

Nordlux: Sigurd & Bruder Christopher

A new podcast with Zygarde and our brother from Germany, Christopher, on the topic of the warrior spirit, different aspects, traditions, traditions in Germany in the different periods, in Bulgaria of course, I will add my information. This is important since obviously the younger generation of men, of white men, they don't have a warrior tradition. This was removed by the poison of pacifism, of feminism, of Judaism, communism, and all kinds of destructive Jewish ideologies.

So, welcome. Good to be on the show. Thanks for having me.

So, Zygarde, maybe you, because I believe you are knowledgeable on the topic, quite a lot of things could be said. Okay, so in terms of the warrior spirit, or the spirit of the warrior, I guess that's paleo historically or traditionally been associated with the Aryan race, the white race, that we are basically the embodiment of that on the earth, and more or less all the other groups, they have a different form of soul or spirit that is, even though it can manifest in a sort of aggressive savagery, it still doesn't really have that spiritual quality because it's more tied to irrational, contingent states of emotion, and it's not really something that's under the control of the will so much, whereas in the case of the Aryan, it's more like the triumph of the will, like the movie. It's more that which supersedes everything else.

All these emotional drives are more or less controlled or under the control of the will, of the higher principle of consciousness. That's my understanding of the warrior spirit of the Aryan. So, basically, you think that the highest principle is the discipline, the self-discipline and overwhelming of primal instincts like fear, fear of death, and the pain, of course.

But also, I must say that in the different traditions in the past, of course, this is connected with the discipline because we're not absolutely sure how these people did it, but there are different traditions that are connected with the fury, like the Berserk or the gift of anger from the Bulgarian tradition. Anger is anger, battle rage. So, this is also interesting because the different way of behaving, of course, the type of war had changed from the ancient times to these days.

And unfortunately, I believe that the war became more and more Jewish. I mean, in modern day, the bravery is more or less important. It still has some impact on the battle, obviously, but the Jews are making the battlefield more filled with high technologies and cowards behind drones.

It's like that meme, How Cowards Wage War, when you see that fat Israeli defense force member sitting in front of the drone screens. And all the types of Aryan spirituality are suppressed. Basically, they're creating culture which is totally opposed to the Aryan ideals of moral and the Aryan ideas as a whole.

The male warrior, dominant spirit, things like that. They're creating totally opposed culture. And even, I believe, the word for Arius or Aryan is connected with the word of honor in English, which is connected in Latin, but also is connected with the word of Ere in German.

And also, our brother from Germany will say that it's, I suppose, with the connection with the army. I would argue that in modern warfare, which, let's say, started with the American Civil War, which was the first highly mechanized war with the beginning of fully automatic guns and so on, that actually in modern warfare, the bravery is punished. So the brave will die first and the cowards will survive the war.

Just because of the mechanized warfare, you will just be killed by a bomb, by a drone, by a rocket. So what is the point of bravery in these days? It's all about numerical and technological advantage. Right.

That's why joining the military and participating in warfare in a conventional sense is not really anything other than a careerist type of move. That's why they have professional paid militaries, that all they are is basically just a G.I. Joe commando type with massive advanced technology that they utilize. That's not really a result of courage insofar as it's mainly just a technologized warfare.

Even the physical element is totally removed. What is your strength, your speed, your endurance? What is it today? I mean, you cannot use that as a benefit for you anymore as well. And also they use a lot of drugs too, if I could just interject that point.

They give them a lot of methamphetamines and equivalents of that, like stimulants, when they put them in the theater of war. So it's not even you who's really fully in control, you're just being subject to external factors that drive you forward. I believe that there is some place for bravery still.

It's not exactly removed from the battlefield, but we are going in that direction. Because, for example, what is the recent war in Ukraine, for example, you can see a lot of... We will remove from the political background and for infiltration of the Jews and things like that on both sides. But if you look at how the battles were going, there was a lot of bravery involved.

I mean, the people were holding positions, attacked by superior forces, especially from the East. Obviously, the Russians were sending tanks with the crews and things like that. And you can see that the people were still holding to some moral level.

I mean, the Ukrainians were still holding to some moral level, maybe on the basis of nationalism. And of course, I know from the previous war in the Balkans that there were a lot of things connected with heroism and nationalism. So I don't remove the role of the spirit.

But don't you think that this is more prone, that the war in the Ukraine, for example, is more like a partisan guerrilla style war, which is not supported by one of the superpowers and all the equipment and so on? No, in the beginning, there were elements of that. But then, from what I get, there was a very interesting story, because you know that at some point I was in contact with mostly Ukrainians, but also some Russians that tried to escape to Ukraine to fight on the side of the Ukrainians. And from what I get, the Americans ally of the Ukrainians, they didn't send any heavy equipment to the Ukrainians at all.

And I believe the heaviest equipment they sent was something like outdated Humvees or something like that. Meanwhile, obviously, the Russians were sending tanks with crews and rocket batteries and things like that to the east. Because I don't believe that it's possible for these people in the Donetsk region to produce for, let's say, one year, something like 500-600 tanks.

So I guess the general take-home message is that modern warfare is basically a coward's warfare. And that's not traditional warfare, even though it might, at the time, at this present time, it's not wholly one or the other necessarily. But the more modernized the warfare is, the more cowardly it is.

Yes, yes, yes. This is, I guess, the principle of the areas where you have these heroes that are exemplary for the rest of the army and they must let the army in the victory, like this is the moral boost for the victory. Now, you have these, basically, bugmen, these supposedly high intelligent specialists that are sitting behind their control poles and they're pushing buttons and basically then they're hiding behind their high tech.

Also, I would say that we didn't see war between equal parties in the last 70-80 years. Usually, modern war is like the Czech army or AKA NATO attacks some third world country like Libya or Iraq and then bombs them to shit. And yeah, it's always like a very technological superior power attacking a weaker force.

The Vietnam War or whatever, you name it. So, the last time where we saw soldiers who are equally equipped on both sides was probably the Second World War. That's probably what factors most in the calculations of these globalists is, are we going to take a lot of losses here? It's all just game theory to them to decide whether it's worth the investment of all the finance and manpower that are lost in the wars.

And I guess that's why they delegated to a lot of mercenary organizations to do the dirty work for them. I think that they considered that after the Vietnam War, that they couldn't sell the casualties to the American public. So, they changed, you know, they got rid of the draft.

