



ABOUT THE AUTHOR: Dr. Revilo Pendleton Oliver, Professor of the Classics at the University of Illinois for 32 years, is a scholar of international distinction who has written articles in four languages for the most prestigious academic publications in the United States and Europe.

During World War II, Dr. Oliver was Director of Research in a highly secret agency of the War Department, and was cited for outstanding service to his country.

One of the very few academicians who has been outspoken in his opposition to the progressive defacement of our civilization, Dr. Oliver has long insisted that the fate of his countrymen hangs on their willingness to subordinate their doctrinal differences to the tough but idealistic solidarity which is the prerequisite of a Majority resurgence.

SOME QUOTABLE QUOTES FROM *AMERICA'S DECLINE:*

On the 18th Amendment (Prohibition): "Very few Americans were sufficiently sane to perceive that they had repudiated the American conception of government and had replaced it with the legal principle of the 'dictatorship of the proletariat,' which was the theoretical justification of the Jews' revolution in Russia."

On Race: "We must further understand that all races naturally regard themselves as superior to all others. We think Congoids unintelligent, but they feel only contempt for a race so stupid or craven that it fawns on them, gives them votes, lavishly subsidizes them with its own earnings, and even oppresses its own people to curry their favor. We are a race as are the others. If we attribute to Ourselves a superiority, intellectual, moral, or other, in terms of our own standards, we are simply indulging in a tautology. The only objective criterion of superiority, among human races as among all other species, is biological: the strong survive, the weak perish. The superior race of mankind today is the one that will emerge victorious—whether by its technology or its fecundity—from the proximate struggle for life on an overcrowded planet."

AMERICA'S DECLINE

Order No. 1007-\$8.50
plus \$1.50 for postage and handling.

376 pp., pb.
ORDER FROM:

LIBERTY BELL PUBLICATIONS, Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA

Liberty Bell

ISSN: 0145 - 7667

SINGLE COPY \$4.00

THE GREEK CONNECTION

By Nicholas Carter
page 39

ALSO IN THIS ISSUE:

POSTSCRIPTS, by Professor Revilo P. Oliver: The Final Secret of Pearl Harbor, page 1; The New Order Changeth, Too, page 9; Religious Dilemma, page 13; Western Christianity, page 28; Confession of Guilt, page 30; The Japanese Again, page 31; Much Ado About Nothing, page 34. SATURATING THE AIR OF OKLAHOMA WITH THE EXTERMINATION THESIS, by Dr. Charles E. Weber, page 45. LETTERS TO THE EDITOR, page 51. THE STRUGGLE FOR ULSTER, by David McCalden, page 52.

VOL. 16 - NO. 11

JULY 1989

Voice Of Thinking Americans

LIBERTY BELL

The magazine for *Thinking Americans*, is published monthly by Liberty Bell Publications, George P. Dietz, Editor. Editorial Offices: P.O. Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA - Phone: 304-927-4486.

Manuscripts conforming to our editorial policy are always welcome, however, they cannot be returned unless accompanied by stamped, self-addressed envelope. Manuscripts accepted for publication become the property of Liberty Bell Publications.

COPYRIGHT 1983

by Liberty Bell Publications

Permission granted to quote in whole or part any article except those subject to author's Copyright. Proper source credit and address should be given.

ANNUAL SUBSCRIPTION RATES:

SAMPLE COPY with several reprints	\$ 3.00
THIRD CLASS - U.S.A. only	\$25.00
FIRST CLASS - U.S.A.-Canada-Mexico only	\$32.00
FIRST CLASS - All foreign countries	\$35.00

AIR MAIL - Europe-South America	\$45.00
Middle East-Far East-So. Africa	\$49.00
Sample Copy	\$ 4.00

BULK COPIES FOR DISTRIBUTION:

10 copies	\$ 18.00
50 copies	\$ 65.00
100 copies	\$110.00
500 copies	\$400.00
1000 copies	\$700.00

These prices apply only to our standard 52-page editions.

FREEDOM OF SPEECH—FREEDOM OF THOUGHT FREEDOM OF EXPRESSION

The editor-publisher of *Liberty Bell* does not necessarily agree with each and every article in this magazine, nor does he subscribe to all conclusions arrived at by various writers; however, he does endeavor to permit the exposure of ideas suppressed by the controlled news media of this country.

It is, therefore, in the best tradition of America and of free men everywhere that *Liberty Bell* strives to give free reign to ideas, for ultimately it is ideas which rule the world and determine both the content and structure of culture.

We believe that we can and will change our society for the better. We declare our long-held view that no institution or government created by men, for men, is inviolable, incorruptible, and not subject to evolution, change or replacement by the will of the people.

To this we dedicate our lives and our work. No effort will be spared and no idea will be allowed to go unexpressed if we think it will benefit the *Thinking People*, not only of America, but the entire world.

George P. Dietz, Editor & Publisher

POSTSCRIPTS

by
Revilo P. Oliver

THE FINAL SECRET OF PEARL HARBOR

I have just seen the disclosure of a crucial historical fact, hitherto kept profoundly secret by the rulers of the United States, which will force you, as it has forced me, drastically to revise our understanding of recent history. It is an article, entitled "Tigers of a Different Stripe," by Don McLean in *Soldier of Fortune*, January 1989.

I am convinced that it is not a canard. There is no indication that Yahweh's venomous race, the world's Masters of Deceit, contrived or inspired the article, which surely does not serve their purposes. Mr. McLean gives precise references to documents now in the archives in Washington, and even reproduces two of them photographically. It is likely that there are still in the United States, and conceivably even in universities, American historians who are more interested in establishing historical facts than in pleasing their paymasters; they will surely look for documents thus specifically and precisely designated and verify them. And finally and most cogently, the fact now disclosed fits so perfectly a gap in our present knowledge that it has the logical validity of a piece of a jigsaw puzzle put into its place.

I thought that I had disclosed in *America's Decline*, the ultimate secret of Pearl Harbor, the fact that the unspeakably foul energumenon called Franklin Roosevelt, in January 1941, almost a full year before the attack on Pearl Harbor, had incited the Japanese by informing "in strict confidence" the Portuguese Ambassador that his countrymen need not be concerned about their colonies in the Orient because the United States was going to attack Japan when her military forces were extended to the limit and most vulnerable, and would thus destroy Japan. The Ambassador naturally cabled the good news to his government in his most secret code, which the Japanese had compromised and were reading currently. And the success of the diseased monster's scheme was attested a few days later when the Portuguese message was quoted in Japanese diplomatic messages that American

cryptanalysts were reading.¹ The Japanese were thus led to believe that the Americans would soon attack them, and that they should therefore gain the advantage of surprising the enemy that intended to surprise them.

I erred grossly in the conclusions which I drew from that fact. I assumed that the loathsome creature had been bluffing, and that the Japanese had blundered in being taken in by what was just another of his innumerable lies.² In *America's Decline*, again in "*The Yellow Peril*" and especially in *Liberty Bell*, April 1984, pp. 5-7, where I condemned the Japanese for ignoring their own best interests when they decided to attack Pearl Harbor instead of honoring their obligations under their treaty and alliance with Germany, thus precipitating the catastrophe in the West and the Suicide of Europe, I was thinking in terms of an American expeditionary force in hundreds of ships carrying thousands of American soldiers to the Orient to be killed for the delight of the monster and the ophidian race to which he partly belonged. I thought it was certain that the loathsome creature could not have sent such an expedition to attack Japan without preparations that would have alarmed even the dullest of the boobs in a nation that preponderantly wished to remain at peace.

I knew, of course, that when the diseased and blood-thirsty animal in the White House used the Portuguese Ambassador to incite the Japanese, he had been waging for more than a year a secret war of aggression against Germany, using his command of our Navy to attack German ships, in the hope that Germany would, in exasperation, declare open war on the United States to counter the sneaking war he was waging against her, and that he apparently turned to Japan only when he found that Hitler wisely was ignoring the provocation. I considered, of course, a comparable use of the Navy against Japan, but that, I thought, could not be kept secret from the American people who were eventually to be the victims. So I concluded that the great War Criminal had bluffed the Japanese.

1. Professor James Martin informs me that the parts of "Magic" that have been made public do not include the messages to which I refer. This would indicate that the Army is still trying to keep this much of the great War Criminal's treason secret, but after the disclosures in *Soldier of Fortune* that will no longer be worthwhile.

2. In Washington at the time, and especially among the "Liberal" bureaucrats who had to deal with the perfidious creature, there was current an epigram: "He has conscientious scruples against telling the truth."

I accordingly speculated about differences in racial mentality that prevented the Japanese from understanding the limitations of presidential power at that time. I overlooked the obvious and logical solution. Now that Mr. McLean has published it, I marvel at my obtuseness.³

I knew, of course, that a group of American mercenaries, who called themselves the "Flying Tigers" and were commanded by a Captain Chennault, had been hired by the Chinese to fight the Japanese, but I never guessed that they were a part of the War Criminal's plot.

Mr. McLean cites a secret memorandum from the Chief of Naval Operations, dated 17 January 1940, two years before the attack on Pearl Harbor and a year before the diseased monster used the Portuguese Ambassador to incite the Japanese, which, with complementary secret documents signed by Admiral Thomas C. Hart, conclusively prove that Roosevelt was then planning a devastating attack on Japan with bombers that would exploit the knowledge that "one of Japan's greatest fears rests upon [i.e., is of] bombing of the homeland." The crime was to be carried out with typical hypocrisy.

American aviators would be released from the Army, Navy, and Marine air corps to be hired as mercenaries through the Intercontinent Corporation, owned by William D. Pawley, which would hire them "under contract with the Chinese government" and with money supplied by the American government through the trick of guaranteeing loans ostensibly made by private bankers to supplement the secret loan of \$100,000,000 made directly to China by Morgenthau, the Sheeny who was in charge of the American Treasury, obviously by agreement with the part-Jew in the White House. Japan would thus be unable to prove that the Roosevelt government's pretense of neutrality, which had been solemnly af-

3. I yield to the temptation to palliate my blunder and say that it seemed to be confirmed by one of the foul fiend's attempts to create a pretext for attacking Japan. He despatched a small naval vessel into waters in which the Japanese navy was operating, hoping that the Japanese would sink it. See Admiral Kemp Tolley, *Cruise of the Lanikai, Incitement to War*, (Annapolis, Naval Institute Press, 1973). One could add an inference from the anxiety, indiscreetly confessed by the Roosevelt female in her newspaper column, with which the monster was awaiting on the morning of 7 December news that the American fleet in Pearl Harbor had been destroyed. Why such anxiety, if the desired war was certain? (It probably wasn't anxiety: just impatience to get the killing and disasters started.)

firmed by the War Criminal, was odious hypocrisy. The Japanese would thus be kept inactive by American "neutrality" until the United States was ready to strike the final blow and contrive a pretext for open war.

The plan for the sneaking attack on Japan sketched in January 1940 was fully elaborated in the secret "Joint Army-Navy Board Paper 355, Serial 691," dated 23 June 1941, which described in detail the scheme that Roosevelt obviously had authorized no later than 15 April 1941, eight months before Pearl Harbor, when he ordered that American officers and servicemen on active duty should be encouraged to accept "leaves of absence" and take employment as Chinese mercenaries, with a guarantee that they could return to the armed services of the United States as though they had been serving honorably in them during their absence. The plan was to destroy first the "Japanese Industrial Establishment," thus not only halting the production of weapons and supplies for the Japanese army and navy, but also so destroying all other industry as to make the economic structure of Japan collapse. The bombers would use incendiary bombs to devastate Japanese cities and fry Japanese civilians, as was eventually done in the famous raid on Tokyo.

The plan called for diversion of armaments then being (illegally) sent to Britain. Two hundred fighter planes and one hundred bombers would go into operation against Japan by September 1941, and by December the pseudo-Chinese air force would have the full complement of five hundred planes with American aviators to man them and American technicians and mechanics as ground crews to maintain them. Thus the planned devastation of Japan would be well under way in December 1941.

Only difficulty and delay in diverting weapons promised the British prevented the plan from being carried out on schedule and enabled Japan to get in the first blow. Some American soldiers had been despatched to Chennault's secret base in China on 21 November, and more were to leave Los Angeles on 11 December. A production of Lockheed bombers destined for China was ready on 7 December, awaiting ships to load them.

These facts, of course, drastically alter your understanding of the situation. When the Japanese attacked Pearl Harbor, they were not deceived by a bluff; they were defending themselves against an act of war, a war of unconscionable aggression. They are completely absolved of all guilt, even according to the criteria

of International Law that prevailed among civilized nations before that law was repudiated by Britain and the United States in their catastrophic Advance to Barbarism.

There is only one item lacking to complete the terrible history. Did the Japanese know of the detailed plan set forth in the Army-Navy Board's document of 23 July 1941? I hope that some Japanese historians will be able to answer that question definitively. In the meantime, in the absence of proof, I think it highly probable that the Japanese were fully informed of the essentials of the plot.

Although it seems that the Japanese did not succeed in reading American codes of any consequence, except some operational codes used by the Air Force, they had great success in breaking Chinese codes and ciphers,⁴ and they could have obtained some information from those sources, especially Chinese messages about preparations for reception of the American forces. Japanese espionage was always highly successful in China, and sometimes elsewhere. The planned attack on Japan, although secret, was necessarily known to a very considerable number of persons, including men given to indiscretion. But the further question imposes itself: Did the Japanese intelligence have to exert itself to learn the secret?

It is scarcely credible that the hypocrisy of the American scheme was intended to be successful and deceive the Japanese. When two hundred American bombing planes, some of which would inevitably be shot down, operated by officers and crew men detached from the American Army and Navy, some of whom would inevitably be killed and others captured, began to raid Japanese cities, even boobs would not have been deceived by the

4. See J. W. Bennett, W. A. Hobart, and J. B. Spitzer, *Intelligence and Cryptanalytic Activities of the Japanese During World War II* (Laguna Hills, California; Aegean Park Press, 1986). The scope of this study is limited to the period after Pearl Harbor. It may underestimate the efficiency of Japanese espionage, since the authors may not have had access to highly secret information, which the defeated Japanese would prudently have kept out of the hands of the conquerors, who intended to murder some high-ranking Japanese officers after mock trials to provide a spurious legitimacy for the notorious murders at Nuremberg—both crimes, of course, in utter defiance of the International Law the United States had pragmatically repudiated and of the simple sense of decency and justice that is part of our now despised Aryan inheritance. We cannot palliate our guilt by blaming the Jews: they instigated our crimes, but we committed them, knowing that we were repudiating the ethics of our race and of civilized mankind to please our parasites.

transparent pretext that the raiders were mercenaries hired by China. It must obviously have been intended that the Japanese would not be imbeciles and, recognizing the fact, would declare war on the treacherous Americans, with or without diplomatic formalities. Thus the monster would get his war with Japan, and Germany, honoring her obligations to her ally, would declare the war on the United States that the great War Criminal had been unable to provoke by the secret naval war he had been waging against Germany.

Now if the wanton attack on Japan was intended to provoke a war, would it not have been reasonable to make certain that the preparations for it would become known to Japan, thus confirming the information that had been transmitted through the Portuguese? That could only hasten the yearned-for day and the marching of Americans to slaughter for the glory of the Jews and Roosevelt's colleague, Stalin. And it would in the meantime effectively prevent the Japanese from taking action in Siberia. I think it likely that that is what was done.

Soldier of Fortune has included, obiter, a consideration that is highly relevant in this connection. It is now accepted history that the clever Communist spy in Japan, Sorge, changed the fortunes of civilized mankind when he sent to his Soviet employers on 15 October his now famous message, "Japanese carrier force attacking United States Navy at Pearl Harbor probably dawn November six."⁵ It is assumed that that message enabled Stalin to transfer to the defence of Russia the army of two million men he was having to maintain in Siberia to guard his eastern border against the Japanese. The two million were hurled against the Germans, who had already occupied the outskirts of Moscow and believed Russia already defeated, and thus produced the delay that mired the Germans in the unprecedentedly severe winter and so prepared the final catastrophe of Western civilization. But for that sudden flood of Soviet troops, the war would have been over before the great War Criminal who had planned it could have herded his hated American subjects⁶ into Europe to fight and die for international Jewry.

