish Rite Freemasons, the organization subscribed to by all of British Royalty, except Randy Andy (Bonnie Prince Andrew). It was this nest of troublemakers that were the target of Hitler, along with the Jews. Hitler closed the temples of both organizations, if you will recall.

As far as the Norman conquerors melding into the populaces of both Ireland and Britain, keep in mind that the Normans (Noth Men, literally) and the Irish were basically of the same race, but by the time they invaded Britain, the British population had been mongrelized by the Roman Army, which consisted of mercenaries from all over, including Turks, Greeks, Asians, Africans, etc. Except for a small band of gallant Picts in the isolated regions of Northland Scotia (Scotland). These men were too ferocious to be subdued. Similarly, Hibernia (Ireland) escaped this mongrelization and, indeed, welcomed the Normans, which they called "The Happy Invasion."

As to the development and makeup of the Ulster Plantation (then called "Coleraine"), it all started with a bit of history known as "The flight of the Earles," in this case Earle O'Donnel, and Earl O'Neil. These men were in charge of what was to become known as "the Ulster Plantation." The time period was 1606-1607 and the Earles were there in accordance with a treaty with Queen Elizabeth, who, unfortunately, died a maniac, as so many of England's Royalty does. (All that proper breeding, you know.)

The mad Queen was succeeded by James the 6th of Scotland, who was later to become James I of England. James was a greedy king, and he had plans to plant Ulster with British and Scottish settlers, but the two Earles were in the way. It was necessary to destroy them. The method was as old as Freemasonry itself. They were to be charged in a plot. An anonymous letter found by the Council Chamber of Dublin castle revealed the plot. According to the details, O'Neil was to seize the castle and start another rebellion.

Of course, the letter really emanated from London. It was devised by Cecil, Secretary of State. Lord Howth was to carry out the plot, and to inveigle O'Neil and O'Donnell to a meeting in his house. It was sufficient. They were cited to appear in London to answer the charge. With perfect knowledge of the English government's craft, and aware that the planters were waiting to fall upon O'Donnell and O'Neil and that their destruction had been decided upon, flight was the only alternative.

The true owners, the Irish, were driven from their land by the British, much in the same manner in which the Jews drove the Palestinians from their land. The English and Scottish undertakers, and servitors of the Crown scrambled for the ground, like the Jews, which was handed out in parcels of up to 2,000 acres. Coleraine (Derry) was

divided up among the London Trade Guilds, the drapers, fishmongers, vintners, haberdashers, etc. who had financed the Plantation scheme. And thus an ugly blot was planted on the fair face of Ireland, in its proudest quarter.

As to the character of the Planters, Reid, in his *History of the Irish Presbyterians* notes, "Among those whom divine Providence did send to Ireland, the most part were such as either poverty or scandalous lives had forced hither." Master Stewart, son of a Presbyterian minister who was one of the Planters writes: "From Scotland came many, and from England, not a few, yet all of them generally the scum of both nations, who from debt, or breaking, or fleeing justice, or seeking shelter, came hither hoping to be without fear of man's justice." An Irishman of that time, looking over the verdant valleys of the Plantation from his rocky perch, must have been wondering the same thing today's Palestinian is wondering.

McCalden does not mention, i.e., give credit to, an ultra-nationalist organization of the last century, "The United Irishmen," a Protestant organization devoted to making a Republic of the Four Provinces. It was founded by John Mitchel, and its membership was full of men like Wolfe Tone, Samuel Neilson, Napper Tandy, Padraic Pearse, Thomas Emmet, etc. Their Republic voice was so strong that the Orange Order had to be established to save the Plantation. Again, Freemasonry saved the day for the Crown. The United Irishmen were supplanted by what is today "Sinn Fein," i.e., "one alone."

