

POLITICAL articles by Bill White

Index

American Exceptionalism Ends Itself

AN INTRODUCTION TO METAHISTORY

Another Zionist War

Bill White on informants/informers

Eurasianism and American White Nationalism

Major Media Eliminating Comments From Websites

On Race and Human Variation

Reconstituting The National Alliance

SOPHOCLES PHILOCTETES AND DEMOCRATIC DECADENCE

American Exceptionalism Ends Itself

by Bill White

[GD: Reposted from www.downwithjugears.blogspot.com

Vladimir Putin's lecture in the New York Times may mark the end of the dogma of American evil, the so-called "American Exceptionalism."

This is the belief, fed to Americans by the Jews, that the United States is "exceptional" and thus not subject to the constraints, natural or through international law, placed on other human societies. This belief, very similar to the Hebrew self-conception of a race of Chosen People, is at the root of both neo-Marxist internationalism and Judaeo-Christian Zionism, the dominant belief system of the United States. The belief in American Exceptionalism has also led, domestically, to America's multi-cultural, interracial suicide, and the transformation of America into an enemy of the White race.

Now exceptionalism has consumed itself, and is in the process of handing the world over to a multi-polar order under Vladimir Putin's direction.

“We had to learn from the suicide of America, England, Holland, and France, if we are to survive as a nation,” Putin told his Parliament on February 4, 2013. The suicide Putin referred to was the mass introduction of non-Whites into those countries’ citizenry, the dismantling of the ethno-cultural organism which is the root of any true nation. America was persuaded by the Jews to commit racial suicide, and the result has been the bankrupting of the country with welfare and the cost of maintaining a large, non-productive, non-White population. This is the first prong of the fork in the heart of American Exceptionalism.

While America was dying, Putin enacted exactly opposing policies in Russia. Putin’s first few years in office were spent purging Russia of its Jewish oligarchs and nationalizing their corporations, all state corporations stolen under Yeltsin from the Russian people. Putin took the mass media from the Jews and stopped multi-culturalist propaganda in Russia. Putin cares about the Russian people, and directs the Russian economy for their benefit. Putin has reduced taxes, encouraged entrepreneurs, and built Russian gold reserves to stabilize the Russian currency. Putin’s reign has brought unprecedented economic expansion—four times the economic growth America has seen under Obama. Russia today is much like America in the 1950s—ordered, clean, beautiful, and happy. There are no Jews, no blacks, and none of the cultural poison Jews manufacture with blacks.

This economic growth and social stability has allowed Putin to avoid the second prong of the fork of American Exceptionalism—unlimited imperialist warfare. The old Soviet Union demanded international Communist revolution, Just as America has killed itself with multi-culturalism, the Jews demand America kill others when those others defend their nations and traditions. The process began with Karl Marx’s support of Abraham Lincoln, continued with the anti-German wars of 1914 and 1939, and continues with the endless war against Islam. In this, Trotskyite Communism reveals itself in both Zionism and Judaeo-Christianity.

What America is learning is that there is no exception to the laws of God and nature. As Dante taught, all men enter hell by free will. The Jews, in their hubris, have exploited and bankrupted America, just as was done to Soviet Russia and Britain. Now, America is seeing what defiance of natural law brings—collapse and failure. Russia’s president is a true nationalist, a geo-political genius who represents his people, and he is putting the reins on Obama, a vapid trophy negro whom America elected just to show it can. Well, we can all commit evil, but we pay for it.

Now, with Putin’s broadside, America sees leadership. Against it the negroes, Jews, shikhas and shabbos goyim of Obama have posited a bizarre dance, a comedy of weakness, corruption and absurdity. Americans believed Exceptionalism meant they could endlessly appoint half-wits, spend and borrow false money, integrate with alien races, and flaunt their immorality and tyranny over the world’s peoples. Now, Putin has come to put an end to the party, to constrain America within its proper bounds, and to free the world from its “exceptionalism.”

AN INTRODUCTION TO METAHISTORY

by Bill White

Karl Marx, the Jewish founder of Communism, told the world that history was scientific, that its course could be objectively studied, and that it would end at a point where the world ceased to change. Despite building on 5000+ years of thought about time and its nature, he presented his apocalyptic view of a world ending in world communism as original thought. It was not, and neither was his inept reduction of Aryan notions of the process of change to mere duality contained within the opposition of the two lower castes – the merchant and the worker. The effort to understand the mechanism by which society changes is ancient, and is known as the study of metahistory – the study of “historical change”.

Fundamental to metahistory are notions of divinity, archetype, and myth. In understanding how human society changes, it is as important, if not more important, to understand how the events of history are perceived as it is to understand what the events of history are. History and the real events humans experienced are almost instantly mythologized and interpreted in the context of the stories and archetypes – idealized notions of things – prevalent in society. The effort to steer the course of human events is, in its most essential part, an effort to utilize or control the governing myths of society. The forces that direct these changes are generally hidden, or occult, and their conflict is often equally hidden, giving rise to the notion of the occult, or hidden, war.

Mythologizing of history is almost the only means by which preliterate, or proto-linguistic, societies understand the past. In the proto-linguistic society, where language is non-permanent and word usage changes generationally, not only are the stories a reflection of the ideals and principles the teller wishes to convey, but also the language itself is a reflection of personal whim. In the preliterate society, where history is oral but the language is changing much more slowly, the objective of the storyteller is still the driving force behind the structure of the story. Thus, we see schools of oral poetry develop, often involving extensive memorization, under the guidance of a usually religious body. In a fully literate society objective factors become more important, but, again, the ability to mass communicate those factors and the objectives of those mass communicating those factors is key.

The tribal chief who tells a story to justify war against another tribe; the religious leader telling a story to justify a taboo; the modern “historian” telling a story to justify a social policy; the modern reporter broadcasting their selected version of the news – all of these are metahistorical forces. When we look behind them to the clan elders who want their neighbor’s cattle; to the Temple structure who wants control of the fields their devotees work; to the University the historian depends on for his living; or to the billionaires who own the shares of the media company – we see the occult forces who are shaping historical change.

These forces, though, are not arbitrary. They are rooted in the historical changes that preceded them and are, in many ways, limited by both the past and the objective reality they must interpret. Of the two, reality is the

easiest aspect to ignore, as any particular person can only experience a tiny portion directly. Consensus among the tale-tellers, or the preserve of a “larger megaphone”, can negate actual events. More important are the notions of how things are and should be which are brought into the experience and shape its interpretation.

For instance, most of the late mythical period of the German, Nordic, and English peoples occurred within historical time. Much of what we know of these peoples through the Sixth Century is from Roman writers; from the Sixth through the Twelfth we have a variety of sources. Yet historical events of these periods – from the Hun-Gothic wars to the Anglo-Saxon invasion of England, were largely understood in that time through lenses of Nordic, Classical and Hebrew mythology. Thus the Church fathers could say that the religious practices Christianity imitated were actually anticipations and preparations of the Christian faith. Theodoric the Great, born a few years after Attila the Hun’s death, could be placed at Attila’s court to live out the myths of Nordic gods. And the Anglo-Saxon conquest of Roman Britain could be understood as a replay of a migration saga at least 700 years its antecedent.

Even in a literate society, actual observation of historical change could be recorded and believed to have occurred in manners that suited the ideological needs of the persons recording them and the institutions and persons directing them, whose own ideology was derived from the ideologies that preceded them.

A good modern example is the “Holocaust” and the modern understanding of the Second World War. The Second World War was essentially lost by Britain when the Soviet Union entered it, and Germany was primarily defeated by the Soviet Union, not the United States – whose greatest role was to roll back an inevitably defeated Germany and limit Soviet Communism’s takeover of Europe.

During this war, the battle between Germany and the Soviet Union was essentially genocidal. The Soviet Union was a largely Jewish power and Germany had a deep hatred for the Jewish system of communism. The Germans exterminated the Communist bureaucracy – which was largely Jewish – and the Jewish commanders of the Soviet Army exterminated German civilians. The mass slaughter of the ethnic Russian soldiery by its own side and its German opponents contributed to the deaths.

During the war, the Soviets manufactured the idea of a general slaughter of Jewry in Germany. World Jewry, tapping into mythical archetypes of slaughter and persecution deep-seated in the Jewish people, latched on to the idea – both to manipulate and control their people, manipulate and control the victorious populations, and to profit personally. The actual effects of the war – Soviet-led genocide, a world-wide shift towards communism, the dissolution of the British Empire – were ignored or justified by the need to fight “fascism” and the equally artificial construct of “racism”, both covers for the advance of internationalist forces.

Or, one can look at archetypes as they are made in the emergence of the religion of Islam. The Koran, was largely revealed to Muhammed to settle petty disputes between his adherents. The actual practice of Islam is largely derivative of Arab paganism – the worship of the Qaaba, the belief certain statues in Mecca are divine “anticipations” of Islam, et cetera. On a foundation of Roman and Persian scholarship, Arab paganism, strong feelings in the conflict around the Trinity (root of the Islamic idea that god is one) and the tribal desert cultures of Mecca and Medinah came a religion which presented new social archetypes – first in support of a global Caliphate, then in support of the factions it produced. An understanding of Islam involves an understanding of those peoples who embraced it who demanded a Mahdi, and those who didn’t, and the material factors that led to the ascendancy of the one party or the other. The archetypes and mythology are the products of metahistory; the individuals handing gold over to the sons of Ali and Omar are the occult forces.

