

ABOUT THE AUTHOR: Dr. Revilo Pendleton Oliver, Professor of the Classics at the University of Illinois for 32 years, is a scholar of international distinction who has written articles in four languages for the most prestigious academic publications in the United States and Europe.

During World War II, Dr. Oliver was Director of Research in a highly secret agency of the War Department, and was cited for outstanding service to his country.

One of the very few academicians who has been outspoken in his opposition to the progressive defacement of our civilization, Dr. Oliver has long insisted that the fate of his countrymen hangs on their willingness to subordinate their doctrinal differences to the tough but idealistic solidarity which is the prerequisite of a Majority resurgence.

SOME QUOTABLE QUOTES FROM *AMERICA'S DECLINE*

On the 18th Amendment (Prohibition): "Very few Americans were sufficiently sane to perceive that they had repudiated the American conception of government and had replaced it with the legal principle of the 'dictatorship of the proletariat,' which was the theoretical justification of the Jews' revolution in Russia."

On Race: "We must further understand that all races naturally regard themselves as superior to all others. We think Congoids unintelligent, but they feel only contempt for a race so stupid or craven that it fawns on them, gives them votes, lavishly subsidizes them with its own earnings, and even oppresses its own people to curry their favor. We are a race as are the others. If we attribute to ourselves a superiority, intellectual, moral, or other, in terms of our own standards, we are simply indulging in a tautology. The only objective criterion of superiority, among human races as among all other species, is biological: the strong survive, the weak perish. The superior race of mankind today is the one that will emerge victorious—whether by its technology or its fecundity—from the proximate struggle for life on an overcrowded planet."

AMERICA'S DECLINE

ORDER No. 1007—\$8.50

plus \$1.00 for post. & handlg.

376 pp., pb.

ORDER FROM:

LIBERTY BELL PUBLICATIONS, Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA

Liberty Bell

ISSN: 0145 - 7667

SINGLE COPY \$3.00

WHO OWNS WASHINGTON

By Foreign Correspondent Jim Taylor
page 17

ALSO IN THIS ISSUE:

POSTSCRIPTS, by Professor Revilo P. Oliver: A Dream of Holohoaxing, page 1; Echoes of the Great Catastrophe, page 3; Lest We Forget, page 10; Correction, page 55. REFLECTIONS ON HITLER'S CAMPAIGN IN RUSSIA 1941-1945, by Dr. Charles E. Weber, page 11; THE WANNSEE CONFERENCE FILM, by Dr. Charles Weber, page 15. ARYAN VICTORY IN SUPREME COURT DECISION, by The Nordic Press, page 45. LETTERS TO THE EDITOR, page 49.

VOL. 15 - NO. 6

FEBRUARY 1988

Voice Of Thinking Americans

LIBERTY BELL

The magazine for *Thinking Americans*, is published monthly by Liberty Bell Publications, George P. Dietz, Editor. Editorial Offices: P.O. Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA - Phone: 304-927-4486.

Manuscripts conforming to our editorial policy are always welcome, however, they cannot be returned unless accompanied by stamped, self-addressed envelope. Manuscripts accepted for publication become the property of Liberty Bell Publications.

COPYRIGHT 1983
by Liberty Bell Publications

Permission granted to quote in whole or part any article except those subject to author's Copyright. Proper source credit and address should be given.

ANNUAL SUBSCRIPTION RATES:

SAMPLE COPY with several reprints	\$ 3.00
THIRD CLASS - U.S.A. only	\$25.00
FIRST CLASS - U.S.A.-Canada-Mexico only	\$32.00
FIRST CLASS - All foreign countries	\$35.00

AIR MAIL - Europe-South America	\$45.00
Middle East-Far East-So. Africa	\$49.00
Sample Copy	\$ 4.00

BULK COPIES FOR DISTRIBUTION:

10 copies	\$ 18.00
50 copies	\$ 65.00
100 copies	\$110.00
500 copies	\$400.00
1000 copies	\$700.00

These prices apply only to our standard 52-page editions.

FREEDOM OF SPEECH—FREEDOM OF THOUGHT FREEDOM OF EXPRESSION

The editor-publisher of *Liberty Bell* does not necessarily agree with each and every article in this magazine, nor does he subscribe to all conclusions arrived at by various writers; however, he does endeavor to permit the exposure of ideas suppressed by the controlled news media of this country.

It is, therefore, in the best tradition of America and of free men everywhere that *Liberty Bell* strives to give free reign to ideas, for ultimately it is ideas which rule the world and determine both the content and structure of culture.

We believe that we can and will change our society for the better. We declare our long-held view that no institution or government created by men, for men, is inviolable, incorruptible, and not subject to evolution, change or replacement by the will of the people.

To this we dedicate our lives and our work. No effort will be spared and no idea will be allowed to go unexpressed if we think it will benefit the *Thinking People*, not only of America, but the entire world.

George P. Dietz, Editor & Publisher

POSTSCRIPTS

by
Revil P. Oliver

A DREAM OF HOLOHOAXING

By the time this appears in print, the second pseudo-legal persecution of Ernst Zündel will probably be underway in Toronto, as the world-destroyers make another attempt to establish God's law: that Aryan dogs must always believe whatever their insolent masters tell them.

To the honor of the Canadians it will always be remembered that their Court of Appeals had honest judges who braved Jewish terrorism to reverse the conviction of Mr. Zündel in a Soviet-style simulation of a trial in 1985. By this time, the Attorney General of Canada must have often rued the day on which he decided to stage that obscene lynching to please his masters instead of having Mr. Zündel murdered. He could even have borrowed technicians from "our" C.I.A. to do an expert job of suiciding the insubordinate Aryan.

Poor Mr. Scott made an attempt to persecute Mr. Zündel in the interim before the new trial, but he had to withdraw the manifestly spurious charge, and his long and faithful service to God's race was forgotten as a rabid Kikess began to screech demands for his resignation.

The trial, now postponed to January 18, will be one of climactic importance, whatever happens. It is possible that no way will be found to prevent Mr. Zündel and his supporters from exposing to the public the crude fictions of the Holofoax by which the Jews now believe that they have the hated Aryans by the neck. We may even permit ourselves the luxury of hoping a little.

Is it possible that the predatory race, which snivels and cringes until it feels sufficiently powerful to exhibit its innate hatred of all mankind—the dissident Jewess, Evelyn Kaye, in her recent book, *The Hole in the Sheet*, says that little Jews drink in hatred of all other races with their mothers' milk—is it

possible that the overweening confidence and open arrogance that the Jews now display is premature?

Voltaire, who certainly had the keenest mind of the Eighteenth Century, aptly and succinctly described the world's parasites in the relevant article in his *Dictionnaire philosophique*: "You will only find in the Jews an ignorant and barbarous people, who for a long time have joined the most sordid avarice to the most detestable superstition and to the most invincible hatred of all peoples who tolerate and enrich them." Voltaire stated what should have been obvious to everyone whose mind had not been affected by the superstition the Jews foisted on our race, but during the following century the versipellous race cunningly extended its control over all our nations, and now owns them. Is it too much to hope that our race may now belatedly perceive what was obvious two centuries ago?

Mr. Zündel in a recent mailing quotes the text of the Canadian law that served as an excuse for persecuting him:

Every one who wilfully publishes a statement, tale [!] or news that he knows is false and that causes or is likely to cause injury or mischief to a public interest is guilty of an indictable offense and liable to imprisonment for two years.

Now what if Canada should have a truly Canadian government, which would undertake to enforce that law? The most obviously guilty under that law are the whole tribe of Holoaxers. And if there is respect for the indisputable facts and cogent inferences of honest history, their conviction would be merely a matter of routine.

If the law were enforced, there would be, of course, one grave difficulty: Canada does not have, and could not build, enough prisons to hold all of the guilty members of the insidious race. But that difficulty would not be insuperable. When each of the invaders is convicted, he or she would be offered a choice between two years in prison and a free passage, first-class in a luxurious Boeing 747 or Concorde, to Zion, to the land of their heart's desire, where they can enjoy killing helpless Semites, whom they hate almost as much as they hate Aryans.

You think it most unlikely that there could be justice in a "great democracy"? Well, we are still permitted to dream, aren't we?

* * *

ECHOES OF THE GREAT CATASTROPHE

A year or two ago I read in succession two books dealing with the Suicide of the West in 1945. The first was General Otto Ernst Remer's *Verschwörung und Verrat um Hitler*, which was reviewed by Dr. Charles E. Weber in *Liberty Bell*, June 1987, pp. 52-58. It is a terrible book, and will give you nightmares of despondency. General Remer surveyed the extent of treason in Germany, beginning with Hitler's ascent to power—not the notorious and disastrous treachery of Admiral Canaris, who cannot be called a traitor, strictly speaking, if, as is virtually certain, he was a Jew and so loyal to his own race, but treason by Germans in high and responsible positions in government, army, and universities,¹ including an amazing number of mem-

1. Especially interesting is the chapter (pp. 281-301) which describes German research and work to develop an atomic bomb, and identifies the traitors who sabotaged it. The best known is Werner Heisenberg, who later boasted that his effort had been not to develop an atomic bomb. As is now well known, the principle of atomic fission was discovered by Professor Otto Hahn, late in 1938. The military potential of the discovery was obvious, and a learned Jew, Rosenfeld, and the famous Niels Bohr, who was half-Jew, hastened to the United States to make certain that it was not overlooked. (Cf. the article by Henry Barschall in *Physics Today*, XL.6 (June 1987), pp. 27-32.) The prime movers of the frantic effort to develop an atomic bomb in the United States before Germany had one were all Kikes, except Fermi, who was married to a Kikess; the effort would have failed, however, had not German work been sabotaged. Hitler, who was not a blood-thirsty savage like Churchill and Roosevelt, would never have permitted the use of atomic warfare against Europeans, but possession of such a weapon by Germany would have daunted even the Jews' stooges. Had the American bomb been ready in time, you may be sure that Churchill and Roosevelt, who did sponsor plans to destroy the entire population of Germany with anthrax and with strontium, would have used it against the Aryans of Germany with glee. Both, of course, were partly Jewish. Atomic warfare realizes the inveterate dream of the Jews, whose racial soul is motivated by a blind lust to destroy, as is obvious from scores of passages in the "Old Testament." As an example, I translate a line in the *Book of Jesus* in the Septuagint, corresponding to *Joshua*, 8,28: "And Jesus burned that city to ashes and made it a mound (of rubble) and a desolation for ever." The Jew-Book is full of passages in which old Yahweh promises to make entire regions a "desolation for ever," and he, of course, is the god whom the Jews created in their own image.

bers of the titled nobility. Reading that book, one could give an appalling answer to the question, Who, most of all, caused the catastrophic defeat of Germany? Germans!

To augment one's bitterness, one read in the official newsletter, *The Week in Germany*, 25 July 1986, that the foul little stooges, who misgovern Germany for the Jews under the protection of American troops, staged obscene ceremonies throughout what is left of Germany to honor the vicious traitors who betrayed their nation and race, and whom the Chancellor dared to call "models for our time." And according to the same propaganda sheet, 24 July 1987, boot-licker Kohl was even so vile as to declare that the depraved creatures who tried to murder Hitler in the infamous bomb-plot were a proof that "humanity and moral feelings were not extinguished in Germany" when that nation was sacrificing itself in a vain effort to win a future for our thankless and self-doomed race.

The second book was General Hans Baur's *Mit Mächtigen zwischen Himmel und Erde* (4. Auflage; Oldendorf, Schütz, 1982). It was, to some extent, a restorative. Baur, as *Flugkapitän*, was Adolf Hitler's favorite and official pilot, who flew the Führer about Germany and carried his honored guests, Mussolini, King Boris of Bulgaria, the future Pope Pius XII, and others, from and to their homes. He was therefore closely associated with Hitler and a witness to some of his exploits, and he was one of the loyal men who remained with the great champion of the Aryans until his tragic end in the bunker under Berlin.

The book poses but does not answer a few questions of possible sabotage, e.g., Hitler gave a categorical order that Messerschmitt be supplied with materials for the production of jet fighters that would have the power to engage the armadas of planes that the savage Janissaries of the world-destroying race were sending over Germany to kill women, children, and other non-combatants. Why was not that order obeyed?² But the book is, withal, a record of honor, loyalty, and the terrible tragedy of what may have been the greatest catastrophe of human history.

2. If the necessary materials were, as Baur believes, available, the failure to deliver them must have been sabotage. From Remer's references to General Milch, we must infer that he could not have been responsible, as some might read into Baur's reference to him. There were many traitors in the Air Ministry; cf. Remer, op.cit., p. 277.

Since Baur was Hitler's pilot and a man in whom Hitler had great confidence, his narrative is a very important historical source. If he was not actually present at some major events, he flew Hitler to the scene and usually was told of them immediately afterwards by Hitler himself. Since there is no reason to doubt Baur's *bona fides* and Hitler would have had no reason to deceive a man in whom he need not have confided at all, the narrative is a primary historical document. I will mention one example succinctly; for a full account, you must go to Baur's text.

In June 1934, when Hitler learned, almost at the last minute, that Ernst Röhm, who was the chief of the entire *Sturmabteilung*, was planning to use his army of troopers for a *coup d'état* and was negotiating with the French, Hitler made one of the prompt and bold decisions that we admire in Napoleon and other great commanders. Accompanied by only seven men, he had Baur fly him to Munich, where he arrived at four o'clock in the morning, taking the nest of traitors by surprise. He immediately placed the Chief of Police, one of Röhm's accomplices, under arrest, and proceeded at once to the hotel in which the traitor was staying with his principal subordinates. Hitler himself went directly to Röhm's room and found him in bed, naked, with one of his darling boys.

Baur witnessed Hitler's decision to act but did not know what the crisis was; he piloted the plane to Munich and then visited his home, which was in that city. At dinner that evening, Hitler told him what had happened and even how he had learned of the plot.

The traitor and seventy of his accomplices were executed, as they deserved and as they would have been executed in any nation fit to exist. But Röhm was both a traitor and a pervert, and, as we all know, such charms attract "Liberal intellectuals," just as rotting meat attracts flies. They did not even need a nudge from the Jews to begin wailing. I have looked up Röhm in the *Columbia Encyclopaedia*, where gullible readers are told that the dear old degenerate was "murdered." You may take that as an example of what you may expect from even reference books that are generally reliable.

As Thucydides observed long ago, chance, i.e., unpredictable coincidences, often is decisive in war. On 13 March 1943, Hitler, and Baur who was flying the plane, escaped death by chance. General Henning von Tresckow (on whom consult Remer) asked

a member of the party to carry a package "containing cognac" as a gift to a friend. The package really contained a bomb made of explosives of English manufacture that had probably been supplied to the traitors by the British Secret Service. Bombs of that type had been uniformly successful in tests, but a slight mechanical defect prevented the bomb from being detonated on that flight. Historians will speculate what would have been the course of subsequent events, had not that chance intervened to save Hitler's life. When the plane landed, chance again gave another of the conspirators an opportunity to substitute for the bomb a similar package that really did contain cognac. If the bomb had been discovered, General von Tresckow would, of course, have been shot, and he could not have continued his work of sabotaging the German army in Russia—and it is quite possible that investigation at that time would have discovered the whole conspiracy that was headed by the Graf von Stauffenberg,³ with, of course, the help of Canaris. You may speculate about what would have happened, had the conspiracy been discovered and suppressed more than a year before the attempt to assassinate Hitler on 20 July 1944.

Mention of Canaris always reminds one of Hitler's great weakness. He was an Aryan and, with our racial instincts, he felt gratitude to men who had helped and supported him at the beginning of his political career, when he was only an obscure patriot whom few took seriously: he wanted to repay loyalty with loyalty. The wily and subtle Canaris, whom Hitler made chief of German Intelligence, concealed his treachery until Germany was on the brink of disaster, and it is not remarkable that he was able to retain Hitler's confidence.⁴ But incompetence

3. What motivated this well-born and handsome young man to head so foul a conspiracy of traitors? The apology that the attempted assassination was an act of desperation when the defeat of Germany was seen to be inevitable, is false; the conspiracy was at work when a German victory seemed certain, and may have prevented it. It may be relevant that the Graf von Stauffenberg came from a Catholic family and, although Remer says the man no longer attended church, his head was probably filled with Christian garbage (often called 'ideals').