5. Some Americans have expressed indignation because Stalin (as they assume) did not inform Washington of the impending attack. Why should Stalin have informed his American partner of what that partner already knew and, indeed, had contrived?

6. Even before Roosevelt got the war started in Europe, Lady Astor perceived the insane hatred that actuated the great War Criminal and

Now Sorge sent on 4 October a first report that Japan had decided not to invade Siberia and Manchuria—and the *next day*, on 5 October, the Germans were attacked by an unexpected horde of Soviet troops, some of them identified as from the Siberian Red Army. It was, of course, physically impossible for troops to have been transferred from Siberia to Russia in that time, and although the transfer of troops from Siberia had been delayed, according to the Soviet General Zhukov, the Siberian Army was attacking the Germans on 10 October. The transfer of two million men for two thousand miles over a single-track railroad simply could not have been carried out in that time. It follows that the transfer had been begun, and Stalin had been *authoritatively* informed that Japan *could not* invade Siberia long before he received Sorge's message. (It is furthermore obvious that no sane ruler would stake the survival of his régime on an uncorroborated message from a spy.)

It is obvious, therefore, that Stalin must have been informed of the American plan and preparations for a sneak attack on Japan long before 3 October. He must have been authoritatively informed from Washington. The article tactfully suggests that the information was sent by Lauchlin Currie, the notorious Communist agent and spy who was Roosevelt's closest adviser and associate, and who had acted for him when the document of 23 July 1941 was drawn up for Roosevelt's approval.⁷

If Currie, why not his principal? Even in his desperate situation, Stalin would have hesitated to stake everything on the report of a spy, however efficient, but he would have accepted the as-

his British accomplice and stooge, but she reduced her observation to a quip: "Franklin hates everyone who can walk, and Winston hates everyone who is sober." Some apologists for Roosevelt argue that his mind was perverted by the disease, probably syphilis, which left him a cripple, but a Naval officer who had dealings with Roosevelt when he was Secretary of the Navy under Wilson told me that then, before he was crippled, "He was the same arrogant and treacherous son-of-a-bitch that he is today." It is possible, however, that the creature's native viciousness, partly hereditary, was augmented by the disease. The late Professor Harris Fletcher, distinguished for his studies of Milton, believed, on the basis of observation, that persons physically or mentally deformed naturally hate healthy men and long to see them suffer.

7. *Soldier of Fortune* prints on p. 71 a picture that shows Currie in fraternal association with Felix Frankfurter, the Sheeny and known Communist agent to whom Roosevelt gave the job of liquidating juridically the scraps of the American Constitution that were still left.

surances of his partner in Washington, the unspeakable monster who had contrived the war in the first place.

I need not expatiate on the conclusions to be drawn from the great clarification of the most sinister and tragic event in American history. Now we know, more securely than ever, on what infamous creature rests the guilt for our ruin.⁸

Now we know what happened and why. There remains one question, futile, to be sure, but posed by our minds' proclivity to ask whether a given result was inevitable. We all wonder what would have been the outcome, if Pickett had made his famous charge at Gettysburg earlier and Lee had been victorious. Likewise we ask ourselves whether Japan would have done better, and would have escaped eventual defeat, if, despite the dire menace of American aggression, she had honored her commitment to Germany and invaded Siberia in October or as much earlier as she knew of Roosevelt's plan. The Germans would have attained a decisive victory in October and destroyed the Soviet before the bombing of Japan could have begun, and after the German triumph, the foul thing that hoped to become the American Lenin would have been quite unable to persuade the American people to countenance an attack on either Japan or Germany. Our civilization and our race might have been saved from suicide. The question is tantalizing, but the hypothesis is only an inference from ambiguous evidence made in the light of subsequent events.

8. The author, to protect himself, has had to seem to acquiesce in the current form of the Big Lie about the most loathsome War Criminal of all history. Since it is now fairly well known that the diseased and part Jewish monster called Roosevelt contrived the catastrophic war that was the Suicide of Europe and induced the Japanese to destroy the American fleet that he had put in Pearl Harbor as tempting bait, the revised version now is that the foul anthropoid had to start the war to save mankind (i.e., the Sacred Sheenies) from Aryan civilization. That he promoted the catastrophic war is, as I have said, now established to the satisfaction of everyone willing to read and think, and will be even more generally known, now that the original text of David Hoggan's *The Forced War* has at last been published in English. I have not yet seen the new book, but I read and reviewed the German translation, *Der erzwungene Krieg*, twenty-five years ago. Much information has become available since Hoggan wrote, but none, I believe, as crucial as the article in *Soldier of Fortune*, which enormously strengthens Hoggan's conclusions.

THE NEW ORDER CHANGETH, TOO

Last autumn the *Christian Science Monitor* devoted a full page to what would have been a 'scoop' in the old days of independent and competitive journalism. It predicted that on the first of January 1989, William F. Buckley, Jr., would retire from active management of *National Review* and, *dignitatis causa*, move himself upstairs as "Editor in Chief," turning over the actual editorial responsibility to an imported editor named John O'Sullivan. It hinted that important changes in staff and policy would follow, and presented a "mock up" of an appropriate cover for the first issue in 1989, which would announce the abdication of the founder of the journal and Mr. O'Sullivan's ascension to the editorial throne.

In *America's Decline* I sketched the origin of *National Review*, as planned by Professor Willmoore Kendall of Yale, and its conversion into what he called "just another Liberal journal" when he severed his connection with it. The periodical, originally a weekly, became a fortnightly, survived heavy losses and the enforced liquidation of the original publishing company, National Weekly, Inc., and eventually survived the loss of the best mind ever associated with it, James Burnham, who (for cash) had lent his name to the masthead, given advice (sometimes disregarded), and written articles.¹

The fortnightly eventually became a solvent, soundly established, and perhaps quite profitable business, and certainly the most literate representative of the kind of "conservatism" that was promulgated by old Ronnie Reagan's speech-writers, including, of course, slavish obedience to Yahweh's Master Race. Since

1. Mr. Burnham owed his reputation (and prosperity) to his *Managerial Revolution* (1941), in which he identified the fatal separation of control from ownership which has made our society hopelessly vulnerable. His greatest book is *The Machiavellians* (New York, Day, 1943; paperback reprint, Chicago, Regnery, 1963), in which he examines the real bases of politics in the traditional and favorable sense of a word which is now currently used to designate a form of criminal activity. His *Suicide of the West* (New York, Day, 1964) is a sequel, identifying clearly the intellectual and spiritual disease, the "AIDS" of civilization, that is called "Liberalism." Mr. Burnham died of cancer last year, and, sad to relate, just before his death, a pack of Christians invaded the sick room and harassed the dying man until they succeeded in splashing on him some holy water that would reserve for his ghost a comfortable apartment in old Jesus's famous retirement home up in the stratosphere. It is shocking that that indignity was inflicted on Burnham, who was a rational man and regarded Christianity as a crude fiction, which had been useful as a myth so long as it provided an effective means of social control (see *The Machiavellians*).

its primary objective was more or less subtle promotion of the Jesus-cult, preferably in the version vended in Rome at the time the journal was founded, it was exposed to acute embarrassment when the Papacy was made just another mouthpiece for the international socialism that is only nominally and superficially distinct from Bolshevism, but Mr. Buckley was able adroitly to avoid with editorial finesse commitment to either endorsement of or opposition to the ecclesiastical revolution.²

Given the position that *National Review* attained through the wit of its editor and two or three of its writers, the news in the *Christian Science Monitor* was of interest. It was not, however, entirely accurate. Mr. O'Sullivan did become the editor, and Mr. Buckley did move up to the journalistic penthouse, but that happened many weeks before the first of the year, and there has been no announcement of Mr. Buckley's retirement—not even a preparatory hint. The latest issue I have at hand is dated 24 February 1989. It contains, as usual, reprints of "Bill" Buckley's syndicated newspaper columns (in which he waxes sentimental about a performance by Ronnie and Nancy Reagan, even alluding to Philemon and Baucis, quoting the story, not from Ovid, but from Whittaker Chambers). There is a book review by him, and his dextrous hand is visible in the editorial section. If the *Monitor* was right about the eventual change, the transition is being slowly and cautiously prepared.

On the other hand, there has been a notable change in staff with the departure of William A. Rusher, the publisher (i.e., the man legally responsible for the contents of the journal). Rusher, who joined the staff as a young lawyer—"a Wall Street lawyer," he likes to say—had great influence on the conduct of the magazine, and some members of its large staff (just read the long column of names under the masthead!) believe that he was primarily responsible for the management that eventually made it unnecessary for him to write periodically letters begging for another \$375,000 immediately so that *National Review* would not have to stop publication with the issue then in press. Some credit him with the very considerable improvement in typography and

2. The equivocation did not content Mr. Buckley's brother-in-law, Brent Bozell, the author of *The Conscience of a Conservative* by Barry Goldwater. He seceded and founded an admirably forthright journal, *Triumph*, which, however, was short-lived, since most Catholics have the American habit of refusing to consider or even perceive uncomfortable facts.

format that followed financial stability. The extent to which he influenced editorial policy is variously estimated.

Rusher is the author of *The Rise of the Right*, an oddly naive book that I reviewed in *Liberty Bell*, July 1984, pp. 7-11. In some ways it resembles the recent book by Donald Regan, *For the Record*, which leaves the reader uncertain whether the author is an ingenious propagandist engaged in sanctifying Ronnie at the expense of Mrs. Reagan, Admiral Poindexter, et al., or a simple-minded man who worked in a brokerage house in Wall Street and never thought seriously about politics or the world outside the stock market.

Rusher was given a farewell party on the Zoo City's excursion boat, and some pictures of it were reproduced in the magazine's issue dated 27 January. Since the party was given by lowly Aryans, it was doubtless a modest affair, although some dinner jackets were in evidence. The lower races never presume to emulate their masters, God's Own, who hire ocean liners or the Metropolitan Museum for a night's shindig that may cost a million dollars or more.

Rusher's farewell speech is printed in full, as is the witty toast to which he replied. Noteworthy is the complacent pride with which he affirms that *National Review* "contributed substantially to the success of the conservative movement."

The "conservative movement," we are given to understand, succeeded when the old ham actor was installed in the White House to consummate the bankruptcy of the United States while taxing his serfs to give every holy family in the Holy Land \$5000 every year, provide God's Own with the weapons they need to beat into submission to God's Law the wicked Palestinians, meddle with the domestic affairs of every country in the world that has not yet become a howling wilderness of black or Bolshevik savages, create a legal basis for Jewish terrorism in the United States, and import into this country hundreds of thousands of anthropoid pests, in preparation for Integration, when the occasionally troublesome White people will have been replaced by a fetid mass of half a billion coffee-colored mongrels with the minds of rats.

Old Ronnie, you see, was a model of Conservatism because he adored the worshipful Sheenies and mumbled nonsense about Bible Prophecy and the Christians' ferocious god. That isn't what

"Conservatism" meant a few decades ago, but Mr. Rusher is probably right in saying that is what it means now.

When Jewish murderers, financed by Jewish bankers in the United States and Europe, seized control of the Russian Empire in 1917-1920, a large number of Americans became confusedly alarmed. They did not perceive that they had already begun the Communist Revolution in their own country in 1913, when they threw away their personal liberty and subjected themselves to the White Slave Act, then commonly known as an Income Tax, the first step in the procedure for imposing Communist rule outlined by Mordecai, alias Karl Marx. They were still allowed to have real money, but did not understand that they could do so only so long as the Federal Reserve did not tighten the other noose they had put about their own necks. In a fit of righteousness they had imposed on themselves the tyranny of Prohibition, thus providing a precedent for every kind of Communist slavery that could be advocated in words that would stimulate the glands of do-gooders. But the Americans were nevertheless alarmed when they saw Bolshevik agitators at work in their own country, stirring up the niggers and the dregs of the proletariat.

The anti-Communists styled themselves, quite appropriately then, Conservatives, since their purpose was to conserve what was left of the American Republic, which the righteous had wrecked in 1861, and to conserve at least what freedom they had left as American citizens.

Like Hercules in the famous apologue by Xenophon, the Conservatives were faced with a choice between two widely diverging paths. They could base their opposition to the Bolsheviks on sound scientific grounds, recognizing biological reality and following the lead of Madison Grant, Lothrop Stoddard, and other rational and learned men. Alternatively, they could be taken in by the Communists' propaganda device of professed atheism and by the battered but still unbroken veneer that had been put on Christianity to make it acceptable to our race, thus following R. M. Whitney and other superficial journalists, and catering to the holy men, who had thus far been careful to conceal from their customers the proletarian communism implicit in primitive Christianity, which had been revived in the Marxian Reformation of their bedizened religion.

The Conservatives chose their road in the 1920s and now they have reached the dead end. On their downward path they sur-

rendered, a little at a time, title to every real thing they had hoped to retain. They are now trapped in the cul-de-sac of their choice. They have no future. They had best sit down quietly and read their fairy tales or smoke opium while waiting for the Jesus in whom many of them never actually believed anyway. They have become irrelevant to reality. The only thing they can do for us is to stop squawking.

RELIGIOUS DILEMMA

I note the almost simultaneous publication of three books dealing with the same series of crimes: *Salamander, the Story of the Mormon Forgery Murders*, by Linda Sillitoe and Allan Roberts; *The Mormon Murders, a True Story of Greed, Forgery, Deceit, and Death*, by Steven Naifeh and Gregory White Smith; and *A Gathering of Saints, a True Story of Money, Murder, and Deceit*, by Robert Lindsey. The three books must naturally differ in the authors' approach to the subject, and probably differ in the report or interpretation of some events, but I have not taken the time to look at them and so cannot tell you which is the best or the most entertaining.

Mark Hoffman attained distinction as a forger, a blackmailer, and a murderer. As a forger, he was a man of genius: one of the two foremost experts on handwriting and old documents freely confessed that Hoffman had deceived him and that he had pronounced the forgeries unquestionably genuine. As a blackmailer, he was talented and shrewd: he forged quite plausible documents that, in one way or another, gravely compromised the reputation of Joseph Smith, and then sold them for large sums to the hierarchy of the Mormon Church, which was ready to pay handsomely to keep the documents secret. It was not his fault that information about them leaked out. As a murderer, he was an amateur and inefficient. He chose to use bombs to eliminate persons who were getting in his way, but sometimes the bomb removed, not the obstacle, but the innocent person who opened the package. Moreover, the bombs he manufactured were technologically defective, with the result that one of them exploded in his automobile while he was on his way to deliver it. That was what started the scandal and eventually led to his incarceration in a penitentiary.

One has to spare a little sympathy for the hierarchy of the Church, whose well-meaning efforts to avert scandal have now put

them in an exceedingly embarrassing position and made their ecclesiastical empire vulnerable to the bitter and invidious attacks of their competitors and other enemies. It is even possible that the scandal may gravely impair the future of the Mormon Church that has its capital in Salt Lake City, the only one large enough and strong enough in its cohesion to be an important social force. The disintegration of that church would gravely disturb the precarious equilibrium of forces in the United States today.

There are only two major Christian denominations that are American in the sense that they had their origin in this country: the Church of Christ, Scientist, founded by Mary Baker Eddy,¹ and the Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-Day Saints, founded by Joseph Smith. It is an odd coincidence that both prophets began their careers by pretending to possess occult powers through which they could discover buried treasure.

I have summarily traced the career of Joseph Smith elsewhere. After narrowly escaping the consequences of a petty swindle, unworthy of his talents, he perceived, as many had done before him, that almost infinite treasure could be dug from the pockets of gullible persons by pandering to their yen for stories of the supernatural and a magical "salvation" that would prolong their lives after they died. He learned to write in the style of the King James version of the Jew-Book, which he supplemented with some of the best gospels ever written.

He based the plot of his *Book of Mormon* on an idea that, as I have remarked before, originated in the time of Cromwell, when Jews from Holland were flocking into England and many of the Marranos who had battered on the English people since the time of the great King Edward threw off their disguises. A Sheeny, either deceived by some chance linguistic similarities or working a hoax in the manner habitual to his race, professed to have encountered in South America fellow tribesmen whose ancestors had migrated from Palestine centuries before. The story was at once connected with the old myth about "ten lost tribes of Israel."