This analysis applies to all history at all times. Who were the Roman initiates who created the Bible and why did they choose that portrayal of Christ? What was the real reason the Greeks attacked Troy, and how did prior invasions color their account of it? Who led the American Revolution and why did they build the peculiar monuments they did? All of human history is the battle of men and women who adhere to ideas with antecedents and who create ideas from those antecedents to manipulate and control the actions of other humans. Success is not a factor in testing the validity of these notions. Metahistory is the study of these influences, which are “occult” when they are not readily presented for public viewing.

Nothing here implicates the depth to which people believe these archetypes or myths. No one doubts the majority of Jews seriously believe their recent ancestors were exterminated on an ethnic basis. Similarly, Evangelical Christians today certainly believe the literal truth of the Bible. Neither does the study of metahistory implicate actual historical truth – history is at its finest when it conforms to the ideal. Even those who create myths deliberately for practical reasons often do so in adherence to an underlying view of right and wrong that justifies their deeds.

What metahistory does do, though, is admit that all historical influences are not objective. Mere economic and environmental and biological circumstance does not dictate change, and one cannot predict from economic and environmental and biological variables the precise nature social changes can take. Black African Nubians, for instance, were able to run Egypt, which had governed them for 2000 years. A Negro communist has achieved the presidency of the United States. Aryan Germany was defeated by an alliance of Jewish communism and Anglo-American capitalism. All these changes emerged during periods of economic weakness – though Germany’s defeat came at a period of great economic strength. All of these changes can be explained in the context of myth and archetype – none can be predicted from pure economics or other objective data.

Such is metahistory – the study of the stories people tell themselves and the storytellers who shape them – of myth and the occult forces that shape [it]. In it we find gods, and men who merely wish to be gods. What we do not find is make-believe, mere fairy tales, or worse, conspiracy theories.

The purpose of much of my work is not to rewrite standard history, but to examine that history to trace the development of the metahistorical and occult within it.

Another Zionist War

by Bill White

Within a few days, we're told, the United States will launch an unprovoked attack on Syria. The accusation is that the government of Bashar al-Assad used chemical weapons against Al Qaeda rebels. These weapons were likely set off by rebel groups backed by Saudi Arabia and the Zionists in Palestine. America's information is also coming from the new Saudi-Zionist alliance. And the media is largely ignoring the central fact – that launching missiles in an unprovoked attack legitimately permits a coalition of nations, including nuclear armed Russia and China, just cause to attack America's homeland.

The attack may have begun before anyone can read this. It hasn't begun before today because Obama has been too busy celebrating Trayvon Martin Luther King day and America's long history of black communist agitation. Glenn Beck disagrees on attacking Syria, but he's standing with Obama on that. So are the Judeo-Christian Zionists and the neo-cons at the Wall Street Journal. All of these factions viciously attack each other, but, when it comes to values — internationalism, anti-racism, "democratic" revolution and Zionism — they all march together.

One thing that won't delay the attack is Congress. Only Congress has the power to make war — and, that power is enshrined in big rubber stamps, one given to the President of the Senate; one to the Speaker of the House. 91% of the American public opposes war — 85% if chemical attacks have occurred. Truly, this is a war being made by a handful of Jews and Negroes.

Not that Obama is being overly aggressive. Obama clearly knows this is a fraud. The Zionists and the Saudis broke off from the US-led coalition in the Middle East a few months ago. Last week they installed Egyptian General Al-Sisi in Egypt. Al-Sisi is one quarter Jewish; his grandfather was a member of Haganah. The Saudis gave Al-Sisi \$2 billion in cash, to compensate for any cut off American aid, and Al-Sisi killed over 1000 Muslim Brotherhood members in a coup. American neo-conservatives were involved. Obama tried to join in, with his ally George Soros' man El-Baradei but was quickly sidelined. The Jews — in America and Palestine — and Prince Bandar bin Sultan, the Saudi Minister of Intelligence, realized Obama is a paper tiger. So, they pushed their luck.

The civil war in Syria was a four-way conflict. Iran, Iraq, Hezbollah and Syria are the Shiite side. The rebels were divided three ways. The Egyptian Muslim Brotherhood was supporting the Syrian Muslim Brotherhood. Egypt is now gone, as is the Muslim Brotherhood stronghold of Homs. Qatar and Turkey were backing the Free Syrian Army — the liberal former Syrian Army commanders whom the US has failed to supply with weapons. And, Saudi Arabia, Jordan, the United Arab Emirates and Kuwait back al-Qaeda, via the al-Nusra Front and others.

The US was allied with all three rebel factions. However, the Saudis and Zionists decided Obama was withdrawing US military power from the Mid East- so, while remaining nominal “allies”, they both decided to pursue a foreign policy of their own. After all – what is Obama going to do about it?

Months ago, al-Nusra seized chemical weapons when it overran a Syrian ammunition depot. This week, al-Nusra used these weapons. As Syria’s army, bolstered by its new National Defense Force, the elite of the Syrian Shabiha militia, retook neighborhoods to the east and southwest of Damascus, the Saudis and Zionists green-lighted the detonation of captured Syrian rockets.

There are two things you can believe here. One, you can believe the version above. Or, you can believe that Syria launched this completely unnecessary, strategically disadvantageous attack knowing it would invite American intervention. The latter belief – what the Zionists are telling us – would have to mean the Syrians want America to attack. If true, America should be doubly cautious – because this means Assad knows something the US doesn’t.

Why does the US believe Syria launched this attack? The bottom line is the Mossad. The Zionists have given the US an intelligence report they are claiming came from a source inside the Syrian Republican Guard’s 4th Armored Division, a chemically armed tank division currently leading the offensive around Damascus. The source says chemical artillery was deployed in the area, two to three weeks ago.

So, the Zionists orchestrated the attack – and the Zionists are providing the evidence that Syria did it. Syria must feel like a Defendant in the federal court system. The Jew cries out in pain as he slaps you – once again.

But, OBama is in a pickle – when I’m sure he’d rather be munching a watermelon. The Jews talked Obama into “red-lining” the use of chemical weapons. The Jews set the weapons off around Aleppo when their militias were run out of there in April. That didn’t work. This time, they ramped up the casualties and called Obama a pussy. Obama had two choices — the hard choice of calling the Jews’ bluff; or the “easy” choice of blowing off a few dozen missiles. Obama is doing the easy thing.

Right now, the US has four missile destroyers in the Mediterranean with about 100 Tomahawk cruise missiles. At \$1.5 million apiece, that's a \$150 million barrage. As wars go, that's cheap. The missiles also have a range of 1500 miles – which is important. The Zionists are claiming these destroyers are “off the coast” of Syria. Don't be fooled. Those ships are no closer than the west coast of Cyprus. The US tried to deploy an aircraft carrier “off the coast” of Syria last year. It retreated when the Syrians deployed Russian Yakhont missiles, which can sink ships from Palestine to Egypt to Cyprus' west coast. The US destroyers are hiding somewhere near Italy – as close to the coast of Syria as the murder of a two-year-old is to an abortion.

Frankly, my prayer is that the Syrians or the Russians or someone sinks those four destroyers before they get off a shot – but, that won't happen. No US warship will come within range. But, what if the Syrians decide to start sinking US shipping to Egypt and the Zionists? They could close the Suez with their missiles – though that stretches the 200-mile range. Has anyone thought of this?

Obama “leaked” fifty locations he plans to attack in Syria this morning – probably, as the Wall Street Journal argued, so Assad could move his equipment and absorb the attack without feeling a need to retaliate. Obama wants a theatric strike — just enough that he won't be mocked. Assad is supposed to play a role – take his “punishment,” teach the American people a moral lesson about Obama's power, and move on.

But, what if Assad retaliates? Say Assad sets off a hundred Boston Marathon-style pressure cooker bombs in the US. 300 killed and wounded each — 30,000 casualties, a disproportionate response, as the US bombing will be much worse. Is this acceptable to Americans? Trade a \$25 pressure cooker bomb one for one with a \$1.5 million cruise missile. Good idea? Let America feel an immediate consequence for its actions — not a delayed response like 9-11. Then, see how Obama stomachs it.

But, there are two much more serious consequences – all resulting from the broader consequences of Obama's actions.

Once Obama starts to fight, he will be pressured to win. \$150 million won't do it. Say Syria doesn't lay down like a shocked dog and take it, and Obama now has to fight a war. If the war stays limited to Syria, the cost will be \$18 billion a year for an air campaign. An invasion – which the US is not prepared for – may cost \$400 billion. Obama — and America — are broke. A true, protracted war will break America like World War II broke the British Empire. It's coming anyway – in a sense, it might as well start now – but, does Obama understand this?

Then, there is the big issue – what if Russia decides to respond militarily? What if China intervenes? What if, on a much smaller scale, Iran deploys troops – not just advisors – to the region, using the US attack as an excuse?

Nuclear death from Russian and Chinese ICBMs raining down on the US would be a relief. Finally, the democratic tyranny would be over. The blacks and Jews are concentrated in major urban areas; they'd be gone. White people may even be free again.

How else could Russia intervene? If US forces mass in Turkey, Russia could invade. That would be an exhausting war – but, Russia would win, and retake Georgia and the Caucasus in the interim.