Basically, the whole army is no mercenaries and they're trying to get as less losses as possible because they cannot sell it, the media is too strong, they're reporting from the

battlefield. Or in the Iraq War, they had these embedded journalists who were actually connected to the army and only showing to the public what they were supposed to see. That's one of the problems of so-called democracy, I guess, that you need your spin doctors to sell the war to the public.

And if a lot of coffins are coming back from overseas, the people don't like the war. That's a good reason why the United States, for example, never attacked a nuclear power. And when you look at North Korea, I mean, they're pretending they're the guy to be mad, but it is very rational for them to create a nuclear bomb because this is their insurance against the NATO attack.

That assumes that nuclear bombs are legitimate, according to Miguel Serrano, they're not, but that's him. Yeah, that's true. This is his opinion.

By the way, just interested, because we spoke several times, are there any changing in the German policies after the Second World War? Obviously, not very militaristic and things like that, but are there any tendency to change the policy in Germany for increasing the army and to rearm? Yeah, they're talking about that all the time. I mean, they're connected to that. In one of the last episodes, we spoke about the Soviet long-term strategy.

And one part of this long-term strategy was basically they got rid of the Warsaw Pact. In the idea, if we got rid of that, they will, you know, NATO will dissolve itself. And I'm still, I'm a little bit astonished that NATO still exists.

And also this idea that there will be a European army created as a counterbalance to NATO. And at the end, through this European army, we will get rid of NATO is also a Soviet plan. So, if you ask me, what would I prefer for the future? Yeah, rearmament of Europe, more coordination between the European armies, but keep NATO.

Because it's an old Soviet idea to get rid of NATO and at the end, to isolate the United States. They want to isolate the United States and create an alliance between Russia and Europe. You know, these talking points, Europe from Lisbon to Vladivostok.

Yeah, these ideas. So, we shouldn't go that way. We should keep NATO, but also we need stronger European armies.

And there is talking about that, but it's hard to do. It's always a money problem. We know that they like to spend money for refugees and gender studies and shit.

So, yeah, an army is expensive. And also the coordination between the different European states. You know all these stories when they try to develop a tank or fight a jet.

Then who does it? Who pays for it? And so on and so on. Complicated European politics.

Yeah, Europe is a very interesting place.

And I don't believe that a feminist dominated, I mean, feminist Marxist dominated Europe could really rearm because you need some kind of heroic spirit, some kind of practical idea how to do the stuff. And these people will be always drawn by their leftist ideology that is totally unpractical and anti-nationalistic and anti-white and anti-German or anti-Bulgarian or anti-French. Until the fall of the Iron Curtain, these leftist organizations, social democrats, communists, whatever they call themselves, they were totally against this Western alliance.

They were against NATO. They were against the European Union. But this shifted with the so-called collapse of the Soviet Union.

Then the things shifted. At the end, the European Union was a conservative project, mainly based on defense and cooperation on the market issue. And then with the fall of the Iron Curtain, the whole thing switched and that the leftists in Europe started to support these no borders European super state, which is kind of a Soviet Union in Europe, a similar idea.

And of course, these people who are now in power, they basically they come from this green movement. And then they had these, you know, peace protests and anti-nuclear weapon movement in the 60s and 70s. And that's where they come from.

So it's enormously difficult for them to rearm the nation because they would need a 180 degree turn. And we don't have the conservative or, let's say, right-wing parties in power to do that. They said, basically, this is the hippie generation, make love, not war, blah, blah, blah.

These people are in power now. Well, what is the situation in your country, Sigurd? I don't even know. I don't pay attention to any of the politics that go on here.

Other than I know that some one guy, I don't know if I should get into too much detail specifics, but he basically just arbitrarily arrested for trying to protest the fact that the media was shutting his political party out. They wouldn't allow his political party to have any voice or to be represented at all in any of the debates. And so he was eventually, he tried to contact the major corporation, the main mind control corporation, Canadian Broadcasting Company, communist brainwashing company, and they would never respond to him properly.

And so he eventually went physically to the building and then he was arrested because he didn't have a mask. And then they put him into a holding cell for like, I don't know, two days or something like that, without any reason or justification. And then they basically charged him with hate speech for some alleged charges that other people had tried to bring forward and so forth.

So it's basically, in this country, it's more or less they've installed the Justine Trudeau, the socialist, Marxist apparatchik. He's just a front man, just like they did with Obama in the States prior to Trump's electoral term. They just do that as a means of sabotaging the nation.

So for the most part, Canada is more or less as leftist as you could possibly get. There's no real freedom of speech or anything in this country. And people are completely apathetic and more or less in a zombified state of consciousness.

They don't have any real willingness to stand up and assert themselves. And anybody who does, they'll attack any nationalist or whatever. Then they'll attack as a collective group because they have no will.

Basically, their willpower has been sort of eroded. And I guess that's sort of the nature of most of the Anglo countries like England, Australia and so forth. They're more or less in the same boat.

Okay. Would you say that there's a thinking of the people in Canada's influence that they basically have no real threat? I mean, the only bordering nation is the United States of America. I'm assuming that you're not planning to invade the United States.

Yeah, for the most part, I think that's the case that Canada is sort of like the little sister of America. It's, you know, always looked upon itself as in the shadow of America. And you have no threats on any border or anything? No.

No, it's basically it started off as like a pioneering wilderness country, you know, where people just live their lives playing hockey and drinking liquor and whatever the case. And then from there, you know, it just sort of followed America like a slow, I don't know what you'd call it, a sort of a backward, it's sort of like the what I consider to be the equivalent for North America of East Germany in relation to West Germany. And America is basically West Germany in relation to Canada.

So for the most part, that's the situation here. It's sort of not much in the way of protests or anything going on, you know, maybe a little bit of protests on the part of Christians. But I look upon that as mainly controlled opposition more than anything.

Canada is still part of the Commonwealth, right? I believe so. So do you know anything about military alliances with the United Kingdom? I think it's pretty much Canada is just in NATO and they do whatever NATO says, you know, they'll send some troops here and there, Afghanistan, Iraq. Whatever.

That's it's pretty much just sort of like is dragged along with the, for the most part, as a natural resource cache. You know, it's got the trees, it's got the minerals, it's got water and so forth. So Canada is basically just like the property of the crown.