4. One of the very few Germans who suspected Canaris was Reinhard Heydrich, who might have unmasked Canaris, had not the British saved him by flying in a trained team of assassins to murder Heydrich. As everyone knows, Heydrich so cruelly oppressed the Bohemians that

cannot be concealed. Hitler's loyalty to Hermann Göring is therefore much more remarkable. Everyone knows that Göring's failure to equip the German Air Force with planes that were a match for those produced in England and the United States lost Germany the superiority in the air with which she began the war.⁵

Historians will learn from Baur that Göring told him, "I must honestly confess that I have little notion of contemporary aircraft and their capabilities. The newer developments are way over my head." Baur also notes that he and his fellow aviators were early aware of the man's incompetence: "For a long time, we had all been saying that Göring should have been minister of railroads rather than minister of air transportation." You will also learn that Hitler retained confidence in his old comrade to the end.

The most moving and unforgettable part of Baur's book is a chapter near the end. As Hitler's pilot, he remained with the great man in the bunker under Berlin, ready to fly him to a

he used to drive about in an open car with no escort but his chauffeur, and none of the inhabitants made any attempt to harm him. If you find fault in the logic of that sentence, remember that well-trained Americans must always believe what they are told by Jews.

5. Charles Bewley in *Hermann Göring and the Third Reich* (New York, Devin-Adair, 1962), while not exculpating the subject of his biography, places the principal responsibility for the decline of Germany's Air Force on Hitler. I do not attempt to adjudicate the question, but I note that Bewley, as part of his case, blames Hitler for attacking the Soviet in 1941, evidently unaware that Hitler merely anticipated a sudden Soviet offensive against Germany. (See the article by the former member of the Soviet General Staff, Viktor Suvorov, in *Liberty Bell*, January 1986, pp. 27-39). It is likely that Stalin had concerted that attack with Roosevelt and perhaps Churchill soon after, or even before, the war began. Bewley notes (p. 310) that a "technician among the [German] generals" really prevented the new Heinkel bombers from being ready in time for use against Russia, but he does not guess the significance of that fact. The sabotage was effected by demanding modifications of the planes which, although militarily trivial and even foolish, were extremely difficult to make, requiring great changes in design. Since Göring did not intervene and use his authority to prevent that sabotage, we cannot acquit him of gross incompetence and negligence.

place of safety, and urging him to escape from the irresistible advance of the American-financed hordes from Russia. He was therefore an unprejudiced witness of Hitler's last days and hours.

I shall not attempt to comment on that chapter. If you can read it without catharsis, you have lost the ability to comprehend tragedy. In the letter that Frau Goebbels wrote just before she killed her children, she said: "Our magnificent ideal perishes here, and with it, everything I have known in my life that was beautiful, admirable, noble, and good. It is no longer worthwhile living in the world that will come.... For that reason, I have kept the children here with me. They are too good for the world that will come after us." One can foresee a time when conscientious American parents may have the same concern for their children.

* * *

When I finished reading *Mit Mächtigen zwischen Himmel und Erde*, I wished the book could be translated into English, and I even suggested it as a project to a man whom I knew to be an excellent translator. It is only typical of the disorganization of the American "right wing" that a chance remark by an acquaintance who had called me on the telephone first informed me that a translation had already been made and published under the title, *Hitler at My Side* (Houston, Texas; Eichler, 1986; available from Liberty Bell Publications, \$22.50 + 10% postage).

This is a handsome, cloth-bound book, with many more photographs than appeared in the German original. One has to approach translations, even from major modern languages, with scepticism these days, and I was relieved to find that the translation by Lyndal Butler is quite adequate. I have checked carefully a score of passages chosen at random, and I could suggest only some comparatively minor changes.⁶ My quotations from Baur above are taken from this translation.

This book contains much that you will read with emotion and never forget.

6. Here are a few examples, chosen at random. I quote from the translation, italicizing the words under consideration; then I give the corresponding German words, and finally my suggestion:

p. 159. "Hitler then gave strict orders that, in the future, such flights should not be undertaken *with unknown pilots*. ...von mir unbekanntem Piloten... = by pilots with whom I was not acquainted.

p. 79. "According to Hitler's narration of the event, which he related to me at supper, *the Röhm affair had been concluded in the following way*." ...hatten sich die Vorgänge in der Affäre Röhm folgendermaßen abgespielt: = the antecedents of the Röhm affair had developed as follows:

p. 137. "Pintsch had been given the task of informing Hitler by giving him Hess' letter announcing his intention, five hours later. *Hitler had known of Hess' intentions when he ordered the sudden flight to Berlin*, but did not know if he had succeeded." Hitler wußte also, als er den plötzlichen Flug nach Berlin anordnete, was Heß plante... = Thus, when Hitler ordered our sudden flight to Berlin, he knew what Hess had planned to do,...

p. 133. "I often thought later, when Hitler's plans with [*sic*] the Ukraine changed completely, that if he had anticipated an armed conflict with the East in the near future, *a different decision, in this instance, would have been better*." Mir hat sich später—als Hitlers Pläne mit der Ukraine völlig anders waren—oft der Gedanke aufgedrängt, daß Hitler, wenn er damals schon an eine bewaffnete Auseinandersetzung mit dem Osten in so naher Zukunft gerechnet hätte, doch sicherlich in dem hier geschilderten Fall eine andere Entscheidung getroffen hätte, eine Entscheidung, die sich hätte einordnen lassen in das, was ein gutes Jahr später uns alle Überraschte. = Later, after Hitler's plans for the Ukraine had changed completely, it often occurred to me that if, at the time of the incident described above, Hitler had already been counting on an armed conflict with the East in so very near a future, he would surely have reached a different decision, a decision that would have been in keeping with the events that took us all by surprise a full year later."

(I quoted the complete sentence in German because a correct translation has historical significance. After the joint invasion and partition of Poland by Germany and the Soviet, Hitler gave the Carpatho-Ukraine (Ruthenia and part of Galicia) to Hungary, and told Baur why he had done so, despite his compassion for the Ukrainians, who earnestly and desperately pleaded for annexation to Germany, and although he knew that his decision would offend Ukrainians everywhere. Baur's point is that if Hitler had foreseen that a year later he would be at war with the Soviet, he would certainly have annexed and occupied the Carpatho-Ukraine for obvious military reasons and would have thereby also won the gratitude of the great majority of Ukrainians, who were under Soviet rule and, when the war

began, would have the more eagerly cooperated with their German deliverers even before German armies reached the Ukraine. This corroborates what Hitler said about the time at which he decided to invade Russia (see p. 155 of the translation), and is consistent with the article I cited in note 5 *supra*. It follows, therefore, that Stalin successfully deceived Hitler until just before his planned surprise attack on Germany.)

* * *

LEST WE FORGET

I have received from England two pamphlets, of sixteen and twenty pages respectively, of which the titles, in Old English type, appear in the odd forms, *Crömwel and the Jews* and *Edict of Expulsion 1290*. The printer probably mistook for decorations some of the supralinear signs used in the Thirteenth- and Fourteenth-Century minuscule book hands, which were the source of that style of type. They are, however, valuable pamphlets, published by Front Europa in Canterbury (no date; no more explicit address). The compilations were evidently made by a courageous Englishman, G. H. Smith, the recipient of some letters reproduced in the pamphlets. I have not heard whether the Thought Police of the Jewish government of Little Britain have punished him for having spoken tactlessly about God's Master Race.

The letters to Mr. Smith are from the Public Record Office and attest the authenticity of some of the sources of information in the text. Practically all of the text of both pamphlets is excerpted, with acknowledgement, from Captain A. H. M. Ramsay's *The Nameless War*, originally published by Britons¹ in

1. Britons Publishing Company was subsidized by a Trust established by Colonel Beamish in the 1920s; Anthony Gittens eventually became the manager of it, and during his incumbency Britons was forced to leave Beamish House in London; he elected to move it to Devon, as far as possible from the increasing squalor and corruption of a metropolis in which Englishmen were already being displaced and harassed by racial enemies imported from their lost colonies. At his death in 1974, he was succeeded by his pale young assistant, who promptly scrapped the patriotic enterprise and converted it into a house that published only pious Christian tripe. No one seems to know what happened to the Beamish Trust.

Continued on page 55

REFLECTIONS ON HITLER'S CAMPAIGN IN RUSSIA 1941-1945

By Dr. Karl Otto Braun

[Editor's Note: Dr. Braun served in the German diplomatic representation in Japan and subsequently in the far eastern section of the German Foreign Office during the Second World War. He was thus involved in some crucially important phases of the war. In October 1987 he presented a paper at the annual meeting of the Institute for Historical Review in which he discussed the background of persons involved in the Sorge espionage ring, which rendered very important services to the U.S.S.R. Dr. Braun is fluent in Japanese and English as well as his native German. He is the author of *Pearl Harbor in neuer Sicht / Wie F. D. Roosevelt die USA in den Zweiten Weltkrieg führte* (München, 1986) in the series *Herbig Materialien zur Zeitgeschichte*. We hope to review this book in a future issue of the *Bulletin*. — Charles E. Weber]

There were two decisions in the life of Adolf Hitler as Chancellor of the German Reich which he instinctively hesitated to make, namely his pact with Stalin in August, 1939 and what he himself called "der schwerste Entschluß meines Lebens" (the most serious decision of my life), the march into the Soviet Union in June, 1941. Hamilton Fish, in his *Tragic Deception* (Old Greenwich, Connecticut: Devin Adair, 1983, page 94), was perfectly right when he observed that Hitler originally wanted to move eastward, but Roosevelt and the British war party forced him to fight against the West.

Alfred Rosenberg, the leading ideological interpreter of National Socialism, wrote these significant sentences in his diary on 25 August 1939:

"I have the feeling that this pact with Moscow will one day turn out to be a tragedy for National Socialism. It was not a step of free decision, but was rather an action undertaken in an emergency. The National Socialist Revolution had to beg for help from the head of another revolution which it has been our ideal to combat for the last twenty years. How can we speak in the future

of the rescue and renaissance of Europe when we had to plead for help from the destroyer of Europe?"

With these words, "the destroyer of Europe," Rosenberg prophetically foresaw the part which Stalin played at Yalta! Rosenberg is also right in depicting Hitler's situation as awkward. The British blank-check guarantee to Poland in March, 1939, instigated by Roosevelt from behind the scenes, forced him to seek a way to break out of threatening encirclement of Germany in the east and in the west. Dark clouds arose from all sides. A cunning Stalin offered temporary relief in return for half of Poland, three Baltic states and Bessarabia, the northern part of Romania. In addition, the Kremlin gained a free hand over Finland, a fact which he exploited ruthlessly by waging a war against this tiny country soon afterwards! French and British delegations were negotiating in Moscow at the same time. Hitler had to be the highest bidder in order to sign a ten-year non-aggression pact. Before he smashed Poland militarily, he had lost half of the country politically. Seventeen days after the decisive German blows had fallen, Stalin, scarcely ready, walked in almost unharmed. His dreaded GPU transported hundreds of thousands of Polish peasants and Jews who had fled from the Germans into the vastness of his empire. Menachem Begin was only one of those who toiled in the "white nights" of Arctic GULAG camps. (Cf. Menachem Begin, *White Nights / The Story of a Prisoner in Russia*, New York: Harper & How, 1979.)

Although Hitler's deal with Stalin was an ideological capitulation, as Rosenberg saw it correctly, Germany could draw from it material advantages urgently needed in time of warfare against the West, etc., manganese for the production of steel, wheat from the Ukraine, etc., in order to compensate for the shortages arising from the British naval blockade. Hitler had paid a high price for his arrangement with Stalin. In 1940, after the defeat of France, Stalin even sent an ultimatum to him and took another piece of Romania, the Bukovina. Hitler agreed, though reluctantly. He had to accept all this, because the captured Polish documents, especially the reports of the Polish ambassador, Count Jerzy Potocki, from Washington convinced him that the black clouds beyond the Atlantic emanating from Roosevelt's Brain Trust meant finally war, also with the United States. Reacting—Hitler always acted less than he reacted, as David Hoggan has observed—Hitler concluded the Tripartite Pact in July 1940. Ambassador Stahmer, Foreign Minister von Ribbentrop's envoy, achieved it in Tokyo within 18 days. The intention was to put a brake on Franklin D. Roosevelt's warmongering both in the Atlantic and in the Pacific. The second aim

was to expand the pact to a four-power agreement including the Soviet Union as a bridge to the new ally in the Far East.

At that time I was in Japan in diplomatic service. I knew Stahmer from Berlin well and I can only confirm this intention. Soon Moscow was informed. The Kremlin did not reject the idea, but mentioned that "certain preconditions had to be fulfilled." In November 1940 Molotov came to Berlin, carrying with him these "preconditions."

Now, Hitler knew well that Stalin was basically an expansionist. Therefore he suggested elaborately an expansion of the U.S.S.R. toward the south in the direction of the Persian Gulf! Molotov did not even touch the subject, nor did he politely reply to it. Instead, he demanded bluntly increased Soviet influence in the Balkans, in Finland and in the straits of the Baltic Sea leading into the North Sea, thereby threatening Swedish neutrality. Molotov went even beyond that and stipulated that Germany should cover a Soviet capture of the Dardanelles!

Consequently, Molotov's suggestions meant: A threat to Germany's nickel supply from Petsamo (in Finland) via the Baltic Sea, a threat to her supply of iron ore from Sweden and—most importantly—a danger to her oil supply from Ploesti in Romania. If Hitler had accepted such demands, he would have capitulated to Stalin without firing a shot. Molotov destroyed the idea of a four-power treaty by intention, or, one could even say, by provocative intention. The Marshall of the Reich, Göring, said at that time, "Molotov's demands jerked me out of my chair" (haben mich vom Stuhl gerissen).

Exactly at the same time, in November 1940, I was interned at Otpor opposite to Manchuli in Eastern Siberia in a quarantine. Though being a passenger of the first class with my wife, we had to sleep on wooden boards of the third class for eight days. The pretext of the Soviets was a single case of plague in the practice of a dentist in Hsinking, then the capital of Manchukuo, more than one thousand kilometers away. Since the sea lanes were cut off, German and Italian passengers had to use the Trans-Siberian connection. By violating the respective convention between Germany and the U.S.S.R., anti-German literature was distributed to the internees. Without knowing anything of Molotov's demands, I realized that the Soviets were upset and nervous owing to our six-week victory over France. Rage at this success and deepest distrust governed Stalin's hostile directives. Therefore I agree with Viktor Suvorov's thesis that Hitler was forced finally to lead a preventive war against the Soviet Union. (Cp. *Journal of the Royal United Services Institute for Defense Studies*, June, 1985, pp. 50-55, "Who Planned to Attack Whom in June 1941, Hitler or Stalin?" by

Victor Suvorov. [This important and revealing article was reprinted in *Liberty Bell* of January 1986, pp. 27-39.]

Moreover, wide-ranging reconnaissance by the German airforce, which extended as far as the Caspian Sea, proved Stalin's widespread mobilization. A secret report (*Lagebericht*) of the German High Command dated 11 June 1941 proved that 110 infantry divisions, 20 cavalry divisions and 46 motorized tank brigades had been concentrated in the west, whereas the Far East was protected by only 25 infantry divisions, eight cavalry divisions and five motorized tank brigades. George Pemler, Wehrlestrasse 31, München 80, telephone 089/989840, is one of the few living witnesses who participated in the long-distance flights over Russia before the German attack.