Smith's immediate source seems to have been a rather small and obscure book² which gave him only an outline of the notion

1. On Mrs. Eddy's sect see H. A. L. Fisher, *Our New Religion*, New York, Jonathan Cape, 1930.

2. *View of the Hebrews; or The Tribes of Israel in America*, by [the Reverend] Ethan Smith. Second edition, improved and enlarged. Poultney (Vermont), Smith & Smith, 1825. A photographic reproduction

that Chosen People from Palestine had colonized the Western Hemisphere in ancient times. There is a plausible theory that he used a manuscript copy of a suppositious romance by Solomon Spaulding now lost, but there is no trustworthy evidence to lend the slightest support to that conjecture. In his gospels Smith naturally imitated (and usually improved) selected incidents in the tales in both "Testaments" of the fabulous book he was supplementing and validating. But the composition and execution of the whole series of gospels in the *Book of Mormon* was almost certainly the work of Joseph Smith alone.

That is proof of talent of a high order, all the more remarkable because he was an uneducated man of humble origins. And, given his circumstances, there could have been no way to improve on the scheme by which he shrewdly launched his imposture, pretending he had, with the aid of his magic stone, translated his gospels from a "reformed Egyptian" text on plates of solid gold that he had been divinely inspired to find buried in a cave—precious plates which an angel took to Heaven for safekeeping after he had finished his translation. He also evinced no little talent in organizing and managing the Church which he founded and in which he enlisted a very large number of True Believers before he was murdered at the instigation of holy men in the older Christian sects, who were alarmed by the competition and rapid growth of a cult more attractive than their own.

The magnitude of Joseph Smith's accomplishment should not be underestimated. The collection of gospels in the *Book of Mormon* is the work of one intellect and therefore shows the consistency and doctrinal uniformity that is so woefully lacking in the congeries of tales and forgeries in the Christian Bible, produced by a large number of mediocre writers with diverse purposes and frequently revised or interpolated by other shamans to suit their own notions. Although the gospel-style of fiction is crude and generally tedious, in my youth I read both the Christian Bible and

of this book on letter-sized sheets was published by the Modern Microfilm Co. in Salt Lake City, s.a. [c.1977]. The research and publication work of Modern Microfilms has been reorganized as the Utah Lighthouse Ministry (P.O. Box 1884, Salt Lake City) to secure tax-exemption as a religious organization. Since the reproduction of Ethan Smith's book is not listed in their recent catalogues, it is probably out of print.

Smith's gospels, and I found the latter distinctly superior in several respects.³

I have not read the *Book of Mormon* critically, but I rely on Mr. and Mrs. Gerald Tanner, who are passionately devoted to destruction of the Church from which they separated themselves, and who have given proof of high critical acumen by suspecting the falsity of documents, including Hoffman's forgeries, that perfectly suited their purposes. They made a minutely critical collation of the text of the first printing of Smith's *Book of Mormon* (1830) with the official text now published by the Church, and they listed all discrepancies under the title *3,913 Changes in the Book of Mormon*. The impressive title is misleading. The greater part of the 3,913 changes are merely orthographic. The first edition, imitating the King James version, used the generally conservative spellings found in it, which the later Mormon editors changed to the spellings by which Noah Webster created differences between British and American usage. Smith, being uneducated, dictated his "translation" to amanuenses almost as ignorant as he, who misspelled some words, while he used some archaisms incorrectly and made many errors in syntax and even accident. All these the Mormon editors corrected in a minutely critical revision of their holy book.

The diligence of the Mormon editors, seeking to perfect their religion, and of the Tanners, seeking to discredit it, discovered only one mistake by Smith in all his gospels. In the "Book of Mosiah," 21.28, he mentioned as living a character he had killed off earlier. Comparable oversights have been made by some of the

3. Gospels written in the first person gain in plausibility and are, even in Smith's stilted style, somewhat more vivid. (It is a pity he was so ignorant, but it would not be fair to compare his best effort with what might have been written by H. P. Lovecraft or Clark Ashton Smith.) There are no wildly absurd tales, such as fill *Genesis*, and there is no nonsense about cursing fig trees because they do not bear fruit out of season or being wafted to mountain tops by Satan. Although the principal characters are Chosen People, there is no disgusting Jewishness. There are no internal contradictions, such as offend even the most casual reader of the Bible, if he is awake. There are some well-imagined episodes; e.g., the sixth chapter of the "Book of Ether" describes a great war of extermination in which millions are slain before the final battle, in which two large armies meet and fight, day after day (honorably observing a necessary truce at night), until only one man on each side remains alive. And whether by foresight or by chance, Smith's gospels left him free to adjust the doctrines of his church by having a revelation from God, whenever that seemed opportune.

most highly reputed and talented English writers,⁴ and when one considers the large number of characters Smith had to manipulate in his gospels and the complexity of the involved, though repetitive, plot, I submit that his achievement entitles him to respect.

Persons who have not studied religious phenomena are apt to be exercised by the fact that Joseph Smith was a swindler, but that is historically unimportant. The only two possible sources of divine revelation are hallucinations and knavery, and there is little to choose between them. There is no reason to suppose that Joseph Smith was morally inferior to any of the many persons who composed, revised, interpolated, selected and made canonical the tales in the "New Testament," and if there were, that would be irrelevant.

The important point is that he and his successor, Brigham Young, founded what was the most solidly established and cohesive Christian church in this country. That is the cardinal fact for which we must account here. Whether you regard Mormon doctrines with sympathy or aversion is irrelevant.

II

One need not review the tribulations of the votaries of the new and distinctively American Christian sect as they were driven from New York to Ohio to Missouri by mobs incited by the holiness-hucksters in each locality,⁵ whose efforts were seconded by apostates, who left the Church as a result of internal dissension and tried to avenge themselves on the majority of their former spiritual brethren, and by the fanaticism of the converts, who may have been a little too ready to answer violence with greater violence. The sectaries were at last welcomed to Illinois by ambitious politicians, who then needed their votes and offered them a favorable charter that protected them from aggression. In 1839 they purchased from land speculators the site on which they built a city which Smith named Nauvoo, which, he said, meant 'pleasant place' in either Hebrew or "reformed Egyptian."

It was probably at this point that the influence of Brigham Young, a man of keen practical intellect, began to guide Smith,

4. For some examples, see *Liberty Bell*, March 1987, pp. 19 f.

5. In view of recent events, it is a nice irony that in Missouri one charge used to incite antagonism to the Mormons was a claim that they treated niggers and mulattos as equals, and a suspicion they might even harbor fugitive slaves. There may have been a basis for this accusation or it may have been invented by the rival holy men; there is no valid evidence.

because the Mormons soon made Nauvoo the largest and most prosperous city in Illinois. They were made vulnerable to rabble-rousers, however, by their system of matrimony.

They performed permanent marriages, i.e., marriages that would continue in Heaven, thus violating both the express statement of Jesus in the "New Testament"⁶ and what the other Christian sects of that time agreed to pretend he had ordained. Publication of the "revelation" authorizing polygamy, i.e., a legal and stable polygyny, gave the envious dervishes of other sects an opportunity to yapp in a deafening chorus.

Salvation-mongers have to be real twisters, and, as St. Clement told his friend, have to be ready to lie convincingly. Since they had presumably read their "New Testament," they well knew that nowhere in it is polygamy forbidden, and that it is even sanctioned by implication for all except bishops and deacons,⁷ but they perjured themselves about that as readily as they did, when, trying to excite the fratricidal war that gave them such satisfaction, they denied that slavery is expressly and repeatedly sanctioned by the writings which they claimed to be their divinely inspired and infallible authority.⁸ They also tried to conceal the fact that polygamy was not illegal in the United States under the Constitution and might even be authorized by the guarantee of religious freedom, since, under the rigorous separation of church and state, the state could only determine what marriages it would recognize as legal in.

6. Cf. *Liberty Bell*, January 1989, p. 11.

7. In the corpus of letters composed under the name of Paul, *Ep. ad Timoth.*, I, 3.2 & 12, it is provided that bishops and deacons in the Church must each have only one wife, and the rule implies that polygamy was not regarded as a sin in other men. (It must be remembered that while in Roman law monogamy was the only legal form of marriage, the Romans never interfered with the religious beliefs and practices of their subjects, and early Christianity spread most rapidly in Oriental provinces in which polygamy was common among those who could afford it.) Polygamy is, of course, expressly sanctioned in the "Old Testament" and even made religiously obligatory in some circumstances.

8. To be sure, there were honest clergymen who tried to remind the general public that "New Testament" sanctioned slavery, but their voices were drowned out by the clamor of the rabble-rousers, who played a large part in bringing to a catastrophic end the Republic that had been formed by the Constitution.

governing the devolution of property.⁹ The agitators also forgot, or may not have known, that in the time of Cromwell, only a few votes in Parliament prevented polygamy from becoming the established form of marriage in Britain.¹⁰ The howling dervishes stirred up the rabble; politicians fearing a loss of votes rescinded the charter they had given the Mormons and enacted measures which made it possible to arrest Joseph Smith and his brother and take them to a jail in which they were murdered by a mob incited by witch-doctors determined to suppress the too successful competition.

9. After the failure of the Edwardean Conspiracy, it was never determined whether a state could enact religious laws; certainly no state, so far as I know, ever tried to set up an established religion within its borders, to make illegal Roman Catholic or Protestant sects, or to prohibit a religious institution, such as monasticism. Separation of state and church, as provided in the Constitution, makes a distinction between legal and religious polygamy. When the last Lord Baltimore visited Venice with his harem, the Venetian authorities said they were not interested in the status of the several young women; they wanted only to know which (if any) was his legal wife so that they could keep their records straight. Western countries enforce a legal monogamy, so a man can have only one legal wife and questions of inheritance will thus be determined. The other women in a polygynous household will therefore have the legal status of concubines (including, of course, freedom to leave the household whenever they are discontented with it), but that will not prevent a religious polygamy in which the parties concerned and presumably their god regard the women as equally the wives of their husband. It may be doubted whether a strictly secular state has a right to interfere with such an arrangement. Of course, the holy men, whose one great "outreach" is for more power over their flocks and everyone else, always try to use the state to promote their variety of the spook-business, but a civilized society without a state religion must ignore the noise made by howling dervishes.

10. I do not here consider the social aspects of polygyny, which many women in polygamous Mormon households then regarded, and now regard, as the most desirable form of marriage. Some have recently appeared on television and reduced the hags of the "Liberation Movement" to a screaming frenzy at the thought that women could be liberated enough to exercise that kind of preference. A realistic argument against polygamy must be based on our race's evidently instinctive code of monogamy: the Olympian gods and the Aesir, for example, are monogamous, and so were the Vedic gods. Monogamy is almost necessarily implied by the conception of romantic love peculiar to our race, as is obvious when it becomes tragic: Siegfried and Brunhilda, Tristan and Isolde, Lancelot and Guenevere, et al. This contrasts with the love stories in Sanskrit literature after multi-racialism was tolerated in India, e.g.,

The Mormons, despairing of religious toleration under the Constitution, determined, under the leadership of Brigham Young, to leave the United States and found a new settlement in Mexico. Young, who had succeeded Smith, planned the migration efficiently so that it involved a minimum of hardship and suffering, although there was inevitably much of both as the Mormons made an heroic trek across pathless plains infested by hostile savages, and settled in what is now Utah, where, by their industry and the then astonishing innovation of irrigation, they converted a desert wasteland into a flourishing state, which they called Deseret. They undoubtedly planned eventually to make themselves independent of Mexico, and they emphasized their separation from the United States by devising a phonetic alphabet and printing and writing in it.¹¹

The Mexican War catapulted Deseret back into the United States and opened it to unwelcome visitors and even settlers. The Mormons however flourished and prospered, until the 1880s, when the howling dervishes, exalted by the aroma from the blood-drenched battlefields of the fratricidal war they had maliciously done so much to provoke, sought other subjects for lucrative or malevolent agitation, and incited the tyrannical, outrageous, and flagrantly illegal religious persecution of the Mormons by the U.S. Army of Occupation.¹²

the romances in the *Brahmkatha* (the prototype of the later and better-known *Kathasaritsagara*). It is a nice question whether even toleration of a legal polygamy would have been fatal to the cohesion of the American Republic, had rival holy men ceased from agitation, and had the Republic not been dissolved in 1861.

11. Books and periodicals printed in the Deseret alphabet now command a high price. The alphabet was philologically sound (for the pronunciation then current in the northern states) and the new letters well designed, but, like all efforts to "spell words as they are pronounced" in English, it was, of course, hopelessly impractical.

12. The legality of the persecution is shown by the fact that Mormon men who had polygynous households were arrested and barbarously imprisoned for "lascivious cohabitation," which is not a legal offense in any civilized society, but the shamans, ever grasping for more power to subjugate the whole of society and prevent individuals from sinning by enjoying themselves, were already yearning for the time when they could make sexual intercourse a crime, except when performed by license from a fakir and then only for the purpose of engendering infants for him to baptize and eventually make paying customers of his business. Holy men want to supervise every act of their dupes, and when not

We all know how lawless and ruthless Americans become when they are drunk with righteousness, and since the Mormons lacked the power to make themselves independent, religious faith had to yield to tyrannical force, and the President of the Church had a revelation from their god that canceled the institution of religious polygamy. This did not seriously impair the cohesion of the sect, because everyone understood what had happened. Under coercion, the Church even executed a *volte-face* and excommunicated members who thereafter practiced polygamy too openly.

After the Mormons in Utah were admitted to their Constitutional rights under the part of the Constitution that had not been revoked in 1865, they greatly expanded their membership and became the most solidly established, cohesive, and patriotic church in

superstitious themselves, talk about sin to cover their malevolence and greed. — I do not here consider the question whether a legally recognized polygyny could be considered as contrary to a sound public policy; there are arguments for prohibiting it, but they would more stringently require prohibition of religions that condemn men and women to celibacy in convents, monasteries, and rectories, and also of religions that try to undermine the nation's established social order, whatever that may be, or disparage the nation's dominant race.

It is estimated that among the Mormons in Utah, between 20% and 30% of the men practiced polygamy, which obviously required a considerable prosperity. Brigham Young's establishment of twenty wives was unique. The usual number of women in a polygamous household was from three to five. A Mormon widow, who survived her husband and her three co-wives, was recently interviewed on the radio and, I believe, television; she considered polygyny the ideal form of marriage for women, and four to five the desirable number of women united by their love of their husband. It must be noted that such arrangements are entirely voluntary, and that the first wife or wives normally select the women whom they will admit to the connubium. The periodical *reason*, in its issue for January 1987, estimated that between 30,000 and 50,000 persons now practice Mormon polygamy (although it is officially condemned by the Church), but did not estimate the number of households, i.e., the average number of co-wives religiously married to one man. It featured what must be an exceptionally large household, with pictures of the husband surrounded by his nine wives, all evidently happy and ranging in age from about sixteen to twenty-eight or perhaps thirty. What makes the polygyny of Mormons unusual today is that it is based on their religion and publicly admitted, now that they have a chance of escaping persecution. Everyone knows that there are in the United States polygynous households of persons who belong to other Christian sects, to Oriental cults, or have no religion, but they are discreet and no one could estimate their number.

the nation. The Mormons do not have full political control of Utah or even of Salt Lake City, but acknowledgement of the quality of the society they have formed comes from even unexpected sources. Douglas Reed in his *Far and Wide* (1951) reported on his visit to Utah, which enforced on him the conclusion that

However Joseph Smith and Brigham Young may appear at the final balance, the fact emerges that Mormonism has achieved something exceptional in this place, since 1847. Possibly God bestows his blessings on those who seek, whatever their leaders, the merit being in the search; the Mormon faith is *Christian*. Many parts of the West speak of the malevolence of nature or the decay of human schemes; in this desert spot is peace, confidence, sober living and the feeling of civilization.

Salt Lake City, though small, is more of a city than many bigger ones; it has urbanity, a gentler way of life, and the belief that God is more than gold. These things show themselves in the kindlier manner of people, the unhurried traffic, the drinking fountains at every corner and the rills of clear mountain water that constantly freshen the gutters, and in the appearance of streets and homes, and in temperate habits.