All the property in Canada is run by the Crown of England, every single property, you know, is owned by the Crown. So you don't own any property and all you are is just a serf, you're a subject of the Crown of England, basically, which is Jewish, of course. So I will suggest that they will try to, basically, this thing smells like 1984, creation of this anglo-sphere, this Atlantic alliance contrary to Eurasia or Eurafica and things like that.

And recently I've watched, and this is some kind of connected with the military team, if you think about it. Recently, I watched a geopolitical analysis, how the roots of resources of Europe are passing through Africa. And again, I tried to make a connection with what the Soviets and the Chinese and the Eastern Europeans as a whole did, but this is because of the Soviets, did in Africa against the white colonies there and how the Western Europe actually, and America betrayed the white people there.

And they opened this possibility of Negro or North African, Middle Eastern invasion in Europe and the replacement of the population, but also they cut the natural sources of resources to Europe. Because now, if you want, for example, uranium, you must trade with some African dictator or some, basically, African dictators, they're all the same. I believe that South Africa, for example, had one of the richest sources of... Which are the African dictators are predominantly communists.

Yes, yes. So this is, and we can see how the communist plan is working or like how the Jewish plan is working, because these colonies, these European powerhouses were very important for the European economy. Without them, we cannot produce cheap products.

Most of the products in Europe are really very expensive, partly because of the expensive labor, so to say, but mostly because of the expensive resources. And of course, China, which is the most, obviously, the next Jewish powerhouse on the world, they have quite strong resource base and also they're the next imperial power in Africa, I believe. Could I just say, reference that documentary, The Empire of the Dust from Africa, about how the Chinese tried to colonize it.

And there's like actual footage about how the Chinese fail in trying to manipulate the Africans to get them to work and so on. I think a main disadvantage of a so-called democracy is that our nations, they don't have long-term plans. Of course, the international Jews have long-term plans, the Masons and so on, they have long-term plans, but not our governments itself.

When you look at the Soviet plans, for example, they made 50-year plans, 200-year plans, the same with China. And a good example is the future electrification of the traffic, you know, electric cars and so on. To build the batteries for the cars, you need a lot of rare minerals like neodymium and all kind of stuff.

And they basically got in control of these minerals 10-20 years ago. The Chinese already took over the control of these minerals, and then they pushed for the electric car. And

this is because they are planning in long terms, and we cannot do that, because we are only planning from election to election, at least our government.

I'm not talking about Deep State or any other organizations. And this is a big advantage for the Chinese, for example, the long-term planning. Do you know that the Turks had 100-year plan and 1000-year plan? No joke.

The modern Turks or the Ottoman Empire Turks? Well, it doesn't matter, because they believe that they are the descendants of the Ottomans. So basically, Erdogan set a 100-year-old plan for what will be the 22nd century of Turkey, and also 1000-year-old plan, which includes basically restoration of the Ottoman Empire, plus Central Asian Republic, plus Europe, including Central Europe and things like that. So, yeah, at least they have a mission, but they're enemies.

So, I mean, this is a good example, how the things must work, because if you have a target to do something, and your descendants inherit that target, these goals, this idea, they're moving in some direction, they're trying to build something, and we're just whatever. Yeah, I think this is your right. First of all, it creates a connection between the generations, because you're basically working on the things you first started, and it's very good for the spirit of a nation or people to have a common goal.

What is the common goal of the Western? To make everybody equal or something, I don't know. Everyone must be equal, you must be happy, you must be happy with your degeneracy, transgenderism, you can call yourself, I don't know what you can call yourself, a turkey, kitty cat, all kinds of degeneracy is stimulated, and any kind of white male spirit is suppressed. And obviously, the whole purpose of that is demoralization for takeover on the part of Jews, and all the upper-class whites are just either serving themselves, or else they're just gullible and stupid, and they don't understand their own good or the necessary conditions of actual survival.

By the way, because we're talking about how the technology is destroying the heroic spirit of the war, I will say that at some point technology could make the heroic spirit a little bit, not a little bit, more important again. Because it looks like the military armies are making strong steps towards these advanced battle costumes, which now they sound quite far-fetched and quite sci-fi, but it looks like it will be in the future a quite significant part of the military equipment. And interestingly enough, this Jew, his name was, what was the name of the book? Wait a second, it's easier when you have taken your book.

So this Jew, George Friedman, or I'm going to say George Friedman, the director of the leading American Institute of Geopolitical Analysis, and blah, blah, blah, they're bragging, of course. He claims that in 2100, these advanced battle costumes will be implemented in the American army. So we're talking about systems that are improving the survivability of the soldier, like battle protection, some kind of advanced materials,

obviously some kind of armor, they're improving the strength at least three to five times, they're improving the agility, the situational awareness, and things like that.

So maybe this will put the emphasis of the individual soldier and the heroism of the individual soldier. Well, I would say in a way the opposite too, because that way any cowardly Jew can just dress up in a mech suit and go and kill people. Yes, you're right, but also this will give some chance of non-cowardly people to counteract them, because this will eliminate the possibility of being killed by, for example, snipers, drones, and things like that.

That's if you have the access to the technology, though. Yes, or the money, because it'll probably cost a lot of money. Yes, yes.

I have a feeling that it goes more in the direction of what we already see in the drones and so on. So that will be these, I think the official term is automatic killing machines, which will basically robots fighting each other, and the strongest army will be those who have the best robots and the best AI to control them. And in general these basically a human soldier in some kind of bionic suit.

This is kind of, not kind of, it is transhumanism at the end that will be connected to your brain. So there will be a brain machine connection and so on. And this whole transhumanism thing is very interesting.

We can talk about that in the future if you want. But it is a general hatred of these transhumanists. They hate not only a specific race, they hate their biological being.

They hate being a part of nature, and they hate being human, and they want to overcome that. And it is very dangerous. I mean, what separates the gods from the humans? A god cannot be a hero.

Why? Because he cannot die. The heroism is only possible for somebody who feels pain, who bleeds, who dies, because he can sacrifice. And the sacrifice is the heroism at the end.

Yes. And a machine cannot do that. So how can there be heroism in a machine? I call that zeroism, not heroism.