Historians have to separate the political situation and the conditions of the war economy *before* 22 June 1941 very carefully from what happened in Russia *after* that date. Hitler cannot be blamed for his decision to invade Russia! It enabled the Germans to take an enormous number of Soviet prisoners of war from the heavily concentrated attack units. His decision had nothing to do with his book, *Mein Kampf*, as some historians suppose. Strategic and economic necessities prevailed.

However, there are many reasons to criticize his way of waging war against his rival dictator. The occupation of the Soviet embassy in Berlin at the outset was certainly the gravest political blunder that Hitler ever committed. Instead of appointing a neutral power (Switzerland, Sweden) to take care of the vacant embassy during war time (according to international law) he thereby declared openly the destruction of any Russian sovereignty after the war, favoring colonialism. By doing so, he did not only wage war against the Communist dictator, but against *all* Russian, Ukrainian, etc. patriots. Therefore the Soviets could suddenly speak of the "Great Patriotic War."

Hitler even neglected the God-given chance of a military and political collaboration with the defected General Vlassov. If Hitler had established a really *independent* Ukraine, if he had dissolved the Kolchak system immediately, a storm-driven conflagration would have swept through the steppes toward the east, shaking the foundations of Stalin's iron rule. Unfortunately, Hitler did not intend to proclaim a *national* goal, neither for the Ukraine nor for the rest of the country. Hitler's campaign in Russia lacked any political conception. It was mere conquest. The *atae* of the Sophoclean tragedy had been followed by the *huebris*. This led finally to his and Germany's doom!

When I paid a farewell visit to the Japanese Naval Attaché in Berlin, Admiral Kojima, this intelligent observer remarked on 31 March

1945: "Comparing the state with a coach drawn by six horses, your *Führer* sat upon the foremost horse on the left, representing the army. However, he did not steer the coach [of state] from the driver's seat." Already then I agreed with this striking picture. History proved that Admiral Kojima was right!

Munich, 1 November 1987
Osterwaldstrasse 59

* * * * *

THE WANNSEE CONFERENCE FILM

A document, real or forged, which is very frequently cited by those who would claim that German authorities intended to murder Jews on a massive scale during the Second World War is the so-called "Wannsee-Protokoll," supposedly the notes on the proceedings of a meeting of high German officials held in a Berlin suburb on 20 January 1942. This document is 15 small pages long and is reproduced on pp. 39-53 of Wilhelm Stäglich's very important book, *Der Auschwitz-Mythos / Legende oder Wirklichkeit* (Tübingen, 1979). The document is puzzling in a number of ways. At the top of the first page there are stamped the words "Geheime Reichssache!" (= Secret of the Reich) and the indication that the copy is the 16th of 30 copies prepared. Nevertheless, the document gives no indication of the person who prepared it. Moreover, the statistical table on p.6 listing Jewish populations in European countries, including England and the U.S.S.R., which alone account for nearly half of the total of 11,000,000, seems astonishingly inaccurate, especially in the case of France.


The contents of the document deal largely with efforts to induce Jews to emigrate from Europe as a final solution to the Jewish problem and the necessity in January of 1942 of employing Jews in labor camps after their deportation to eastern parts of Europe or internment in the case of persons of an age over 65. Much of the document deals with the future status of persons of partly Jewish extraction. The document even envisages a renewal of the Jewish people after their release ("... bei Freilassung als Keimzelle eines neuen Jüdischen Aufbaues" on page 8 of the document). Unfortunately, an English phrase which would correspond to the all-important "bei Freilassung" is not present in the "First English language edition published in December, 1986" by the Institute for Historical Review, p. 36. By the way, we would recommend that this English edition be used only with

considerable caution and that it be compared with the original German text of the 1979 edition before any important use is made of it.

Now we learn of a film concerning the Wannsee Conference produced in 1984 by Manfred Korytowski in Europe and with German dialogue. The film has now been translated into English. It is now being shown to primarily Jewish audiences in the United States and Canada. A number of reviews of it have appeared, for example in the *Christian News* of 30 November (reprinted from the *Catholic Register* of 31 October-November 6) and *The Nation* of 19 December. From reviews of the film we have read it would seem to be a scriptwriter's phantasy with Zionist propaganda objectives. None of the reviewers seem to have bothered to read the original document upon which the film is supposedly based. However, the reviewer in *The Nation* does wisely admonish us: "... *The Wannsee Conference* raises questions about filmmaking and subjectivity, historical grit and celluloid glamour. Historical research never rids itself of selectivity or interpretation." We urge recipients of the *Bulletin* who have the opportunity to see this film to report their impressions of it to us. This is especially important because it seems that there is a strong probability that the film will be shown by "Public" Broadcasting Service stations throughout the United States. We are tired of films which are little other than scriptwriters' phantasy but which parade themselves as history.

— Charles E. Weber

SURVIVAL MANUAL FOR THE WHITE RACE



William Gayley Simpson has spent a lifetime of keen observation, careful analysis, and deep reflection developing the principal thesis of his book: that the single, undying purpose of all human activity should be the ennobling of man. In support of this thesis he looks at the foundations of Western Society, at the structure of our government, at the effect of technology and industrialization on man, at the roles of the sexes, at economics, and at race. The book goes to the roots of the problems facing the White Race today, and it shows the ways in which White society must be changed if the race is to survive. *Which Way Western Man?* is an encyclopedic work whose conclusions can be ignored by no one with a sense of responsibility to the future. For your copy of *Which Way Western Man?* send \$16.50

for the deluxe, clothbound edition (Order No. 22004), or \$13.50 for the softback edition (Order No. 22003) including \$1.50 for postage and handling.

Liberty Bell Publications, Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA

WHO OWNS WASHINGTON?

By Jim Taylor

(Foreign Correspondent)

The Nazi news is never going to disappear from the American press as long as there are Jews on this earth. You will always be reading imagined and fabricated stories condemning the German people as long as the international Zionists control the U.S. news media.

A few months ago, Rudolf Hess, the dying former deputy in the German government against whom no criminal evidence has ever been produced, finally asked the four powers for his freedom after more than forty-five years of confinement under inhumanly cruel conditions at Spandau Prison. It was the first time that the proud 92-year-old man had ever made such an appeal, although many others had done so on his behalf. His appeal was soon answered by his death, which was officially described as a suicide in circumstances so implausible that unprejudiced observers inferred that it had been murder, carried out by strangulation in the manner ordained in the *Talmud Babli* for *goyim* who are particularly a focus of the Jews' unappeasable hatred of other races.¹ Jews throughout the world could thus rejoice in the knowledge that Hess, after having been made to suffer for more than forty-five years, had not been permitted to die without undergoing the last rite of their race's implacable vengeance.

Your daily newspaper probably reported Hess's appeal and his subsequent death, but that is all.

1. It will be remembered that ritual strangulation was used, in preference to other methods of murder, e.g., by shooting, when Codreanu and his lieutenants in Romania got in the way of the Jews' plans for the Second World War. See Warren B. Heath's introduction to Bacu's *The Anti-Humans* (available from Liberty Bell Publications, \$7.00 + \$1.00), p. xxiv, footnote, which gives a reference to Prince Sturdza's uncensored text and his quotation from the German translation of the *Talmud* made by a learned Jew, Lazarus Goldschmidt. Such nice details have, of course, been censored out of the official English translation, edited by I. Epstein and published by the Soncino Press (1935-19049).

I have additional facts about this case which have been given to the public. The controlled U.S. press has always put forth the story that the Soviets alone blocked the release of Mr. Hess. This is not true. It is cover-up propaganda. For over twenty years, the Soviet government was willing to free Hess, under certain conditions. Both England and France are on record as having wanted to release him since 1948, on the grounds that no charges were pending against him.

Now that takes care of three of the four parties. You guessed it. It was only the United States that vetoed the release in the secret sessions of the government committee. It was the United States which did not want Hess out of prison. Actually, it was not the official U.S. government which was automatically opposed to his release. Many American officials, including most at the Pentagon, insisted that Hess should not only be released, but never should have been arrested and tried in the first place. Actually, he was "judged" instead of tried, after World War II. Then just who is it that will not allow the release? I'll tell you. It is the "fifth" power — Israel and the American Jewish Lobby blocked his release every time. Since Israel and the international Zionists forbade letting Hess out, the U.S. was not allowed even to discuss in detail such a move. It has been a long time since the controlled U.S. government was able to make a decision without Israeli approval. You have to go back to the Eisenhower administration, when the President stood tall on this and even cut off sending arms to Israel for a time. But since that time, no one dares to do such things.

But next time you read that it was the Soviets who blocked the release of Hess, don't believe it. In a recent meeting with Britain's Mrs. Margaret Thatcher, Soviet leader Mikhail Gorbachev said quite plainly that Hess should die outside Spandau Prison. Now all we had to do was get the permission of the powerful Zionists and Hess would have gotten out of jail.

Der Spiegel, a highly-rated West German magazine, carried a story last April about Mr. Gorbachev's wanting to release Mr. Hess. But the West German government, which is even more under Zionist control than is the U.S., forced the magazine to change the story and retract part of it by stating in the revised story that Mr. Gorbachev was only contemplating a release.² So you just go around in circles, trying to keep up with the wily machinations of the all-powerful Zionists.

2. The German periodical *Bauernschaft*, published at Flensburg (on the Danish border), obtained from a reliable source, and printed what purports to be the "inside story" of the murder of Hess, obtained from an agent of the Israeli Secret Service. The article was translated into French and reproduced for wider circulation in the December

On another so-called "Nazi" case, Attorney General Edwin Meese did somehow gather up enough mainly courage to halt the deportation of Mr. Karl Linnas to a death sentence in the Soviet Union, after the U.S. on direct orders from Israel stripped this long-time, law-abiding American of his U.S. citizenship. But Mr. Meese could not find a country that would accept Mr. Linnas. The powerful world Zionists made sure that every nation approached would not take him.

Then, in desperation, Mr. Meese conferred with the government of Panama about giving shelter to Mr. Linnas. First, you have to realize that Panama is a country of last resort. I mean that Panama, in general, refuses entry to no one, whether crooks, embezzlers or even worse criminals.

1987 issue of the *Courier du Continent*. The narrative begins with the fact that, as reported in *Der Spiegel*, Gorbachev did propose to free Rudolf Hess, intending, of course, to create an "image" of himself as a great humanitarian in preparation for his "summit" conferences with the official heads of Western governments, especially Reagan. He naturally informed Weizsäcker, the President of the turn-coat government in Bonn. Weizsäcker at once informed the British government, but no other, and was instructed to dissuade Gorbachev from liberating Hess in July 1987, when the Soviet would be in control of the prison at Spandau and could simply liberate Hess without interference from its allies. The government of Little Britain, foreseeing that Gorbachev, while consenting to a delay, would soon take the opportunity to commend himself to all fair-minded men and women in Europe and America, ordered British Military Intelligence to prevent such a disaster. (Hess, if liberated, would expose the crimes by which the vicious old sot, Winston Churchill, prevented the British people from learning of the last of Hitler's offers of a peace that would have prevented the collapse of the British Empire and the degradation of Britain to the status of a dying and increasingly insignificant nation.) Accordingly, two members of the Special Air Service, a subsidiary of M.I.5 for covert actions, were dispatched from the base at Bradbury in Hertford to murder Hess. The British kept their intention strictly secret from the Soviets and acted in great haste to prevent a possible leakage of information that would have made the Soviet prevent them from spoiling Gorbachev's projected propaganda triumph. The Americans were informed of the British plan, since the British secret services do not venture to do anything without the approval of the C.I.A. The project was also disclosed, as a diplomatic formality, to the French and the Israelis, although, in the latter case, unnecessarily, since the Zionists have their agents planted in all the intelligence services of the Western world. The assassins reached Spandau around midnight on Saturday, 15 August. On the morning of the following Monday, the C.I.A. gave permission for the murder, and that afternoon the two assassins waylaid Hess in the tunnel that led from the prison to the area in which he was permitted to take exercise by walking. They tried to strangle Hess with a length of electrical cord, but bungled the operation, for Hess, despite his advanced age, offered unanticipated resistance and attracted the attention of an American soldier who was not privy to the plot. Hess, however, was unconscious, and the American commander, who was a party to the plot, saved the day by having Hess and the two assassins loaded into an ambulance in which the murderers completed their task while the vehicle was on its way to the British Military Hospital.

The Panamanian President, Eric Auturo Delvalle, who happened to be vacationing in New York at the time, readily agreed to accept Mr. Linnas. So Mr. Meese ordered the proper papers processed in secret before the Zionists could get wind of it. But, as I have stated many times, there are no secrets that can be kept from the Zionists in Washington. As soon as they learned about it, thousands of vicious letters from Jewish hate groups poured in against Mr. Meese and his attempts to save this man he believed to be innocent.

Next, Israel and the world Zionists threatened to break the economy of Panama if the president there did not reject Mr. Linnas as a new immigrant. So, rather than see his small state driven to bankruptcy, he rescinded the order to accept Mr. Linnas. Adolfo Arrocha, Minister in charge of the Panamanian Embassy in Washington, told the U.S. government that Panama had changed its mind about allowing Mr. Linnas to live there.

So once again we learn first hand the wide-ranging power of the world Zionist entity, which reaches every corner of the world, even to a corrupt little states like Panama. The Latin-American state of Panama has long been known as a place of refuge for all the assorted crooks of the world. But Panama would not accept Mr. Linnas because of Zionist pressure. However, you cannot criticize Panama too much when our own government bows to Zionist pressure even more abjectly.

And that is one of the reasons why, at present, the government of the United States is in such disarray that the future of our nation is in grave jeopardy. It is difficult to underestimate this situation. But I may have been doing just that during the past year. The future of the U.S.A. has never appeared very bright in recent years; but now it seems even darker than I had painted it in the quickly changing world of foreign affairs.

And this late reassessment is not based solely upon the sordid Iran arms sales mess. There are indeed many other frightening factors, such as our inability to wage war at the very time we are threatening to do so in such inhospitable places as the Persian Gulf. There is also the increased Nazi-baiting talk by our public officials in Washington. This is due to Zionist pressure, of course, but it will alienate more people in the long run. And with no friends anywhere in the world, we are still resolved to make even more enemies. So far this year [1987] the U.S. has insulted every major country in the world except Israel. And Israel and the U.S. cannot possibly stand off the rest of the world except on a bluff by bluff and bluster by bluster short-term basis.

At the time that President Reagan and Mr. George Shultz were issuing threats to a wide variety of nations, such as Japan, Russia, Iraq, Cuba, and Saudi Arabia, it was announced by Mr. Sylvester R. Foley, Jr., assistant secretary of energy for defense programs, that at least one out of every three, and possibly two out of every three U.S. nuclear weapons won't work and are absolutely useless.

"They are duds, manufactured improperly and cannot be corrected," said Mr. Foley. "Hypothetically, it could be catastrophic if we have to use them. I mean if you pushed the button and nothing happened."

If American manufacturers produce a car that breaks down, it simply means you can't use the car. But in a shooting war, if our manufacturers cannot produce weapons that work, we are done for.

Now, I already knew that my own investigation showed clearly that we cannot fight a conventional war—not even a small one. I thought our only chance was a push-button type of warfare. However, it seems that we cannot do that either. I should have realized that since just about the only major item America still produces is munitions of nuclear war, those products would eventually become as fouled up as our Detroit-made cars and TV sets without Japanese components.

When the official in charge of building the nation's nuclear strength states publicly that we are now practically undefended in that important field too, what on earth can save us? So far, words have saved us. But even the wild-thinking Iranians have seen that we cannot back up those words.

A few years back, I was in Bangkok, Thailand, riding in a taxi to SEATO Headquarters. The driver, who wasn't the smartest individual in town, nevertheless knew about our weakness. He pointed at the building and said, "SEATO and the U.S., just paper tiger." He was right. I had not fully realized it at the time.