The John Birch Society was established to combat Communism, not only the Soviet conquest of the world that Americans made possible, but especially the imposition of Communism on the American people under such hypocritical pretexts as "New Deal," "Democracy," "Liberalism," "Social Gospel," and other frauds. It soon felt the need to enlist specifically Christian support and made an intensive effort to find it. There were a few venturesome clergymen in both Protestant and Catholic sects who did support the Society's opposition to both open and covert Bolshevism, at least until they were slapped down by their ecclesiastical superiors, but the only church that as a church took an anti-Communist position was the Mormon Church of Salt Lake City.

The cohesion of that church was such that observers felt that when matters reached a crisis, Americans determined to regain their heritage could count on support from virtually all of the Mormons of the major church throughout the country, and could only hope for support from small groups within the other Christian denominations.

III

Now we must ask what accounts for the success of the church founded by Joseph Smith. It was founded, of course, during the great wave of religious hysteria that swept over the country, especially in the northern and border states that lacked even the rudiments of a cultured aristocracy, as professional holy men discovered how easy it was to scare the ignorant into fits with

oratory about all the terrible things their ferocious god would do to them, if they did not do what the shaman told them to do—but so were many other odd Christian sects that soon faded into insignificance. The Mormons, indeed, had little to say about Hellfire and Damnation when they promised a unique salvation from death. Since they accepted the Bible, to which they added the *Book of Mormon* and two later gospels as a kind of Third Testament, they did not differ radically in most matters from the doctrine common to most other Christian sects. There was, of course, a certain pleasing novelty about the imaginary history that explained the Indians of the Western Hemisphere, but that cannot have exercised a decisive attraction. Persecution probably strengthened their convictions, but only after they had accepted their new faith. The doctrine of polygamy, when it was finally revealed, probably repelled as many potential converts as it attracted, and the Church's great growth in numbers followed the recission of that article of faith.

The Mormon Church, furthermore, was far more vulnerable to criticism than others. Smith's story about the golden plates, while the best he could devise in the circumstances, must have suggested doubts to even very ignorant persons. Hopeful Mormons carried specimens of "reformed Egyptian" writing to Professor Charles Anthon, the most eminent scholar in the nation, and he pronounced them a hoax, but did not impair their faith. The envious dervishes of other sects encouraged the publication and dissemination of every kind of scandalous story, true or false, about Joseph Smith and his associates, and defectors from the cult gladly profited from recounting their exaggerated grievances with probably fictitious embellishments.¹³

The Church of Jesus Christ of the Latter Day Saints was the most vulnerable of all Christian denominations. Its new gospels

13. The best compilation of the iniquities of Smith and his associates is *Mormonism, Shadow or Reality*, by Jerald & Sandra Tanner (Salt Lake City, Modern Microfilm, enlarged edition, s.a. [c. 1982]). The Tanners have produced shelves of special treatises on aspects of the Mormon doctrine and church, e.g., *Joseph Smith & Money Digging*.—*Archaeology and the Book of Mormon*.—*The First Vision Examined*.—*The Mormon Kingdom*.—*Did Spaulding Write the Book of Mormon?*—*The Negro in Mormon Theology*.—*The Bible and Mormon Doctrine*. I mention only the books and booklets I have seen; there are at least a dozen more. To sharpen their attack on the Mormon Church, from which they defected, and to claim tax-exemption as a Lighthouse Ministry, the Tanners often write from the standpoint of other Christian sects' currently fashionable

and distinctive doctrines were recent and their implausibility was therefore more apparent than that of myths that had been generally accepted for more than a thousand years and seemed validated by the consensus of many generations. The conduct of Joseph Smith and his coadjutors was known to contemporaries, while the conduct of the scoundrels who invented and peddled Christianity to the *goyim* had been concealed by assiduous destruction or falsification of documents and by wholesale mendacity and forgery; and had been further obscured by the erosion of time, so that it can now be ascertained only partly and often conjecturally by historical criticism. But with all these handicaps that Church became what other Christian denominations vainly wish they could become.

After prolonged consideration I have come to the conclusion that the Mormons' success depended primarily on a racial homogeneity that was more fortuitous than intended. Joseph Smith and his early associates were ignorant and rustic, but they were all Anglo-Saxons. Perhaps inevitably, as the cult expanded, it attracted persons to whom the ethnic characteristics of the first members were congenial: the converts were principally of English ancestry, with some Germans, Scots, and Scandinavians, all ethnically compatible. When the religion was established in Utah, the many converts who came from Canada and Europe and trekked long distances from the railhead to Salt Lake were predominantly English. There is in the Mormon Museum an excellent bronze sculpture depicting a pair of converts making the arduous trek: the wife walks beside her husband, who pulls a light cart containing their few possessions.

Since Mormon doctrine was based on the Bible, it retained the prevailing view, stated in some passages of the "New Testament" (and contradicted by others), that racial differences are canceled by a swig of Jesus-juice. In practice, however, the theory was modified by common sense. The obvious biological inferiority of niggers was recognized and generally explained as the Curse of Cain, and the superiority of the White race to all others was taken for granted. In keeping with religious theory, the Mormons always offered to other races a kind of second-class salvation and, astonishingly, made converts among them, but all positions of authority, even in small congregations, were reserved for White men.¹⁴ And the Mor-

dogmas, of which minds so keenly critical as theirs must see the fallacies and absurdity.

mons anticipated the findings of genetic science in holding that a marriage between a White man or woman and a person who had "even one drop" of nigger blood was a crime as well as an inexpiable sin.

Thus, although the Church made converts of all colors, it remained essentially a church of men and women who were not only White, but of northern European descent. And that, I believe, accounted for its extraordinary solidity, which was superior to any doctrine and unmoved by the most hostile and cogent criticism of the Church's doctrine.

A visitor to Salt Lake City, even on a first occasion, when his host was a vehement enemy of the Church, had to agree with the verdict of Douglas Reed. However little respect the visitor may have had for superstitions about the supernatural, he was perhaps most impressed by the civilized Christianity of the Mormons, the serene *confidence* of their faith. Their religion forbids them to drink alcohol or smoke tobacco, but they urbanely tolerate friends who want cocktails and cigarettes, and they seem never impelled to the kind of do-gooding that consists in preventing infidels from indulging in pleasurable sins.

By 1960, a few disguised Sheenies had crawled into the Church and were evidently accepted without reservation, but if a visitor perchance encountered one of them in a group of Mormons, he was struck by the physical contrast. The Mormons were Nordic, and it is significant that among them one saw an astonishingly high percentage of women who were beautiful by nature, not by the art of expert cosmeticians.¹⁵

The present difficulties of the Church had their origin when the Presidency became vacant and it was necessary to select a

14. The intelligent attitude toward race may not have been clearly defined at the very first. The Tanners, in their assiduous search for scandal in Mormonism, discovered that in 1836, when the Mormons were hoping for toleration in Ohio, the priesthood was conferred on Joseph Smith's Negro servant, Able, who was probably a mulatto, and whose descendants embarrassingly remained in the church and, according to some scandal-mongers, were even given minor positions in it. In the Mormon Church, priesthood amounts only to first-class membership. Elders hold a higher rank.

15. For the biological significance of this fact, see Richard McCulloch, *Destiny of Angels* (s.l., 1986; distributed by Howard Allen Enterprises, Cape Canaveral, Florida), a book of which I hope to give an account in a future "Postscript."

successor. The obvious choice was Ezra Taft Benson, the only man of national prominence in the Church, who had been Secretary of Agriculture and was distinguished for his forthright opposition to all forms of Communism, but, as so often happened in Papal Conclaves, a coalition of self-seeking opponents procured the selection of an old man too weak to have rivals.

In 1978, the Jews' mouthpiece in the White House, a peanut-vendor named Carter, summoned the old man to Washington and bullied him by threatening to revoke the Church's tax-exemption if it didn't start cuddling niggers and working toward the new American ideal of Integration, when White Americans will have been replaced by coffee-colored scum.

From what I know of Ezra Taft Benson, his reply to the threat would have been the appropriate one, "Try it, you son-of-a bitch." The threat was obviously a bluff, for an attempt to deny tax-exemption to a church for any reason would have set all the holy men in the United States to screaming in chorus. Even the most unprincipled shaman would realize that once a precedence for denying tax-exemption to a religious body had been set, it would not be long before tax-exemption was granted only to what would be an Established Church, and that would result in massive unemployment among holy men, including, quite possibly, himself. He might envy the Mormons, "the fastest growing mainstream church in the U.S." at that time, but his sense of self-preservation would have been stronger than business rivalry.

But the weak old man didn't perceive how empty was the threat. As soon as his knees stopped knocking together, he retired to a room and conveniently got a revelation from his god that the Church must promote niggers to positions of authority. He thus inflicted on his Church a wound from which it may never recover.

The conveniently-timed "revelation" was too obviously a fraud, perpetrated (unnecessarily) for business reasons, and the "living prophet" was exposed as a salvation-huckster with his eye on the fast buck. Denials that the "revelation" had been dictated by (imaginary) expediency were patent lies that merely deepened the disgrace of the Church's official head. What had taken place was a drastic reversal of what Douglas Reed had observed: gold (or rather a worthless substitute for it) had become more than God in Salt Lake City.

Even if the "revelation" had not been so patently a shabby hoax, the change would have been disastrous. A religion, by defini-

tion, purports to be a revelation of divine and therefore eternal truth, and a god differs generically from a Cadillac, of which General Motors can bring out a new and supposedly improved model every year. When a church claims that its omniscient god made a foolish mistake in the past and has now learned better, or tries to replace that god with a new model, incorporating the latest improvements, it has merely discredited itself in the eyes of its own votaries, if they take their religion seriously and are capable of even rudimentary thought.

The silly old man aroused grave doubts in the minds of Mormons who had been impervious to all hostile criticism. There were convulsions within the Church, some of which became publicly known. A fairly well-known Mormon writer, Ogden Kraut, noted the absurdity of a "revelation" produced by a talk with Jimmy Carter, and stated the basis of all viable religion: "Fundamentalists believe that if a doctrine starts out one way, that's the way it stays; you don't just change in midstream." He appears to have been excommunicated for his common sense.

It is a simple sociological truth that all churches must be "fundamentalist" or confess themselves to being money-grubbing rackets. And no one can measure the silent resentment of Mormons who have not lost their racial sense of self-preservation, now that they see the Church in which they believed rescinding one of its central doctrines and approving miscegenation, biologically as well as religiously a crime. They cannot but have felt contempt for the dignitaries of their church who changed their minds over night, ate their own words, and told their followers to "get in line" with the spineless old man who was, by definition, a "living prophet" and might have tomorrow another divine revelation of what was good for business.

The Church was in a sufficiently parlous plight,¹⁶ and its officials were trying hard to repair the damage it had sustained, when Hoffman began to blackmail them with his forgeries. I do not predict what the future will bring in Salt Lake City.

16. More trouble is caused by Mormon scholars who have the strange notion that the ascertainment and publication of historical truth will not be fatal to the religion. For a specimen of this folly, see the essay, *On Being a Mormon Historian*, by D. Michael Quinn, Associate Professor in Brigham Young University. It was gleefully reprinted by the Tanners in 1982.

WESTERN CHRISTIANITY

When our Germanic ancestors invaded and occupied the decadent Roman Empire, it was deeply infected with Christianity, a Jewish cult that had been foisted onto the mongrelized inhabitants of the great empire that had been created by Aryans. Thus our ancestors contracted the alien religion while they inherited, as best they could, the ruins of the civilization that had been created by their race.

Christianity has always been deleterious to our race, and if the Roman Empire had to succumb to an imported religion, one could wish in retrospect that the victor in the competition for political power had been the Mithraic cult, which was at least manly and which the Christians so closely emulated in many matters, though not in its virtues.

If the civilized world had to succumb to Christianity, one could wish that the sect that attained political power had been the Marcionist, which had partly emancipated itself from the rancours and myths of the Jewish proletariat and was, at least, sufficiently rational to see that the figure of the supposedly incarnate and universal god, Jesus, was incompatible with a ferocious tribal deity, the Big Jew of the "Old Testament." But that, too, is idle speculation about what (conceivably) might have been.

When the wily Fathers of the Church got their hot little hands on political power and began to stamp out the competition, they had to make some drastic revisions of the primitive cult to make it compatible with a society they now wished to preserve and enjoy.

When the Germanic invaders were infected by the religion, even more drastic changes were necessary to make the official cult acceptable to warriors. The changes were easily made, since all that the majority knew of the religion was what its dervishes chose to tell them. The pallid, pacifistic, ineffectual Jesus was, for example, represented as having been in some way an heroic figure, worthy of Vikings. Thus was formed what we call Western Christianity.

It retained for centuries the poisonous superstition that destroyed much of our race's best genetic heritage by diverting women into convents, where celibacy had often to be maintained by abortions or infanticide, and males into monasteries, where morbid and mentally perturbing sexual abstinence was the alternative to homosexuality or furtive promiscuity without living offspring. But the religion did not prevent the eventual establishment

of stable states, did inspire some monumental achievements, such as the great cathedrals, and did provide a bond of union for foreign conquest, as in the Crusades. One must regret that when the genetically baneful function of the religion was eliminated by the Lutheran and Anglican Reformations, the bond of European unity was simultaneously shattered and the religion destroyed itself in the disastrous Wars of Religion it brought upon the civilized world.

Although we may regret its passing, Western Christianity was always an artificial composite of incompatible and indeed antithetical elements and so it always exhibited a duality of internal contradictions, which made a Christian nation, considered as a whole, seem schizophrenic.

Even within the clergy there was an ill-concealed conflict between two incompatible and indeed irreconcilable mental attitudes. The antithesis is succinctly and neatly illustrated by the first two selections in Professor Leo M. Kaiser's anthology, *Early American Latin Verse* (Chicago, Bolchazy-Carducci, c. 1984). The two are, I suppose, the earliest specimens of respectable Latin verse written in North America that the editor's diligent researches discovered, and both are by English clergymen who visited the colonies in the early Seventeenth Century.

The Reverend Mr. William Morrell visited Massachusetts in 1623-25 and wrote some three hundred passably smooth, if uninspired, hexameters, describing the land and the Indians he had seen, whose superstitions he remodeled in his own mind to interpret them in terms of Christian ditheism. He ends by enjoining on Christians their sacred duty to help the benighted Indians. He had the missionary's itch to crowd Jesus's Heaven with black, brown, red, yellow, and drab souls and to commit treason to our race by imparting to alien and necessarily rival races the arts and techniques on which depends the precarious superiority by which alone we can survive in a hostile world.

The second clergyman was the Reverend Mr. Philip Vincent, who, after the death of his beloved wife, traveled extensively in Europe and visited Connecticut in 1637, the year in which the Pequot Indians killed an English trader. The inhabitants of the little colony, under Major Mason and Captain Underhill, attacked the stockade in which the tribe thought itself secure, killed a good part of the Indians and then pursued the fugitives, overtaking and killing them. Some captives were taken and sold to slave-traders

for export to the West Indies. A few Pequot escaped, and their enemies, Mohawks, took care of most of them. The tribe became extinct.

The Reverend Mr. Vincent succinctly celebrated the colonists' victory in well-turned elegiac couplets. There isn't the slightest hint of a mawkish wish to do good to the aborigines. The destruction of the Pequot tribe, he said, produced peace in the only possible way. It was an admirable example of effective action and it permitted conversion of the wilderness to the agrarian fertility of civilization:

Plaudite qui colitis Mavortia sacra nepotes,
et serat incultos tutus arator agros.

And Vincent exultantly foresees the time when all of the New World will have become a new and more spacious England. Vincent was a clergyman, but he was also a realist, worthy of his race. He understood that whatever may be true in theological doctrine, we live in a world subject to natural laws, and that the first law of nations is that the strong and resolute survive, while the weak and fanciful go under. He was a clergyman, but his was the Christianity that had been adapted to Aryan civilization.

If Christianity today were Vincent's manly religion, free of sickly illusions and masochistic delusions, our race would not be committing suicide. And we would not have to overlook a very few honorable exceptions and bluntly denounce the religion in all its diverse cults as a spiritual syphilis that has now reached the tertiary stage, paresis and insanity.

CONFESSION OF GUILT

I have occasionally cited in these pages the *Chalcedon Report*, the journal of the hard-line Calvinist sect which its head, Dr. Rousas J. Rushdoony, calls Christian Reconstruction, although observers think it should be called the Puritan Revival. It is intellectually and morally far above the level of the babbling crowds that are lumped together as the "Moral Majority" or the "Christian Right." A good summary of the movement and its purposes by Anson Shupe appeared in the *Wall Street Journal*, 17 April 1989.