Next is the matter of terrorism. Now, I will admit it has been a while, perhaps seven years, but the last time I gathered statistics, these were the figures: British soldiers killed by IRA soldiers—571; IRA soldiers killed by British soldiers—79. Now, rattling those numbers around in my thick Irish head, with its wee pee brain, I come up with a kill ration of better than 7:1 over the Englishmen, touted by the Crown to be the finest soldiers in the world.

Other statistics: Security forces killed by Loyalists—13; Civilian victims of Loyalist explosions—111; Crossfire accidents caused by UDF and UV—10; Innocent people killed by Security Forces—116; Assassinations by UDA and UDF—496; Loyalists fighting Security Forces—13; Loyalists killed by their own bombs—25; People killed by Loyalists in early riots—44; total 818 (Figures as of 28 October 1981).

Respectability is a matter of success. Nothing could illustrate this more than the bad name of the IRA today, which is losing, compared to the IRA of 80 years ago, when they were winners. Eamon de Valera, the leader, was appointed First President of the League of Nations. Give the Palestinians arms and support, and they will beat the Jews. Give the IRA guns and support, and they will beat the Brits.

Now, concerning McCalden's charge the Irish are not "industrious" and the Scots are, consider a few things. Scotland became a vassal of Muthah England, and, as such had at her disposal the iron and coal mines of England and Wales. With this, the Scots were able to partake in the "Industrial Revolution" of late last century. Ireland was a land bereft of these essentials. What forests she had were razed by Cromwell, because they provided cover for the rebels. Ireland's ports were destroyed, in order that the Irish rebels could not be supplied.

What was left? the fine Irish minds did indeed turn to writing (some of the finest invective the English language has ever seen), science, medicine, politics, etc., as McCalden noted. What McCalden failed to note is how the Irish, when starved out of their own country by the Brits and arrived in the USA, did extremely well in this new industrial environment. Henry Ford was a Corkonian. Holland gave us the submarine, and, in general, the Irishman was not behind the wall in an industrial atmosphere.

We also showed our mettle as fighting men, earning more Medals of Honor than any other ethnic group, albeit regrettably to save Muthah England from the Kaiser and der Führer. As far as being active against the Jews, there is Father Coughlin, Henry Ford, Ted O'Keefe of the IHR, John Mahoney of Link, and I must add with all lack of modesty

Yer Servant  
E.J. Toner Jr.

\* \* \* \* \*

Dear Mr. Dietz,

I have just finished David McCalden's article "The Struggle for Ulster," and I am appalled and disgusted.

The Irish Tragedy is a White civil war which is taking place across the ocean in a foreign land which few of us truly know and understand and which is rooted in an ugly past.

Regardless of the merits or the truth of McCalden's thesis, the fact remains that the Irish Tragedy is extraordinarily divisive and completely irrelevant to the Revolution.

Regardless of whatever worthy role McCalden has played in the past in the Revolutionary Movement, his authorship of that article is a counter-revolutionary act which plays directly into the hands of our enemies.

Regardless of whatever past role the *Liberty Bell* has played in the growth and nurturing of the Revolution, the decision to print that article, and thereby inflame divisive and sectarian emotions was a counter-revolutionary act which plays directly into the hands of our enemies. Ulster is not our struggle. The Revolution is!

Long live the Revolution!  
John Monsignor, Rochester NY

Thanks for your wonderful publications, George. The extra \$20. is for a cup or two of coffee. Thanks also for your indomitable courage in presenting Dr. Oliver together with all the other courageous authors you present!

All the best,  
Mrs. K.N., California

\* \* \* \* \*

Dear George,

I admire you for your courage and stamina to keep up the fight for survival of our Aryan race, now living aimlessly to be the prey of its enemies. I salute you and your family. I hope you can keep up and also hope that you are heard.