Now Mr. Foley in Washington, D.C., is not some cab driver in a Third-World country. He is the one who had our arsenal tested. And he said, "Even after costly repairs, our nuclear weapons are still found wanting. We always find something unexpectedly wrong and have to go back and put mods or fixes on them." I am not sure what Mr. Foley meant by "mods" unless it implies modifications, since I don't speak "Pentagonese." Many times Washington people use language like this to confuse the taxpayers. But, anyway, he meant that we are practically defenseless in many ways. Which, in turn, means we shouldn't be talking so big around the world when we cannot possibly back up such talk.

Mr. Foley, or rather, Admiral Foley, a retired U.S. Navy Admiral, who heads a 65,000-member work force that spends over eight million dollars of our money annually on warheads, should know what he is talking about.

In another recent sidelight on this out of Washington, a government report revealed that the staff of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission had failed to crack down on excessive drug and alcohol abuse by personnel on duty in nuclear work, the strict plant rules were withdrawn, due to extreme pressure from the industry and the labor unions involved.

Two NRC investigators told Congress that top agency officials refused to look into a utility's cover-up of an inadvertent and premature nuclear reaction at a Detroit-area plant that occurred only a few days before the NRC granted the facility an operating license.

According to Representative Sam Gejdenson (D-Conn.), chairman of the oversight committee, NRC officials routinely look the other way when presented with ample evidence of drug abuse at plants. They never disciplined anyone, fearing to antagonize the union.

Mr. Loren Bush, an NRC official, replied to this accusation by saying, "There is absolutely no law, no regulation, no national or industry standard which requires nuclear power plants to protect against drugs or alcohol abuse."

Mr. James Kelley, a senior inspector, based in Dallas, said he has encountered such examples as plant supervisors selling cocaine to the employees working under them; and that drunken security guards are on duty every day.

In spite of our great weakness and our seeming inability to make war, Mr. Reagan is still posing as a warmonger, or would like to be one if he knew how to pull it off. He prefers "little wars," the easy-to-win kind he pulled off against Libya and against a bunch of Cuban landscape workers in Grenada. In Lebanon, it didn't work either, but back-fired on him. He reminds me of a man who is very thirsty and decided to temporarily quench his thirst with a draught of poison. This might result in a momentary state of relief and even euphoria, but in the end it results in certain death.

The worst miscarriage of justice and outright criminal fraud I have ever encountered in my life happened several months ago, according to Mr. Hans Schmidt, the well-known activist for German-Americans. His organization, [GANPAC—German-American Political Action Committee, Box 1137, Santa Monica CA 90401] made a legitimate campaign contribution of a thousand dollars to Senator Steve Symms (R-Idaho). Well now, since all 535 members of Congress are required

to hire Zionist Jews on their staffs, it didn't take long for a well-oiled campaign to put pressure on Senator Symms for taking what they termed "Nazi" money. However, instead of simply returning the money, as any honest person would do if he didn't want it for political reasons, the Idaho senator thought he would engage in a cute publicity trick by donating the money to two very anti-German-American Jewish hate organization. This unheard of ploy to please the Jewish community caused Mr. Schmidt to lodge a complaint against the senator for mishandling of election funds. Using private campaign funds for donations to religious organizations or clubs is strictly prohibited by our laws. But, as I have clearly stated many times, when the Zionists are involved, all U.S. laws automatically become null and void. In other words, the rest of us must abide by these laws, while the Jewish activists need not. They laugh at our laws, knowing well that no U.S. official would ever bring any charges against them.

When I called Senator Symms, I wasn't allowed to speak with him when they learned the nature of my call. And a lady in his office said, "Mr. Symms has more Jewish friends than German-American ones, so we must follow their wishes." I'm sure he does.

So the deterioration of America continues. And the Israelis take great advantage of our weakness. Former top Israeli official David Kimche, after strenuous protests of the Israeli government, was granted a delay from testifying in Federal Court about the Iranian arms scandal. After a two-hour closed hearing, Judge Aubrey E. Robinson of the U.S. District Court in Washington, D.C., allowed Mr. Kimche to avoid a court appearance and to return to Israel.

Mr. Kimche, the one-time terrorists and murderer, said to the press, "I'm not appearing before any U.S. grand jury, I am leaving the country and can return any time I wish. I don't intend to ever testify."

This means that although all American citizens must testify, if you happen to be an Israeli, then you go free, as "God's People" always do.

Senator Bob Dole (R-Kansas), long a very dedicated Zionist, has come up with a major foreign policy proposal for the election year. He wants Israel to serve as the military anchor for the "dangerously soft underbelly" of NATO. Of course, the loudmouthed senator from Kansas said this as a political statement to bolster his chances of being elected president in 1988. He wants to assign to Israel the task of kicking Turkey and Greece around so they will do what NATO wants.

Of course, Mr. Dole didn't make these absurd and nonsensical statements to the general public or the Kansas voting public, but to a closed meeting of the National Jewish Coalition, one of the most radi-

cal of the thousands of Zionist organizations that keep a tight rein on what our government does and does not do in Washington. He also wants American troops stationed in Israel to fight and die for the Zionist entity, just as the Marines did in Lebanon. In a give-Israel-everything type of speech, he even advanced the idea of Israel being allowed to control the American Star Wars program. Mr. Dole also said he would introduce legislation to close the offices of the displaced Palestinians in Washington and New York, something the Zionists have wanted for a long time. Naturally, Mr. Dole and Israel don't want the very uninformed U.S. public ever to learn of Israel's planned genocide of the unfortunate Palestinians. What better way to keep it a deep, dark secret than to kick out all the Palestinian spokesmen.

Mr. E. J. Montini is a very young and very vicious radical, who was thrown into a position as leading columnist for the *Arizona Republic* in Phoenix when the regular writer on public affairs resigned and returned to a position on the staff of a Chicago newspaper. Mr. Montini, an inexperienced reporter with less than average intelligence, is well-trained in liberal, anti-American thinking, and has caused quite a stir in Arizona. When learned that the city of Phoenix is only two percent black, he began to berate everyone for having the whitest city in America. He said it was totally disgraceful for Phoenix to have so many white folks. He actually, in theory, advocates getting rid of some of the whites and replacing them with blacks.

This reporter, Montini, wrote the city's overwhelming whiteness was a disgrace and a black mark against the city of Phoenix and its citizens. He called Phoenix the polyester suit of cities, the white bread, the fox trot city, the Pat Boone of cities. He maintains that until Phoenix gets more black people to spice up the city it will remain with no real identity, no diversity, very bland and will never amount to anything. He wants to bring in more minority groups of every description until each group equals or exceeds the number of white citizens in Phoenix.

This fool doesn't realize that the relatively safe streets in Phoenix would turn into another South Bronx if he had his way. Or perhaps he does.

"Lefty" Driesell, long coach of basketball at the University of Maryland, whose players were always better known for shooting cocaine than baskets, told the press that the drug is good for players because it enhances performance. He maintains that cocaine makes better players, and he outspokenly advocates the use of it by all high schools and college players.

Coach Driesell noted that the top players in the tough Atlantic Coast Conference were for many years all cocaine users—John Lucas of Maryland, David Thompson of North Carolina State, plus Walter David and Phil Ford of North Carolina.

Here are this gentleman's words, "I'm a firm believer that, if you know properly how to use cocaine and use it only in this way, it can make you a better player."

And he is still on the University of Maryland staff to spread this poisonous garbage to the students. The Chancellor refused to fire him for this degrading outburst. Coach Driesell failed to mention that the players who were on cocaine soon either died or were so physically and nervously impaired that they could not work or lead normal lives. I suppose many high school students will now follow the advice of this famous and admired coach.

Another degrading event at the university level happened when the candidates for degrees in Harvard University's school of Public Health threw condoms into the air at their graduation exercises. Jay Winsten and Jon Liebman were the two who organized this little demonstration at a once-proud school of higher learning, which before World War II didn't accept such students. But dear old Harvard, like everything else in the U.S., has deteriorated from a once-stately educational institution to a playpen of sex, drugs, and cheating. Not a single Harvard graduate of the class of 1987 has an education comparable to that of a sixth-grade student of fifty years ago. In a recent testing, for example, many Harvard seniors thought that Brazil was in Europe or North Africa. I'm sure that the sixth graders in a one-room schoolhouse at the turn of the century could easily find Brazil on the map.

Mr. Alan Greenspan, Mr. Reagan's personal advisor and the new Federal Reserve chairman, didn't waste any time in consolidating the banking interests of the 300 families, mostly Jewish financiers, who own and operate "our" American regulatory banking system. Mr. Greenspan's first official statement was, "the government should encourage the creation of very large world-wide U.S. banks." His treasury plan would allow banks to acquire large industrial companies, the very last thing we need, in my opinion. He also said the U.S. banking industry is sadly under-capitalized and his plan would provide multi-million dollar pools of investment capital.

Mr. George Gould, Undersecretary of the Treasury and another of Mr. Reagan's Zionist friends, took up the Greenspan mantle and said he too favors creating five to ten giant banks.

Both these fine gentlemen have worldly ideas, one world, I should say, which would serve the world Zionist entity, but not the interests of

the United States of America or Americans. They want Congress to repeal the Glass-Steagall Act of 1934 and the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956, which restrict such practices.

When the Fairness Doctrine Bill passed the House easily by a three to one vote and by a wide margin in the Senate, everyone who wants both sides of events to be given equal time on television was happy. Passage of the measure surely meant that finally the truth about the destruction of the U.S.S. *Liberty* by Israeli terrorists would be shown, and other matters such as Palestinians rights, would also have to be given equal time.

Even Republican leaders close to the President, who had backed the bill, believed that Mr. Reagan would sign it into law quickly as the only honest thing to do. However, they were wrong. The world Zionists paid him a quick visit. When they explained to the President how bad it would be for Israel if the true facts were forced into the open before the American people, he quickly vetoed it. Now the backers have the impossible task of trying to pass it over his veto. I say impossible because the Zionists can sway Congress as well as their man in the Oval Office.

I thought that no president would ever risk the wrath of the American people by going on record as being against freedom of speech. I was wrong. That is what Mr. Reagan did. Both he and his Zionist friends have a mortal fear of free expression. Their idea of freedom of speech means freedom to hear the Zionist side only. No one is going to be allowed on network television to dispute whatever propaganda lies the people who run Israel can manufacture. Yet, many Americans actually believe that we still have freedom in this country.

I have been asked by many people to write something about the failure of the Federal government to make any real effort to halt the illegal drug traffic across our borders. Well, this inaction ties right in with the general action of most Americans. Of course, the U.S. isn't about to halt illegal drugs as long as so many Americans don't want it to be stopped. As in the case of television and computer businesses, the government blames the wrong people.

No one ever thinks of blaming U.S. auto workers for putting us out of the automobile business, which was once our country's pride. When U.S. workers, the highest paid such employees in the world, are so sloppy and careless they even overlook bolts and produce only flimsy and unsafe vehicles, no one blames them. We, instead, blame the Japanese for not making faulty cars like ours, so that we could compete on the same low terms for business. Why blame Japan, Germany,

and England for building better cars? It runs the same way in the banking industry and other types of business enterprises.

In the illegal drug trade, no one ever blames the millions of persons nominally American who steal and kill to get the required thousand dollars per week to buy these drugs. None are arrested. It is "overlooked," especially when the addicts are sports stars or well-known entertainers. In rare cases when some sports hero is caught dealing drugs, the fans and the public in general come to the rescue by saying "do not arrest the culprit and criminal, but give him *another* chance." Sports fans are always on the side of the drug takers; never do they want the law to take its course. The police and the courts are soundly condemned for having the gall to do their jobs, if they interfere with these "heroes."

Instead of attempting to close down the market for these drugs, the U.S. goes down to Colombia and Bolivia to arrest some dirt-poor farmers and peasants, while ignoring the well-heeled exporters.

No one in the government, including Mr. Reagan, really wants to stop the use of illegal drugs. Too many bankers would be harmed, perhaps even arrested for their part in the handling of the money.

I have been told by reliable people that with the new electronic devices and computerized methods of getting signals, we could easily halt the drug traffic even without increasing manpower. But it would not be "good for business." So, I am afraid that illegal drugs are here to stay. You might as well get used to them. They are destined to become as American as apple pie and motherhood.

The previously mentioned Senator Dole and Representative Jack Kemp (R-N.Y.), both Arab haters deluxe who work tirelessly for Israel and the world Zionists in Congress, have now joined together to offer a bill to close the Palestinian offices for refugees in the U.S. Publicity about Israel's harsh treatment and torture of these displaced people must not be allowed to become public knowledge. It might harm Israel. As of this writing, the bill has not passed. But it probably will, and easily. It is H.R. 2211 and Senate 1203. It is such a serious assault upon the Constitutional freedom of our nation that even Secretary of State George Shultz opposed it, despite the fact that he is an unrestrained advocate of World Zionism. In the year of our Constitution's 200th birthday, it is a disgrace and a sham to pass such a law against the rights of the most cruelly treated refugees on earth.

As usual, the order to pass such a bill came from the Israelis, to whom both Mr. Dole and Mr. Kemp pay abject homage at all times. Senator John McCain (R-Ariz.), the new Zionist stooge in the West, also backs this highly-controversial measure.

The good Congressmen who are trying to do harm to the Palestinians, have said this bill would stop Palestinian terrorism in the U.S. What terrorism? There has never been any Palestinian terroristic act in the U.S. This is a con job. The bill has nothing whatsoever to do with terrorism; but it came up as a direct result of the U.S. and Israel paranoia over a possible international peace conference and the growing consensus at the United Nations that the Palestinian people should be represented properly. Only three nations in the entire world are dead set against any sort of peace in the Mideast: Israel, the U.S. and South Africa, and the latter is not a U.N. member anyway. The U.S. and Israel are so much against peace that they will go to any lengths to prevent it. Hence, you have controlled Congressmen like Mr. Kemp and Mr. Dole supporting an idiotic bill.

Who hasn't heard of Benjamin Linder by now? The death of this American mechanical engineer, who was slain while fighting against the anti-Communist freedom fighters in Nicaragua, has assumed legendary dimensions. He has been termed a starry-eyed idealist laboring in the steaming jungles to make Nicaragua more perfect for the Marxists. U.S. newspapers made this Communist an instant hero. Marxist poets and musicians have composed spirited ballads to keep his shady memory alive.

But did any reader ever hear the name of Peter Bertie? I doubt it. His death in Nicaragua never made the headlines. There were no candlelight vigils in his honor. He was a Canadian foreign correspondent whom I had met once. He was covering the war in Nicaragua when the Sandinistas murdered him. But, you know, he wasn't called a great humanitarian like Mr. Linder, the Commie. No American newspaper carried any lines of praise about Mr. Bertie. And he didn't carry an AK-47 assault rifle either, as Mr. Linder did.

American Communist sympathizers who have expressed high moral dudgeon over Mr. Linder's death in combat can never quite manage to mention the Communists' crime of shooting Mr. Bertie in the back.

Both men, I assume, were doing what they believed in. The big difference between them is that the death of an armed "activist" Marxist like Mr. Linder is bewailed and exploited for political purposes to stop more funding for the Contras. The tragic death of Mr. Bertie, an honest journalist, went unnoticed and unprotested. You see, he was not a Marxist.

Thus we see vividly the double standard which I have mentioned many times previously. The American Internationalists, as they like to be called, are just a bunch of not-too-bright Marxist "intellectuals"

who are intellectuals only in their own minds. They are always ready to jump on Congress and elsewhere to condemn loudly every real or imagined affront to Communism. Yet they quickly dismiss all crimes of the Sandinistas as the inevitable imperfections of a new revolutionary state.

They tell us it will all be better in the utopia of tomorrow. It is the age-old battle cry, "Bread first, then political rights." But this Communist paradise is like tomorrow, always one day away. The bread grows stale. And these internationalists trickle away to pursue the next fatigue-clad messiah of the people championing the "rights of all."