That's sort of the Jewish ethics. So basically we are again talking about this demiurgic entity, this anti-nature, anti-life, how to say, this system that was unleashed thousands of years ago against the living, the life as a whole. And these unnatural philosophies like communism, like all this liberalism, like all these unnatural religions, and basically the whole Judaic spirit, how it's implementing the whole society and the war as a whole.

Because I must say that the war back in the time, there were, of course, heroic examples, but it was brutal. But also it was kind of more forgiving, because sometimes,

of course, this is the idea of the nobility, the Aryan nobility, how the people have some kind of mercy towards the defeated. Of course, the idea of mercy didn't work in the modern world.

You can read some articles from Jews that they said the idea of total war, so basically war against all populations, including women and children, destroying churches, demoralizing people, destroying cultural heritage, everything. So basically all these dirty tricks, poisoning the civilian population, spreading diseases, everything comes from the mind of the Jew. I believe that there was a very good article several years ago that the Jew admits that this idea of total war, total destruction comes from them.

This is, by the way, not what Dr Goebbels meant when he spoke about total war. He meant the total effort of the people. Yes, yes.

The total commitment, but not the total destruction. That's just another Jewish inversion for the most part, where they take everything and flip it around and claim other people have done that. Let's open the brackets because some people won't be convinced.

So basically the military effort of Germany, of the Third Reich, until basically 1942 was a military campaign that was targeting the quick destruction of the main enemy, which is the Soviet Union. And it didn't work because obviously the Soviet Union was quite well prepared, quite vast territories, and they had enormous amount of tanks and military and things like that. And this total war was total mobilization, exactly total mobilization of the society.

So this was the message of Dr Goebbels. Basically until then there was no restrictions in the grocery stores and foods and so on. Yes.

People could go out and go shopping and buy things. So there was no limitation on the population at that point. And Dr Goebbels basically asks the people, are you willing to do some commitments, to accept some restrictions on the food and so on, to put more effort in the war and to win the war? And that is what he meant with total war.

That provides another contrast between the Aryan conception of total war, which is basically everybody together in their nation fighting for their own collective will and collective survival. And then in the case of the Jewish total war, it's just a war of all against all, just kill everything, just like in their Old Testament, you know, just says kill the children, kill the women, so forth. What they're doing, actually, every time.

Yeah, I can definitely see them doing that in all the European nations too, once they start using the Muslims as their foil to create more strife, then they can just blame everything on the Muslims all the time. They dump white phosphorus on people's bodies or whatever the case. Yeah.

This is the way of doing war. I mean, this is how the things work. And by the way, you

know, the thing about the Israeli army and the Israeli diapers, I mean, their army is armed with diapers.

Obviously, they're shitting themselves a lot, which, of course, it happens in battle, but maybe they're leading in that thing. But, yeah, obviously, the Jewish spirit is their total destruction, because the thing is that they're not really warriors by nature. They're not warriors by spirit, so they cannot have mercy.

And, of course, we cannot expect mercy from them. I mean, the good example, I mean, it's not a good, it's a bad example, but the example from history is what happened with Germany after the First and Second World War. More and more severe oppression.

The example is what happened with Bulgaria in the First and Second World War. I think this annihilation of the enemy is a total alien, at least for the modern European times, for the last several thousand years. Usually, you had a war and then you made a peace treaty with some kind of preparations and certain conditions, and then the thing was over.

It was done. And, by the way, that's mainly when it's between European or white people, though. And the first war where so-called unconditional surrender was demanded, what they did to Germany after the Second World War, was the American Civil War.

The South, they had to sign an unconditional surrender to the North. And that was the first time in history, such thing, basically, unconditional surrender is some kind of annihilation, because after that you have no rights to anything anymore. And that happened the first time in the American Civil War.

And we know who was controlling the North and the South and so on, who got these ideas. Yeah, yeah, I think that this destruction of the South was very like a treading ground for what they did with Germany. By the way, when you said what they did to Germany, do you think, this is a little bit side of the main topic, but what was the condition of Germany near the end of the First World War? Because some people said that this is the myth that Germany could continue to fight or Germany was, the Germans were stabbed in the back by the Jews and the Bolsheviks and things like that.

What was the situation there? The First World War? Yeah. Well, we tried this last attack and it failed, in general, to make it very general. The problem in the First World War was that the defense was much stronger than the attack.

The defensive measures were much better, you know, they were in these trenches, the big guns and so on. So, it was extremely difficult to advance. The German idea is, because we are a land-based power and we didn't have the colonies and the resources and the navy to support the Vaterland, so to say, we wanted a fast war.

So, the idea was to defeat them quickly and to win with our limited resources. From the

Anglo perspective, for them, it is better to prolong the war, to have a long war, because they could get the supplies from the colonies and so on. So, the longer the war takes, the better for the allies, especially for the Anglos, and the worse for the Germans.

We were quite lucky to defeat the Russians, so we could avoid this war on two fronts, which is always the nightmare of the German military, to be attacked in the east by the Russians and in the west by the French and English. So, we could defeat the Russians and then we put all we had into France. But because of the state of technology and military technology at the time, we were not able to break through.

And it was very close, but it didn't work out. So, the resources came to an end. We didn't have enough food, the people are starting to starve and so on.

But most of the people actually starved after the First World War because of a British blockade to force us to sign the Treaty of Versailles. One million people died in 1919, so they starved us so long until we signed the treaty. So, it's a combination of things.

The people were getting poorer, the resources were going out, and this is always fuel for the Marxists and the leftists and so on. They strive on the poor people. So, it's a combination of things.

I think, yeah, there was a step in the back to a certain extent. They were just waiting to get rid of the monarchy and do the same thing like they did in France. Yeah, that was the whole purpose of the First World War was to destroy the Austro-Hungarian Empire.

That way the Jewish bankers could take over all of the property for the most part. Yeah, I think it was a blow. It was a system change and it was a blow against the monarchical system.

I think in 1890-something, there was an alliance signed. It was called the Dreikaiserbund, so the pact between the three emperors, which was the Austro-Hungarian Kaiser, the German Kaiser, and the Tsar of Russia. Even Italy had a king back then.

So, they basically got rid of all the monarchies except of the British. And this was a step in their plan to transform the European nations. Because a monarch, maybe most of the monarchs are shit, but some of them are good.