Or, Russia could just escalate its arms sales to Syria and Iran, and provide support for a joint Iranian intervention in Syria and, possibly, Iraq. Syria could fight a war with Turkey as Russia's proxy. Or, Syria could blockade the Zionists in Palestine by sea and air – no airlifts of US armaments like in 1967 and 1973 – while renewing war on the Golan Heights. The Zionist military is substandard; it is heavily dependent on US resupply; and its only hope would be its new friends in Saudi Arabia and Jordan.

Or — what if Russia and China arm and support a general war – Iran, Iraq and Syria against Kuwait, Saudi Arabia, Jordan and the Zionists?

None of this might be immediate – but, even if a US attack doesn't prompt a direct Russian counterattack, it invites and justifies further Russian intervention. This intervention pushes all parties closer to a general conflict. And, with NATO in Turkey, Iran close to nuclear weapons, and the Zionists holding no real card but nuclear weapons – nothing good can happen.

The Zionists are starting this war because, in their arrogance, they can't imagine they'll lose. When asked about potential Syrian counterattacks on US ships – the Jews credulously announced that Syria "wouldn't dare" – because it would invite the same ineffective attacks they've invited now. Well, the US isn't what it was 10 years ago. Immigration, free trade, economic collapse and political discussion have hollowed the US out. Russia and China are waiting to push the US over the edge into a regional power – not a superpower. Conflict in Syria is a way to do that.

(Reposted from VNN Forum)

Bill White on informants/informers

The gist of it: fringe political movements destroy their best candidates at the urging of informants, who then replace useful but flawed people with useless informants who chant the ideology louder than anyone else.

HOW FEDERAL INFORMANTS WORK

There was once a time when a group of people could get together, shout “informant” in unison, and slander the reputation of a political activist. With the emergence of the internet, and the easy availability of government records, it has become easy for any person to quickly determine whether someone has rendered substantial assistance to the government. Here, I will explain how federal informants work and how one can access information on them. I also urge people to stop treating this subject so lightly – rehabilitating actual dangerous informants, while using the term “informant” as a smear.

SNITCH CULTURE

The US Department of Justice employs about 10,000 FBI field agents. FBI regulations require each agent to employ at least 4 confidential informants, and suggest 10 as an appropriate mean. This means that approximately 100,000 Americans work as informants for the FBI. When America’s other 32 law enforcement agencies are considered, between 600,000 and 12 million Americans — perhaps one out of every 200 adults — works fulltime for the federal government reporting on other Americans.

Informants are paid approximately \$400/week for their services. Thus, this profession tends to attract those for whom \$1730 a month — \$20,800 a year — is a substantial incentive. People who are not mentally ill or otherwise living on disability and welfare benefits, or people laboring at the lower echelons of drug organizations, tend to be particular recruitment targets, as are those who are otherwise unemployed. Informants also receive a bonus for convicting others — generally, they receive \$100 for every year of sentence a victim receives — 20 years is a \$2000 bonus, for instance.

The method of the informant is usually the instigation of inchoate crimes. The federal government may convict any two people who agree to commit a crime, one of whom has taken an act in furtherance, of conspiracy. There are few restrictions. The government must show a propensity towards the crime — being previously convicted of drug dealing is enough to allow an informant to target someone with a drug conspiracy; speaking out angrily is enough to justify trying to involve someone in an act of terrorism. The only rule is that the informant may not be one of the two required people.

In cases where the government cannot put two people together, they often try to invite a solicitation. This is how Matt Hale was framed. The government will infiltrate someone into an organization they believe is committing crimes, and try to involve them as “security” or as a “soldier.” This person will let the group leader know he is available to commit a crime, and will wait to be solicited. In the case of the Blind Sheik — and Matt Hale — the informant may say he is going to commit a crime, and ask for the leader’s blessing.

The government targets these inchoate crimes because they are easier to prosecute than actual crimes. The FBI, for instance, has manuals — playbooks — of how crimes are to be put together. For instance, in an interstate murder-for-hire, the informant is instructed to tell the target that their codeword will be “to paint the house.” This is one way we know the alleged “Iranian” plot to assassinate the Saudi ambassador is a fraud. A few years ago, several White Revolution members were drawn into a plot to rob drug dealers — stealing and selling fake drugs by robbing a “stash house” is another standard federal script.

Below the level of investigating crimes, federal informants also monitor political and media organizations and shape public discourse. Many newspaper reporters are on the federal payroll, or have a less formal relationship with federal law enforcement. This channel prevents negative coverage of federal cases and allows journalistic information to be funneled to US Attorneys. Within organizations, deeper-cover informants identify targets and help shape discourse — promoting other informants to leadership positions and doing federal dirty work.

Some examples:

– MP is a mentally ill man in Indiana. He became involved in an anti-immigrant organizing group. He has written he meets monthly at McDonalds with Indiana State Police and the FBI to discuss the white movement. He is not paid — though he would be if he was smart enough to ask. He’s an informant.

In the future, if the government decides he is suitable for a “leadership” role, others will be told to go on white message boards and support him. He may be asked from time to time to speak well, or speak badly, of other white activists. MP may not even realize he is being manipulated — but he is being used.

– HT ran a radio show on FM radio in New York, and on the internet. On his radio show, he would call for violence against blacks, Mexicans, and federal officials. When a listener would respond, HT would turn them over to the feds — often for further investigation. His show was a “honeypot.”

– Violent skinhead groups were a concern to the feds. One day, a group called VSC was formed. This group declared “war” on all other skinheads. Then, they declared they were not “racist.” They would attack other white organizations, beat, stab, or set on fire white activists, and claimed nothing could happen in Indiana without their permission. Every once in a while, a few of their worst members would be arrested and sent to prison.

This group is a “false front.” It was organized by federal informants as a “honeypot” for violent thugs, but its violence was used by the feds to suppress and control non-violent white organizations. Thus, in addition to social control, physical violence is used to control and suppress political dissent.

– MR joined the Imperial Knights of the Ku Klux Klan. He used a fake name, calling himself JR, because he knew no one would check. One day, he was asked to distribute literature by the Klan boss at a County Fair. While there, he incited two Klansmen he was with to attack a Phillipino youth. The incident was widely reported as a “hate crime,” and the two Klansmen were convicted, while the Klan was bankrupted. The media and the feds made claims about a “hate crime” and MR, who manufactured the crime, moved on to another organization.

– BH was a “deep cover” federal informant. He did office work for DD, a prominent white politician, for years. Then he joined a major “Nazi” group. He agitated against the legitimate members, and worked his way to chief security. His major role was reviewing membership applications. he was only revealed when the FBI fired him.

These examples show FBI priorities — monitoring groups for future targets, establishing media to shape political opinion, establishing groups to use violence to suppress political dissent, inciting “hate crimes” (legal “terrorism”) for political purposes, and placing members in leadership positions to guide and monitor organizations.

Also note what the FBI did not do — and never does. They did not establish any legitimate businesses or organizations or attempt to involve anyone in legal activities. They did not foster charity or attempt to build anything to help other people. And, they never made an effort to legitimately influence the political process through elections.

The FBI always tries to disrupt or control legitimate political and business activity. Their informants are usually — but now always — ignorant people without marketable skills. People with professional skills and family ties rarely take the risk of involvement in spying on others — they are too focused on their own advancement.

My experience is that FBI agents always portray a Jewish caricature of the movements they infiltrate as well. They may play the skinhead thug; rarely will they play the New Right intellectual. This has been changing lately — the FBI has made efforts to recruit smart people — but without much success. They average informant believes in nothing; people with beliefs are harder to flip.

PRISON SNITCHES

So far, we have talked about informants in the civilian world. Now, we examine informants in the federal justice system.

The federal justice system is structured to reward informants and guilty pleas. A person pleading guilty in the federal system has their sentence reduced by about 8%. If they “accept responsibility,” perhaps by cooperating, their sentence is reduced by one-third. If they receive what is called a SKI reduction for “substantial assistance,” their sentence is reduced 50%. They may also receive a “safety valve,” voiding a mandatory minimum.

The easiest way to see if a federal inmate provided pre-trial cooperation is to look at their sentence. If their sentence is not within sentencing guidelines — all federal sentences are controlled by federal sentencing guidelines — find out why. If they received or asked for a SKI reduction, they cooperated.

After sentencing, an inmate may continue to cooperate. An inmate who provides substantial cooperation after sentencing receives a Rule 35. A Rule 35 is a post-conviction sentence reduction for cooperation.

To determine if someone received these things, use PACER, PACER.gov is the US federal court website. All documents filed in federal court are available here. They may be under seal — but the type of document will be described. So, you may not be able to read the Rule 35 — but you can see if it was filed. Further, unsealed pleadings often reference sealed pleadings, or discuss the background of a case.

You can be 100% assured that if a person cooperates on a federal case, you can pull their PACER file and discover evidence of their cooperation. Hearing transcripts are public documents, as are pleadings — anyone can look these things up.

THE DANGER OF FEDERAL INFORMANTS

The federal government arrests and tortures people for political reasons. To justify its policies, it needs a steady stream of terrorism and hate crimes. Because there is very little real terrorism and few actual hate crimes, the federal government manufactures both. The people it targets are often vulnerable and ignorant — they are angry people too stupid, sometimes, to understand they are breaking the law, or too disabled, often, by addiction or mental illness to say no. The FBI does not hesitate to coke someone up, drive them to the US Capitol, hand them a bomb, and tell them to shout al-Qaeda, and then use this to justify everything from anti-Islamic repression to aggression overseas. Similarly, they didn't hesitate to give McVeigh and Nichols detonators and then use Oklahoma City to justify gun control and acts against "domestic extremism." The FBI's security actions are a real threat to legitimate and legal political activism.