Your,  
E.G., Maryland

\* \* \* \* \*

Dear George,

The clipping with my monthly donation should be of interest to you. It's typical liberal/Jew cartoon humor. Comparing the greatest White Man who ever lived with Stalin and the Mongol subhuman Deng who currently rules Red China is typical of the lying sheenies of the press. Of course, we know that had Adolf Hitler been successful, there would have been no more Communism and no more Stalin, and if the Japanese had been victorious in the Far East, Chinese Communism would have been smashed, too! Ah what might have been! Yet, the goal is still obtainable, therefore we must fight on no matter how hopeless it seems. My cousin's 3-year-old daughter is the reason I fight on; she's a blond-haired little love and I don't want her to grow up in the world I knew—arrogant Jew media masters and culture smashers, ape-like niggers running the streets, and biological scum elevated to "celebrity status." My parents were of the WW II generation and did nothing to save our country (happily, I can report their apathy ended about 20 years ago, and they basically share our views, especially my Dad, my mom is a bit hung-up on the Christian crap, however). The future belongs to us!

Sincerely,  
M.R., New Jersey

\* \* \* \* \*

Dear Liberty Bell,

I NEED HELP!

I am persuaded that "Holocaust" IS religion and has therefore no place on public property and memorials, no right to tax money, no place in public schools, and absolutely should not be forced upon our service men and women, nor be promoted by the Department of Defense as by "Days of Remembrance" publication.

I submit that in that aforementioned publication it is clearly stated to be religious in its observance and the program made a part of chaplain's activity. What more clear proof is needed? Hoaxocaust has been preached from "Christian" pulpits, written into Sunday School quarterlies, and taught in Synagogues. Further marks of religion include that non-believers have been persecuted as in the case of Ernst Zündel, to name but one.

Certainly, the counter arguments against throwing the *Diary of Anne Frank* out of schools will include the claim that "Holocaust is historical fact." The counter question then is, "Is that to claim that the lives and works of Jesus Christ and Mohammed are not historical facts? I have stated the question to many groups but though they all seem to agree, but none have the guts to file suit, and I am no lawyer. I would be glad to lend my name as a plaintiff and I believe there would be no shortage of others eager to sign in a class-action of this sort.

Somewhere out there, there must be a lawyer or two who might expect to benefit from the publicity attached to such a suit. Any organization willing to press it would surely make news.

I am so desperate to get that suit filed that I would even contact some atheist organization if only I had an address of one. Please advise as to whether you think I am nuts or if you know of any way I should go.

Yours truly,  
Gordon S. Clark, Rte. 2, Box 2560, Eastland TX 76448

\* \* \* \* \*

Dear George,

...Thank you for the 100th Anniversary issue of AH's birthday. I wanted some appropriate keepsake for the occasion and your tribute I'll treasure forever. Thank you.

Heil Hitler!  
E.R., California

PS: I was impressed enough with the *March Up Country* by Harold Covington to lend him small support. I've felt queasy about his *Joshua 1:6* sign-off on his letterheads, and recently Ben Klassen has contacted telling me that Covington is now in "Identity." I've decided to drop support for him and I'd like to know if you think it's the right thing to do. You and your publication I respect in these dirty little matters. [We do not endorse nor condemn either Ben Klassen's or Harold Covington's organization. However, we do have our own opinion based on mature judgement of what "you (they) do, not what you (they) say." Of course we were taken aback too when Harold Covington came out with his, in our opinion, obnoxious "Joshua 1:6" sign-off. When questioned on this

point, HC supplied a somewhat satisfactory answer. As we find fault with HC on this particular point, so could we find fault with several points of Ben Klassen's program. Do a little thinking, and you'll come up with the right decision as to whom you should or should not support. — Editor]

\* \* \* \* \*

Dear George,

Today I had an experience I would like to share with you. While driving my Mercedes down the road, I observed a carload of 6 to 8 talking apes that were gyrating reflexively within their vehicle, as is their habit. The monkoids were making rude remarks to a German girl of no more than 12, who, exercising the good judgment so sadly lacking in many of her race, was preparing to run. By good fortune, the Congoids continued on their way when the traffic light changed.