The issue for February 1989 contains an article by the journal's most distinguished writer, Otto Scott, an American historian to whom we must all be grateful for the meticulous and courageous research that is set forth in his admirable book, *The Secret Six*, which traces the bloody spoor of America's most admired homicidal maniac, John Brown.

Mr. Scott begins his article by quoting the "darling of the intellectual left," Susan Sontag: "The white race is the cancer of humanity." He notes that his god punished the woman by afflicting her with cancer. But he makes the astonishing blunder of supposing that the Sontag woman thought of herself as a Caucasian. She is a Kikess and would no more think of calling herself Caucasian than she would of calling herself a bitch or a sow. She belongs to Yahweh's Master Race, the race that now openly boasts in its own publications, "WE are the purpose of Creation."

Mr. Scott comments on the nasty punks who called themselves "Liberal intellectuals" and are forever yapping about our race's "injustice" toward niggers, mongrels, and other waste products of biological evolution. And he correctly observes that our race is precisely the *only* race that worries about the welfare of other races and even makes enormous sacrifices to help them.

He attributes our race's morbid concern for other (and necessarily enemy) races, not to innate imbecility, but precisely and specifically to its belief in Christianity. That is what I have so frequently argued, and I am pleased to have my view confirmed by so eminent an historian.

Mr. Scott's conclusion is one that I shall here quote in italics:

"Without Christians, there would be no chance for long-range survival of minorities in our midst."

Remember, please, that that is not an accusation that I have made: it is a confession made by an eminent Christian authority on behalf of one of the very few Christian sects that write honestly and mean what they say.

Nothing that I have written about Christianity is half so categorical and drastic as that damning confession of guilt—guilt for our ever multiplying misfortunes, guilt for our lunatic folly, guilt for our impending doom.

THE JAPANESE AGAIN

The *Bulletin* of the Committee to Restore the Constitution for May 1989 reprints an article from the newsletter of Hilaire du Berrier, which has the subtitle, "America in [the] Grip of New Japanese Co-Prosperity Sphere." M. du Berrier, who was in 1941 (and may still be) an agent of the French Intelligence Service, was captured by the Japanese but did not break under ingenious torture. He naturally remarks on the ruthlessness of the Japanese during the war—all of which proves only that the Japanese are not

Aryans and were not what Aryans were in the Nineteenth Century.¹ His real point is that the Japanese, whom we prevented from imposing their "Co-Prosperity Sphere" on part of Asia militarily, have now imposed it on the United States economically.

He observes that, contrary to the predictions of American "experts," the death of the Emperor Hirohito did not cause Japanese economic activity and expansion to falter for even a moment. He does not comment on the current scandals in Japan, following the disclosure that members of the government had behaved like Judaized Americans. An observer who recently returned from Japan thinks that the scandals will strengthen the Japanese parties that intend to restore, so far as possible, the conditions that prevailed before the defeat of Japan in 1945. Much will depend on the ceremony that will take place in coming months after the new Emperor, Akihito, has united with his ancestress, Amaterasu, and thus become divine.²

M. du Berrier's article served to introduce an item of news you will not have read in your local bundle of daily propaganda. In Montana, a state senator named Al Bishop has introduced a bill that prohibits aliens from owning land in Montana for more than one year.³ Mr. Bishop is alarmed by the speed with which the Japanese are buying up the United States. He noted that they already own about 30% of downtown Los Angeles, and that in the

1. What is interesting in this part of the article is M. du Berrier's implication that if Admiral Togo, whom the Americans murdered after the surrender of Japan, had not begrudged the expense, Japan might have had an atomic bomb in time to forestall the Americans and win the war. Hitler had refused to consider the possibility of using such a weapon against members of our race, but the Japanese, naturally, would have had no compunction about using it on White Devils.

2. Amaterasu, who, needless to say, was born of a Virgin, is the goddess of the sun. Her great-grandson, Jimmu, became the first Emperor of Japan in 660 B.C., which is the date at which the Japanese calendar begins. For the details, see the *Kojiki*, the official collection of myths, comparable to the Christian Bible; there is a learned English translation by Professor B. H. Chamberlain. While Akihito was growing up, he, at the demand of the Americans, was exposed to large doses of Christian hokum, but it is likely that he was immune to the infection. (Cf. "The Yellow Peril," pp. 18-25.) His first official statement, on the death of his father, was in terms of orthodox Shinto.

3. There was a similar provision in Illinois until 1970, when the nitwits replaced their state constitution with one designed to facilitate kicking them into their place in "One World." For years before 1970, an attorney

District of Corruption, Japanese own the three major hotels and dozens of office buildings, including, ironically, even the building that the Federal government rents to house the Justice Department.

The Japanese are naturally eager to invest in property the profits they made after the Americans destroyed their own industry to have lots of "Social Justice" and crime. They hold a vast quantity of the trading stamps the Americans use in place of money, and must convert it into things of value before the Federal Reserve reduces the value of its stamps to zero. In Montana, the Japanese are buying land on so large a scale that Mr. Bishop foresees the time when they will own all the productive land in the state.

Mr. Bishop also foresees that within a few decades the Oriental owners of the United States will herd the surviving Americans into reservations on waste lands, much as the Americans, before they succumbed to cerebral palsy, put the Indians on reservations. I wonder, however, whether the Japanese, who will never forget their defeat in 1945, will want to preserve White Devils, even as curiosities.

Mr. Bishop must be an highly intelligent man, for he perceives that the real problem is not the piecemeal sale of the United States to foreigners, but the suicidal destruction of the productive capacity that American industry once had, which makes selling off the country the last resort before the total collapse that is ahead of us. He also foresees that his attempt at legislative protest will fail and his bill will probably "die in committee."

Even the introduction of Mr. Bishop's legislative proposal set off a storm of protests from Americans eager to get rid of what is left of the country that once was theirs. There were cries that such a nasty proposal would deny them the right to sell to the highest bidder, would violate what the Jews call "basic human rights," would be counter to Ronnie Reagan's noble devotion to "liberal trade policies" and "free enterprise" [*sic*], and, oh horrors! might even encourage "racism" and the bigots who still believe that Aryan cattle are worth preservation.

whom I knew tried very hard to persuade various State's Attorneys to do their duty and enforce the law, but all of them, their eyes on the two gangs that entertained the boobs with political games every two years, refused. The attorney thought of applying to the courts for a writ of mandamus, but then realized that the courts were too corrupt to be interested in upholding the laws.

One can see the point of the objections. The Americans obviously have no use for the country they threw away, and do not want it back.

They aren't even interested in trying to guess whether the crafty Jews will be able to destroy the Japanese, as they have destroyed us.⁴

The *Bulletin* concludes with a fairly long excerpt from my *Christianity and the Survival of the West*. I permit myself to quote a few lines from that excerpt:

"Six years ago [in 1966] in my *Conspiracy or Degeneracy?* I asked the one crucial question: Have we, the men of the West, lost the will to live?

"Nothing, certainly, has happened since then to suggest a negative answer.... Nowhere can one discern the slightest indication that in the great majority of our people the racial instinct of self-preservation has not been lost."

I wrote that in 1972. Today, seventeen years later, although one still hears a few feeble voices of protest that have not yet been silenced by governmental terrorism, the question that I asked in 1966 appears to have been definitively answered.

MUCH ADO ABOUT NOTHING

Everyone has noticed the prolonged uproar over *Satanic Verses*, a book by a wog named Rushdie, who, in the published photographs, looks like a Kike.

The trouble started in a British provincial town, where a thousand or more Moslems rioted. Most of them, no doubt, knew enough English to read street signs, and many probably could make their way through a paragraph in a newspaper, but how many were likely to read almost six hundred pages of constipated prose by an "anti-Fascist intellectual"? How did they happen to hear about the book? Any enterprising publisher would have seen an opportunity to set off a firecracker that would make a "best seller" out of a schizophrenic tome that would titillate "Liberal" reviewers but was sure not to become popular and which he might otherwise soon have to "remainder."

4. Itsvan Bakony, in his little booklet, *Jewish Fifth Column in Japan*, which I cited and discussed in "The Yellow Peril," believed that Japan has a good chance to survive, since it was, when he wrote, "less infiltrated by Judaism than any of the other world powers." The Japanese have recently become aware of the nature and designs of old Yahweh's Chosen; cf. *Liberty Bell*, November 1987, pp. 7-9.

However that may be, the affair was scandalous, although no one seems to have noticed why it was. What were the thousand or more Moslems doing on an island that theoretically belongs to the British, who, presumably, are either Christians or rational? Why did not the police round up the rioters and escort them to the nearest port, where the English, if they wished to show their wonted generosity, could provide them with free passage to their homelands? Of course, one has only to look at Prime Ministress Maggie and her Kikish Cabinet to know the answer to that question, but that does not make the fact less scandalous.

Then in Iran the ruling Hajji Baba, in a real or simulated rage, offered a million for a quick assassination, evidently being so ignorant as to think that the book contained something remarkably derogatory to the Prophet whom he claims to represent on earth (although the majority of Moslems regard him as a vile heretic). If that book was enough to set him off, his enemies have an obvious opportunity. Just send him a dozen or so of the scores of sound historical studies of the origin of Islam now in print. He will explode apoplectically and the world might even be entertained by a real example of the spontaneous combustion described in Dickens' novel.

The warlock's conniption fit gave every pseudo-literary hack an opportunity to declaim about the horrors of censorship by *goyim* and the imprescriptible right of every writer to say what he pleases, so long as God's Race does not object.¹

I was sufficiently curious to find a copy of the book whose author old Khomeini unintentionally endowed with at least the better part of a million dollars, and I glanced at a few pages. Yes,

1. Big-brained "intellectuals," busily engaged in defacing and sapping Western civilization, know that, if they are members of the lower races, they must fawn on the Master Race and frantically wag their tails. It is simply apodeictic to them that no Aryan can be permitted freedom of speech to defend his own race or question Yiddish hokum, so, naturally, the loud-mouthed gabblers who made themselves conspicuous with bombastic (and supererogatory) defense of Rushdie never even thought of protesting the vicious censorship that Jews now excise over almost all publishing. The contrast is so glaring that even in the pages of *The Nation*, a periodical whose readers must often wonder to what nation the title refers, Alexander Cockburn was permitted, in the issue for 20 March 1989, to point out how the Jews use incendiary bombs or corrupt courts to persecute Aryans who dare question their absurd Holohoax; how they used financial finagling to suppress even a book by Noam Chomsky; and how the pavid editors of *The Nation* insisted on censoring from one of his articles a mildly approving reference to Professor Israel Shahak of Hebrew University, whose veracity offends the rulers of his

just a few pages, for I remind you of William Dean Howells' aphorism that it is not necessary to eat all of an apple to learn that it is rotten.

Even if there had been no screaming, *The Satanic Verses* would probably have set "literary circles" in New Jerusalem-on-the-Hudson atwitter for a whole week, as each "intellectual" tried to show that he had read the latest masterpiece (or a review of it by a wise guy) and hastened to get in a word about it before it ceased to be the latest thing and no fellow *littérateur* would remember it. It will delight people who mistake incoherence for sense and think profound anything that is incongruously grotesque. It will fascinate the kind of nitwits who are enraptured by a piece of canvas that has been dirtied by Picasso, Chagall, or some comparable exploiter of feather-weight poseurs.

This is not to deny that the author may have been sincere in some part of his painfully long and disjointed book. I may have chanced upon the most significant passage, somewhere near the end, but it raises a problem.

The author may have read Carl Sandburg, a passable folk singer who passed for a poet long ago, when *vers libre* was the *dernier cri*, and did put a few good phrases and similes in his scrappy prose. If my recollection has not been dimmed by many years, Sandburg, enlarging on an earlier author, once wrote that he had a whole zoo inside himself. Since I know nothing about his ancestry, I cannot comment; he may have done no more than find a startling hyperbole for what is commonplace.

Every sane Aryan who had passed the age of six knows that he has conflicting desires and will have always to choose between alternatives that are equally attractive. He will have to decide whether or not he will trade his prized jack-knife for the neighbor boy's skates, and comparable dilemmas will confront him in all of his ten thousand days under the sun. He will have to decide whether to marry some lovely girl and perhaps burden himself with children or retain his social and economic freedom as a bachelor. He will have to decide whether to buy a new suit or a new overcoat; whether to spend a small inheritance or a bonus for

race. But all that suppression of intellectual integrity, to say nothing of freedom, never evoked a squeak from the intellectual lions who roared so loudly for Rushdie's freedom of speech, when they pretended it had been endangered by a vain threat that probably will net him a million in royalties.

a fine automobile or travel in Europe. Every virile man wants to seduce every very attractive woman he encounters (although few confess that as freely as did Thomas Wolfe), but, assuming that he can easily do so, he may have to choose between that strong desire and the conflicting desire to observe obligations inherent in his personal situation or in the code of gentlemen.

Hercules, in Xenophon's well-known apologue, had to choose between two divergent paths; every man is confronted by less drastic but equally perplexing choices between antithetical desires almost every day—perhaps until at an advanced age he makes the final choice between liberating himself from intolerable burdens or unbearable pain by suicide and continuing to live in the hope of fulfilling some obligation of honor he has assumed.

Sane men know this aspect of the human condition and take it for granted. Only in schizophrenia can a man's mind and personality become so disintegrated as to make it seem that he is more than one individual—that he is not a man but a zoo.²

The author of *The Satanic Verses*, with or without imitating Sandburg, wrote (I correct spelling and punctuation):

Oh, the dissociations of which the human mind is capable!... Oh, the conflicting selves jostling within these bags of skin! No wonder we are unable to remain focused on anything for very long; no wonder we invent...channel-hopping devices. If we turned those instruments on ourselves [!], we'd discover more channels [within us] than a cable or satellite mogul ever dreamed of.

Assuming that Rushdie means what he says and finds "conflicting selves" (something quite different from conflicting desires) within himself, the most likely explanation is obvious. He is some kind of hybrid, a living example of multi-racialism,³ and, like many such unfortunate persons, he may be scatter-brained and suffer from mental strabismus and the conflict of the incompatible in-

2. Schizophrenia is especially common among "mental health experts" and psychiatrists. I remember one who, hoping for support in taking over a "right-wing" operation, wrote me hundred-page letters, in which he explained at length how his Id was always fighting with his Ego and how hard it was for the third piece of himself to keep peace in the rough-house inside his skull. This psychotic condition is probably the result of incompatible genetic strains, although it may be exacerbated by morbid introspection or the use of hallucinatory narcotics.

3. Islam, more than Christianity, promoted mongrelization and there is little pure Arabic blood left in the world, most of it in Saudi Arabia. The danger to the race seems to have been perceived only by 'Umar (634-644), the second and greatest of the Orthodox Caliphs, who, when he saw the long line of captives taken by his army at Jal'ulá (on the edge of

instincts of the different races that contributed to the unnatural and unstable mixture that his conscienceless parents made of him. But you may be sure that the twittering intelligentsia of "literary circles" will think the passage profound instead of pathetic, and will claim it refers to some constant of human nature to avoid recognizing in it the consequences of the crime of miscegenation, which they strive so hard to promote.

the Persian highlands), most of them not even Semites, is reported to have exclaimed, "O Allah! I take refuge with thee from the children of these captives of Jalúla." Islam cannot be entirely blamed for the disastrous miscegenation that followed; there seems to be no reason to doubt the tradition that 'Antara ibn Shaddád, one of the pre-Islamic poets of the Mu'allaqát and also renowned as a warrior, was a mulatto and slave of his father until his arm was needed to resist a foray on his tribe. That need not be inconsistent with the aristocratic contempt for slaves and the low-born expressed in his poetry. As a pagan hero, untrammelled by the religion of later times, he was remembered for having risen from slavery to sheikdom, and the little that is known of his life was elaborated into a very long romance, the *Síratu 'Antar*, which cultivated Arabs prefer to the Arabian Nights. The Arabic text, which I have not seen, is said to occupy thirty-two volumes. There is a charming condensation of the basic story by Gustave Rouger, *Le roman d'Antar*, Paris, Piazza, 1923.

DOES THE WEST HAVE THE WILL TO SURVIVE?