Because this is a serious issue, treating it like a funny gossiping game is inappropriate. Real federal informants are never rehabilitated — the feds will continue to approach them 20 or 30 years after the fact. Fake allegations of informing trivialize a serious issue. The federal government is the party who most wants informants rehabilitated and honest activists smeared. Game-players play into federal goals.

Because this is a serious issue, serious evidence should be required. Because our societal institutions are dishonest, all evidence must be treated skeptically. However not all government documents are false, nor are all media reports.

Evidence begins with an individual's own statements. Individuals cooperating with the FBI usually know to keep their cooperation secret — but some don't. MP has openly discussed his regular meetings with law enforcement. In my case, an individual, VB, openly went on message boards discussing information he provided on me to the federal government. When others discuss meetings with the FBI, it should cause some concern. Not everyone visited by the FBI is guilty of cooperating — but the best way to approach an FBI visit is to say "I'm represented by counsel," to give the name of an attorney you know, and refuse to speak without that counsel present. Any other response is unwise.

The real danger, though, comes from paid informants pushing the FBI agenda. It is hard to tell these types apart from honest weirdos sometimes. Certain behaviors, though, are suspicious. One is making allegations about others without specifics or evidence. When evidence is presented, truth can be discerned — when an individual will not make a specific, disprovable allegation, and is not open to reason, they are suspicious.

For instance, I sat in KS' trial. I watched a nine year old girl and her mother testify KS was hiding in the bushes outside the girl's school, and saw the receipt for the pink angora sweater KS sent her — and I've seen Stanley Kubrick's Lolita and I know what the sweater means. I saw the photos of Lamb and Lynx Gaede Photoshopped onto lesbian porn. I know KS is a pedophile.

Those are specific allegations — I give details of what I know, how I know, and why I believe as I do. Someone else could get the court record and disprove it. No one does, because it's true.

Compare that to all the world's other allegations. I've learned over the years not to say something unless I have reason to believe it's true — and to withdraw it and apologize if I'm wrong. For instance, I have been reasonably told Jared Taylor's wife Evelyn Rich is not Jewish, and received a good explanation of how the ADL misused a paper she wrote. I have apologized for this allegation. I still dislike Taylor's politics — but I withdraw my ad hominem as incorrect.

Evidence continues with first-person accounts. First person accounts may be wrong. They are often not objective. They should be investigated and substantiated. For instance, I disliked KS before his arrest for child porn. My first hand account should be corroborated. Other white activists should have attended his trial. However, there are media accounts and there are court records. There is also KS' guilty plea.

First-person accounts should be considered a jumping off point for further investigation. We should ask for details and investigate why someone believes something — then gather evidence. The FBI opened a "Terrorism Enterprise Investigation" into me in 2007 because a police detective in 1996 said I had been involved in an arson. An FBI investigation into the arson concluded there was "no evidence." The agent who used the report took the allegation without the investigation report to justify "getting me" within FBI rules. White activists often do the same thing — take one allegation without investigation, and assert it as true.

In most cases, there are documents and records to justify or deny an allegation. I have made myself a promise never to again accuse someone of anything without reasonable proof. This is why I seek documents and witnesses. I didn't accuse HT of involvement in my case until he was alleged to have said at his trial he accused me of plotting to assassinate Obama with a truck bomb. I then obtained his trial transcript, and read the evidence presented. Later, a report on his statements was released to my trial counsel in Chicago. Similarly, I attended KS' trial to find the truth.

In contrast, at one point, an effort was made to persuade me DJ and PA were informants. At first, I wrongfully believed this. Later, I read the FBI reports and determined they were not informants. I heard PA testify at trial. I have publicly apologized to DJ. There was an allegation, I jumped to conclusions without proof, and I retracted and apologized.

There are many people I dislike in the white movement. HS is one example. HS once argued KS was okay because a sexual interest in pre-teen girls was normal. I disagree. HS often makes a point of calling me names on message boards, or making false allegations. I suspect HS is a pedophile — and so I often mention his professed interest in little girls. I do not call HS a Jew or an informant. He denies he made these statements; I direct people to the archives and I block him. This is an appropriate way to deal with bizariness.

So, allegations without evidence have to stop — as do efforts to deny or obfuscate evidence. Certain characters decade after decade seek to “return” to the movement by tearing down those who know the truth about them. All one can do is repeat the truth and move on.

THE “SO WHAT” FACTOR

There is also the question of — if an allegation is true, so what?

Informants are dangerous because they often lie to manufacture crimes, and the FBI’s internal files are like an internet message board where the accused can not only not respond, but can be jailed and tortured based on rumor.

However, there is the “so what” factor. One example.

GJ is a homosexual. He is also a very intelligent guy who has produced a lot of good white material and who “gets” Savitri Devi and Tradition. He has also launched a successful and needed publishing operation. Should he be driven out of the white movement?

My thought on this was no, until GJ stole money and the membership list of CMS and then blackmailed them into not complaining. He then moved on to try to scam money from a friend of mine, and, I discovered, he had scammed others. This made me think he was not acceptable for the white movement.

My question is this — homosexuality is a sign of a mental disorder. Homosexuals, like other mentally ill people, are often less honest than other people. Thus, should homosexuality be seen as a warning sign for theft? Should we exclude homosexuals altogether, even when they are discrete about it, as GJ is?

Usually, this is not a question. White nationalism attracts a large number of homosexual men and extreme S&M fetishists. Usually, these characters are very extreme and very forthright. Many uniformed “National Socialist” marches are, for many, a species of “gay pride” parade. People who cannot keep extreme sexual perversions — homosexuality, urine and feces obsessions, extreme brutality — quiet should not be in white organizations. People who are keeping them quiet should not be in public roles.

In contrast:

AP ran a prominent white record company. His project was successful and contributing to the white movement, but he was a little swarthy. One day, a Jewish homosexual group exposed the fact that his birth certificate said he was half-mestizo. The white movement ran the guy out. This was wrong. We should have said “so what?”

We know that 22,000 genes — 2% of our DNA — is primarily responsible for our physical development. There are an average 2200 points of difference between whites and blacks. There are an average 900 points of difference between whites and yellows or browns. If AP was half-mestizo, merely being mestizo means at least half-white and half American indigenous. He would have had about 225 points of difference with an average white- less than someone who was one-eighth black. He is approaching the normal range of variation between white ethnicities and individuals.

Given the contribution, did the vice overwhelm it?

True National Socialists are attracted to NS because they seek goodness in a corrupt world. Many become too enthused and become too obsessed with moral purity. I’ve been in this category. When one strives for virtue, it is easy to reject flawed mankind. However, our excessive surface “purity” and our movement’s inner corruption has paralyzed white activism.

Thus, in addition to demanding evidence, the white movement needs to start asking “so what?” DD is a womanizer — but an amazing organizer, writer, and speaker. So, we ask ourselves — does the womanizing matter? RP is a coward — he publicly disavows us — but holds public office and furthers our goals. Should he be shunned for that?

These are difficult questions — but they belong in a broader discussion of baseless allegations. In addition to demanding evidence — we have to also ask, if true, do these allegations matter? Are they within an acceptable range of human error and fallibility, given what needs to be done.

CONCLUSIONS

I reduced names here to initials to avoid attacking anyone. Perhaps a publisher will want to use fake names. The names, in this context, do not matter.

I am no longer involved in white political activity. If the white movement wants to destroy itself — insofar as further destruction is possible — that is its business. But, I believe in the vision of Adolf Hitler — in a beautiful, orderly world that promotes life and human happiness — and I am saddened to see the same-old same-old predominate as the world dies.

What should be a beautiful celebration of love has been polluted and turned into a celebration of degeneracy and hate. The FBI directs white activism into criminal activity and Jewish groups direct white power parodies into white ranks. Many of the most cynical have abandoned NS ideals to support the “new right wing” — Zionism — and many others seem to be talking just to earn their government check.

I urge people to set fantasy aside and to focus on evidence, on reality, and on what can be demonstrated. There are many resources available to us to sustain the gossip and rumor-backed communities of 20 years ago. These efforts, often sponsored by people trying to harm white people, ultimately lead nowhere.

Eurasianism and American White Nationalism

by William A. White

Alexander Dugin, with the help of Arktos’ John Morgan, has recently published an essay, *On White Nationalism and Other Potential Allies in the Global Revolution*. The essay is almost entirely on point, and is mostly an excellent and impressive statement of Traditional principles. However, Dugin errs when it comes to discussing race and the Jewish question, and displays a misunderstanding of both the Traditionalist worldview and the realities of race. As an inhabitant of nearly all-white Russia, his lack of experience with the negro and lack of understanding of the Jewish role in America politics likely contributed to those errors.

Dugin is an advocate of what he calls Eurasianism. Eurasianism seeks a multi-polar international order based on the organic ethno-cultural unities among the European and Asian peoples, in opposition to the multiculturalist, one-world internationalism of the American and British systems—what Dugin calls Atlanticism. There are variants within Eurasianism, and in the West, Eurasianism has tended to attract the idiosyncratic types who

glory in creating variants just to show they are in opposition to everyone. For our purposes, though, we shall typify Eurasianism with its best expression.