The critical question in this incident is: what could one unarmed man have done in the event these featherless bipeds had not continued driving, and instead tried to satisfy their natural drives? The answer: not much. The lesson, as I see it, is to consider arming oneself against these sub-humans, who can strike at any time.

Of course, I do not advocate the "Death Wish" scenario, as that could risk violating the rights of rapists, murderers, and other sub-bes-  
tial creatures who have a Yahweh-given right to prey upon us.

The best armament against 6-8 targets is a 9mm automatic, which can hold 14-16 rounds, and for which even larger magazines are available. Hollow point ammunition is as effective as the larger .45 cal bullet, weapons for which hold only 6-8 rounds. Think of what one properly equipped comrade could have accomplished at the recently publicized attacks in New York City!

None of my weapons are illegally modified or kept at this time, and I advise others to do likewise. Some evidence against the Order was gathered because one member consistently scared his neighbors with an illegal machine-gun.

I guess I've let off enough steam for now. Please use the enclosed cheque to renew my subscription and continue to publish your outstanding material.

Yours for the Revolution,  
F.G., Lt., US Army, Europe

\* \* \* \* \*

Dear Mr. Dietz,

...I just finished reading a book entitled *Talked to Death*, by Stephen Singular. It's about the assassination of Alan Berg and the "Order's" successes, failures, and outright stupidity. Might be interesting for someone with literary talent and writing ability to do a book review on *Talked to Death* for *Liberty Bell*.

Respectfully,  
V.G., Michigan

Enclosed find my personal check, please forward 3 copies of *Liberty Bell's* "The Adolf Hitler 100th Birthday Anniversary Issue... That edition should be in the hands of every young U.S. citizen, and it will be in the hands of my two sons, one of which is in private family practice in WV.

Although every chapter is significant, the chapter entitled "Under the Swastika: Germany Serves Notice" is priceless. When I think back to the Jewish propaganda we swallowed just prior to the U.S. being maneuvered into WW II, it makes me sick at the stomach. Gentiles against Gentiles resulted with the Jews being the benefactors in both nations. Of course, Hitler was right in his "blood and soil" issue; both of which we are having taken away from us in this country.

Again, congratulations on your excellent April 20th issue. It is unfortunate that even the German Nationals who came to this country as war brides, etc., following WW II cannot be enticed into the struggle "For Fear of the Jews!"

With warmest personal regards and appreciation of the work you are doing, I remain,

Fraternally,  
H.W., M.D., Maryland

\* \* \* \* \*

Dear Mr. Dietz,

Your April *Liberty Bell* was very, very good. You answered a few questions I had been asking for some time—why did the Jews hate Germans so much? The only answer I could get was the money question. I found answers in pages, 48, 50 and 54.

Mrs. J.G., Virginia

\* \* \* \* \*

Dear Landsmann,

The *Spotlight* performed a public service a while ago and published the oath of the Stern Gang which [the present Israeli Prime Minister] Shamir swore to as "Director of Operations" (murder) for the gang. The two most interesting parts of it were these:

1. The Zionist terrorists swore to establish "a King" of the Jews in Israel.
2. They swore to rebuild the Jewish Temple on the site of the Al Ashka Mosque in Jerusalem.

At about the same time the *New York Times* published a news story about a Jewish foundation which is preparing for reinstatement of the temple. In the story a number of details are given about the temple.

1. The temple mount is the traditional site of the scene between Abraham and Isaac, recorded in the Bible, where the Jews' God orders

Isaac to be sacrificed as a burnt offering, but then relents and allows "an animal" (a goat, in this case) to be sacrificed in place of a Jew. This is very instructive since we *goyim* are "animals" under Jewish religious law.

2. About a third of the commandments given to Jews in the Bible and Talmud deal with the temple and the service thereof.

3. The foundation is preparing the vestments and furnishings for when the temple is restored. Specifically mentioned are the ritual slaughtering knives.

4. The article, and Jewish tradition, make it clear that whichever Jewish leader rebuilds the temple will be hailed as the Messiah by religious Jews everywhere.