But still, he is some kind of protector of the country. And they took that away. It's a part of the old Aryan system of, let's say, old Aryan order that they are systematically destroying.

And basically, they destroy it. I mean, I don't believe that we have anything left. Maybe some little parts, but mostly nothing like it was before the First World War.

I believe that the First World War was one of the first major attempts that the Jews will

take over Europe with a total revolution. They failed. Mostly, they failed.

I mean, they took Russia, which was the backwards country. The backward country, but also it was, maybe they were planning, well, okay, if we fail to take over all... Because the target was Germany, obviously. They wanted to take Germany.

They wanted to take this industrial developed country. First, because it is important for the continuation of the world revolution. But obviously, because Germany had one of the highest percentage of proletariat, as the communists call it, proletariat population.

They had factories. They had all these things. And they were planning that Russia will be the resource, so to say, the resource source.

And they could take very easily Russia because the population was not very well educated. Most of the people didn't like the aristocracy. Some people liked the Tsar.

This is true. Some people got to being Christians, but most likely, they would support the revolution. So that's why a bunch of Jews supported by the West managed to overwhelm this supposedly powerful monarchy.

Now, but they were making a plans, like a reserve plan, a plan B, that if their revolution fails, like it failed, they failed in Bavaria, they failed in Bulgaria. They had upper hand in Hungary for a very short time with the Jew Belakon. But they were kicked out of there.

They were stopped in Poland. They were stopped in the Baltic States with a little bit of German help there. So they, as a whole, they failed.

So it comes the plan B. So basically, plan B was Stalin. Stalin, this renovator of the communism, this much more practical than these quite degenerate Jews. So he had this more conquering type of, he was very cunning, very dangerous.

And these Jews around them, they were a little bit like the cultural Marxists of today, actually, of the West, but they wanted to enjoy their degenerative habits quite a lot. There are a lot of books written about their quite strange sexual and social behavior. Yeah.

Didn't they make women common property once they took over the government, I think? Yes. Yes. And also, they had the first transgender wedding in Russia in 1918.

So the question is, is that a natural property of the Jews, to be like that, probably? Or is that just a means of cursing the Gentiles? Or maybe it's both? Maybe it's both. Maybe it's both. But I think the Jews, as a whole, they are degenerates.

But these type of Jews also, one of my favorite examples, that there was a Jewish feminist organization that they were rebelling against the quotes. So they were going butt naked in the protests of the Bolsheviks. Well, for a couple of protests.

I mean, this was obviously not very socially acceptable behavior. But you can imagine what kind of degenerates they had there. So this type of people cannot conquer the world, obviously.

And yeah, they were replaced. I believe they were replaced on purpose with, maybe he was Jewish. I actually, I believe that Stalin was Jewish.

Some people didn't believe, but he definitely was not anti-Semitic. His wife was a Jew, too. Most likely, there was some theory.

Lenin's wife was also Jewish, named Krupskaya. Yeah, yeah, yeah. This is true.

This is true. This is proven. And Lenin himself is a mishling.

I mean, he's a mixture between Asiatic Jew and some kind of aristocrat or something like that. So he's kind of a Kalergi type. Yes, yes.

But you can see Lenin, he has obviously Mongolian features. And he was degenerate. There was letters, love letters between him and, I believe, Kaganovich.

And his wife, Krupskaya, got the letters. So obviously, very strong degenerates. What do you mean by romantic type of letters? I mean that they were fucking each other.

And she was kind of surprised that her husband was communicating with another homosexual Jew that way. But... I guess it's just a clusterfuck. Yes, yes.

They're total degenerates. Also, a lot of people don't know that they had Plan B. If everything fails, their Jewish buddies provide them, for example, it is a known fact that Lenin had a Brazilian passport. So basically, they were planning to run to Brazil if the revolution fails or something like that.

So... I know Trotsky went to Mexico City. And there's a photo of him and Rosa, what's her name there, Frida Kahlo, that Jewish artist, so-called artist. I think Trotsky first went to Turkey, actually.

Yeah, yeah. He lived for a while in Turkey. This is because Trotsky was a big fan of the Turkish state.

Actually, the Soviets, the newly found state of the Soviets sent the Ataturk weapons and help. Maybe because they wanted some kind of support in the Caucasus. Maybe because they believed that Ataturk will accept the Soviet, the communist program.

But yeah, this is how it is, which of course gives some power to the claim that Ataturk was a Jew. So yeah, interesting. Of course, some people said that it was just a geopolitical alliance, very similar to the alliance between the Soviet Union, Russia and Turkey.

Which is, I believe, one of the greatest threats from Europe, for white Europe at least. Because obviously, they had a quite strong connection and they're bounded by money, and they're bounded by geopolitical connection, they're bounded by military connections. Because it looks like the Turkish army, even though they're trying to produce something, they're receiving more and more modern equipment from Russia.

So yeah, I mean, this is one of the things that we, the Europeans, must take in mind that Russia and Turkey are forming an alliance against Europe. Yeah, we think that the Turks for basically decades demanded to be a member of the European Union. And since a couple of years, you don't hear anything about that anymore.

So obviously, their plans changed. And I'm not saying that I want the Turks to be part of the European Union. I'm just mentioning it.

But I believe that in that case, some of the industrial lobbies, maybe in Germany, maybe in France, stop Turkey. Because obviously, if they are a member of the European Union, the quite booming Turkish economy could be a competition for the German economy, for example, for French economy. So in that case, I'm also not against that, because obviously, the Turks will flood Europe and especially the neighboring state, which is Bulgaria, right? Well, we have enough non-whites already.

So yeah, one example how industrialists could kind of be on the side of the people, but they're not making this to be really nationalistic. They're making this just because this is against their financial interests. Most of the companies are run by Jews now, too.

For example, Daimler-Benz, Mercedes-Benz. The biggest shareholder is a Chinese billionaire. The second biggest shareholder is the Emirate of Qatar.

And of course, lots of unknown shareholders, hedge funds, Jews and so on. And they're now removing the engine plant because combustion engines are banned in Germany soon. They're trying to destroy the automotive industry.

Actually, they moved the factory to Turkey. Cheap labor. And they actually said that most of the Turks worked in the German factory, so they know the job.

And they are moving to Turkey. And I think BMW, too. They're moving to Austria and Turkey, too.