Practically, Eurasianism promotes the nationalism of Russia, China, Iran and India, and a host of other states, against American imperialism and the American push for a one world democratic order. However, while attacking the idea of an ultra-liberal New World Order. Eurasianism often embraces the ideologies of that order, particularly anti-racism and opposition to anti-Semitism, as well as Holocaust affirmation and opposition to Adolf Hitler. This contradiction often reflects the fear of the Jews and of the laws they have caused to be passed in many states as it does real conviction, but the embrace of these internationalist doctrines also creates fatal internal contradictions in the Eurasianist worldview.

Historically, Eurasianism draws from diverse philosophical tendencies. Most prominent is left-wing National Socialism—the wing of the National Socialist German Worker’s Party which, in the 1920s and 1930s, sought an alliance between the Reich and Soviet Russia as a check to American-British imperialism. Eurasianism also draws from an idealized, and mostly hypothesized, Stalinist national communism. This historically erroneous worldview posits that Stalin was a great ruler of the Russian people, whose “socialism in one country” was not Communist in the bad, Jewish sense, but a nationalist reaction to Trotskyite internationalism.

Lastly, Eurasianism draws from the Radical Traditionalist worldview of Rene Guenon and Julius Evola, without the nationalist Socialist interpretations of Savitri Devi. In doing so, Evola’s actual ties to National Socialism are generally ignored or misrepresented, and his writings are purged of anti-Semitic and racial statements—a falsifying revisionism similar to that applied to Stalin.

Yet, at its best, Eurasianism’s ideology of a multi-polar and nationalist Europe and Asia most likely describes the world system that will dominate the 21st Century. At its worst, historical figures—like Anglo-American agent Otto Strasser and his Black Hand movement—are tortured into new interpretations which support this Twenty-first and not Twentieth Century philosophy.

Dugin’s Views

Alexander Dugin is easily the most important Eurasianist thinker, though he publishes primarily in Russian and has not been easily accessible to English- language speakers. Dugin’s essay *On White Nationalism* was assembled by John Morgan, and is a concise exposition of Dugin’s philosophy. Dugin’s thesis is succinctly laid out in the second and third paragraphs which state:

“Those from either the Right or Left who refuse American hegemony, ultra-liberalism, strategic Atlanticism, the domination of oligarchic and Cosmopolitan financial elites, individualistic anthropology and the ideology of human rights, as well as typically Western racism in all spheres... and who are ready to cooperate with Eurasian forces in defending multi-polarity, socio-economic pluralism, and a dialogue among civilizations, we consider too be allies and friends.

“Those on the Right who support the United States, White racism against the Third World, who are anti-socialist and pro-liberal, and who are willing to collaborate with the Atlanticists; as well as those on the Left who attack Tradition, the organic values of religion and the family, and who promote other types of social deviations- both of these are in the camp of the foe.”

This is, essentially, the populist philosophy of my friend Willis Carto and the American Free Press, as well as the philosophy of modern National Socialism, with two exceptions: One, it contains an overly broad denunciation of so-called White racism” and Two, it fails to name or even admit the roles of Judaism and Zionism- and the Zionist Entity in Palestine- in creating and promulgating American hegemony, ultra-liberalism, financial elites, human rights, and the rest.

As Dugin continues, it becomes clear this error comes from a misunderstanding of Traditional philosophy and the realities of race:

“ I consider the White nationalists allies when they refuse modernity, the global oligarchy, and liberal-capitalism...when White nationalists reaffirm Tradition and the ancient culture of the European peoples, they are right. But, when they defend the United States, Atlanticism, liberal or modernity; or, when they consider the White race, the one which produced modernity in its essential features, as being the highest and other races as inferior, I disagree completely.”

And this is the root of Dugin’s hostility, the belief that the White race and not the Jews, has been the producer of modernity. This error leads to Dugin’s misapplication of Tradition to the modern world and his statement that:

“I do not believe in any hierarchy among peoples, because there is not and cannot be any common, universal measure by which to measure and compare the various forms of ethnic societies and their value systems.”And also that “The idea of the nation is a bourgeois concept concocted as a part of modernity in order to destroy traditional societies, which are empires.”From its humble beginning, Dugin’s error balloons, consuming an entire section of his worldview.

The Cultural Soul

In *On White Nationalism*, Dugin cites a criticism of his Fourth Political Theory—the successor to Gadafi’s Third Political Theory- made by Michael O’Meara, a proponent of Francis Parker Yockey’s *Imperium*. Yockey’s theories, though, derivative of Oswald Spengler’s, and it is in Spengler’s theory of the culture organism with a culture-soul that we find what Dugin’s theories lack.

Spengler states, in *The Decline of the West*, that individual human beings exist primarily as part of larger organic wholes. Social stratification- caste- is like the division of functions between organs in the body, and human beings are like cells within those organs, each properly dedicated to a specific task. Cumulatively, the culture-organism is transcendent and possessed of a higher living nature—a soul.

This notion of the organic unity of man is Aryan and Indo-European in origin, and is similar in its positing of human archetypes to Platonic idealism, in which individual beings are representations of idealized forms which emanate from the One creator. The Greek daemon, the Roman victory, the Egyptian ba, and the Nordic fylgja and valkyrie all reflect this view of transcendence- as they are the ideas with which the individual seeks unity.

The Radical Tradition of Guenon or Evola presupposes the existence of a collective culture-soul. Evola, in his *Notes on the Third Reich*, reiterates his observation from *Revolt Against the Modern World* on the importance of the idea of *sum cuique*- each thing in its place- to Tradition. The guiding principle of Tradition is Order- Order among man and between them- and the process of breaking men from this natural social order Evola calls individuation in his *Men Among Ruins*.

To support multi-polarity and Traditional counter-revolution, one must support organic social entities with an ethno-cultural basis. Modernity- what Dugin opposes—is the effort to organize men without regard to these essential unities. In America, this Traditional potential is only found in white racial nationalism. Once one accepts the organic ethno-cultural state as the building block of international order, one has to accept that these states will limit immigration and expel excessive alien elements which penetrate them.

The organism's integrity requires ethnic and cultural unity, and alien elements, though they can be absorbed and digested in small quantities, cannot be integrated into the organism en masse. Like poisonous food, the organism can either vomit them or die.

Further, in the United States and other social-democratic nations, the purpose of the always Jewish-sponsored immigration policies is to destroy the unity of the White ethno-cultural organism and to cause it to die. The goal is to create multi-ethnic, meaning non-ethnic," states in areas where Jewish parasitism is dominant, so as to create an undifferentiated mass which the Jews can exploit and enslave. The Jews are a disease of the social organism, and the immigrants are a secondary infection. Dugin criticizes the organic state for fighting a cultural infection- and he is wrong in doing so.

Dugin similarly contradicts himself when he speaks in support of empire- as a globalist, leveling empire is precisely what Dugin opposes. An empire is a super-national organism, and it either brings its component parts together into a single nation, or it dies. Dugin's understanding of nationalism only in terms of the bourgeois nationalism of the French Revolution is too narrow. He knows well that this is not the nationalism of National Socialism or the better elements of White Nationalism. Dugin's multi-polar world is an order established between independent organic states—and not a détente between global empires seeking to consume each other.

Such a multi-polar world does require, though, what Dugin says he does not believe in a hierarchy among peoples. Such a hierarchy exists within a Traditional empire, and would have to exist in a multi-polar world as well. Order, in fact, requires hierarchy; chaos is the principle driving men to words equality, individuation, and modernism. As Savitri Devi notes in her *Lightning and the Sun*, Pharaoh Akhenaton prayed to praise God for having created the peoples and divided them, assigning each its place. Respect for this division of the Earth's peoples is what Dugin demands—and it requires racial hierarchy and a standard for judgment. Without some standard for judging peoples and cultures, who is to say that Judaeo-American hegemony is wrong?

In fact, the ability to use Tradition as the basis for a culture and civilization- and the cultural works and technics an organic unity produces- is the measure by which human civilizations are judged. The peoples of Europe and Asia—the M and N haplogroups—have this ability; the K and L haplogroups—the Jewish and Negro peoples—do not. Thus, European and Asian peoples are superior. Negroes are inferior, and the Jews are their own measure of evil. Among the Eurasian peoples, the Aryan strata- neither the whole white race nor a part from it- were the spark of all Traditional cultures, and thus, should stand at the pinnacle of the global order.

The Interests of White Americans

Dugin sums up the application of Eurasianism to White America in one sentence: "We need to save America from its own dictatorship, which is as bad for the American people as it is all other peoples."

Many white Americans agree. And, in so far as Dugin means the white American people by “the American people,” he is correct. But, America is not the white American people—it is a multi-cultural empire dominated by Zionists and Jews. And, the reason American dictatorship is bad for the American people is that it is foreign, both in race and culture, to the White American people.

Jews have been able to establish dictatorship in America because of America’s origins in rootlessness. Unlike say, the Boer in South Africa, American whites did not have a common ethnic origin and unlike Europeans or Asians, Americans roots do not go back more than three to four hundred years. The Normans may have conquered the Anglo-Saxons who conquered the Romans in Britain, but each was assimilated, on their common racial basis, into a single ethno-cultural whole. Americans whites conquered and exterminated the Asiatic Indians, then built their nation on the principles of rootless Masonry. The American (as opposed to white) nationalist point of reference is the Constitution- not a culture- and, thus, it has been co-opted by the Jews into the modern Trotskyist and Zionist, form of neo-conservatism. What America lacks and needs is a healthy, Traditionalist white identity; what needs to be done is the dismantling of the unhealthy, Masonic, democratic, Judaeo-Christian and Zionist white identity the Jews have marketed to America. Thus, on the intellectual plane, there is the work Dugin is doing, deconstructing anti-socialist, pro-liberal, anti-Muslim and anti-nationalist ideologies. And, on the practical plane, there is the work of making America one of the multi-polar Traditional states Dugin advocates.