Jewish tradition makes it abundantly clear that the Messiah is to be the King of the world and is to rule the kingdoms of the Earth for the benefit of the Holy race from Jerusalem.

It may well be that Shamir has ambitions of filling this job. This should be very interesting to Christians since the New Testament clearly predicts that the "anti-Christ" will rule the world from Jerusalem and that this will be the signal for the end of the world. It was partly fear of just such a thing that led Christian mobs to prevent the Jews' rebuilding the temple in the time of Emperor Julian.

Another interesting bit of data was revealed in the June issue of *Soldier of Fortune* magazine. A previous issue had carried an article by a Jew (judging by the name of the writer) trying to white-wash the deliberate Jewish attack on the *Liberty*. I wrote an indignant letter in answer to this article and so did many others. This case has achieved a following of people determined to find out the truth comparable to that of the Kennedy assassination.

One of the letters revealed a bit of data that puts new light on the whole affair. According to the letter, the *Liberty* was acting as a data link for a U-2 flying over the Sinai trying to locate the nuclear warheads Moshe Dyan had personally ordered deployed. Dyan also personally ordered the destruction of the *Liberty* with all hands and ordered an attack on the U-2 as well. A Jewish jet fighter did attack and fired a missile at the U-2 but it escaped. Apparently the entire incident was the Jews showing the U.S. who was the master.

It is now becoming clear that the great Mid-East war was supposed to be launched over a year ago, as I had anticipated. It has been delayed mainly because of confirmation of the data the Pollards leaked to Israel concerning the Arab rocket and gas warfare capability. This has deterred the Jews' war up to now and was also what forced Iran to make peace last year. Since then the Jews have repeatedly threatened to use their A-bombs if the Arabs dared to use their gas. So far, it is a stand-off, but the Jews are desperate.

They know time is against them as the Arabs are growing more powerful every day and Israel's relative power is declining. The installation of Bush (which should have occurred in 1980) as president has allowed Henry Kissinger to take over U.S. foreign policy again and a new oil war on the lines of 1973 and 1979 is clearly in the works.

R.S., New York State

\* \* \* \* \*

Dear Mr. Dietz,

Enclosed are a few more \$\$ for your printing press; I hope the other folks haven't forgotten about you, as I notice no new fund listing in your latest mailings to remind us [*We have just grown tired of constantly begging for money! The last appeal was a flop; the monies that did come in were barely enough to maintain our present equipment and make some urgently needed repairs. To make matters worse, our regular contributions have shrunk to approximately 60% of what they have been in previous years. So, we'll hang in there as long as we can, and if things don't improve financially, we'll just go back and try to rebuild our (presently, for all practical purposes defunct) real estate business and earn some money and live a "normal" life again. We consider ourselves educators, not propagandists. Maybe that is the reason we don't seem to have what it takes to make our own people sacrifice some of their income for their cause?]*].

I imagine if you were to ask for money too often, you'd end up sounding like a TV preacher ("Send 12 million today or I'm gonna die!") or like Harold Covington crying for more money constantly. Speaking of Harold and company, I received a disturbing bit of info about a month ago; it seems Harold & Co. are planning to sue Klassen & Co. (The Church of the Creator) for libel in the courts. Not only that, but one of Harold's buddies in the CNC [Confederate National Congress] walked off with his mailing list and gave it to Klassen, so where else did that list wind up?

If there are any unforgivable sins in this business, I'd have to say that infighting among pro-White groups and giving out your mailing list are two of my choices. Two ants fighting over (at the present) a largely non-existent anthill makes me think of words like absurd or maybe lunacy. Let's be honest; I don't know if Harold is a CIA spy as Klassen contends; does it make any difference right now? [*If we had been accused by someone of being a CIA agent, and that someone would then top things off by regurgitating over a nation-wide, if not world-wide, mailing list, old garbage that was originally put out by none other than the "Paper You Can*

Trust"—The Spotlight—our "Dutch" tempers most likely would have flared up, too. It is our considerate opinion that trying to gain members for your "anthill" by spreading smears, lies and innuendoes, and falsely attributing quotes to third parties, is not in the best interest of OUR cause! As for H.C. being a CIA agent—well, we don't think so, but we do not KNOW. Nor do we think that Ben Klassen is a CIA agent, but again, we do not KNOW!