That is the obvious question posed by Jean Raspall's terrifying novel of the swamping of the White world by an unlimited flood of non-White "refugees." But there is also a less obvious and even more fundamental question: Must Whites find their way to a new Morality and a new spirituality in order to face the moral challenges of the present and overcome them? *THE CAMP OF THE SAINTS* is the most frightening book you will ever read. It is frightening because it is utterly believable. The armada of refugee ships in Raspall's story is exactly like the one that dumped 150,000 Cubans from Fidel Castro's prisons and insane asylums on our shores in 1980 — except this time the armada is from India, with more than 70 times as large a population. And it is only the first armada of many. If any book will awaken White Americans to the danger they face from uncontrolled immigration, it is *THE CAMP OF THE SAINTS*. For your copy (Order No. 3014) send \$9.50 (which includes \$1.50 for postage and handling to:

LIBERTY BELL PUBLICATIONS
Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA.

THE GREEK CONNECTION

by
Nicholas Carter

"No man is an island," some idiot once wrote (I'm sure the reader knows which idiot)—a simplistic proposition that I categorically deny. Each human being is an island, *cognitively* alone, not linked to any other living entity, with some individuals being more isolated than others. Regardless of those evangelistic social scientists who preach that human beings are little more than sophisticated primates who in time can be trained to accept a form of socio-political collectivism that will prevent them from achieving any more or less than their fellow citizens, the conscious choice—however shallow or other-directed—of each individual to activate the reasoning process results in a character and personality that makes that person distinct from all others—with each human being possessing a philosophy, or integrated view, of life.

Admittedly, many of the philosophical viewpoints that "people this little world" have something in common with the proverbial Texas river in that they are considerably wider than they are deep. Nonetheless, *thinking*—the mental activity we use to direct concepts acquired by the process of learning toward some goal and/or object—is the name of the game of life, not only for geniuses, but for all individuals who are not mentally ill or deficient. We cannot live without reasoning; nor can we fake our nature or the nature of reality. Consequently, it is not always easy to find kindred spirits within the diverse and culturally chaotic human zoo; and the more eclectic and purposive the thinker, the more difficult the quest.

What are the ties that bind, to some degree at least, the human animal to his fellows? Family, community, religion, race, work, and the myriad number of cults, clubs, and associations that range in diversity from the first church of Satan to the lyceums of gurus like Baba Ram Dass (formerly Dick Alpert, aide to Timothy Leary) to the Men's Crisis Center of Columbia in Northern California—an organization established to "restore masculine pride by encouraging traditional activities like playing poker, smoking cigars, drinking beer and watching football on TV." It can be safely assumed, I daresay, that purposive thinking has never reached a high point among the respected values of the rhythm & blues, country & western, soul, funk, rock and rap social

spheres of the majority of the American species.

Regardless of the emphasis on education in the modern world, there is *no* emphasis on the fact that we are cognitively independent of each other. That would conflict with Marxist and Christian doctrines. Nor is there sufficient emphasis on the value of thinking for the purpose of helping the individual to expand his ability to deal with the world around him, and to increase his efficacy as a person capable of establishing emotionally mature relationships. What of the arena of *higher* education? Are there any spectacular activities occurring "in the quick forge and working house of thought" on today's campuses? Not bloody likely—considering the hordes of hidebound collectivists and minority racists (the preachers of egalitarianism in the U.S. who never seem to be concerned with its practice in Russia or Israel) who labor in the vineyards of *akademeia*.

Perhaps, like the character of Socrates in Aristophanes *The Clouds*, I'm an island adrift in a cloudland of fantasy; but I often find myself envying those noble thinkers, the ancient Greeks, and the intellectual milieu in which they found themselves. They were unique in all the world because they sought philosophical wisdom in speculative cosmology outside of the realm of mysticism—i.e., sacred traditions, infallible sources of authority, and unquestionable dogmas.

At least 600 years before construction began on that dungeon of superstitions known as Christianity, Greek physicists in Asia Minor started the world on an orderly, naturalistic interpretation of the origin and nature of the cosmos—including the constitution and construction of the primordial substance or substances of all things, and the harmony and balance of physical forces; and in just a few centuries they achieved more in the fields of mathematics, astronomy, physics and medicine than had been achieved in all previous history.

What a thermonuclear explosion of mental activity! As the world's first towering colony of objective eyes, they were responsible for the world's first intellectual orgy. What glorious times they must have had plumbing the depths of logic, ethics, politics, epistemology, metaphysics, theology, and aesthetics. What ecstasy formulating principles, unraveling causes, identifying elements of each aspect of reality. What wonderful fun, not only thinking, but also being able to interact with kindred spirits, their intellectual peers.

Who were they?

Thales, Aristotle, Anaximander, Anaximenes, Parmenides, Heraclitus, Empedocles, Anaxagoras, Democritus, Diogenes, Euclid, Xenophanes, Protagoras, Epicurus, Socrates ("the enchanter of

Greeks"), and countless others.

From the noun *philosophia*, meaning "the love of wisdom", comes the word philosopher to describe a person who loves or desires wisdom. It is said that Pythagoras coined the word because he didn't want to be known simply as a "sophist" or wise man. Admittedly, the Greeks weren't crazy about practical knowledge for its own sake—how to build a better mousetrap, as it were. Commanding most of their attention instead was theoretical knowledge: to understand for the sake of understanding; to know for the sake of knowing. And so, for several incredible centuries—without priests, bibles, sacraments, sacrifices and savior gods capable of tripping the light fantastic on water—they proceeded to turn the world of religious myths and fantastic legends upside down.

While the Israelites were studiously preparing to "civilize" the world by preaching that the rainbow was Yahweh's promise to the Pious not to drown them. . .that the supernatural world was peopled with an endless supply of demons. . .that the children of the wicked should be forced to eat bread made of human-dung. . .that a dead carcass found by the road might be sold to Gentiles, but never to Jews. . .that the Jews got nine of the ten measures of wisdom the Ancient of Days gave the entire world. . .and that Israel would stand upon *his* enemies, making a footstool of all men—the Greeks were teaching that the rainbow was caused by light from the sun shining on wet atmosphere. . .that education was the basis of virtue and that only ignorance was evil. . .that all life evolved from lower forms of life. . .that the cosmos was an ordered system wherein worlds are born, grow, decay and perish. . .that the brain rather than the heart was the seat of intelligence. . .and on and on in geometry, physics, physiology, acoustics, geography, pneumatics, etc., as they opened up the world of thought in numerous and startling ways destined to transform the modes of thinking and living for much of the human race.

But then, like a thunderclap of doom, the emergence of and the adoption of Christianity by the White race traumatized the Western world and virtually halted (in many cases, as with the great library at Alexandria, even destroyed) the brilliant advances of Western man. In one fell, fanatical swoop, stagnation was substituted for progress, theology for science, rigidity for flexibility, and intolerance for humanization.

Christianity began as a messianic movement of disenchanting Jews who believed the time had come to choose a Messiah who would lead them back to Yahweh. This distinctive cult was then taken over by Saul

of Tarsus (Paul) and other Jews influenced by Greek learning and taste who believed that the faith could be transferred from its original home in the Semitic culture of Palestinian Judaism to the Gentile culture of the Roman Empire. That meant assimilating an orthodox Jewish rabbi to the savior gods—Mithra, Krishna, Osiris, etc.—of Asia, thereby transforming him into a virgin-born, murdered and resurrected, *Son* of God. It took several hundred years to fabricate, mold and forge this new religion into an eventually realized state-sanctioned faith.

It was Neoplatonism, however, that enabled Christianity to not only blend more thoroughly and quickly with the Gentile world, but to also assist the Graeco-Romans in doing to the Paulist cult what Paul and his confreres had done to the original messianists: appropriate the faith and dominate it.

To the mystical philosopher Plato, the “apparent” world of sense was more important than the “real” world of ideas. The material world, he declared, was only an imperfect appearance of true reality, a semi-real reflection or projection of it. Any investigation of nature was to be disdained and avoided. As for human beings, they were not ultimately real. Thus, no man by himself was metaphysically autonomous; all men ultimately comprised *one* unity. “We must be free of the body,” preached Plato, “and use the eye of the soul alone to behold the actual realities.”

This blind faith in supernatural absolutes was the element of Academic philosophy that by way of Neoplatonism was transmitted to the early Christian Church via non-Jews from Origen to Augustine. *Contempt for the world of matter, belief in the liberation of the soul through asceticism and mystic revelation, the subordination of reason to faith, and a blueprint for turning human beings into beehive drones,* made this philosophy congenial to the mystics who were building the new religion.

It was during that chaotic period of time that our Gentile ancestors traded the maturity of the Rational Age of Greece for the emotional illiteracy of the Hebrew holy books on the one hand, and the anti-mind, anti-body obsessions of Plato, on the other. . .when our people gave up the achievements of thinkers and scientists, of artists, philosophers, poets and statesmen, for the revelations of fanatical Jewish prophets and Plato’s gospel of worldly renunciation. . .that fateful interlude in history when the West rejected the greatest champion of the human mind who had ever lived—“the father of logic”—Aristotle.

Concomitant with the creation of the Jewish/Gentile savior god called the Christ, the new Christ-folk claimed the Jewish Scriptures as their own for the purpose of providing a historical foundation and a prophetic warrant for the existence of their Messiah. The next step was to produce a *New Testament* blending OT writings with NT gospel accounts to be used as the final and definitive sacred record of the birth, death and resurrection of the new savior god—with Jesus Christ being the tie binding the two testaments together.

To this essentially Jewish base were then added innumerable tenets and beliefs held by the Gentile peoples of the Hellenistic Orient. Among them: The Christian Eucharist was copied from the sacred Mithraic meal known as the “Last Supper;” from the Stoics came the “brotherhood of all men” and “equality” doctrines; the hope of “Salvation of humanity” could have been inspired by Egyptians, Babylonians or Persians; the Hindus provided the story of the prodigal son and the Talents; the idea of the logos was Platonic; the doctrine of the incarnation came from India; the concept of a new birth originated with the Chinese and the Eleusinians; the sacramental value was Pythagorean; the belief in the Trinity was common to East and West at the time, as were the rites of baptism, and the use of the cross, in its many variations, as a representation of an intensely masculine or male phallic symbol; and out of the teachings of the Stoics came the most pernicious doctrine of all: *original sin*. Combined with the Jewish notions that Adam and Eve betrayed God. . .that because of the sowing of the grain of evil sin in Adam, all people were guilty. . .and that moral scars could be inherited from one’s forbears—original sin soon became the very first principle in the Christian faith. “If the diplomacy of Christian life is to be workable,” proclaimed the theologians, “it must rest on the assumption of universal guilt.”

And thus it was that Christianity became a melange of the more primitive and superstitious doctrines prevalent in the Semitic and Gentile religions of the time. For reasons that should be obvious, several centuries following the enthronement of the Christian system by Rome, and including the rapid spread of the faith to Europe to the tune of the words “Baptism or death!”, came to be known as the most repressive ages in the history of mankind: the Middle Ages. Logically, the theologians who achieved fame during that “perpetual spiritualistic nightmare,” devoutly interpreted Plato’s definition of philosophy as a search for wisdom about the transcendent world. In the tradition of Attila the Hun who declared that wherever he walked, grass would never grow again, they were convinced that wherever they walked,

“pernicious individualism” would never rise again. And they weren’t alone. The philosophical thinkers outside of the Catholic Church were enamored of Plato almost to the point of believing that the combined philosophical speculations of the rest of the Western world were merely extended footnotes to his literary works. Ringing like death knells throughout the thinking of many influential Western philosophers are salutations to altruism, collectivism and statism, beginning with, “Rights must be eliminated, for such a notion rests on individualism,” and ending with, “The state is divine.”

It should be obvious to any objective Western thinker that the traitors among our people have been the power-hungry theologians, philosophers and politicians who sold their souls to collectivism and statism; who commandeered the messianic system that came to be known as Christianity from the Jews; and who then developed and perpetuated it for the purpose of “saving”—or even enslaving—the world. Fortunately, they failed. But the West has paid a terrible price for hundreds of years of Christian/Judaic/Platonist totalitarianism.

And so, with the destructive spread of Christianity among the most innovative and productive ethnic group in the world, the Gentile West chose the *pathological past*—a world pervaded with spirits, ghosts, demons, devils, myths. . . a world of shrines and altars and wailing walls. . . a world of witch doctors representing the primitive savior god of Galilee and the vindictive Yahweh of the Jews. . . a world of believers fleeing before the specters and illusions of their own creation—over a world of *intellectual curiosity, scientific discovery, flexibility, tolerance and decency*.

Eventually, the rebirth of Greek rationalism in Europe and the beginning of the Industrial Revolution motivated Western man to create, build, invent and produce in an explosion of innovation that led to all of the great technological developments of the 20th century: miracle drugs and fabrics; remarkable food production and distribution; miraculous means of communications, travel, and entertainment; and from the cue-tip to the computer, and endless array of products and concepts that make the world a better place for more people.

We must never forget, however, that *Greek* thought paved the way for all *rational* thought to follow. We are standing on their shoulders.

**THOSE WHO WILL NOT READ
HAVE NO ADVANTAGE OVER
THOSE WHO CANNOT READ**

SATURATING THE AIR OF OKLAHOMA WITH THE EXTERMINATION THESIS

by
Charles E. Weber

On the evening of 1 May 1989, the Oklahoma Educational Television Authority, which is partly supported by the taxpayers of Oklahoma, broadcast *three* programs purporting to portray the plight of the Jews in Europe during the Second World War. The first film dealt with the Wannsee Conference, which is supposed to have been held in the Berlin suburb of Wannsee on 20 January 1942 (8:00 to 9:30). The second film was entitled “The Triumph of Memory,” which consisted of alleged recollections of inmates of German concentration camps (9:30 to 10:00). The third film dealt with the march of some 1,500 young Jews from Auschwitz to Birkenau on 14 April 1988 and was entitled “March of the Living” (10:00 to 11:00).

The second film included the claim that there were selections for lethal gas chambers in Mauthausen, a lie which has been disproved long ago; even Simon Wiesenthal has had to admit that Jews were not killed in lethal gas chambers within the Reich itself. The third film asserts that 2,000,000 Jews were exterminated at Auschwitz. It also speaks of “pits where bodies were burned” at Treblinka, an absurdity, because if bodies were to have been burned they would have been burned above ground in order to provide a better supply of oxygen and thus save scarce fuel. The assertion that 2,000,000 Jews were exterminated at Auschwitz was made even after this lie has been disproved by the Leuchter Report, which recently provided analyses of the cyanide contents of fragments of building materials taken from buildings in Auschwitz where mass gassings of Jews are claimed to have taken place (see *Bulletin* 35 page 3).

The second and third films hardly need detain us further here. The most important of the three films was undoubtedly the first one, which purports to portray the Wannsee Conference of 20 January 1942, which is claimed to have planned the murder of 6,000,000 Jews. Without having seen this film, we commented on it briefly in *Bulletin* 20 (December 1987), page 4, on the basis of reviews of it we had seen. Now the Oklahoma Educational Television Authority finally provided us the awaited opportunity to view the film, which was made by In-

fafilm in Munich. The OETA showing included English subtitles. The film is presumably based on the minutes of the Wannsee Conference, the text of which is reproduced on pages 39-53 of Wilhelm Stäglich's *Der Auschwitz Mythos*. This text was presented as evidence at one of the postwar Nuremberg trials. The film portrays Reinhold Heydrich directing the Conference. (Heydrich was murdered in Prague only a few months later than the Conference, in June 1942, while he was Deputy Reich Protector of Bohemia and Moravia.)