We have a great example of one of these modern-day knights of the people in Representative Stephen Solarz (D-N.Y.). Regular readers will certainly recall that when this little rascal was on television every night urging the immediate downfall of Ferdinand Marcos in the Philippines, I predicted correctly that he would be the chosen Zionist leader who would attack the present government of South Korea in the same manner and with the same time-worn phrases.

He has been doing exactly that. Although he remained silent on the Iran arms sales to protect his beloved Israel, he is back in action spouting off about bringing down the South Korean government. And just what group will run South Korea once the present régime is destroyed? It will be the same people who now run South Vietnam. And there is no democracy there. The word "democratic" is merely used as a symbol for Marxism pure and simple. and people like Mr. Solarz won't be satisfied until it happens.

Many Zionist-controlled writers, such as Mr. Brendan Murphy of United Press International, have been spewing forth bitter hatred for all things German in order to earn a few more Brownie points with the world Zionist entity. Jewish spokesmen say that they have finally been successful in removing the Holocaust blinders from the American people. According to them, their propaganda campaigns, mainly based on the Klaus Barbie trial, have been so successful that they have produced a latent animosity against Germany which swells throughout America and is increasing daily.

Here is what Mr. Murphy was paid to write for UPI: "Attitudes in the U.S. toward the Holocaust have made an about-face during the four decades it took to bring Nazi war criminal Klaus Barbie before a court in Lyon, France and convict him. Forty years ago, the U.S. Army shielded Barbie from arrest by French officials seeking to prosecute him for alleged crimes as Gestapo chief in Lyon. The army spirited him out of Europe aboard a steamer bound for Argentina."

But today, The U.S. government is doing just the opposite by systematically prosecuting former Nazi officials and collaborators by turning them over to Kangaroo courts in Zionist-occupied countries for show trials.

What these writers forgot to tell you is that Mr. Barbie, because he was an intelligence expert, was hired by the U.S. Counter Intelligence Corps to monitor the activities and crimes of the Communists in post-war Europe, he was sent to South America when French Jews and Communists clamored for his arrest.

And Representative Barney Frank, who is one of the queers sent to Congress by the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, has offered a bill to arrest and prosecute the Americans who helped Mr. Barbie.

Although he was easily convicted by a stacked court, the Jewish "facts" and fiction about Mr. Barbie don't agree with French records of the Lyon Gestapo office. At first, it was claimed that he had sent to their deaths *all* the Jews in the Lyon area. If this was true, how do you account for the thousands of survivors of Lyon who sent depositions to convict Mr. Barbie of inhuman crimes? It is the same old story once more. The Nazis are supposed to have killed all of the Jews in certain areas under investigation. Yet there is never any shortage of tens of thousands of healthy and talkative survivors who were somehow overlooked. If the Nazis really made a death sweep through such an area, how do you account for the fact that they must have missed at least 90 percent of the estimated Jewish population?

It is rather obvious that some of the professional witnesses who are used by lawyers in various Nazi trials testify over and over in many different countries. They must have been traveling from one country to another during the World War II period. The same "witnesses" have been used in trials covering a dozen different camps where German officials worked.

One old woman identified Mr. Barbie as the man she had seen nearly fifty years ago. But in cross-examination, she admitted that she had seen him just once through a quarter-inch peephole in her cell at a distance of over fifty yards. And she saw *only* his back!

Mr. Elie (the Weasel) Wiesel, a Jewish writer who has made a good living for over forty years by writing about the Nazis, said the Barbie trial in Lyon, France, was important only to inflame the public about the "Holocaust" and not just to convict him. In other words, the real reason for the trial was because it was useful as a publicity stunt to promote the Holocaust racket and arouse in people a hatred of Germans.

When Representative Frank announced in a speech that he is a practicing homosexual who loves little boys, he stated with great pride that he is the SECOND but not the LAST member of that state's Congressional delegation to admit to being a living and breathing degenerate. He was, of course, referring to Representative Gerry Studds (D-Mass.), who was arrested for seducing a page boy in a Congressional men's room. And he also intimated that there may be a few more pansies in Washington representing the great commonwealth of Massachusetts. He should know.

Both these announced queers from Massachusetts seem to be quite proud of their reputations for catching little boys with their pants down at such places as the YMCA. But this isn't the greatest shock to me. I very naively assumed that when the voters of that great state learned that they had a well-known pair of degenerates representing them, they would be so ashamed of this that they would not re-elect them. Boy, was I ever wrong about that! I greatly overestimated the normalcy of the voting public of Massachusetts. Both of these child-molesters got re-elected by large majorities, thus proving that most of the voters in Massachusetts are no better morally than the pair of bragging queers they so proudly sent back to Washington.

One Northeastern newspaper even went so far as to surmise that the entire Congressional delegation from the Bay State is or soon will be known in the press as the "Gay Brigade." Soon, any candidate for high office in Massachusetts who happens to be normal, may not have much of a chance to be elected to anything. It appears that only child-abusing criminals can swing the state's liberal voters.

The self-styled, imaginary "intellectuals" in Massachusetts are always running down Mississippi as being "racist," telling one and all what a backward and ignorant place this southern state is. Well, I never heard of any child-abusing, convicted criminals being elected in Mississippi and sent to Congress. For many years, I have considered Massachusetts, despite its historical background, to be the most un-American of all the fifty states. Now the people of that state have proven me correct.

Mayor Marion Barry of Washington, D.C., is not someone I would ordinarily admire. He has a long record of improper behavior. Few people in his city believe him to be honest.

However, Mayor Barry is being castigated in the press and charged with everything from selling cocaine to condoning prostitution. This sudden outburst of editorials against Mayor Barry struck me as being very odd because the very people who are condemning him

roundly now are the ones who used to praise him. Why the quick change, I asked myself?

It seems that none of this Black mayor's past was ever the concern of the press and politicians before he issued a city proclamation on behalf of the Palestinian Liberation Organization. The very minute he did this, every Zionist group and their stooges went after him with no holds barred.

This individual is in big trouble, not for real or imagined crimes in the past, but because he stood up for justice in the Mideast where, for many years, the rights of the Palestinians have been disregarded and their homes and property stolen by the Jewish invaders of their country.

The United States government has voted against human rights for these oppressed, expropriated, and despised people many times. In view of this ingrained distaste for justice when it concerns Palestinians, or any Arabs, the mayor of the seat of our national government shocked Congress and the White House by advocating freedom and rights for the most mistreated people on earth.

This dirty episode proves once again that a man in public office can sell dope, cheat the taxpayers out of millions of dollars, and engage in all sorts of criminal acts without the slightest fear of even being admonished for it. This is especially true of Black officials. But, if a mayor or any other official, Black or White, offends Israel by showing concern for the displaced Palestinians, he will be hounded to death for it.

As everyone is well aware, the Catholic Church, especially the Maryknollers, push the cause of Communism throughout the world. Those assorted "nuns" in Nicaragua and El Salvador who ride motorcycles into bars, get drunk, and live openly with their lovers may not be the true representatives of the Church, and they certainly do not represent the views of most Catholics in the U.S.; but no one ever tries to ex-communicate them—not even the Pope. I wonder why? In both the Philippines and South Korea, just as in South Vietnam a few years ago, priests and nuns openly assist the Communists and seduce their crossed "students" to make them instruments for promoting revolution.

However, the recent worst-case scenario of Catholic-Marxism abuse didn't happen in a Third World nation or even in a foreign country. It took place in Roslyn Harbor, N.Y., where the Roman Catholic bishop used the funeral mass for former CIA director William Casey to promote international Marxist doctrine.

Bishop John McCarry of the Rockville Centre, N.Y. Diocese went against church teaching and even common decency when he castigated the late Mr. Casey for his efforts to halt Communism in Latin America.

This ultra, ultra-liberal bishop, with the voice and mind of a garbage collector, stated that Mr. Casey never understood the ethical issues his church embraces—meaning Marxism. In the frayed minds of certain churchmen, it is a sin to do anything against the Sandinistas in Nicaragua or the many East German, Russian, Cuban, and North Vietnamese Communist advisers there.

At the funeral, attended by Mr. and Mrs. Reagan, the good bishop cast aspersions on Mr. Casey and the administration, Colonel Oliver North, et al., with this grandiosely stated conclusion: "His [Mr. Casey's] convictions about the fundamentally moral purpose of American actions in Nicaragua, I am sure, made incomprehensible to him the ethical questions raised by me as his bishop, together with all the Catholic bishops of the U.S., about our nation's ill-conceived defense policy."

The bishop continued, "I'm equally sure that Bill must have thought us bishops blind to the potential of a Communist threat in this hemisphere as we opposed and continue to very much oppose the violence brought into Central America by support of the Contras."

Former United Nations Ambassador Jean Kirkpatrick termed the eulogy "mean-spirited and ill-informed comment." I would call it a vicious tirade by a dedicated Marxist bishop.

With old Bill Casey lying dead in his coffin and thereby unable to defend himself from the onslaught upon him by his own clergymen, his family, and friends were forced to listen to the unfounded ravings of a depraved priest of the church. Perhaps Oral Roberts, the resurrecting evangelist, can bring him back to live long enough for him to defend himself.

Since all Roman Catholic priests are supposed to be Latin scholars, I wonder if the good bishop ever heard of the moral and ethical axiom, *De mortuis nil nisi bonum* (Of the dead speak nothing but good).

The Pope made trouble for himself when he greeted very warmly the President of Austria, Kurt Waldheim, and pronounced him to be "a man of extremely high principles and exceptional moral values."

Jews of the world didn't like this. First, they became angry when the Pope made the mistake of failing to obtain the approval of Israel and the Zionists before beatifying Edith Stein, the German nun who lost her life in World War II.

Then the Pope neglected to get permission from Israel and the American Jewish community to meet the Austrian Head of State, whom the powerful Jewish hate groups in the U.S. successfully prevented from entering this country.

Senator Charles Percy and Representative Paul Findley, both former members of Congress from Illinois, learned the hard way what happens to those who are not endorsed by Israel. Therefore the Pope had better get his act together and bow his head toward the Star of David or he might find himself on trial for fraternizing with a suspected Nazi.

Even the Jewish investigators know well that there is absolutely no proof whatsoever that Mr. Waldheim committed any atrocities. He was a very junior officer in the German Army and worked only as a translator. But those Jews protesting at the Vatican, clad in "concentration camp" uniforms recently made at a dress factory in Kansas City's garment district, just wanted to use the Waldheim episode to keep alive the fictions of their Holohoax.

The Holohoax promoters are also quite upset because a prominent Jewish concert violinist, Ignace Speiser, who survived Auschwitz in excellent physical condition during World War II, told the *Monterey (Calif.) Herald* that, "many more Jews died from disease and being beaten than from anything else."

Mr. Speiser, in recounting his incarceration in the well-known concentration camp, said he saw no gas chambers at Auschwitz, where he spent four years. Instead, he spoke quietly to the press about the orderly exodus of the inmates as the Soviets approached. It seems that the Germans gave the inmates a choice: they could await the Russians or they could be sent by train to a safer place. None who were able to travel waited for the Soviet Army.

This was indeed a strange tale for a Jew to be telling the press about such a camp. The Auschwitz he described was vastly different from that being promoted in Washington by the powerful Jewish hate groups. What a strange way to run a death camp! Rather than simply killing off the bothersome Jews, the Nazis actually tried to keep them alive by going to great trouble in the closing days of the war, when any form of transportation was at a premium, to remove them from the clutches of the oncoming Russians.

Mr. Speiser said that he was finally rescued in Germany by Count Folke Bernadotte of Sweden, who was later murdered by Menachem Begin and his terrorists in 1948.

Many displaced Jews in Europe were rescued after World War II by Count Bernadotte. He was responsible for saving many Jews who

are living happily in America today. But did any Jewish organization ever pay homage or thanks to this brave man? Did they ever honor their best-known benefactor? Certainly not! And you want to know why? Because he stated clearly that Arabs also have rights in Palestine.

The Auschwitz described by violinist Speiser doesn't tally with the overly-vivid stories of it on our Jewish television networks. Were there two Auschwitz camps? Both descriptions could not be of the same place.³

But I am sure that by now Mr. Speiser has been "straightened out" on this matter because he recently played a concert in New York. From now on in speaking to the press, I imagine, he will endorse the standard lies and propaganda about Auschwitz. If not, he will play no more concerts.

The Jews' gigantic hoax about a "Holocaust" is an instrument of conquest. Systematic lying, carried out almost unanimously by their ubiquitous race (with only a very few honorable exceptions), has helped them subject, more or less completely, all the nations of the Western world and install governments composed of their hirelings. One crucial, but little noticed, by-product of the scandal over the supply of munitions to Iran was a revelation of their plans to make their despotism total by open and unmitigated terrorism officially imposed by governments they own.

Some Congressmen at the summer Iran-Contra hearings maintained that the Israeli-trained Lieutenant Colonel Oliver North finally was caught in a treasonable plan for suppressing dissent: patriotic Americans who complained about his plans would be placed in concentration camps if they in any way objected to martial law and a U.S. dictatorship during a war for survival that would be begun in the near future.

In the previous article I mentioned that Colonel North was accused in the European press of formulating plans to assassinate both President Saddam Hussein of Iraq and old Khomeini in Iran, if necessary to carry out Israeli's plans for intensifying and spreading war in that part of the world. That was bad enough, but it is trivial in comparison with a plan to control America and Americans by total despotism.

3. Conditions at the camp are succinctly and accurately described in *Auschwitz, An Eyewitness Report*, by Thies Christophersen (available from Liberty Bell Publications, \$2.50 + postage). The magisterial exposure of the Jews' enormous swindle is, of course, Professor Arthur R. Butz's *The Hoax of the 20th Century* (\$6.00 + \$1.00). A rapid survey of the present status of the Holocaust racket appeared in the January issue of *Liberty Bell*.

According to the sworn testimony of former United States Attorney General William French Smith, Colonel North in 1984 drafted a secret plan not only to impose martial law in the U.S., but also to suspend the Constitution and turn the Federal government over to the theretofore little-known Federal Emergency Management Agency. His plan also called for the appointment of military commanders to take over state and local governments in case of widespread internal dissent or national opposition to a U.S. military attack on, and invasion of, other nations.⁴

This wily individual's nefarious proposals to alter radically the U.S. government in a time of crisis is even more far-reaching than any such developments since the days of Aaron Burr, who tried to create a new nation here. Evidence has been produced that Colonel North was involved in a wide range of secret activities both foreign and domestic far beyond the scope of the Iran-Contra deal.

This caused the Chief Council of the Senate Iran-Contra committee, Arthur Liman, to state that Colonel North was at the center of power of a very secretive government-within-the-government.

Mr. Liman claimed that Colonel North was given powers to draw up such a plan by the highest levels of government. What he didn't say, and everyone is afraid to say, is that he had Zionist advisers who wanted to make certain that in case of a world crisis, the U.S. would meekly obey to the letter any dictates by Israel. Since Congress already obeys the Zionists right down to the smallest details of our foreign policy, going one step further to achieve a complete dictatorship favorable to Israel would not be very difficult.

4. A plan comparable to North's is set forth in a document entitled "Silent Weapons for Quiet Wars," described as an "Operations Research Manual," and classified as "Top Secret." What appears to be the greater part of the introductory manual was evidently disclosed to the public by the carelessness of a machine-operator at an office of the Air Force in the Northwest. The plan was formulated under the guise of "social operations research" begun in 1954 on behalf of an élite (not defined racially or otherwise) that is frankly engaged in a clandestine war on the American people by means of economic manipulations of the "boom and bust" variety, education to induce irremediable ignorance, the employment of politicians to keep the public distracted with irrelevant issues, and the "carrot and stick" technique of bribing the boobs into mindless slavery while terrorizing and destroying individuals who remain intelligent and hence capable of understanding what is being done. The document, with astonishing candor, outlines the attitude and methods of the present rulers of the United States, and if it is authentic, once can only be amazed that so secret a document should have been entrusted for reproduction to the operator of a copying machine in a relatively minor outpost of "our" Air Force.