His book, *The March Up Country*, has some excellent parts in it; whether you want to follow his 4 Steps to ZOG overhaul is debatable, of course. However, his most recent effort, the CNC and his provoking the COTC, then threatening to sue comes across as rather idiotic, obviously he lacks necessary character.

I know nothing about Klassen and his right-hand man Will Williams. I do notice that Klassen, Hand (the Odinist) and Covington no longer write articles in *Liberty Bell*; is this any kind of yardstick assessment?

Without repeating anything I'd say, comprehending Dr. Oliver's "On Tactful Tactics" [see *Liberty Bell* for March 1989, or send SASE for a copy of the reprint] Might do these "feuding anthillers" some good.

From what I have seen, I'd say Klassen's COTC has much more merit than Harold's CNC ever could (what did Harold plan on doing with us whites outside his "New Confederacy"? Give us all his trash?) However, I don't plan on joining Klassen's crowd either; giving out memberships to hang on the wall, among other things, doesn't strike me as brilliant. Face it, organizations larger than a handful these days are dangerous and someone you haven't known for a long, long time could be a rat, or unstable. Reading *Talked to Death*, the book about The Order and the shooting of Alan Berg, highlights that fact.

I did note that Klassen is having some luck recruiting Skinheads. that is a group that needs to get past the emotional stage ("I hate Yids & Jigs, but I don't know why; Rah Rah whee, kick 'em in the knee") After watching the Skinhead "leader," Mr. M. Palash get demolished by a few Yids On TV, makes you wonder. David Duke is a much better example of how to come across on TV, even folks not sympathetic to our cause admit he does have "a point," whereas Palash only gets the "geeeese, what a bumbling bigot."

Hopefully, common sense will prevail down in North Carolina and Harold will float away without causing too much damage.

Respectfully,  
V.G., Michigan

## KEEP THE LIBERTY BELL RINGING!

Please remember: *Our* fight is *Your* fight! Donate whatever you can spare on a regular—monthly or quarterly—basis. Whether it is \$2., \$5., \$20., or \$100. or more, rest assured it is needed here and will be used in our common struggle. If you are a businessman, postage stamps in any denomination, are a legitimate business expense—and we need and use many of these here every month, and will be gratefully accepted as donations.

Your donations will help us spread the *Message of Liberty* and *White Survival* throughout the land, by making available additional copies of our printed material to fellow Whites who do not yet know what is in store for them.

Order our pamphlets, booklets, stickers, and—most importantly—our reprints which are ideally suited for mass distribution at reasonable cost. Order extra copies of *Liberty Bell* for distribution to your circle of friends, neighbors and relatives, urging them to subscribe to our unique publication. Our bulk prices are shown on the inside front cover of every issue of *Liberty Bell*.

Pass along your copy of *Liberty Bell*, and copies of reprints you obtained from us, to friends and acquaintances who may be on our 'wave length,' and urge them to contact us for more of the same.

Carry on the fight to free our White people from the shackles of alien domination, even if you can only join our ranks in spirit. You can provide for this by bequest. The following are suggested forms of bequests which you may include in your Last Will and Testament:

1. I bequeath to Mr. George P. Dietz, as Trustee for Liberty Bell Publications, P.O. Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA, the sum of \$ . . . . . for general purposes.

2. I bequeath to Mr. George P. Dietz, as Trustee for Liberty Bell Publications, P.O. Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA, the following described property . . . . . for general purposes.

**DO YOUR PART TODAY -- HELP FREE OUR WHITE  
RACE FROM ALIEN DOMINATION!**