The latter goal requires a massive demographic shift, if America is to be brought into such an order whole. The attitudes necessary to effect such a shift, and to bring America into a new world community, are precisely the anti-immigrant and racist worldviews Dugin opposes. 38 million blacks, a similar number of legal and illegal mestizos. 18 million Asians and 6 million Jews live in the United States, along with 210 million whites.

Perhaps 20 million whites truly believe in the multiculturalist system. Thus, 120 million people need to leave America for it to be eligible to participate as a Traditional nation in a Traditional world order. Perhaps Dugin feels America’s non-whites are simply yearning to be free in their own nation states. But, 62 million of these problem peoples—the whole of the mestizo, Asian and Jewish populations—have nations they could go to, but chose to remain in the United States particularly because of its liberal internationalist world-view.

And the real problem in the United States is not the mestizo and Asian populations, which ultimately, are probably assailable or expellable over time. The problem in the United States is the 38 million Negroes, who are absolutely inassimilable, and who have nowhere to go, the 6 million Jews, who are willfully parasitical, and the 20 million or so whites who buy into the internationalist lies. These populations will have to be forcefully eliminated—or in the case of the whites-converted.

This problem, of an alien anti-Traditional population within American culture organism which almost as large as Russia will almost certainly be solved by violence, and, particularly, by the violent expulsion and extermination of this population from, at first, a portion of the country armed by whites. The question is when does desperation of circumstances drive enough whites to take up arms and fight? The proper position of Dugin’s movement in such circumstances is one of support for whites’ interests and white racism, because this racism is the response of a healthy organism to an invader, and is a necessary and inevitable stage in the breakup of the American Empire. When an ethno-cultural organism exists, it can then be brought into Tradition- but that organism is going to have to be birthed, violently, from a badly diseased and afflicted mother.

Conclusions

Alexander Dugin and his Eurasianism are admirable. Dugin's concept of a future multi-polar order is prescient. But, Dugin's denunciations of racism, anti-Semitism, and the like are rooted in errors—both in understanding Tradition, and, in understanding the nature of America and its global order.

One has to wonder how much the same motives which drove American Conservatives to abandon race and embrace neo or New Conservatism are driving Dugin's Eurasianists to introduce anti-racism to the New Right. American Conservatives, of course, were mostly motivated by fear of the post- World War II Jewish power. And, when one sees those New-Rightists who refuse to defy the Jewish media stereotypes of Adolf Hitler and his National Socialism, and who even adopt them, one wonders what forces are really at work.

Eurasianism, though, could be transformational for America- if it can accept the reality of the American situation. America is breaking because of the impossibility of maintaining a multi-racial, inorganic state. The result will be war, and war is fueled by race and by hatred- when it is anything but a mercenary enterprise. Thus, Dugin and Eurasianists both have to accept, and shoulder courage, the violent racism which is coming- because such racism is the foundation for the movement the American Right must make away from Constitutionalism, Judaeo-Christianity, and democracy- towards a Traditional social form.

This may be different than the racism Dugin does oppose. Anti-Islamicism and racist dislike of the Third World are the result of Zionist and Jewish influence. It is the projection outward, in an unhealthy way, of healthy racial feelings which need to be directed inwards, at America's real racial problems.

But, in the end, all anti-racism is essentially a leveling philosophy, part of the march towards chaos that is the guiding principle of the globalism Dugin and all White Nationalists oppose.

(Resposted courtesy of Harold Covington and the Northwest Front)

Major Media Eliminating Comments From Websites

Bill White

A new trend is emerging on the internet—major government-aligned publications barring their reading public from commenting on news articles in publication websites.

The Nationalist Times is reporting that Popular Science and the New York Times are the latest publications to follow this trend, announcing that they are “scaling back comments” and barring comments on some articles altogether.

The issue is the expression of improper opinions by these publications; audiences, who often express views that are nationalist, populist, libertarian, constitutionalist and which advocate men's rights. While major newspaper

and magazines employ a host of censors designed to control the opinions expressed the volume of opposition to the opinions of the largely Jewish-owned publications has dictated that the comments be silenced altogether.

Jewish power in the United States internationally is projected by a government-media complex which controls public opinion with lies, and uses violent force whenever alternative voices begin to pose a threat to the governing illusion. From the growth of mass media, such as radio and television, in the early 20th century, unto the advent of the internet in the 1990s, this power was used to establish the dominance of Zionism.

However, since the 1990s consumption of monopoly media such as the New York Times and related newspapers has fallen dramatically with even large newspapers like the Washington Post and the Boston Globe, essentially failing. Despite the failure of these newspapers, Jewish media had been unable to cope, and has tried to maintain its information monopoly in part by refusing a platform for alternative voices.

The removal of comments sections across the board is likely to further diminish the audience the government-media complex commands. However, the powers that be clearly feel that clinging to control is more important than building is more important than building an audience for their old media. From the perspective of the powerful the rise of new media monopolies, like Facebook and Google, which work with the dictatorship to monitor information or control access to information resources, more than make up for the diminution of more traditional publishers. There are few Google searches one can do, for instance, without encountering Wikipedia, the ubiquitous and inaccurate “open encyclopedia” which generally expresses the Zionist world view.

The effectiveness of blocking public comments as a control mechanism is probably minimal, as most of the commentary being conducted on major news stories is now occurring offsite, in forums such as Facebook and Reddit. However, the effort to further control discussion and debate on public issues shows the continuing danger to the transmission of news that the media-government complex represents.

On Race and Human Variation

by Bill White

Race is an essentially spiritual, and not biological, term that has a loose correlation to the physical manifestations of man. While, biologically, men are divided into three races – the black, the yellow and the white – that correspond roughly to the L, M and N haplotypes in mitochondrial DNA, the important spiritual racial difference is between the Aryan and Jewish tendencies, each of which has a different divine origin and manifests itself in a different orientation towards civilization.[2] Rather than the product of evolution, the lesser

categories of living beings are the product of degeneration, and animals represent a degenerate form of the divine essence that is manifest in the human race.[3] This perspective of race has little to do with the essentially progressive and biologically determinist viewpoints that dominate both sides of the “scientific” race debate, but has more essential truth and is more useful in guiding and describing human behavior than purely materialistic forms.[4]

The differentiated physical forms of man are likely the result of evolution from different subspecies of the genus homo, and possibly, in the case of Negroes, of near ancestry to the species australopithecus;[5] the differentiated spiritual nature of man is the result of a divine act that occurred approximately 32,000 years ago, when the divine race mated with the human and produced the Arya from the white physical type.[6] Since this time, humanity has been degenerating as the racial and caste differences between men have slowly dissolved, hurdling humanity forward to a time when it will be one, undifferentiated mass of “mud people”, wholly individualized and without organic or spiritual unities.[7] The “mixed” races that are used to challenge the idea of race are the product of this process of degeneration and de-evolution – but their existence no more denies the existence of “pure” races than the mixing of copper and tin to make bronze denies the existence of the elements.[8]

As Oswald Spengler noted in his *Decline of the West*, within racial entities are collective social organisms that give birth to cultures and civilizations.[9] In this context, the idea of “ethnicity” can be understood: Ethnic groups are groupings within the larger racial unities that participate in a common spiritual and material – and often political — life.[10]

In a purely biological sense, there are meaningful differences between human beings that loosely fall along the lines of the major haplotypes. While the text somewhat obscures what is known of human genetic differentiation, the peoples of the world can roughly be divided into the L, M and N haplotypes of mitochondrial DNA, which correspond to the black, yellow and white races.[11] The derivation of the M and N haplotypes from the L3 haplotype is almost purely speculative and based upon geological proximity and premises about human origins from a common root that are now known to be false; it is known that the L1, L2, and L4-L6 haplotypes share little common genetic material with the white and yellow races.[12] The genotypes that define these haplotypes find unique expression in the phenotype that further reinforce the idea that the three races of man are biologically distinct and of separate origin. Within the yellow race there is a general differentiation into the D haplotype, which defines the yellow race in the Americas, and the haplotypes of the Eurasian branches of that race, and within the white race there is a broad division between the haplotypes of the Turko-Mongolic peoples of Eurasia, and of the R haplotype, which contains the Indo-European peoples.[13] These subdivisions within the larger divisions of the races provide biological support for the spiritual differences that are evident in the differentiated abilities of groups to produce culture and advance in technics.

Thus race, in its most meaningful sense, denotes descent from spiritual and divine forbears and participation in the heritage that the divine passed down to man, the ability to participate in higher levels of spiritual existence and the ability to manifest those things in the physical world corresponds loosely to the major DNA haplotypes, which define three races of men that are then subdivided according to the common notions of race and ethnicity that are, ironically, ridiculed by the very science that proves their existence.

[1] You're not going to like my answer, but you asked.

[2] The correspondence between race and haploypote is touched on badly in Olsen, Mapping Human History, 128-129. Phylotree.org does a good job of explaining the relationship between haplotypes, without saying much as to their definition and geographic distribution. Haplogroup.com also provides supplemental information.