North's plan called for the new government to have its own army, air force, diplomatic personnel, intelligence operatives, and appropriations capacity.

Mr. French, rightfully alarmed at North's plan, wrote a letter dated August 2, 1984 to Robert MacFarlane expressing fear "that the emergency agency was really an emergency czar." Orwell was right in his book, 1984.

As for the Iran-Contra mess, the CIA didn't dream it up, but did handle some of the chores. Colonel North has been forgiven by the television cameras, Mr. Casey is dead, and Mr. Reagan just whistles in complete amazement at all the stuff that went on during his afternoon naps. I suppose that optimists may call this crucial episode in our history another squeaker for American democracy. Pessimists will have retired to the corner bar for some relief.

* * *

North's treasonable plan for the total subjugation of the American people has naturally been kept from the knowledge of its intended victims by the controlled media. Consequently, most Americans judge him according to his performance on television at the time of the Senate's investigation of the Iran-Contra scandal.

I have received several letters telling me what a great guy Lieutenant Colonel Oliver North is and how some readers greatly admire his aggressive spirit and patriotism.

Colonel North does come across as a very articulate individual. He is also very intelligent. His medals for valor in the Marine Corps attest his bravery in combat; and, in his green uniform at the Hearing, he certainly looked the part of a loyal officer. Personally, I think he would have made a little better appearance if he had worn his billed Marine cap instead of the garrison cap, often called an overseas cap. But that is beside the point.

All these compliments to Colonel North are true and he deserves them. But there is much more to this Marine officer than is revealed by the part of his record that has appeared in the press. I hesitate to write that he has a hidden side to his nature because that isn't quite correct. What I mean is that the closed press is never going to tell you that Colonel North is an Israeli-trained Zionist dedicated to the world power of this vicious world-wide movement.

So, in answer to questioners who ask if he isn't an American hero, I have to be quite realistic and state that he could be more aptly described as a good "Israeli" than anything else. By carrying out the

clandestine arms sales to Iran for the benefit of Israel, he does not appear to me to be an American hero at all. Like President Reagan, Colonel North puts Israel first. Just because he wears the uniform of the United States Marine Corps doesn't automatically make him a great American, as Mr. Reagan once described him.

Did you listen carefully to his testimony? Never did he blame anything on the Israelis; he did not even blame Mr. Amiram Nir, the Israeli terrorist and one-time real war criminal whom he literally worships. He had the amazing audacity to describe Mr. Nir as the most trustworthy person in the world. But, in reality, Mr. Nir happens to be the treacherous Israeli agent who botched the trip to Teheran.

Helping Israel by selling American arms to Iran in direct violation of U.S. laws is not my idea of what a great hero would do. Assisting the double-dealing Israelis, as North did, against his own country's best interests and laws, is not patriotism but cowardice, even near-treason. In brief, I am not an admirer of Colonel North, or any other person who sells out his own country.

In a related matter, Secretary of State George Shultz told the *Washington Post*, "the origins of the Iran-Contra affair can be traced to Israel, which suckered the United States into the initial sales to Iran."

I have to dispute the choice of words used by Mr. Shultz. He is using the English language to gloss over the facts. No, the U.S. was not "suckered" into it by Israel. The U.S. was ordered by Israel to commit these international crimes. That's how it was. And no U.S. official would ever consider disobeying the wishes of Israel. As I have stated many times, these sales to Iran have not stopped. They will continue as long as Israel wants it done. There have, in fact, been more arms sent by Israel to Iran since the hearings ended than before they started.

The worst part of the entire mess is that many Americans have wrongly assumed that since the elaborate congressional hearing last summer brought out into the open most of it, no more arms will be sent to Iran. Wrong! It did not even slow down the sale and shipment of massive amounts of arms to Iran, *via Israel*, and approved by our illustrious leader in the Oval Office. Congressional hearings are devoid of any power. It was merely an exercise in propaganda to fill the airwaves. It had no meaning. Congress cannot indict or try anyone.⁵ Con

5. The Constitution, it is true, gives the Congress the power to impeach and try a president or any other official, but even if the Constitution had not been nullified in practice, such a power could be used only by a Congress devoted to the interests and welfare of the American people. In the recent past there were still a few Congressmen who did favor the American people, but they were a politically impotent minority and have now been eliminated by the Zionist masters of the United States.

gress is not a court of law. All it can do is talk. During my entire lifetime, I have never known of even one Congressional hearing that accomplished anything. Why should this latest one be any different? *Plus ça change, plus c'est la même chose.*

Israel and the White House paid no attention to the hearings. People are saying that the arms shipments stopped. They did not. Right now, as you are reading this, ships are leaving Danish ports to pick up American arms from the Israelis and deliver them to Iran. Two weeks from now, another vast shipment of arms will arrive in Iran, courtesy of Iran's partners, the U.S. and Israel. Next month and every month of every year until Iraq is destroyed, U.S. arms will continue to flow in a never-ending stream to Iran. It has been thus since before the war even started, since 1981 in fact. It will continue.

Most readers already know that nearly all members of the U.S. Senate are paid off by Israel. However, not everyone knows that the Israelis, through AIPAC, gave Committee Chairman Senator Daniel Inouye (D-Hawaii) an additional check for \$48,500 just before the Iran-Contra hearings began. No wonder this little Hawaiian Japanese jumped up and screamed for a closed session every time Israel was mentioned, which wasn't very often. He was highly paid to protect the rights and interests of the Israelis. But he certainly didn't give one damn about American interests now, did he?

According to both the Associated Press and the *Arizona Republic*, six-teen other members of the Iran-Contra Committee received a joint bonus from Israel of \$121,275. Now do you understand why no one except Senator James McClure (R-Idaho) ever blamed Israel for anything? They were paid by the Israelis to protect that little outlaw state from all harm and blame. I must say, they earned their money—and what does illegality matter today?

These same bought-off, supposedly American members of Congress were quick to make many disobliging and outright false statements to condemn the Americans involved. But they protected Israel so well that former Senator John Tower of Texas declared that the entire hearings were a big mistake. "I would classify the whole thing as political theater to protect Israel," he told the press. "I was not surprised that the hearings rarely focused on the part played by Israel. It was planned that way."

Senator Tower, who served in the Senate for twenty-four years, would have been accurate if he had said the committee members were bought that way by Israel. He also laid the blame right where I have always placed it—on the power of the American-Israel Public Affairs Committee, that illegal foreign lobby in Washington operated by Israel.

What really irks me most is that the money which Israel used to buy off the crooked Congressmen came right from the pockets of the American taxpayers. And I don't mean other people or other taxpayers. I mean everyone reading this, unless, of course, you don't pay any taxes, which is unlikely.

* * *

I have received a letter from Dr. Shaw Yu-ming, director general of the Government Information Office of the Republic of China, which explains quite clearly the recent legal and civil changes in that proud, small nation which is holding out valiantly against Communism, on the island of Taiwan.

Here are some of his comments:

"Recently, the Republic of China (ROC) on Taiwan adopted a series of earth-shaking measures that marked the dawn of a new era of political and economic openness for the prosperous island society.

"Foremost among the measures was President Chiang Ching-kuo's announcement of the lifting of the 38-year-old emergency decree (often commonly but mistakenly referred to as 'martial law' in the Western media), which took effect at the stroke of midnight, July 14, 1987.

"Secondly, at the same time, government controls on the foreign exchange and use of foreign currencies, also in effect since 1949, were removed.

"And, as if the two measures were not enough for excitement and even jubilation, Premier Yu Kuo-hwa announced on the same day that the government had lifted the eight-year-old ban on direct travel to Hong Kong by Taiwanese who are not businessmen.

"In particular, the lifting of the emergency decree increases the cadence of the ROC's march toward constitutional democracy. This move heralds the curtailment of areas under military control and expands the functions and powers of civilian administrative and judicial authorities. It also paves the way for an unprecedented expansion of civil liberties.

"Although shrouded in some controversy all these years, the emergency law did play an important role in Taiwan's development. In essence, the decree served as an incubator, providing the island nation with life-saving security measures during the crucial early stages of development. These extraordinary security measures were taken to safeguard against the menacing threat posed by Communist China,

which has never disavowed the use of force to bring Taiwan into the Red orbit.

"In any event, the fact clearly remains that with the emergency decree in place, the ROC achieved remarkable social, economic and political progress. Now that it is dropped, the nation faces a test of its new democratic strength. As Premier Yu aptly explained, 'the lifting of the emergency decree means that our government and people have the ability to manage any eventual crises they may face in a democratic manner; it does not mean that threats to the nation's security no longer exist.'

"Controls on foreign exchange sought to keep the focus of investors on Taiwan rather than on foreign business ventures. In recent years, the accumulation of foreign capital (as a result of Taiwanese exporters' having to trade in their foreign earnings for Taiwan dollars) has caused the ROC to suffer an embarrassment of wealth, especially in Washington where Congressmen are aware of the fact that much of Taiwan's foreign cash reserves are the result of a huge trade surplus with the United States.

"Pressure has therefore been mounting for the ROC to do something about its burgeoning foreign reserves, which now have soared to more than sixty billion U.S. dollars. On the one hand, pressure mounted at home as Taiwan became awash in traded-in Taiwan dollars. Abroad, protectionist sentiments in Washington rose sharply, as more and more Congressmen cast envious, even angry eyes at the ROC's foreign exchange reserves."

As everyone can see, the miracle on Taiwan stands out in stark contrast to the abject economic failure of the state of Israel, founded in 1948 on the principle that no help would be needed for these European Jews to build a nation, because they then claimed to have the most intelligent people in the world planning their economy. The Israelis proved to be so bad in handling their economy and financial matters that they are now living on *total welfare* from money provided by the U.S. taxpayers [and, we hasten to add, from the billions of Deutsch Mark extorted from, and paid by, the Federal Republic of Germany as "reparations" to the ever so healthy, happy, and foxily laughing millions of "survivors" of an alleged Holocaust. —Editor]. And they are always crying, whimpering and begging for more money from us. They could certainly learn a great lesson from the Chinese on Taiwan.

* * *

Several people have asked me about President Reagan's nomination of Mr. C. William Verity, Jr., for Secretary of Commerce, and about the Leftist organization of which he is co-chairman.

Well, that's one nomination to which there will never be any real opposition in the Senate. He is the darling of our many Communist sympathizers in Congress.

Since Mr. Verity just happens to be president of Armco Steel and supposedly is a genuine capitalist, you may wonder why he has always favored the Soviets and Marxism. Well, he was furious in 1978 when President Jimmy Carter ordered an embargo on the sale of oil-drilling equipment and other steel products to the Soviet Union. He and his better-known partner, Dr. Armand Hammer, whose father founded the American Communist Party, pressured Mr. Carter and literally threatened him until the embargo was lifted. Immediately, the greedy Mr. Verity sold eighty million dollars of Armco Steel products to the Kremlin. Now do you understand?

The organization in question is the U.S.-U.S.S.R. Trade and Economic Council, a private organization founded in 1973 by Dr. Hammer, David Rockefeller, and Pepsico's Donald Kendall, for the express purpose of aiding the Soviets. Their immediate aim was to secure a "most-favored-nation" status for the Soviet Union. Mr. Verity and Mr. Vladimir Sushkov, the Russian foreign trade minister, were co-chairmen of the Council.

So Mr. Reagan's move to please these Communist-leaning profiteers by naming Mr. Verity to replace the late Malcolm Baldrige as head of the Commerce Department, is like letting a favored animal run the zoo.

Perhaps Mr. Verity can't do America much harm in the few remaining months of Mr. Reagan's term; but such an appointment can favor no one but the Communists.

* * *

If the Star of David and the flag of Iran aren't flying over the White House, they should be after the idiotic, hypocritical, and extremely callous official statements made by our government about Iraq, a tiny nation valiantly fighting for survival against the powerful, combined onslaught of Iran, Israel, and the United States.

After waiting patiently for many months for an armistice promised by the United Nations, while their nation was being gradually eroded by unresisted aggression, the Iraqis finally resumed the war by attacking legitimate military targets, such as Iran's Kharg Island. The U.N.

"cease-fire," blocked by the U.S. and Israel, never happened. So Iraq was correct in resuming these attacks. But the U.S., with fierce anger at the attacks against Iran, issued a statement that Iraq's hitting these targets was absolutely deplorable. However, it was an entirely different story when Iran retaliated by firing newly-acquired American missiles at Baghdad, killing many innocent women and children. The U.S. government did not find this deplorable at all, but approved of the action.

So if there are any readers who didn't already know that the U.S. and Israel back Iran totally in the war with Iraq, this should convince them.

This latest distortion of the facts equals or excels the one put out by the U.S. government when more than adequate proof was presented that Communists were using the kind of chemical warfare called Yellow Rain in Southeast Asia. The official American statement to protect the Soviets was to deny these proven charges by claiming that "the Yellow Rain was actually nothing more than feces falling from pollen-laden bees flying at high altitudes." Remember that?

Who, do you suppose, *owns* Washington?

This article first appeared in the February 1988 issue of *Liberty Bell*. Annual subscription \$25.00. Sample copy & booklist \$3.00.

Reprints of Jim Taylor's articles are available at the following prices: *THE NEXT VIETNAM* (Sept. 1986 issue): 4/\$1.50 10/\$3.00 50/\$13.00 100/\$25.00. *HAMMERING THE U.S.* (Oct. 1986 issue): 5/\$1.00 50/\$9.00 100/\$16.00. *BUSH AND ZION* (Nov. 1986 issue): 3/\$1.50 10/\$4.50 50/\$20.00 100/\$35.00. *REAGAN AND ZION* (Dec. 1986 issue): 2/\$1.50 10/\$6.00 50/\$25.00 100/\$45.00. *ANTI-AMERICANS* (Jan. 1987 issue): 2/\$1.50 10/\$6.00 50/\$25.00 100/\$45.00. *MORE OF REAGAN'S LIES* (Feb. 1987 issue): 2/\$1.50 10/\$6.00 50/\$25.00 100/\$45.00. *HOW ZION TRIUMPHS* (March 1987 issue): Single copy \$1.00 10/\$8.00 50/\$35.00 100/\$60.00. *FRIENDS WE BETRAYED* (April 1987 issue): 2/\$1.50 10/\$6.00 50/\$25.00 100/\$45.00. *THE GREAT TERRORIST* (May 1987 issue): 2/\$1.50 10/\$6.00 50/\$25.00 100/\$45.00. *ISRAEL'S PRESIDENT* (June 1987 issue): 2/\$1.50 10/\$6.00 50/\$25.00 100/\$45.00. *YOUR ENEMIES* (July 1987 issue): 2/\$1.50 10/\$6.00 50/\$25.00 100/\$45.00. *THE END OF A LOST EMPIRE* (August 1987 issue): 2/\$1.50 10/\$6.00 50/\$25.00 100/\$45.00. *ZION'S HITMEN* (September 1987 issue): Single Copy \$2.00 10/\$15.00 50/\$60.00. *THE RISING SUN* (November 1987 issue): single copy \$1.50 5/\$6.00 10/\$10.00 50/\$45.00. *THE CRIME OF CRIMES* (December 1987 issue) single copy \$1.50 5/\$6.00 10/\$10.00 50/\$45.00. *WHO OWNS WASHINGTON?* (February 1988 issue) single copy \$1.50 5/\$6.00 10/\$510.00 50/\$45.00.

For Postage and Handling include \$1.00 for orders under \$10.00, 10% for orders over \$10.00. Order from: LIBERTY BELL PUBLICATIONS, Box 21, Reedy WV, 25270 USA.