I think. Because the EU decided that they will ban the production of combustion engines in 2030 or something, if you didn't know that. Which will be a total disaster because it will not work.

Trust me, I'm an engineer. What will be the replacement of the combustion engine? A donkey. I mean, they're planning to follow the example of Norway with Tesla.

Yeah, but you see, Norway has a very small population compared to the size of the country. Of course. And they have a lot of natural energy.

They have volcanic activity. They have a lot of water energy. So, you cannot compare that to, for example, Germany is a very dense populated country with a high developed industry.

And you cannot fuel such an industry with water energy or wind energy or something like that. The only goal is to destroy. This is an equality thing, right? You cannot lift these second and third world countries to our living standard.

So, to make us equal, you have to lower the living standard in the West. And this is only possible if you destroy the industrial power. And then that's the goal.

It seems like Germany is a major target in terms of the globalist agenda. I guess it's because of the industry, but it could also be for other reasons, too. It could also be for spiritual reasons, maybe.

Spiritual, racial, yeah. Destroy Germany as a race of some sort of vengeful hatred or something. We had a foreign minister and vice chancellor called Joschka Fischer, who is actually from very fishy Hungarian descent.

Probably long-nosed descent. But I cannot quote him accurately, but I can get the meaning. He said something like, that Germany is still too strong.

So, the goal of the European Union is to extract as much money as possible from Germany to prevent the rebirth or the rise of Germany. And this was said by our own vice chancellor. Joschka the faggot.

I'm just adding the people that just Google. This guy, by the way, before he became vice chancellor, he was a taxi driver. And now he's living, I think, at the Wannsee.

It's a very beautiful area in Berlin. And he has a fortune of 60 million euros. So, this is the way of the communists, right? Maybe he's just a front man like Harry Truman was.

I mean, obviously, not a type of people that you can expect to lead a mighty country. Can you find a little information about Bismarck? Because we started with the warriors, but also the figure of Bismarck, for example, is very important for Germany, for creation of the modern Germany. And, well, not modern Germany, the real Germany, so to say.

And also quite important for Bulgaria also and for the European politics as a whole in the 19th century. Because Joschka Fischer, the taxi driver, and you can compare it with Bismarck, for example, the mighty intellectual and spiritual figure. And, of course, with Merkel, which is totally... I won't commend her.

But, I mean, the countries of the white man are led by total scoundrels these days. And

we don't have any, even one single leader that deserves some kind of respect. Yeah, it always reminds me of that phrase, the fish rots from the head down.

Classy elites are always corrupt. And then that just trickles downward. So, you can pretty much predict what will occur based upon what their policies are and how they act and how corrupt the upper class is.

It just constitutes like a mirror that you can predict the future and crystal ball. I think it's rather the opposite in a sense that these... Well, what they're going to do... These people in power, they're actually a reflection, a mirror of the average Joe. And they want them to be like that.

They want them to be harmless, average looking, average IQ, like they are. They are voting for themselves in a sense. And any person who is strong and intelligent and has goals and is ambitious, scares them.

If you look at a person like Bismarck, for example, he was a great man, political master, but he would never ever win an election in our times, because the people would be scared of him. So, there is no way in a democratic system that such people can come to power. And Angela Merkel is an embodiment of the German population of today.

She is like them. She doesn't look very... She looks average. She doesn't have a good voice.

She cannot talk. She cannot speak public. She's rather quiet.

That's her public appearance, of course. And behind the curtain, she's very cunning and very smart. But yeah, the people want leaders like that.

Or you're Justin Trudeau in Canada. Isn't that the personification of what the people want to be? I don't think so. I think what the whole purpose of that is, is behavioral modeling, that they can create this simulacrum or this archetype, and they can put it out there and say, this is a hero, and he's so moral and virtuous.

And that way they can get people to mimic that behavior as a means of sort of cursing the Gentiles to condition them to behave in certain ways, and then to associate that figure, which is just a fiction or a creation of theirs. Isn't he more the nice type of guy, you know, you want to have as a neighbor or a mom wants as a husband for her daughter or something like, the average Joe guy. Yeah, that's just a fiction that they put forth for the average person to buy into, and then they can associate the average person with that.

And then they can make the average person think that that's what is, you know, the norm or what you should be. So it's a way of predictively programming, I guess, if you want to call it that. So basically no ideals, no goals, no plans, nothing, nothing that's a

high standard that you must be trying to reach or something like that.

Totally non-heroic, totally non-Aryan type of, and of course the politics, what Clausewitz said about the war and the politics. Business carried on or politics carried on by other means than political. That the politics is basically the war is continuation of the politics with other means.

And this is the same thing, but now we witnessing a war against the European population and against the white population, because I don't believe that the whites in Canada, for example, or United States or Australia, New Zealand will be, or South Africa obviously, will be spared from what is coming for the population. No, they're just trying to target the major threats first, because they know that in Europe people are much more intelligent than people in North America for the most part. And so they want to knock out the best of the Gentiles first, and then they can come after all the other ones after that and pick them off one by one.

That's why they're going for the States too with the internal vulcanization, Civil War II agenda, because they know that the people there at least have a more military culture and are more Germanic in their biology. This saying of kill the best of the Gentiles basically means kill all of the Gentiles. Yeah.

Because if I kill the best, the second best will be the best. So I have to kill him and so on and so on. So kill the best means to kill all of them.

Yeah, I guess that's why the Jews are governed by the death drive for the most part. They're haters of life. Their whole religion is like a life hating religion.

Also, I must say that these people, they also are trying to use the European, the Aryan genetics, because you can see there, for example, the people that they're pushing in the Hollywood, for example, they are mixed with Europeans to have some kind of walk, even though they're using a lot of surgery, obviously, and faking bald hair, for example. But they're mixed with the Europeans. And obviously, this is another thing of their agenda to kidnap the genetics of the Goan.

And in that way, they could destroy also the Goan population just by mixing with us. Just assimilation strategy. That's how they spread their Jew genes around.

Yeah. So very, very, very dirty tactics. But this is part of the Jewish total war against the European people.

And of course, because they're very, very, very methodical. They're exactly like the psychology of Stalin, very methodically doing their steps one by one, not too fast, not to scare the enemy, which this is we are the enemy. And you can see with the present pandemic, how they're doing the stuff very slowly, implementing vaccines, implementing fear, restricting the people that don't want to be vaccinated, implementing vaccine

passports, very slowly preparing the ground for the next big step, which who knows what will be.