The Wannsee Conference film is, in part, a phantasy based more or less on the minutes of the Wannsee Conference and even quotes verbatim this questionable document here and there, most notably the passage on page 7 of the minutes which envisages the use of interned Jews in building roads (in areas where, as Stäglich quite appropriately points out, there were already roads). The statistical compilation of Jewish populations on page 6 of the minutes is also quoted, including the claim that there were 165,000 Jews in the occupied part of France and 700,000 Jews in the unoccupied part, although it has been estimated that only about 150,000 Jews were living in France around 1930. The statistics in the minutes of the Wannsee Conference are highly questionable and in themselves are a strong indication that the alleged minutes are possibly spurious. The purported minutes of the Wannsee Conference contain on page 8 the very important phrase "... bei Freilassung . . ." This phrase refers to the eventual release of the Jews but there is no reference to it in the film. On the other hand, there are quite a few matters in the film which are not contained in the minutes. Heydrich, for example, points out that since the entry of the United States into the war, the Jews no longer had any value as hostages. Heydrich is portrayed as using his position to attract the pretty female secretary taking notes at the meeting to work for him in Bohemia. There is also mention of the use of trucks for executing Jews by gas in Serbia, also something completely lacking in the minutes, as is any plan for the physical extermination of Jews. Voluntary sterilization of half-Jews in exchange for their being allowed to remain in Germany is mentioned on page 11 of the minutes as well as in the film, but there seems to be no evidence that such a plan was ever put into effect, if, indeed, it ever existed. Much of the 90 or so minutes of the film is devoted to the discussion of the status of persons with partial Jewish ancestry, as is the case in the minutes of the Conference (pages 10-14). Some of the high-ranking officials present at the Conference are portrayed as being uncomfortable with the plans presented by Heydrich, who had been ordered to deal with the Jewish question by

means of "emigration and evacuation" in a letter from Göring dated 31 July 1941, the text of which can be found in Stäglich's book, page 32.

This film is a propaganda phantasy, probably made primarily for the on-going reëducation of the German people, but it is particularly dangerous and effective because it purports to be based on documentation, but documentation which in itself is highly questionable. Of the tens or even hundreds of thousands of viewers of the film in the United States, could more than a dozen have actually have read the text of the document on which it was primarily based? It is doubtful that even just the journalists who wrote laudatory reviews of the film ever took the trouble to read the purported minutes of the Conference. (For further details, see *Bulletin 20* and the detailed discussion of the minutes of the Conference on pages 54-65 of Stäglich's *Der Auschwitz Mythos*.)

* * * * *

THE CONCLUDING PARTS OF WAR AND REMEMBRANCE

We published a seven-page discussion of the American Broadcasting Corporation series, *War and Remembrance*, in *Bulletin 32*, which was republished in the *Liberty Bell* of January 1989. Several aspects of this series seemed important to us and in need of analysis and reply: The huge cost of the series (estimated at \$110,000,000.), its shrewd distortions of history, its portrayal of the Second World War as if it revolved essentially around Jews, its relative indifference to the suffering of the untold millions of Aryans who had been caught up in the war, its simplistic portrayals of the Allied side as absolute good and the anti-Comintern side as absolute evil and, above all, an intensely hostile portrayal of the German leadership as well as Germans in general without any regard to the deeper origins of the war.

War and Remembrance is the continuation of an 18-hour series shown in February 1983, *the Winds of War*, likewise based on a novel by Herman Wouk. The production of *The Winds of War* is reputed to have cost around \$40,000,000. A review of it was published in the May 1983 issue of the *Liberty Bell*. *The Winds of War* portrayed the Second World War up to the time of the Japanese attack on Pearl Harbor. The earlier parts of *War and Remembrance*, also with a total length of 18 hours, were broadcast during 13 to 23 November 1988, but these showings did not complete the series, perhaps as a result of production or scheduling difficulties. The concluding parts were finally shown on the evenings of 7, 8, 9, 10, and 14 May, with a further total of 11 1/2 hours, thus making a grand total of 47 1/2 hours for the two series. We

shall be concerned here with the contents of these concluding parts. Let us first summarize the action of the parts shown in May 1989:

7 May

Aaron Jastrow, the aging Jewish scholar, and his niece Natalie are now in the concentration camp at Theresienstadt. Jastrow is mercilessly beaten up because he refuses to be a member of the Council of Elders, after which he tears up a document recording his earlier conversion to Christianity. Plans for "Overlord," the Allied invasion of France, are made at Teheran. Slote, the American diplomat who as strongly concerned about the plight of Jews, but whose concern was frustrated by his superiors at the State Department, resigns from the State Department in order to join the Office of Strategic Services. On 17 February 1944 a new commandant of Theresienstadt is concerned with the beautification of the camp for a coming Red Cross inspection, but many of those interned there are being deported to the east. As of 11 May there are 35,000 Jews in Theresienstadt. Jastrow gives the commandant diamonds and promises his cooperation in exchange for the cancelation of the scheduled transport of his niece to the east.

8 May

Although the German people are still fanatically supportive of Hitler, General Halder and other officers decide to kill Hitler. The officer to carry out the assassination of Hitler, von Stauffenberg, is shown in Hitler's headquarters on 24 May 1944. After a doubtful weather situation, the invasion of France finally takes place on 6 June 1944, but Hitler considers the initial landings to be a feint. Slote is dropped in France to work with the French resistance but is soon killed thereafter. Natalie, who has been accused of some sort of subversive activities against German authorities, is cruelly tortured.

9 May

The Red Cross inspection of the Theresienstadt camp takes place on 22 June. The Americans are now bogged down in Normandy and the Russians are not moving. By 18 July the Gestapo is on the trail of the men conspiring against Hitler. The attempt against Hitler's life takes place on 20 July 1944 at Hitler's headquarters. Stauffenberg, who has left a time bomb near Hitler in a briefcase, has stepped out of the headquarters building and leaves after the bomb explodes. He has a difficult time in getting past several checkpoints on his way out of the headquarters area but finally manages to get through and travels to

Berlin. The aftermath of the failed attempt on Hitler's life results in a bloodbath of some 5,000 people, including "the last remnants of the old aristocracy." On 26 July 1944 Roosevelt, Admiral Nimitz and General MacArthur confer. MacArthur wants to liberate the Philippines. General Rommel, who has been accused of involvement against Hitler, commits suicide. On 25 August Paris is captured by the Allies and on 3 September Brussels is taken. By October, 12,000 internees are left in Theresienstadt and 20,000 have been transported away. Now Jastrow and his niece are also transported to Auschwitz.

10 May

The train transporting Jews passes through Görlitz and Liegnitz. A Christian Pole brings a large sack of apples to the thirsty Jews on the train. After the arrival of the train in Auschwitz a selection of Jews for the gas chambers takes place at night, accompanied by much brutality. After Jastrow recites the Twenty-Third Psalm he is gassed to death along with many other naked Jews. The bodies of the victims are cremated and their ashes dumped into a river to float eventually into the Baltic Sea. Admiral Henry's son, in command of a submarine, carries out a successful attack on a Japanese tanker.

14 May

Rhoda Henry, having divorced the admiral, is shown moving into an apartment. Pamela Tudsberry is with her and they learn of Roosevelt's death, reported on a radio. Rhoda is sad about Roosevelt's death and remarks that "Truman is a nobody." By 22 April 1945 the Russians have penetrated Berlin. On 25 April the western Allies meet the Russians at Torgau. Concentration camps at Dachau, Sachsenhausen, Flossenbürg, Bergen-Belsen, Mauthausen, Ravensbrück and Nordhausen are overrun by Allied forces. Hitler declares to General von Roon, "I did not make a single mistake." and appoints Admiral Dönitz as his successor. By 30 April von Roon reports to Hitler that the area around the bunker can no longer be defended and Hitler commits suicide along with Eva Braun after he has married her. Admiral Henry and Pamela Tudsberry are married in Washington. The admiral is summoned to the White House, where President Truman requests that he be his naval aide. Truman complains about the Russians' not keeping their agreements. Rhoda learns that Natalie has survived the war. The atom bomb is exploded at Los Alamos on 16 July 1945. Byron Henry goes to Natalie in Paris, where she is recovering at a sanatorium from an impaired memory. Natalie conde-

scendingly says of Slote, who sacrificed so much for Jews, that his heart was in the right place, for a Gentile. After a protracted search Byron Henry finds his little son in England. During the course of the search he was told that toward the end of the war the Germans simply threw children into ovens without gassing them first. The son was found amongst bodies of the dead in Prague. The series concludes with the son's running into his mother's arms.

There is little in the way of general comment that we have to add to our extensive discussion of the first 18 hours of *War and Remembrance* which were shown in November 1988, but a number of specific comments might be made on some of the action in the concluding 11 1/2 hours shown in May.

The producers of the series boast that it is the "most monumental achievement in motion picture history." In some senses that boast is no doubt correct. It was the most expensive production, but its financial losses might also have been "monumental." It would be interesting to know what sort of popularity rating it had, especially in the case of the concluding 11 1/2 hours shown in May. (Alas, we have learned from the April issue of *VHO-Nieuwsbrief* [Postbus 483, 2000 Antwerpen 1, Belgium] that *War and Remembrance* has been broadcast in Belgium.) The series is also a "monument" to Jewish hatred of and contempt for host populations. Note the arrogant, condescending remark of the Jewess Natalie on the death of Slote, who had sacrificed so much for the Jews, finally his very life. Although earlier parts of the series showed the hatred Poles had for the Jews, this was discontinued in later parts and the Christian Pole with the big sack of apples seems to have been introduced to atone for earlier digs against the Poles. Hitler, of course, is portrayed as an almost constantly ranting maniac, although such intellectual giants as the Scandinavians Knut Hamsun and Sven Hedin had the highest praise for Hitler after his death. There is only the slightest hint of the postwar behavior of the USSR that has cost the world so much during the decades following the war. One of the most prominent advertisers associated with the series was Ford. Old Henry Ford, who supported the publication of *The International Jew* during 1920-1922, a work which had a strong influence on Hitler, must have been turning in his grave. One aspect of the earlier parts of *War and Remembrance*, the indifference of Allied authorities to allegations of ongoing mass murder of Jews, was toned down for some reason or another in the concluding parts. The figure of 5,000 persons

executed in the wake of the attempt of Hitler's life seems quite doubtful. In *Verschwörung und Verrat um Hitler*, which we reviewed in *Bulletin 11* (republished in the June 1987 issue of the *Liberty Bell*), General Remer lists 161 conspirators who were executed, shot or who committed suicide. The statement (9 May) that the "last remnants of the old aristocracy" were destroyed in the aftermath of the attempt on Hitler's life is absurd, of course, but it is typical of the historical distortions and inaccuracies in the series. The claims of mass murder of Jews in gas chambers at Auschwitz are beginning to look ever more absurd in view of the important evidence presented in the Leuchter Report.

The *Winds of War* and *War and Remembrance* deserve to be forgotten and disdained. Let us hope that financial losses from them were painful enough to prevent them and similar productions from ever polluting the airwaves again. May Heaven forbid that they ever be used to "educate" American school children! □

Reprinted from *Bulletin No. 37*, published by the Committee for the Reexamination of the History of the Second World War, Charles E. Weber, Ph.D., Chairman.

Letters to the Editor

Anthony Modernicus

I come not to praise Hitler, merely to compare:—

Compare him with those honorable men of state who were his contemporaries.

These men, Churchill, Stalin, Roosevelt, all of them, so it is said, were honorable men, and Hitler was anything but honorable.

It is certainly true that Hitler was no Churchill; Hitler never programmed and initiated the means to incinerate entire cities. He never—even once—rejected peace proposals. Still, the world claims that Churchill was an honorable man.

After the fall of Poland, Stalin saw the need to murder 15,000 Polish officers for future security reasons. Hitler saw no such need, nor did he ever even contemplate such a monstrous deed. But, Stalin having done so, and the other "statesmen" knowing about it and trying to keep Stalin cloaked as an honorable ally endeavored to blame Hitler when this atrocious crime became public knowledge. And thus Stalin was duly called "Uncle Joe," and this by the honorable Truman, President of the United States, who later was to incinerate Hiroshima and Nagasaki. So was honor and integrity compromised in the case of Stalin.

continued on page 55

THE STRUGGLE FOR ULSTER

by David McCalden

Founder: Institute for Historical Review

Director: Truth Missions International

Many Revisionists are confused about the true nature of the ongoing strife in Northern Ireland. In particular, German patriots often display a sympathy for the Irish Catholic viewpoint, because of (southern) Ireland's neutrality during World War Two, and the message of condolence on the death of Hitler, submitted to the German embassy in Dublin by Prime Minister DeValera. Sometimes it is pointed out that the Ulster-Loyalist people have a six-pointed star on their official flag, and that their influential Orange Order is basically a version of Free Masonry. However, *we should not allow mere symbols, and their alleged or real significance, to distract us from the true nature of the struggle.*

Historically, it is a myth to talk about the "re-uniting" of Ireland. The island of Ireland never had one government until it was conquered by the Normans and annexed to Britain, during the 12th century. Up until that time, Ireland was "governed" by various, competing chieftains, who each had their own "turf."

The Norman conquerors of Britain and Ireland gradually melded in to both populaces. Because Ireland was very poor and remote, there were repeated rebellions and uprisings against British rule. During the 17th century, the British government decided to import the more industrious Scots, to colonise the north-eastern province of Ulster. *The "Plantation" of Ulster as it was called, was very similar to the "Drang nach Osten" whereby German pioneers colonised the fertile areas of the lower Danube, and the Volga.* Nowadays, we look critically at colonisation programs—such as the Jewish colonisation of the West Bank—but 400 years ago, successful re-population projects were highly regarded. After all, that is how the USA, Canada, Australia and New Zealand were established! (The Scots have been the majority in Ulster four times longer than Americans have been the majority in California!) However, the Scots in Ulster were treated as second-class citizens by the English rulers (the Catholic Irish were treated as third-class citizens) and soon there were a series of Scottish uprisings in Ulster. Although the Ulster-Scots tried their best to recruit Irish Catholics to the rebellion, the ignorant Irish peasants usually turned each uprising into a bloody massacre of all non-Irish. Eventually, many Ulster-Scots gave up on Ulster, and emigrated to America, where this time they

were successful in throwing off the chains of English domination. The U.S. system of government is substantially predicated on Scottish Presbyterianism (although in very recent years the U.S. government has of course been corrupted by Zionist influence).

Because of emancipation and increased educational opportunities, eventually by the Victorian era Catholics evolved their own intellectual class, and began to make more reasonable demands for self-government. However, there was—and is—always a conflict between the enlightened Irish idealists and intellectuals who sought true freedom, and the reactionary elements of the Catholic Irish establishment, who merely sought to replace British tyranny with Vatican tyranny. The imminence of Vatican-rule caused great anxiety among the Protestant Ulster-Scots. Consequently, before and during World War One there occurred several incidents of illegal gun-running from Imperial Germany, which mischievously armed both the Catholic-Irish insurrectionists, who sought independence from Britain, and also the Ulster-Scots Loyalists, who armed themselves to stay British, and not be absorbed into the proposed Vatican-ruled "independent" Ireland. It must be stated that *the German gun-running to both the Ulster Loyalists and to the Irish nationalists was sheer pragmatism for all parties.* Both the Ulster-Scots and the Irish-Catholics desperately needed weapons. The Kaiser merely took up an opportunity to cause mischief at Britain's "back door" while genocidal trench-warfare was raging at the front.

After the end of World War One, Britain was caught in a dilemma. Southern (Catholic) Ireland desired independence. But Ulster province in the north-east sought to stay British. As with India, the British solution was partition. The 26 southern counties were allowed to secede from the United Kingdom, while the 6 north-eastern counties remained British as "Northern Ireland." (The Irish secession was mostly peaceful; only a few hundred lives were lost on both the Irish-rebel and British-government sides; far more were killed in the subsequent southern-Irish civil war between rival factions. Compare this record to the 1861 secession of 11 southern states of the USA: 360,000 were killed in the brutal suppression of the secession!)

Unfortunately, just like in India/Pakistan, the border was extremely arbitrarily drawn, and left large minorities marooned on the "wrong" side of the border. However, in Ireland this did not result in any large-scale population transfers. Instead, the minority problem has been a serious issue for Northern Ireland ever since the southern secession of 1921. There have been sporadic outbreaks of minority-Catholic rioting

and uprising, culminating in the current "20-year war." Despite an open border, very few Catholics have relocated themselves from "oppression" in Northern Ireland to "liberty" in the southern Irish republic. Initially, in 1968, the minority-Catholics (35% of the Northern Ireland population) agitated on a platform of alleged "civil rights" grievances, and reforms were rapidly initiated. However, it quickly became clear that no amount of reform would satisfy their major grievance, which was sovereignty. The Irish Republicans feel that the Ulster Loyalists (about 1,000,000 people) have no right to determine their own destiny as a distinct people, and that they should—willingly or unwillingly—be absorbed, governed and dominated, by a Catholic-Irish Republican government.