Made in Russia:

THE HOLOCAUST

A COLLECTION OF
"EYE-WITNESS" AND "SURVIVOR"
FAIRY TALES

FOISTED ON A GULLIBLE WORLD BY
B. S. Holocaustovich & Company

COMPILED AND ANNOTATED BY
JACK KETCH

Order No. 08015 — Single copy \$6.00 + \$1.00 for postage
5 copies \$25.00 + \$2.50 for postage

For quantity pricing write to:
LIBERTY BELL PUBLICATIONS, Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA

Aryan Victory in Supreme Court Decision

by
The Nordic Press

The incongruity of contemporary political thought lies not so much with conflicting political ideologies as in simple ignorance. As an example, in June of 1987 when the Supreme Court of the United States voided the last vestige of creationist religious teaching in the public schools, Liberals hailed it as a great victory and conservatives bemoaned yet another loss. In reality it was quite the opposite.

The racial *Weltanschauung* gives the answer. The court's 7-2 vote decisively upheld a decision striking down an Arkansas law which permitted the teaching of the Jew/Biblical creation myth in the public schools, and was then extended to cover a similar law in Louisiana. Aryan warriors sighed in relief, for at last no public school system could impose such a perverted philosophy upon the racist's children. The realistic outline and interpretation of the evolution of life upon this planet as propounded by Charles Darwin seems, at last, secure in our public schools, and with it must come the downfall of the "equality" farce.

That is the basic and fundamental difference in our racial world view and that of the Jew, a racist looks upon nature, not as an enemy to be fought and controlled, but as the great sea of life upon which all living things sail. Human progress across those waters is governed by exactly the same laws which affect every other living thing. What insures the survival of one species will, in like manner, insure the survival of all.

The Aryan warrior cannot answer life's fundamental questions— from whence did life spring and whither will it go—nor is there an attempt to do that. Religions have ever sought to answer those two unanswerable questions, and none have succeeded. The Jew, borrowing from earlier religious thought, decided that their Big Jew in the Heavens had reached down and created it all and then given it all as a play ground for them, his "chosen ones." Each and every other religion has a similar story. That such a concept was imposed upon the once rational peoples of northern Europe, our Aryan progenitors, was a tragedy from which we are only now emerging. Charles Darwin (1809-1882) came upon the scene and, as is well known, published his two

famous works, *The Origin of Species*, and, *The Descent of Man*. His works were based upon observations which he made during extended scientific journeys aboard two British vessels, HMS *Adventure* and *Beagle*. These two books did not cause immediate revolution, but, as time rolled by, they did, for Darwin had found the key to unlock the Christian fetters binding the Aryan soul to the Jew.

In Darwin's outline of the probable course which nature takes in stocking this world we see a beauty and a simplicity which is basic to the Aryan soul, for we see reality, we see truth, as contrasted to the sham and shame of the Jew doctrine, which is part and parcel of all mid-eastern religions, and which was nearly successful in the destruction of the Aryans, the finest race which has evolved upon this planet.

The U.S. Supreme Court kicked the final prop from under the Big Hebe in the Heavens' religion and has restored the natural order. Aryan warriors must now insure the victory is not perverted by the Jew and his "running dogs" of the Christian-Humanistic perversions. Man evolves, races evolve, and individual genetic characteristics evolve as well. That which best insures survival is meant by nature to prevail. Thus we have seen the Aryan race emerge as the dominate race upon this planet, for it is the race which has best adapted to the requirements of living within the bounds set by nature. The Aryan has adapted to the changing natural order and has created a civilization second to none. Yet today, the dominance of the Aryans has fallen to an almost fatal level of self-destruction as the influence of the Jew religion, Christianity, has come to dominate his every action.

With the emergence of Christianity as the dominant philosophy in our Western-Aryan civilization our actions became idiotic and self-destructive as we denied our own place at the pinnacle of the evolutionary process and sought to become one with those who have not had the Aryan's genetic success. Today we see Aryans wallowing in the slave mentality of the Jew and manifesting such perverted concepts as "equality" and "brotherhood." We Aryans have admitted into our homelands, into our daily life, and, most unfortunately, into our beds, the dregs of humanity. Those who have committed the vilest of racial sins, miscegenation, have been not only permitted to live, but have been allowed to bring their mulatto offspring into the public schools, into our political life, into our military services and, as one with sight cannot escape, have permitted them to dominate the Jewish industries of news and entertainment. Today, the Aryan children who watch the television programs which bombard them with propaganda see presented only the distorted features of the mulatto or the sinister face of the Jew—unless, of course, the concept of honesty and integrity is to

be conveyed—then an Aryan is found to play the role. The Aryan's identity with honesty and integrity is well illustrated by the use of a lovely Celtic woman—blondé and blue-eyed—to sell a particular brand of pain killer.

With the court's decision, the Aryan warrior must insure the misguided adults of our Aryan family read well the lessons of natural selection upon which the court's decision was based. Nature does not compensate for the weak, she destroys them. There is not a species alive which owes its existence to the benevolence of another species, nor has a single race emerged from mankind's deep and hidden roots which has not struggled through the eons for that position. That each race occupies a different role in nature's scheme is not for the races to question. Each race must, from within its own genetic resources, insure the furtherance of its being and the upward and continuing evolution of its racial stock. It is not within the power of the Aryan warrior to know what our future generations must be prepared to endure and to overcome so that our race may survive. Our responsibility to the future is to insure that the best of our race today provide children for that great tomorrow. What other races do is of no concern to the Aryan. The other races will continue their own evolutionary process—or will falter and disappear, it is of no consequence to nature, for by her iron dictate it is the strong which shall prevail.

Within the racial movement today are many voices. Each seeks to identify and to elevate their own particular dogma as being the route for Aryan salvation, and, there are even some of the Christian perversion in our ranks. For the most part they have missed the primer of racial history and wisdom which is readily available to them. Adolf Hitler wrote the book. It is titled, *Mein Kampf*. It tells of Hitler's racial awakening and the means which he took to bring the racial philosophy of the Aryan into the political reality of the Jew-Christian dominated world of Germany in the post World War I period. The details of his "struggle," for that is the meaning of the title, may be lost on today's racialist as they tend to read it as the history of a movement which failed, but the National Socialist movement did not fail. The military forces of the Third Reich, which were the creation of Hitler's political vehicle, the National Socialist German Workers Party, then and now denigratingly called *Nazis*, were finally overwhelmed in 1945 by the forces aligned against them. The racial realities of the natural order, the firm bedrock of truth upon which the National Socialist philosophy rests, is as alive today as it was for Hitler or for any other racial philosophy before or since Hitler that looks to reality rather than myth for its course of action.

LETTERS TO THE EDITOR

Hitler chose the National Socialist German Workers Party as his vehicle to political power for the purpose of freeing the German peoples from the intrusion of the Jew into Germanic life. Today's Aryan warrior is looking for a similar vehicle, but, too often, overlooks the profound wisdom spelled out in *Mein Kampf*. Hitler was a realist, and if he lived today in the flesh rather than in the spirit, he, too, would seek a means of freeing the racial strength of the Aryan from the sucking grip of the Jew and the other mud races. The party, the political vehicle which he would chose today would be of contemporary design and function, but Hitler's message of racial purity, of individual honor and integrity, rings as true today as it did to his followers during his lifetime. There is no need to seek further for our Aryan doctrine for Hitler outlined it well. Hitler did not create the truth of racial evolution, nature did that, he only presented a program of racial and political reality for the Aryan peoples. It behooves us today to read and to understand his message and to seek the political vehicle which will free the Aryan from the Jew and leave us free to enter the future without the parasite upon our back.

Today's Aryan warrior, just as each warrior in our long history, will often stand alone. To expect the bulk of those who pass for Aryans today to be aware of their racial obligations and potential is absurd. We carry upon our shoulders the accumulated racial detritus of two thousand years of alien thought and genetic retrogression. Had it not been for the Christian influence, ours would be a stronger race today for we would not have permitted the tampering with our heritage by allowing the racially unfit to procreate. We carry that burden today, but natural selection, if allowed once again to operate, will again cleanse our gene pool. Look not for some supernatural hand to save the Aryan, if we are to survive as a race, it must be our own work, each Aryan man and woman insuring our continuity upon this planet, and the working of the natural law which also governs our individual fate upon death. If man survives as a spiritual entity, and some fascinating contemporary studies do point to this possibility, then it is a survival within the natural order of life and not the intervention of some Heavenly Hebe. There is no need to give any further credence to the Jew religion. The divinity which created the Aryan is the long and continuing process of natural selection, not some imaginative supernatural creature created by the distorted and pathetic world view of the Jew.

The court has taken the burden from our racial shoulders, what we do now is up to each of you, our Aryan warriors!

Dear Mr. & Mrs. Dietz and Friends,

My trial came up on 27 October and certainly was a defeat for the holocaust cultists as the enclosed newspaper clippings confirm, despite the distortions.

The state seemed to sense that my trial would be an embarrassment and therefore scheduled the trial of the Röhm-Strasser style car-bomber Odfried Hepp for the same time as mine in Frankfurt to divert attention from my case. The liberal and left-wing press fell for the Hepp spectacle and only three stiff, bourgeoisie type reporters representing the kosher conservative press declined the establishment's show of this "repentant thought criminal" in favor of my trial.

After we established all the formalities, the state's attorney, Jürgen Hess came strutting forth like a big turkey trying to portray me as being obviously one-sided and certainly ignorant to be able to disbelieve the Jewish holocaust. I proceeded to dispel the claim that I was one-sided by citing books by Hilberg, Kogon, Gilbert, Reitlinger, and others supportive of the holocaust dogma, as well as films such as "Shoah," "The Eichmann Trial," etc., and how I made it a point to study this controversy from both sides on a point by point basis; how I had written letters to the Institute für Zeitgeschichte in Munich, to Höttl, and other minions of this holycow'st hysteria seeking information, and how much of this information I received supported my belief that this holocaust was a fantastic exaggeration. In order not to alienate my audience, I related how I once believed in the holycow'st after reading the now discredited work *Commandant at Auschwitz*, but the recent revelations concerning the methods used to obtain Commandant Hoess's confession, how his interrogators (Zionist Jews, incidentally) threatened to deliver his wife and children to the Russians if he didn't say what they wanted to hear, tell quite clearly the rest of the story.

State attorney Hess was visibly shaken since I was quite well informed on this contrary to his expectations, and his voice trembled somewhat for the rest of the trial and he said, "I can see now that you are infected." He proceeded to state how judicial notice had been taken of gassings at Auschwitz etc. My excellent attorney, Jürgen Rieger, related how once judicial notice had been taken of gassings at Dachau, but now everyone admits that there were never gassings in Dachau.

Hess cried out like some grand inquisitor to stop this presentation of forbidden facts screaming "Do you want us to write up a protocol?!!" He then produced two letters of mine addressed to local lawyers, reading

Dear Attorney.....

According to this "Auschwitz Paragraph" [of the West German penal code. — Editor], we apparently cannot say

1) I maintain that there were never gas chambers in Auschwitz.

Can we, however, say

2) I doubt the existence of gas chambers in Auschwitz.

I'm looking forward to your reply.

Sincerely,

Denis Doyle.

My attorney demanded and received copies of my letters and the notes attached to them by the attorneys and has filed charges against these attorneys for a breach of confidence.

Hess was perhaps hoping I'd stumble into some kind of snare. I then produced a three-page letter by Professor Robert Faurisson addressed to the Bonn regime, asking this same question, along with the reply from Bonn's Minister of Justice advising him to ask a lawyer for clarification. Both letters were read aloud by Herr Rieger. This even shocked the judge since the Bonn officials appeared incapable of understanding the extent or consequences of the laws which they themselves had enacted. There were some murmurs.

In closing I presented a good ten minutes of rhetoric supporting my contention that the so-called holocaust was nothing more than a typhus plague for the large part which arose when Germany, due to war, bombings, and economical collapse, was unable to adequately care for the thousands of camp inmates. I was in the process of showing the aerial reconnaissance photos of Auschwitz from 1944 when the judge broke it off.

After a short recess, the judge repeated the orthodox holocaust dogma. To do otherwise would probably have sent him to the back of the unemployment line.

The charge of distributing revisionist pamphlets was dropped on a technicality associated with freedom of the press, and the case was dismissed. The state's attorney may still appeal, but he seemed pretty shaken by all the reversals and didn't seem surprised that the case was thrown out. Freiheit und Wahrheit zuliebe!

You may give out my address; I'm organizing a "Holocaust Demolition Squad" here.

Best Wishes!

Best Wishes!

Denis Doyle, Gronauer Strasse 5
D-60 Frankfurt/M 60 — West Germany

Dear George,

Advancing age and pre-occupation takes its toll. I believe my subscription is up for renewal. So with the enclosed check please renew it and buy a log to throw on the Yuletide fire.

The Lightning and the Sun by Savitri Devi is the best of all books, should one bear through to the end, for a stout-hearted Aryan to read. Christianized wimps best spare themselves.

Mid-Winter Greetings,
W.F., Washington State

Happy Hanukkah, George!

Please send me *Auschwitz* and *The March Up Country*.

I am enclosing a little extra as a gift/donation or in case you run short of lox and bagels.

I have been playing around with "Apple Access" and your information network. I see you have a password for uploading. That's understandable. [We'll make a *Password* available to any one of our *old, established subscribers and/or book customers* who own a (any) computer and who may wish to "upload" textfiles into our Data Board System for possible inclusion in *Liberty Bell*. *The phone number of the Data Board is 304-927-1773; communication parameters should be set to 1200 baud, 8 data bits, 1 stop bit, no parity. A Password is not required to gain access to the system, but is — for obvious reason — required to enter any data/messages into the system. Any "ASCII" textfile or program file can also be sent in directly on Apple //e or IBM diskettes. — Editor*]

I've tried Himmler, Sharon, Bagel.Muncher, Bigot.II, Hate, and other words to no avail. Oh well! This computer stuff is a fad anyway—according to Eric T. from up North.

A friend of mine asked me if I knew what the rabbis do with all those foreskins. He said they sell them to faggots for chewing gum.

Keep the Faith...
R.F., New York State

Dear Mr. Dietz,

I received Vol. 15-No. 3 of *Liberty Bell* not long ago, and it seemed to be extremely interesting and informative...

I congratulate you for your unselfish work, that, hopefully, will bring light in many confused minds of our brainwashed generations. I wish you the happiest of holidays.

Sincerely yours,
E.L., Florida

* * * * *

Dear Mr. Dietz,

This small contribution to you and *Liberty Bell* as a reminder to you that your readers appreciate your sacrifices, diligence, thoroughness, and unswerving loyalty throughout the years in keeping *Liberty Bell* the spark of truth and hope it has been to all who value the true achievements and unity of the White race, rue its would-be destroyers, and hope and strive for its return to itself and good health.

Good luck and Best Wishes in the coming year.

With Highest Esteem,
K.B., Ohio

* * * * *

Dear George,

Recently received the video tape I ordered from you and am quite pleased with it. Now I can use to pass the word on to others. Last October, I went down into Kansas to visit some friends for an evening and they had borrowed a copy of this tape to show me. This was the first time I'd ever viewed "The Eternal Jew," even though I often heard about it. Now, you'll never guess whom they borrowed their copy of. They borrowed it from a Baptist Church and they assured me that the church had been loaning the tape on a regular basis, admonishing the tape borrowers that the Jews are the cause of the plight found in our banking system and on the farms. Hopefully this is a sign that those who have been most fooled by Jewry are now beginning to wake up.

Hope all is going well with you and that Liberty Bell Publications is also doing well.

Once again, Best Yule Wishes,

E.K., Nebraska

* * * * *

Dear George:

That was a thoughtful thing to do—sending me, just this month, a copy of Harold Covington's book, *The March Up Country*. Haven't been able to put it down. Just finished it. I had always enjoyed articles by him in *Liberty Bell*—he seems to be about the most lucid theoretician and strategist writing today from the true Right. I hope you do well with this book—it was a good deed to undertake the cost

and effort of publishing it. Maybe it will talk some sense into many leaders and activists who need some sense talked into them!