But we can see that they're moving now. They obviously had something in mind in the next 10 years, maybe 15 years. I'm pretty sure that, like you're aware, probably also that that's correlated with astrological alignments, very chaotic shifts.

Yes. They are using a lot of symbology. They're using a lot of things that we, maybe the ancient Aryans understood.

We, the present day, quite materialistic science, they are rejecting. But you know that science is dominated by the Jews. Just we're talking about so many things that falsification of the history of the German people, creation of the Slavs, falsification of history of Bulgarian people, falsification of history of Rome.

We're in a situation that to have some kind of understanding what was the ancient past, basically, we must recreate what the National Socialist Germany was doing to create Annenerbe, this institute to start to research the history and to research the race, to research the spirituality. That would have to be after a victory, though, because we don't do that now at this time in history. Of course.

We try to go to the North Pole and charter a ship or an icebreaker ship to go into the hollow Earth or to find out if it's hollow or not, then you'd be in international waters and the military would just do away with you anyway. If you try to go into Shambhala or Agartha or whatever, hidden city or something like that, well, you're getting very far. Some people wanted to research the whole Earth back in the day.

But anyway, you're right. I mean, we cannot do that. Let's say we're obviously above the average and we're interested in different things that most of the people are not interested in.

And of course, we read books, which is also quite not usual for most of the people. But that's why we're making this podcast. We're trying to raise awareness amongst the people that are listening to us, which also I believe they will be a little bit different from the average, and to spread their awareness, to point out different facts that nobody is talking about.

This reminds me of a story David Irving once told. Somebody asked him if he has problems to publish his books in the United States, because all the publishers are obviously from the tribe. And he said, no, I don't have problems with their publishing my books.

But the problem is that the only people who read books in the United States are Jews. This is tragedy. You know what? The Library of the American Congress was created with a purpose.

And they had, I believe, one of the largest libraries, the second largest library in the world, after the Vatican. But look what happened. And of course, the Vatican, you know, the Vatican is also quite interesting place of power and influence.

But yeah, I would be interested to know what is in the archives of the American Congress. And also, there are also libraries and archives in England that are also very interesting, and in Russia. Not to mention the tragic loss of the ancient libraries in Constantinople, Alexandria, and so on.

I think we already lost the majority of the things. But there's still something left. I'm pretty sure that there are some copies in Rome.

I'm pretty sure. And also, don't forget that a lot of the archives of Annenerbe and the Third Reich were stolen and sent to Russia. So, they had some of these.

And I believe that there was a guy, he passed away, supposedly from COVID, Avdeev. He was one of the translators of the German racial literature in Russia. And one of the things he said about the archives, that nobody after 1950 something, so basically after the death of Stalin, nobody's looking at that archives, at least he claims so.

That there were whole rooms full of German research from Annenerbe. Right, that's what Putin says, or I'm sorry, Dugin. Yeah, their whole research of very interesting books, nobody is looking at these things.

And he said that it is a treasure, a real cultural treasure for cultural and racial anthropology, and for the white people as a whole. Now, he was a racist, so he wrote the book A Modern View of the Racialogy of the Third Reich, that was quite popular in Russia. And even there was a translation in early 2000s in Bulgaria.

And it is based 100% on German archives, it's not his creation. So, yeah, a lot of things is lost. And unfortunately, I believe it's used by our enemies.

So, all this boom in the 60s, of so-called alternative anti-culture, all this boom of drug usage, of degeneracy, of strange occult codes based on Alistair Crowley, all this loss of spirituality after, I believe, in 1950s, 1960s in America and Europe. Everything is connected with the present situation of the white race. And obviously, at least from my perspective, to have some kind of liberation from the chains of the Jews in Europe and in the whole world, we must reawaken the heroic spirit.

I mean, by the way, I really love this picture that Sigurd sent me with the spiritual virility. Very, very good. Yeah, I'm making a bunch of those, actually.

I'm thinking about putting those up on like a montage as people listen to the video that they can see the different forms. This is a good picture. But what is the main ideology of the Freikorps, for example, in Germany? I'm not too sure when that was exactly, what

time frame.

I think that they were influenced by von Leibenfels, maybe, Ariosophy, because Ariosophy was big around like 1905. I think that's when it more or less started in 1905. And then Guido von List, with his Arminism, also came out around that time, the turn of the last century.

I'm not too sure if they were influenced by that specifically, though, but, you know, they as like individual soldiers, if they actually had an ideology, but I'm pretty sure that that their formation was influenced by some sort of ideology of that nature of Ariosophy. I think it was more like German nationalism and anti-Bolshevism in some kind. But maybe Christopher will tell us more about that.

The Freikorps, they were basically, they were guys which kept their weapons after the war and were hiding them in the basement. And then they, at first they were, of course, chased by the government and suppressed by the government. And when the communist revolution started in Bavaria.

And also there was a Polish attack at East Prussia. It's mostly unknown, but the Polish tried to take it right after the war. These men went to the forest, dig out their guns and fought off the Poles and the communists.

And at that time, there was enormously influence of certain ideologies. I mean, Rudolf Steiner had a big influence, but the whole thing with the German romanticism, the German idealism, which took part in the 19th centuries, were influencing them. I mean, many of them were probably just nationalists, you know, didn't want to be communists.

But it was, in general, the beginning of the 20th century in Germany was very interesting in case of spirituality and lots of philosophy. There were lots of ideas and often it was mixed together and merged into some strange ideas. But yeah, it was very interesting.

Many of them joined SR. It's obviously one of the sources of the National Socialist Party. What do you think about Junge and his *Stahlgewitter*? I think Ernst Junge is the greatest German author of the 20th century. He is a formidable writer.

He was a hero in the First World War, very brave man. And his work, it changed over time, right? So, he became, I think, 102 years old. So, he lived through a very long period of time and it starts with him being a war hero.

And he is not, he's pro-war. He writes in *Stahlgewitter* and it's a very good book. It's about heroism and bravery.

You know, he likes to be a soldier. What is the translation in English for that? Storm of Steel. But he changed over time.