As with the 1914-1916 period, both sides in this on-going territorial dispute solicit funding and weaponry from whoever will supply them. The (Catholic) Irish Republican Army (IRA) uses mostly East-bloc weaponry of Soviet or Czech manufacture, supplied by Libya, but financed from donations collected among the strong Irish community in America. The Ulster-Loyalists recently sought weapons from South Africa; but it turned out that these arms were also of Soviet origin, sold to the PLO, captured by Israel, and then given to South Africa. Again, *it is illogical to make political conclusions from such pragmatism.*

IRA propagandists like to portray the struggle in Northern Ireland as a rebellion of the "oppressed Irish" against the "oppressive British government." Absent from this formula is the majority population of Northern Ireland: the Ulster-Scots Loyalists. Time and again, they have voted overwhelmingly against being absorbed into an all-Catholic Irish republic where their civil rights would be abridged; they prefer to remain British where civil rights are better protected (although not perfect). Most of all, the Ulster-Scots—like the Palestinians—desire to determine their own destiny and to preserve their own heritage and culture, which is quite distinct from the Irish-Catholic culture. Ulster observers point out that since the secession of 1921, the Protestant population of southern Ireland has deteriorated from 10% to less than 2%—mostly due to inter-marriage and emigration.

The Scots are very stubborn people; hardworking, honest, industrious, and straightforward. Many Revisionists are of Scottish or Ulster-Scots descent: Robert Faurisson (France), John Bennett (Australia), David McCalden, and James J. Martin (USA). More than once, Ernst Zündel in Canada has paid eloquent tribute to the disproportionate Scottish element among his supporters, especially his courageous lawyer Doug Christie.

But while the Scots are busy creating inventions, building roads, healing the sick, etc. ("manual" professions) the Irish Catholics are excelling in "verbal" professions, and they tend to become reporters, politicians, musicians, poets, lawyers, etc.—all areas where they can impress their historical mythology onto an unsuspecting populace. Thus, the Irish-Catholic position appears (to the unenlightened) to be more "romantic," and the Ulster Loyalists seem to be dour, Puritanical and tyrannical.

The truth is that the Ulster people want nothing more than to be left alone to get on with their lives in peace and tranquility. The IRA and its supporters seek to force them—at the point of a bayonet—into giving up their self-determination. The IRA does this through violence, intimidation and murder, usually against civilians. The British government long ago could have quashed this Mafia-style terrorism; instead they have let it drag on for 20 years. Most recently, they indicated a penultimate act before final surrender to the IRA: the inclusion of the Irish government at Dublin in discussions of Ulster's internal and sovereign affairs. The Westminster regime deliberately ignores the self-determination rights of the Ulster people. So the people of Ulster need the support of our comrades around the world, in the on-going struggle against IRA terrorism on the one hand, and Westminster betrayal on the other. □

Letters to the Editor, continued from page 51

And Hitler was no comparison to the "honorable" man who took over the helm of the United States the same year that he started to lead the people of his Germany. Roosevelt was never able to solve his country's problems without a war, as Hitler did, but still, Roosevelt was considered to be an honorable and efficient man. Even when he planned for war while running for re-election on a platform of peace, and while relentlessly baiting Hitler, he was considered to be as honorable as a man can be.

How can one compare a man like Adolf Hitler, who, constantly proposing peace plans, to Churchill, Stalin, and Roosevelt who, instead of even considering such Hitler's peace plans, were aiming at nothing but war?

No such comparison is possible.

Hitler stands out. He is the only one who longed for peace. And as he so often stated, he wanted to protect his people from the destruction that he knew modern warfare could cause. His contemporaries did just the opposite. They, through their criminal actions,

showed no such concern.

There is the picture of a raving Churchill cursing the peaceful skies over London because Hitler was not retaliating in kind. There is the picture of Roosevelt with the knowledge of an impending attack and doom for thousands at Pearl Harbor and never informing the soon to be dead. And then there is Stalin with the erasing of churches and monasteries, and millions of peasant farmers on his conscience. Where, in all of history, was honor so sullied and misrepresented? There was only one of these men who was indeed honorable, truly honorable. That man we celebrate on his 100th birthday, 20 April 1989: the Leader-Führer of National Socialist Germany—Adolf Hitler.

* * * * *

Please renew my subscription and also please send the books I've listed. I don't think I could live through the withdrawal symptoms! Several weeks ago (February 1989) my family was watching the "Daytona 500" when my eight-year-old son came up to me and said, "Dad, you'd better come look at this!" The #27 car driven by Rusty Wallace, the "Kodiak Special," had a Red (K) Kosher symbol on the driver's side rear spoiler.

If race cars are kosher, and therefore edible, could one of your Jewish readers please tell us how to prepare one for next Thanksgiving? Unfortunately, the kosher blessing didn't help the "Kodiak Special" win. The race was won by the "Tide Special". Tide laundry soap is also kosher and could explain why Jews are always foaming at the mouth!

R.M., Iowa

* * * * *

I am writing to you in response to your renewal notice. I have found your magazine to be one of the most informative and well-written to which I have ever subscribed, however, I can not at this time renew my subscription.

Although the bulk of your articles are right on target, I cannot suffer through the frequent verbal abuse hurled at *my Father Yahweh* [Our italics]. I realize that your writers must wake up the sleeping *goyim* immersed in JUDEO-Christianity, but the Kingdom-Identity truth can answer every criticism put forward by your writers that I've ever read, yet never is our movement mentioned as an alternative for these lost sheep. If you would only, as the editor, insert some type of disclaimer after an article in which Mr. Oliver or one of your other authors bashes the modern Judaism called "Christianity," referring the reader to investigate the Identity viewpoint in the spirit of honest intellectual debate, I would renew in a minute. The problem for me is that

it seems like your publication and those who write for it avoid dealing with the subject. I wish you well.

Sincerely, K.Y., Pennsylvania

* * * * *

Agree with your Aryan Warrior views but not so easy when one is a half-breed (German-Irish).

Am undecided about Hitler since reading *Hitler and the 20th Century Hoax* by Kenneth Goff. This makes a lot of sense. Especially in view of the fact that Hitler had Jewish blood himself [*Are we ever so glad to finally hear the truth!!! —Editor*]. Can't help but wonder when more Christians than Jews ever thought of died in WWII. Jewish Communism has surged forward and Christianity declined. Hitler certainly dealt white Christians a lethal blow. Jack Mohr, a Christian patriot, also agrees with this [*Brig. Gen. Jack Mohr, as a former member in good standing and a former member of its Speakers Bureau, also agreed with a lot of other silly things the John Birch Society peddled, and still peddles, to its suckers! —Editor*]. The fact that Christ died, not Jews, makes me wonder!

The Jews of the Bible, except maybe Judas Iscariot, were of the tribe of Judah, not the false Jews of today and of whom we are warned in *Revelation 2:9 & 3:9* and *II John 7*. I believe God Almighty created all and he uses the wicked to punish us, His people, when necessary. The lost tribes of Israel are the Anglo-Saxon, Scandinavian and Celtic tribes; Judah is Germany [*"Heaven" forbid! Just the facts, please, ma'm! —Editor*]. We have all been brought to this great land to make it a great Christian nation [Oh, I see! —Editor]. It is New Jerusalem—"Jer(USA)alem". [*On this point I agree with you, madame, that is as far as Zoo City is concerned: it should be properly named Jerusalem-on-the-Hudson! —Editor*]. The false Jews are the Canaanites, Kenites, Mongolians, etc.

Abraham, the father of the White race, was not a Jew [*he, then, must have been one of those stupid, un-human goyim Abraham's tribesmen so contemptuously talk about in their Talmud! —Baloney! No matter what way you slice it! —Editor*].

I hope you reconsider that God Almighty and His Son, the Prophets, and the Disciples were *not* Jews. Anyway, I know who the Christ-haters are and I hate them who hate Him, as I am told to do.

I would like samples of your leaflets to distribute. Enclosed is \$3.00 to cover. Thanks much. For an Ever-Free America,

Mrs. P. G., Minnesota

* * * * *

I was introduced to your magazine by way of article reprints of Jim Taylor's writings and I subsequently have now obtained my first copy

of *Liberty Bell* magazine. I am shocked and disheartened to say the least, by the first two articles, "Postscripts" and "Christian Enigmas" in the December 1988 issue. I acknowledge much blindness, stupidity and deceit on the part of those who call themselves Christians, but I had thought your writers and you as editor were more discerning and knowledgeable as to the Truth of the Scriptures.

Firstly, something you, authors Oliver and Carter study in depth is that the Jews of today are not nearly *at all* the descendants of the Israelites of the Scriptures. As noted in your own publication, *Behind Communism*, today's Jews are a hodge-podge mixture of Khazars, Edomites, Canaanites and relatively few if any true descendants of the patriarch Judah from whom the "Jews" claim to derive their name. In *Revelation 2:9* & *3:9*, our Saviour refers to "those who say they are Jews but lie and are the synagogue of Satan"; by studying the Talmud and comparing it with the Hebrew Scriptures one can readily see that Yahshua (the proper name of the Messiah) is very accurate (as always) in calling them the synagogue of Satan.

As many students of the Scriptures and history know, the real Israelites of today are the Anglo-Saxon, German, Scandinavian and kindred people scattered throughout the world who, for the most part, identify with the Saviour of man, Yahshua the Messiah (known to most as Jesus Christ). It is quite likely that you and both writers previously mentioned are actual flesh and blood descendants of Abraham, Isaac, and Jacob and are therefore recipients of the blessings pronounced upon those descendants!

Specifically and especially, Yahweh said that in the descendants of Abraham, Isaac, and Jacob, all of the earth would be blessed. And so it has been that the whole world *has* been blessed by the prosperity, ingenuity, and dedication of the "white" Israelites who established civilization and exalted the truth among the darkened pagans of the world.

It is a travesty and shame that your magazine, *which broadcasts so much truth*, should be stained by the writings of atheistic slop that your contributing writers have swallowed. I thought you yourself knew better, since I observed some wise statements in your editorial notes of Mr. Taylor's articles. I believe in guilt by association, and even if you don't (and are merely publishing the atheistic drivel for the sake of other truths the same writers bring out,) you should be aware that a house divided against itself cannot stand. Every plant which the heavenly Father has not planted shall be rooted out and cast into the fire. This includes *all* "anti-Christ's", not just the Jews.

It is quite a life-long exercise and effort for us natural men to at-

tain to spiritual understanding. I know there are many discrepancies, inconsistencies, and outright errors in the bulk of "Christianity," yet we are to exercise our sensibilities to discern *between* good and evil. We are to prove all things *and hold fast that which is good*. Mr. Carter, author of "Christian Enigmas," stumbles over mostly Catholic/paganistic perversions and traditions of men and then shows forth the typically ignorant, atheistic arrogance of mocking his creator, as does Mr. Oliver. I solemnly warn you, Yahweh will not be mocked.

If you men would study the history of His dealings with His people and consider Yahweh's work in your own lives and see the great promises He has made to work wondrously on our behalf, you would soon have the comfort of victorious life over all the evil of the Jews. You would also then have the assurance for guiding your own life and efforts against evil with the help of the Almighty, the only one who can give you the Love to overcome evil with good. You would then also have the wisdom to hate His enemies with *perfect* hatred (Psalm 139:19-22).

I hope that you pass this letter on to Oliver and Carter and Mr. Taylor and any of the workers of your organization who labor under the hopeless doubt and darkness of unbelief. I hope the best for you all—it would be an awful shame to see you fail because of impractically overlooking the Source of Truth. (Incidentally, the name Adam means "one who blushes red in the face" thus identifying him as *white*, which is backed up by the fact that scientists have *never* found a white man's fossilized skull more than 6000 years old. Negroid and other skulls have been found much older, which were pre-Adamite races (see the Hebrew reading of *Genesis 1:1,2* that indicates this pre-Adamic civilization.) Adam was created 6000 years ago to have dominion over creation. Only with Yahweh can we, his descendants, succeed.)

E.O.

Enclosed check for a continuation of my subscription to *Liberty Bell*—window of sanity in this Orwellian world.

You might be interested in hearing that the first attempt by Irv Rubin of JDL infamy to sue Dr. George Ashley for "slandering" Irv's good name (*sic*) failed miserably.

Rubin and his lawyer, Posner—cousin of Vladimir Posner, a New York Jew and mouth piece for the Soviet Union—really put on a pathetic performance. The two of them looked like Abbot and Costello in one of their more bumbling skits!

After Ashley's house was bombed *three* times in addition to *three*

separate vandalizings by Gods Chosen cowbirds it seems that more punishment had to be doled out. Their entire case centered on Ashley's affiliation with the Institute for Historical Review which apparently justifies any kind of violence a member might suffer. The jury didn't buy it—probably and especially the minorities present—several Mexicans who are infinitely more knowledgable about the cowbirds than are Euro-Americans. Also, Ashley had a Jewish lawyer who exhibited courage and tenacity. The “other” lawyers were afraid to take the case. The judge left a loophole for the forces of darkness to appeal, so they may. These people with their melodramatic “histories” and sanctions against “racisem and anti-Semitism” are running out of gas. Aside from their coercion and the fear they try to instill they have little going for them. They really skate on very thin ice.

Their liberal followers look more and more ridiculous and they violate their own principles of “academic freedom.” If only more Americans and Europeans would demand to get to the bottom of historical and political events, these people would be swept aside like yesterday's dust.

You are certainly doing your part. Keep up the excellent work and we will prevail.

M.B., California

* * * * *

The book, *The March Up Country*...I found to be the most rewarding one I have read in a long time. While many have assumed the roles of ‘educators’ in recent years, I can think of no one since Cmdr. Rockwell's assassination who has really shown a desire to effect a change in some finite span of time. Mr. Covington accurately portrayed my relation with the ‘racial right’ for the last 25 years. Periodically I would look at the selection of ‘leaders’ and ‘organizations,’ gag, and return to political hibernation. After reading several laudatory letters in *Liberty Bell*, I ordered the book, fully expecting another ‘mini-Führer’. This one seems to be the real thing. In fact, I think he actually read *Mein Kampf* and understood it, and is attempting to apply the wisdom to be mined from that book, rather than stumbling into every cul-de-sac that very book warns against...

Thanks again for doing the job you're doing. We need more Covingtons to get the movement moving, but without the publishers and book distributors there wouldn't be a movement. The physical size, and the geographical diversity of this country are its greatest asset and glory, but it certainly spreads our people thinly. In comparison, Berlin and Munich are almost twin cities.

Sincerely, W.B., Arizona

KEEP THE *LIBERTY BELL* RINGING!

Please remember: *Our* fight is *Your* fight! Donate whatever you can spare on a regular—monthly or quarterly—basis. Whether it is \$2., \$5., \$20., or \$100. or more, rest assured it is needed here and will be used in our common struggle. If you are a businessman, postage stamps in any denomination, are a legitimate business expense—and we need and use many of these here every month, and will be gratefully accepted as donations.

Your donations will help us spread the *Message of Liberty* and *White Survival* throughout the land, by making available additional copies of our printed material to fellow Whites who do not yet know what is in store for them.

Order our pamphlets, booklets, stickers, and—most importantly—our reprints which are ideally suited for mass distribution at reasonable cost. Order extra copies of *Liberty Bell* for distribution to your circle of friends, neighbors and relatives, urging them to subscribe to our unique publication. Our bulk prices are shown on the inside front cover of every issue of *Liberty Bell*.

Pass along your copy of *Liberty Bell*, and copies of reprints you obtained from us, to friends and acquaintances who may be on our ‘wave length,’ and urge them to contact us for more of the same.

Carry on the fight to free our White people from the shackles of alien domination, even if you can only join our ranks in spirit. You can provide for this by bequest. The following are suggested forms of bequests which you may include in your Last Will and Testament:

1. I bequeath to Mr. George P. Dietz, as Trustee for Liberty Bell Publications, P.O. Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA, the sum of \$ for general purposes.

2. I bequeath to Mr. George P. Dietz, as Trustee for Liberty Bell Publications, P.O. Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA, the following described property for general purposes.

**DO YOUR PART TODAY -- HELP FREE OUR WHITE
RACE FROM ALIEN DOMINATION!**