On the nature of the spiritual races:

"Every traditional civilization is characterized by the presence of beings [i.e., the Arya] who, by virtue of their innate or acquired superiority over the human condition, embody within the temporal order the living and efficacious presence of a power that comes from above." Julius Evola, *Revolt Against the Modern World*, 7; and,

"We must consider another dimension of the Jewish soul: it is like somebody who, having failed to realize the values typical of the sacral and transcendent dimension in the course of the attempt to overcome the antithesis between spirit and 'flesh' ... eventually rejoices whenever he discovers the illusion and irreality of these values and wherever he ascertains the failure of the yearning for redemption; this becomes for him some kind of alibi and self-justification... There are ancient Traditions according to which Typhon, a demon opposed to the solar God, was the father of the Hebrews; various Gnostic authors considered the Hebrew god as one of Typhon's creatures. These are references to a demonic spirit characterized by constant restlessness, by an obscure contamination, and by a latent revolt of the inferior elements; when this substance returned to a free state and when it separated itself from the 'Law', that is, from the tradition that had formed it, all of these factors acted upon the Jewish substratum in a more dramatic and decisive way than in other people." Julius Evola, *Revolt Against the Modern World* 243; Also n.4

[3] "Animal species must be considered as the offshoots or as the 'abortions' of a primordial impulse..." Julius Evola, *Revolt Against the Modern World*, 180; cited at length in n.4

[4] In this, I generally follow the views of Julius Evola, expressed in his *Revolt Against the Modern World* 178-183: "To uphold with Tradition that in the beginning there were no animal-like cavemen, but rather 'more than human' beings and that in ancient pre-history there was no 'civilization' but an 'era of the gods'; this, to many people – who in one way or another believe in the gospel of Darwinism – amounts to pure and simple 'mythology'. Since I have not invented this mythology myself, however, critics still have to explain its existence,

that is, the fact that according to the most ancient testimonies and writings there is no memory that may lend support to 'evolutionism'; what is found in them instead is the opposite, in other words, the recurrent idea of a better, brighter, an superhuman ('divine') past. These same testimonies also know very little about 'animal origins'. ... Even though the traditional teaching retains the memory of the existence of stocks that could even correspond to the animalistic and inferior types described in the theory of evolution (this was the substratum predating the civilizations created by the superior races), evolutionism mistakenly considers these animal-like stocks to be absolutely primordial, while they are so only relatively.

"On the other hand it is significant that the populations that still live in the alleged original, primitive, and 'innocent' state provide little comfort to the evolutionist hypothesis. These stocks, instead of evolving, tends to become extinguished, thereby demonstrating themselves to be the degenerate residues of cycles the vital potential of which has long since been exhausted; in other words, they are heterogeneous elements and remnants left behind by the mainstream of humanity. ...

"Evolutionists believe that they are 'positively' sticking to the facts. They ignore that the facts per se are silent ... It has happened, though, that someone, though fully informed of all the data that are adduced to prove the theory of evolution, has shown these data to support the opposite thesis. ... I am referring to the thesis according to which man is not alone in being far from a product of the 'evolution' of animal species, but many animal species must be considered as the offshoots or as the 'abortions' of a primordial impulse; only in the racially superior human species does this primordial impulse find its direct and adequate manifestation. There are also ancient myths about the struggle between divine races and monstrous entities or animal-like demons that allegedly took place before the advent of the human race ... These myths may refer to the struggle of the primordial human principle against its intrinsic animal potentialities, which were eventually isolated and left behind, so to speak, in the form of certain animal stocks. ..."

[5] Though our texts oppose the multi-regional hypothesis and the works of Carleton Coon in particular, the discovery of Neanderthal DNA within European and Asian haplotypes forces a reappraisal of his work, to which my views generally adhere. See Carleton Coon, *The Origin of Races*, in passim. His discussion of the origin of the Asian, European and Negro races begins p 428.

[6] The extinction of the Neanderthal race approximately 30,000 BC is generally believed, within the Traditionalist worldview, to mark the time of origin of the white race, which was its successor. Evola, *Revolt Against the Modern World*, 180. While the last ice age only ended approximately 12,000 years ago, and had been ongoing since approximately 110,000 BC, the beginning of the Kali Yuga – the materialization of divine beings and the descent of humanity – generally dates from the destruction of the Aryan homeland by ice, as described in both the Nordic and Indo-Iranian traditions; see *Zend Avesta* 1.1-16, discussion in Rydberg, *Teutonic Mythology*, i.1-31; Tilak, *The Arctic Home in the Vedas*.

[7] On the destructive nature of individuation, see Julius Evola, *Men Among The Ruins*, 136 also “The increasing growth in world population has the same meaning as the swarming of worms on a decomposing organism or as the spreading of cancerous cells; cancer is an uncontrolled hypertrophy of a plasma that devours the normal, differentiated structures of an organism [including, in the case of the human organism, racial differentiation] after subtracting itself from the organism’s regulating laws. This is the scenario facing the modern world: the regression and the decline of fecundating forces and the forces that bear forms parallels the unlimited proliferation of matters, of what is formless, of the masses.” Evola, *Revolt Against the Modern World*, 167.

[8] This argument is evident. Our text indicates that skin color varies across three or four places on the genome, but race in the biological sense is much more than skin color – it is a number of traits linked to skin color in the type of group that science has named the “haplotype”. That one haplotype can be said to differentiate from another is sufficient evidence that there are characteristics that define them. The existence of defining characteristics implies the existence of an ideal, following the logic of Plato’s *Republic* and the idea of the daemon in the Classical tradition, among others. Plato, *Republic*.

[9] “The high Culture, on the contrary, is the waking-being of a single huge organism which makes not only custom, myths, technique, and art, but the very peoples and classes incorporated in itself the vessels of one single form-language and one single history.” Spengler, *Decline of the West*, ii, 36

[10] Spengler’s idea of cultures is really an idea of ethnicities – different expressions of the race conglomerate to form specific cultures and civilizations. And, in the end, all of Spengler’s “cultures” are really expressions of the Indo-European or Aryan racial soul – the Sumerian-Egyptian-Indus cultures being an early offshoot from the “Asian homeland,” and Chinese Han civilization being a separate branch of the same. Oswald Spengler, *Decline of the West*, in passim; The process of Aryan diffusion is described in William McNeil, *The Rise of The West*, 217-219, for instance; HWF Saggs, *The Might That Was Assyria*, 16, notes, obliquely, the Indo-European related racial origin of Sumerian civilization.

[11] Our *Human Variation* textbook does not state this explicitly, which is unfortunate, but it can be clearly gleaned from reading on the subject. The previously cited phylotree.org and haplogroup.com provide a good introduction.

[12] Olsen’s discussion of the work of Wallace and Wilson, *Mapping Human History*, 200 – 203 and the criticisms found in Molnar, *Human Variation*, 82-83, make both the methodology and its reliability clear.

[13] The R haplotype is found throughout Asia as well as Europe, but in countries that are known or reasonably believed to have been settled by the Indo-European peoples or their immediate predecessors.

Reconstituting The National Alliance

by Bill White

The National Alliance headquarters in Hillsboro, West Virginia is about to be sold for unpaid taxes—the end of an organization that has essentially been defunct for the past ten years. The National Alliance's passing leaves a hole in the White landscape. No organization today has reached the influence the National Alliance enjoyed under William Pierce. Reconstituting the National Alliance is a worthwhile goal, and one the American Free Press is uniquely positioned to achieve. What follows is a proposal to do so, and while I do not speak for either party, I hope to spark ideas that help all.

Post-Mortem

The National Alliance failed for lack of leadership. William Pierce was the linchpin holding the organization together. Erich Gliebe took over when Pierce died and Erich Gliebe was incompetent and dishonest. Unwilling to place the organization above himself, Gliebe looted and abandoned it.

Normally, the first option in healing a failed organization like the National Alliance would be to try to rehabilitate the leadership. Gliebe, though, is too dishonest to rehabilitate. He has been printing CDs off books, evading taxes, and misdirecting membership dues while not producing for a decade, and he is the only thing really preventing the group from re-organizing. Removing Gliebe by sidestepping him is the correct way to proceed.

The National Alliance was really three businesses. One was its membership and activist cadre. Two was its book and print media arm. Three was Resistance Records. The best thing the National Alliance could do is sell off the latter two to an existing media company, and receive assistance in reconstructing the first. The natural buyer is the only White nationalist publishing company capable of using these assets and assisting, the American Free Press.

The most valuable asset the National Alliance has left is its people and their relationships. Obtaining the mailing and customer lists of the National Alliance and Resistance Records should be a priority. There are numerous copies of the 2003-era list around; if Gliebe will not sell his list, someone out there is certainly willing to. More important, almost, is bringing on board the final two dozen National Alliance cadre members who hang in to the bitter end. Without them, the National Alliance is not being reconstituted, it is being created anew. Lastly, if someone who worked in Resistance Records and who had the relationships and the knowledge of the contracts and products is available, they should be located. Bringing two or three of these people on board, at first, would be enough.

Obtaining the second most valuable assets, the National Alliance and Resistance Records brands, is trivial. The names aren't trademarked. Gliebe has no resources to defend them. Just take the names. Frankly, Gliebe's legal right to the names was always questionable. Set up a National Alliance LLC, National Alliance Books LLC, and Resistance Records LLC, and challenge Gliebe to contest it in court. He won't.