Again, you must be commended for your courage in making available to receptive Americans, at a most reasonable price, books of enduring value at risk, bot financially and physically, to yourself. You are truly one of the enduring beacons on the landscape of the political and racial right.

With deepest respect,
K.B., Ohio

* * * * *

Dear George:

I hope 1987 disappears, never to be seen again. And I hope that 88 will prove to be a better year for everybody. You might say that the only decent thing '87 did was to allow a great man to join those of his own stature, and thus remove him from the malevolent political lackeys masquerading as human beings endowed with character, and imperpetually lay claim to feelings and a soul.

What Rudolf Hess did in '41, and what he did from then until '87, all those people (I won't call them statesmen) whose acquiescence helped to keep him incarcerated and treated with hateful spite, all of them lumped together would and could not encompass the common daily moral strength he emitted if they lived to be a thousand. They were his inferiors—and they knew it.

Even Valhalla is now a brighter place to be.

George, enclosed is a piece of work of mine with which I hope to give that 'peacenik' Wiesel a hard time, come this April. I'm going to see how much is needed to make a dent; a dent in their plans, and his credibility. I'll never let that bum live that remark down. That shall follow him all the way to the Confessional, for only a world-wide public confession by him will erase that Yiddle poison from my mind.

Could you print this or something about it and thus alert everyone that may want to do something about that up-coming conspiracy, and about the Judas-goat leading it.

To give '88 a better chance to be a better year—prosperity wise—I am enclosing a donation, and I hope it will be just a drop in the full bucket I hope it to become. The best of health and happiness in the New Year, to you and yours,

Wishes Your Friend,
H.B., New York State

* * * * *

Dear George:


Sorry your electronic contraption broke down. Maybe Eric Thompson was right. Never trust anything that is not powered by steam.

Ah Christmas! I shy clear of religious discussion but one must admit that the Jews have more spine than the Christians. Some schools had to take down their crosses and mentions of Christ during the holiday. Separation of Church and State, you know. Sprinkled along some of our busier avenues are many signs reminding us of the season. The only Christian symbols allowed are the holly leaves. However, one cannot fail to notice the menorahs and Solomon's seals all over the place reminding us of Hanukkah. That Church and State restriction applies only to the *goyim*. Only a few blocks from my house is a 40 foot menorah that serves as my daily inspiration. Since the Christ has been taken out of Christmas, I cannot help but view it as an improvement. If displays are limited to holly leaves, Tannenbaum and white candles, isn't this really in keeping with more Aryan tradition?

Just finished my second flying lesson. From the air, I counted 14 swimming pools and even had a glimpse of my rooftop. Since I did not crash, I feel I could give Rudel or Richthofen competition. Therefore, please send me one "Blue Max". Please use the extra change for repairs (partial) for your non-steam powered contraption.

B.F., New York State

DOES THE WEST HAVE THE WILL TO SURVIVE?



That is the obvious question posed by Jean Raspail's terrifying novel of the swamping of the White world by an unlimited flood of non-White "refugees." But there is also a less obvious and even more fundamental question: Must Whites find their way to a new Morality and a new spirituality in order to face the moral challenges of the present and overcome them? *THE CAMP OF THE SAINTS* is the most frightening book you will ever read. It is frightening because it is utterly believable. The armada of refugee ships in Raspail's story is exactly like the one that duped 150,000 Cubans from Fidel Castro's prisons and insane asylums on our shores in 1980 — except this time the armada is from India, with more than 70 times as large a population. And it is only

the first armada of many. If any book will awaken White Americans to the danger they face from uncontrolled immigration, it is *THE CAMP OF THE SAINTS*. For your copy (Order No. 3014) send \$9.00 (which includes \$1.00 for postage and handling) to:

LIBERTY BELL PUBLICATIONS, Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA.

POSTSCRIPTS *continued from page 10*

1952 and now available from Liberty Bell Publications, \$4.00 + postage.

I do not know whether Englishmen are still permitted by their masters to read that book. The Jews who now own the ruins of Great Britain have long been clamoring for legislation that will make it a crime to print or sell books which they think their Aryan swine should not read.

This serves to remind me that we, who are old hands in historical criticism, too often take it for granted that our readers naturally know the fundamental works on the Jewish problem, and that it would be redundant to cite them. I take this occasion to say that Captain Ramsay's book is one that should be in the hands of everyone who is concerned for the future of our race. It is concise, strictly factual, and historically accurate. It is a clear exposition of the way in which Yahweh's predators gradually gained control of the British nation and ruined it.

Captain Ramsay had been a Member of Parliament for many years when he was seized and kidnapped by official goons at the orders of Winston Churchill, the half-English hireling of the Jews, who decided to make him Prime Minister when Chamberlain, weak though he was, evinced reluctance to drive Britain, then still Great, into an attack on Germany that would ineluctably be catastrophic to both nations and to Western civilization, and could profit only the Jews' Soviet colony. The kidnapping, violating not only the rights of all Englishmen since the Magna Carta, but also the privileges of Members of Parliament, made it possible lawlessly to confine Captain Ramsay, together with Admiral Domville² and other patriotic Englishmen, for four and one-half years in a concentration camp called Brixton Prison.

The irony of all this is that Churchill, son of a syphilitic father and a part-Jewish mother, was not only a traitor to his nation and race by the acts of which we all know, but was also specifically a traitor who, as a private citizen, conspired against his Sovereign Lord, the King, by entering into a conspiracy with

2. Admiral Sir Barry Domville's occasionally sardonic account of his abduction and Soviet-style imprisonment, *From Admiral to Cabin Boy* (London, Boswell, 1947), has been reprinted in the United States and is available from Jane's Book Service, P.O. Box 3622, Reno, Nevada; \$8.00 postpaid.

the foul monster called Franklin Roosevelt to contrive somehow a war in Europe against Germany and involve their nations in it to please the race of world-destroyers. Under British law, therefore, Churchill had made himself subject to the death penalty for high treason, and civilized men must forever regret that that penalty was not exacted. To avert exposure of his guilt, Churchill also ordered the abduction and imprisonment of Tyler Kent, an American citizen and further protected by the diplomatic immunity that was universally recognized before the British and American repudiation of international law and reversion to barbarism.³

No one can understand the present, if he does not know the facts set forth by Captain Ramsay in his excellent little book about the covert war the Jews have for many centuries waged against our race and civilization.

* * * *

CORRECTION

I owe to the kindness of Colin Jordan, one of the most able strategists in the minority of Englishmen who have not forsaken their race, the opportunity to correct a statement I made on page 15 of *Liberty Bell* for January 1987.

3. See John Howland Snow's *The Case of Tyler Kent* (1962; now available from Liberty Bell Publications, \$4.00 + postage). It contains, incidentally, the interesting information that an American historian, John Bryan Owen, grandson of William Jennings Bryan, returned to this country from England in 1942, determined to report the abduction of his friend, Tyler Kent, and was almost certainly murdered, probably by Federal agents, soon after he landed and his purpose became known. When Mr. Kent was finally released by his odious captors and returned to the United States, he was not murdered, but special legislation was invoked to ensure his silence about what he knew concerning the criminal conspiracy between Churchill and Roosevelt, and he was, for many years at least, kept under surveillance by the Federal Bureau of Intimidation. His first public statement, if I am not mistaken, appeared in the *Journal of Historical Review*, IV.2 (Summer 1983), pp. 173-293. Mr. Kent's observation of the conspiracy began after Roosevelt and Churchill, using Poland as their patsy, had already started the war in Europe; Churchill was a member of Chamberlain's cabinet and, naturally, was working to stab in the back the Prime Minister to whom he had pledged loyalty and from whom he concealed his surreptitious conspiracy with the great War Criminal in Washington.

I said that Dzhugashvili, alias Stalin, "was almost certainly not a Jew," and I even suggested a phonetic confusion as a source for the report that he was, although I was careful to point out that I know nothing of Karthli, the strange language of the natives of the region we call Georgia. (For that matter, I could not even decipher its odd alphabet of forty letters without recourse to some handbook.)

So far as I could recall, Dzhugashvili was not identified as a Jew in any of the lists which show that the prime movers of the Bolshevik capture of Russia in 1917-1918 were almost all Jews disguised under Russian names; later lists of the rulers of the Soviet Empire did not include him among the many identified as Jews; the various reports of his marriages to Jewesses implied that he was not himself a Jew; and whatever the truth of allegations against him as "anti-Semitic," Jewish physicians evidently tried to poison him and succeeded before he could bring them to trial. Furthermore, Jewish "authorities" whom I cited in *The Enemy of Our Enemies*, pp. 106-107, reported that only the opportune death of Stalin saved the members of God's Race in Russian territory from being either deported *en masse* to Siberia or simply exterminated in one grand and definitive pogrom.

As I pointed out in an article that was published in *Spearhead*, May and June 1980, *New Nation*, Summer 1980, and *Liberty Bell*, October 1980, historians must now learn the fundamental lesson that no statement made by Jews can be believed, if it is not corroborated by valid historical evidence and does not have a very high degree of intrinsic probability, but the plan attributed to Stalin was precisely what a sagacious and ruthless Russian statesman would have done as soon as he could dispense with the Jewish support that had brought him victory in 1945, so while the Jews' stories about him were not to be trusted, there was a good chance that their reports did have a basis in fact and were not just another of the fictions that Jews habitually devise whenever they yammer about how persecuted they are. The reports were not entirely without some support in other reports about Stalin's last days. For example, Nicholas V. Riasanovsky, in his *History of Russia* (Oxford University Press, 1963), was convinced that Stalin was preparing a "great purge" at the time of his death, while the author's failure to mention that the physicians then under arrest were Kikes and his suggestion that Stalin's plan was the result of "paranoia" about conspiracies and even of actual "madness,"

could be taken as indications that he knew the "purge" was to be directed against Jews, but, as a prudent academic historian, knew better than to say anything which God's Race might think tactless.

Mr. Jordan, whose cogent article, "Party Time is Over," you read in the August 1986 issue of *Liberty Bell*, called my attention to a book I had overlooked, *Soviet Staff Officer*, by Ivan Krylov, translated by Edward Fitzgerald (London, Falcon Press, 1951), in which Krylov quotes a Captain Muraviev of Department IV of the Soviet General Staff:

"Yes, Stalin's name, Djugashvili, means 'son of the Israelite' in Georgian. 'Shvili' means 'son' and 'Djuga' means 'Israelite.' The Djugashvili family, orthodox Christians, were descended from Jewish mountain tribes in the Caucasus converted to Christianity at the beginning of the nineteenth century."

The conversion to the Orthodox Church presumably took place during the Russian conquest of the Caucasus, which lasted most of that century. The officer of the General Staff, who may have known the Karthli language or at least had colleagues who did, may be taken as an authority. His statement must be accepted, unless someone who knows Karthli can show that the translation is wrong.

This, however, raises some curious questions. The obstinate and recurrent opposition to the Russian conquest came from mountain tribes who were not Georgians, but Lesghians (including Avars) and Tchetchen (Chechenzes), all of whom were fanatical Moslems, although they mingled that religion with much of their earlier "paganism." It seems very odd that there should have been "Jewish mountain tribes" in the Caucasus, since Jews, whenever they invade a country, seek cities and towns, which alone offer large opportunities for swindling the natives. If there were such tribes, one would guess they had been at some time converted to Judaism. (One thinks of the real Khazars, i.e., not the Jews who poured into northern Europe and called themselves Khazars, just as many of the Jews who invaded the United States in the last century called themselves Germans.) Of course, if there was profit to be made from converts in the wild and almost pathless mountains of the Caucasus, some real Jews may have resided among them and engendered hybrids.

Since the name 'son of a Jew' would not be distinctive in a population of Jews, one must assume that the ancestor of the

family was a Jew who went into the territory we call Georgia (Skartvelo on some Russian maps), where he was sufficiently unusual to have his sons identified by their descent from him. The chances are that he or his son became a Marrano, and it would be interesting to know whether the family perpetuated itself by marrying Jewesses. Dzhugashvili-Stalin's father professed to be a Christian, since Dzhugashvili-Stalin was educated for the priesthood in a theological seminary of the Greek Orthodox Church.

All this, however, is not quite certain, given the unfortunate ambiguity created when one word is used to designate both a religion and a race. It remains theoretically possible that Stalin had no Jewish blood, since the ancestor who came from the "Jewish mountain tribe" could have been a native of the Caucasus (e.g., an Avar) who had been converted to Judaism, and if he did not marry a Jewess, his descendants would not be Jews by race. What we especially need to know is the race of Stalin's mother, which would determine whether he was a Jew by the Jewish criterion. If she and most of Stalin's female ancestors were not Jewesses, then, even if the ancestor who came from the Caucasus was really a Jew by race, Stalin would have inherited few Jewish genes, and would, at worst, have been a *Mischling*. It is well to keep this possibility in mind, but I shall not press the point, since it is only theoretical and conjectural.

Stalin's Jewish blood would be a possible, though not necessary, explanation of his delight in starving and murdering Aryans in the Ukraine and Poland. The important point is that, contrary to what I had long assumed, the weight of the evidence is that Stalin was, at least in part, a Jew and not an exception to what was the rule among the blood-thirsty Bolsheviks and their successors.

Such is the weight of the evidence now, but I hope that if any of my readers knows Karthli or has at hand a good dictionary of that language, he will be so kind as to determine the validity of the statement quoted by Krylov. □


The life which the Jew lives as a parasite thriving on the substance of other nations and States has resulted in developing that specific character which Schopenhauer once described when he spoke of the Jews as 'The Great Master of Lies'. The kind of existence which he leads forces the Jew to the systematic use of falsehood, just as naturally as the inhabitants of northern climates are forced to wear warm clothes.

He can live among other nations only as long as he succeeds in persuading them that the Jews are not a distinct people but the representatives of a religious faith who thus constitute a 'religious community', though this be of a peculiar character.

Adolf Hitler, *Mein Kampf* (Hurst and Blackett, Ltd., London, 1942, pg. 173)

Christophersen/Roeder

Auschwitz



THE BOOK THAT MADE THE JEWS SO MAD THEY HAD TO INVENT THE MOVIE HOLOCAUST!

Order No: 1017 single copy \$2.50 + \$1.00 for postage
5 copies \$10.00 + \$1.00 for postage.

Order from:

LIBERTY BELL PUBLICATIONS, Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA

KEEP THE *LIBERTY BELL* RINGING!

Please remember: *Our* fight is *Your* fight! Donate whatever you can spare on a regular—monthly or quarterly—basis. Whether it is \$2., \$5., \$20., or \$100. or more, rest assured it is needed here and will be used in our common struggle. If you are a businessman, postage stamps in any denomination, are a legitimate business expense—and we need and use many of these here every month, and will be gratefully accepted as donations.

Your donations will help us spread the *Message of Liberty* and *White Survival* throughout the land, by making available additional copies of our printed material to fellow Whites who do not yet know what is in store for them.

Order our pamphlets, booklets, stickers, and—most importantly—our reprints which are ideally suited for mass distribution at reasonable cost. Order extra copies of *Liberty Bell* for distribution to your circle of friends, neighbors and relatives, urging them to subscribe to our unique publication. Our bulk prices are shown on the inside front cover of every issue of *Liberty Bell*.

Pass along your copy of *Liberty Bell*, and copies of reprints you obtained from us, to friends and acquaintances who may be on our 'wave length,' and urge them to contact us for more of the same.

Carry on the fight to free our White people from the shackles of alien domination, even if you can only join our ranks in spirit. You can provide for this by bequest. The following are suggested forms of bequests which you may include in your Last Will and Testament:

1. I bequeath to Mr. George P. Dietz, as Trustee for Liberty Bell Publications, P.O. Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA, the sum of \$ for general purposes.

2. I bequeath to Mr. George P. Dietz, as Trustee for Liberty Bell Publications, P.O. Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA, the following described property for general purposes.

**DO YOUR PART TODAY -- HELP FREE OUR WHITE
RACE FROM ALIEN DOMINATION!**