The least valuable assets of the National Alliance are its headquarters and inventory. The headquarters has symbolic value, but it requires a live-in staff to operate and maintain. No one is willing to do that. Buying the headquarters and shuttering it would be a good idea if it were possible, but it would be an act of charity. Similarly, Gliebe is unlikely just to dump the inventory for what it is worth, which is very little.

The best thing to do with the inventory is to obtain copies of the books and magazines the National Alliance and Resistance Records have published, and scan them, and republish them. As for the CDs, renew relationships with the bands and re-press them. More valuable than the physical inventory is one person who played a role in creating them.

National Alliance Books and Resistance Records

Even if the National Alliance itself cannot be reconstituted, its literature and music arms should be. Both were profitable, so assuming their titles and brands is a no-brainer. Resistance Records grossed \$1 million a year ten years ago, and since then, most of its competition has dissipated. Resistance music easily a \$5 million a year industry, and it is an opportunity the American Free Press has passed up for too long.

The only reason to keep National Alliance Books as a separate entity is to support a reconstituted National Alliance. Otherwise, the American Free Press should simply absorb titles like Hunter and Which Way, Western Man? and issue them under its own imprints.

However, if the National Alliance leaders want to work with the American Free Press to rebuild their organization, National Alliance Books should be reconstituted as an imprint within the American Free Press family. What is needed to print and distribute the books is a shipping staff, a warehouse, a phone line, and an e-mail address, merchant accounts, order tracking and inventory software, and the like. AFP has this infrastructure, and the NA cannot afford to replicate it. Thus, AFP should take over a majority stake in a reconstituted National Alliance Books, share profits with a reconstituted National Alliance activist organization, and allow the National Alliance to use its books arm to generate and print literature for mass distribution as well.

The American Free Press would do well to outright buy or organize Resistance Records as a separate unit. The unit should be staffed by someone involved in the original and financially overseen and managed by AFP. If the National Alliance is to be reconstituted, then AFP should also engage in profit-sharing with it, in exchange for its blessings, assistance, and promotion. The core music distribution business requires the same infrastructure as AFP's existing book distribution business. What is needed is a staff member who is familiar with White musical trends, who has industry connections with the bands, and who can organize and arrange concerts. The projected revenue would probably justify three to five such staffers. Further, such a musical unit would draw younger customers into the AFP family of products and provide new AFP promotional venues.

With Resistance Records comes Resistance magazine. AFP has needed a youth-market-oriented publication for several years—something lighter on content, image-driven to compensate for many if not most young White Americans' functional illiteracy, with more of a younger edge to compete with products like Alex Jones' Infowars, and to broaden AFP's customer base in general. Resistance is an ideal product for this. Its focus will be band interviews, White movement "celebrities," and promoting Resistance music, and can then segue listeners as they mature into the American Free Press and Barnes Review. AFP has little risk in reviving a profitable venture, and the NA will benefit from capital income and infrastructure it cannot recreate.

The National Alliance Itself

There are two ways the National Alliance can be reconstituted. One, it can be rebuilt on a foundation of former members. Two, it can be reconstituted by AFP from the top down. The former will be easier and more likely to be successful.

AFP and its predecessors have attempted to organize activists before. These organizing attempts have universally failed, and AFP has lost its investment, because the organizations have come under the sway of lunatics, incompetents, or on rare occasions, very creative people unable to work within AFP's bounds. The National Alliance began as the third type of organization, the National Youth Alliance.

Here, greater control and interdependency is proposed to prevent such a betrayal. First, the National Alliance's primary revenue centers are to be separated and placed under AFP majority ownership. Thus, the investment AFP is putting in will stay under AFP's control. Second, it is proposed that AFP nurture, but not control, the National Alliance activity organization, so its leaders will have autonomy and not be motivated to bolt from excessive interference.

The National Alliance's business model is that it provides organization, coordination, and resources to empower local activist cadres. Organization and coordination are provided by a national staff. Resources, such as literature, are handed down from a national level. Activist education, such as training seminars for community organizing, would be useful as well. In exchange for this central coordination, members pay dues and contribute to the national organization.

To do this effectively, a national staff is needed. This staff is needed to handle member relations, including fee and donation processing, background checks, and handling member concerns, to organize and coordinate local units, and organize national activities. The keystone to a national staff is a chairman who should be selected by the organization's board.

The best way to organize a new National Alliance would be to make contact with the true heirs of the organization, the leaders of the various surviving splinter factions and particularly those true believers who remained to the very end, and have them meet to select a new board chairman. Those opposed to AFP's involvement and the board seat or seats it would rightfully receive should be excluded. An ad hoc chairman, selected from the true believers would coordinate this process.

AFP's original contribution to this process would be to provide the headquarters and office space the National Alliance no longer has, plus the infrastructure, like phone lines and a receptionist. AFP would also operate and manage the revenue centers that make the National Alliance possible. In exchange, the National Alliance would owe rent payable initially from Resistance Records revenue.

The new National Alliance members would then have to rebuild and recover their organization, rebuilding local units, rebuilding relationships, re-attracting organizers, and restoring what they allowed Erich Gliebe, Kevin Alfred Strom, and others to destroy. However, they would have a professional media organization providing their literature, cheaply and in bulk, providing them with office space and keeping them financed.

The advantage to American Free Press is obvious as well. The National Alliance activist cadre would be actively pushing AFP literature in their communities, boosting sales and other AFP-related products.

Conclusion

The final collapse of the National Alliance is an opportunity—not to sit and grumble about how Erich Gliebe took all the toys and left the sand box, but to finally side-step Gliebe and get the National Alliance back on

track. Everyone at the American Free Press is always busy, but nobody should be so busy as to overlook the opportunity.

If AFP and the NA holdouts were wise, they would seek each other out and make the deals necessary to make this merger happen. The American Free Press should find someone familiar with Resistance Records and reconstitute it, and should work with the old National Alliance leadership to take over their media operations and use these operations to re-build the National Alliance activist network

SOPHOCLES PHILOCTETES AND DEMOCRATIC DECADENCE

by Bill White

Athens in the final period of decadence and the United States of the 21st Century draw interesting parallels, and the transfer of characters from these democracies in their final stages is not a terribly difficult exercise. Sophocles poses a moral question by contrasting scheming Odysseus with Neoptolemus' remorse and the gullible menim of Philoctetes: Is the scheming of Odysseus justified to achieve the higher goal of victory in the Trojan War, particularly when that victory also benefits Philoctetes? This is a the moral dilemma of a social structure that has lost its heroic center, and which has become human and goal focused, rather than focused on the divine.

Athens of the Fifth Century was at the final end of changes that ultimately destroyed its society and made it subject to, first, Sparta, then Macedonia, then Rome. Its democracy was a jealous and levelling force, as is witnessed by the ostracism – the expulsion and confiscation of the property of one of its best citizens every ten years. Athens was entrapped in foreign wars, following its victory over Persia and including the expedition into Sicily and the effort to reconquer its colonies in Ionia, that eventually bankrupted it and made it a dependency – just as Britain's victory in the Second World War bankrupted it and left it an appendage of the emerging American empire.

Similarly, the United States of the 21st Century finds itself possessed of a democracy that is characterized by an alliance of the very rich and the very poor against the productive classes. Its government is essentially Marxist and targets virtue rather than vice. Its intelligentsia and the currents of thought they maintain are similar to those of the Athenians as well, and it has been weakened by foreign adventures that it cannot afford. One saving grace may be the fact that it does not face an existential war.

With these similarities, parallels should be expected between popular archetypes of Grecian and American culture – and there is every evidence Sophocles was a popular writer of a type that today might be providing material for an audience similar to Hollywood’s – His Oedipus, which embodies what Sir Oswald Mosley referred to as “the man of the next five minutes”, could be Barack Obama or any modern politician, i.e., a man who sees only the exigencies of the current situation, and not any larger moral framework for action. The key difference is that Odysseus is at least aware of the goal for which he struggles, whereas a character such as Obama is only aware of his own advancement.

Neoptolemus is initially the reluctant accessory of Odysseus, but becomes an all too willing one for whom repentance comes late and, one senses, may be just for show. He completes the deception of Philoctetes and acquires his bow and arrows, and while repenting in time to correct the error, seems to be more trying to contrast himself with Odysseus than pursuing any particular principle. This kind of morality-seeking-public-applause is what motivates guests to make self-righteous poses on Jerry Springer or TV reality programs. It is the desire to appear excellent, and not to be excellent one’s self – an inversion of the Socratic maxim.

In modern America, Neoptolemus might represent the publicly self-righteous and nominally reactionary opposition politicians such as Obama face, which loudly declares its opposition while pursuing the same agenda. The Persian King Yima is said to have lost his divinity the first time he lied, and, by initially joining Odysseus’ plot, Neoptolemus shows his character – neither strong enough to adhere to a course once divided, nor strong enough to take a principled stand from the onset. Instead of affirming his honesty, he manages to betray both his own side and Philoctetes.

The kind of moral dilemma that seeks excellence in a choice of either hesitant betrayal of one’s enemies or hesitant betrayal of one’s friends typifies the degenerate philosophical musings of late democratic society, in which only the exigencies of the moment and a willingness to meet them seem worthy of reward. As such, Odysseus and Neoptolemus offer many parallels between Athenian and American society.