Then in the 30s, he was pro-national socialism. Then he stepped a little bit away from it. Then in the 50s and 60s, he started to experiment with drugs.

He wrote about using LSD and stuff like that. So, he's an interesting man who lived through its time and who changed over lifetime. But especially his early books from the 20s and 30s.

He was a awesome writer. I will say that he was not a step back from national socialism. He was right away a traitor to Germany during the war because he plotted to kill Adolf Hitler.

So, I don't know what to say. He was part of this felt assassination plot, actually. And I'm asking that because one guy wrote me, what do you think about Storm of Steel? And I said, well, he was a brave soldier, obviously, but he was also part of this aristocratic conspiracy against Adolf Hitler at the end of the war, which means something.

I mean, this might sound astonishing for you, but even as a national socialist myself, at first, I'm a German nationalist and I have no problem with killing Hitler. I have no problem with that. If you make the decision, okay, he was, if you look at his reign of power, his first years, the first six years, everything he did was a success.

The last six years, many of the things he did were not successful. And if you, you know, they came from the time of the monarchy. They were loyal to the Kaiser.

They were Prussian-German aristocracy and nationalists. And if they decided at some point, okay, what he's doing is not working anymore. And we all know that the casualties of the war were much higher from 1942-43 to the end of the war than in the first three years of the war.

So they saw their soldiers die. They saw their friends die who were officers in the army and so on. And they came to the conclusion, okay, this doesn't make sense anymore.

We cannot win this war. I mean, of course, they had fairytales in their mind to get an agreement with the British or something. This is all bullshit.

It would never happen. But they had these ideas. And if you come to this conclusion, for whatever reason, the problem is this guy is basically the king of Germany.

He's a dictator. So you cannot get rid of him. The only way to get rid of him is to kill him.

And Hitler himself, if you follow Hitler's teachings, Hitler's ideas, he would have done the same. Yeah, I understand this standpoint, but also they obviously was missing the point of the racial enemy and what he will do to Germany, which they did. They admitted that after the war, one of them became president of the Federal Republic.

And he himself said in a statement that they didn't understand that the war was not

against Hitler or National Socialism, that the war was against the entire German people and nation. And they admitted that after the war. So they understood that.

But I really think that they believed if we kill him, then we can save our nation and our soldiers. I really believe that. It was false.

Of course, they had absolutely no understanding. But Hitler himself didn't have a deeper understanding. The whole National Socialist Party didn't understand the whole conspiracy, right? They had many false ideas about the Anglos allying with them and America and Britain and so on.

So the conspiracy was much bigger than they themselves thought. And this resistance, they didn't understand it at all. They really thought, OK, we kill him.

The plan was to kill him, then to make a peace agreement in the West with the British and America, and then to finally defeat the Soviet Union. That was their stupid idea, you know, to put all the forces to the East and get the final victory there. It would have never happened, but they believed it.

Yeah, but also this was, of course, the Brits, falsely or not, were making some signs that they eventually could make a peace treaty with Germany. And this was maybe the game of the eternal Anglos. So maybe there was some decent people that really wanted the peace with Germany.

Who knows? Or maybe both. I can imagine. I mean, we don't have the files.

This is all top secret stuff for sure. But I can imagine that there were some British agents. They had meetings, who knows, in Switzerland, in France, whatever.

And they said, OK, if you kill Hitler, we will make a peace treaty with you. And they fucked them up at the end. We don't know what was going on.

We don't know. For sure, part of them were agents or they were under the influence of some foreign intelligence, 100%. That's how it works.

This is part of the eternal game. But in general, I mean, how old was he at the time? He was 50, 55, 54 Hitler at the time. So yeah, he probably had 15, 20 years to go.

I'm not saying I would take part of the conspiracy and try to kill him if I was in their position. I'm just saying that I can understand their motives. And I don't say that they are evil people because they tried.

So I think many of them really did it because they were patriots and they tried to save their country. Yeah, but you see, this is another thing which we are missing. A lot of people in the modernity there, they said, oh, I'm fascist, but I don't like this or I'm not sure about that and things like that.

And they think that all these fascist national socialism, corporatism, whatever, they're equal. They're not equal. Nationalism and national socialism are not equal.

This is like, oh, I'm nationalist and I'm aware of the Jews, but I'm not a racist. Okay. Too bad a nation is a race, so you can't be a nationalist without being a racist.

Absolutely. Absolutely. I mean, and that's why we must start to talk about a lot of different aspects.

We're talking only about material things, but we must also think about spirituality, how the people must be spiritually awakened. Then we must talk about intellectual basis. They must start to be taught from the get-go, from the basis how to think, because obviously they don't know how to understand.

A lot of people don't have any basis of logical thinking. There's a good source for logic on that whitelibrary.org website. I've got a whole collection of articles by this guy who's an argumentation theorist, textbooks and basic logic for people who might want to have a look at that.

In general, thinking is a method, and you have to learn to think correctly, and you have to train yourself to think correctly and to think logical. Most people think that thinking is an ability you got from God or from nature by being born. No, you have to train yourself to be rational, to be logic, and you have to train yourself how to think.

This was part of the training of aristocracy, for example, at the end, and for these people that were higher upbringing, so to say, and how to think correctly, how to analyze situations. I believe this was even part of the education in the military schools. Yeah, that's definitely a good education to have a military school background.

I know that Nietzsche went to a military school, and most of these elite philosophers did also. Nietzsche is a very important philosopher, and we must talk at some point about him. But also, I was thinking, we're talking about military schools, you know who will bring, I will bring Klausowitz.

I was thinking that Klausowitz, in one of his books, talked about logic and how the military commander must use the logic of the enemy against him, so he must understand the enemy moves and use the enemy moves against the enemy, basically. When you look at Napoleon, for example, what was his greatest strength? What was his mastery? Maybe his leadership was good and so on, but his mastery was the analyzing of the situation. He understood the situation, the topography, the battlefield, the right timing, so he was analyzing better than his enemy generals.

He was a trained mathematician too, I think. Today, for us especially, it's very important to have the right analysis of the situation, to be brutally honest to ourselves, not being a dreamer or whatever. You know what Bismarck said, politics is the art of the possible.

So, what is possible right now? What can we achieve? What can we do? What is realistic? What is the situation? This is a weakness not only on our side, but also on the other side. These leftist SJW ideas, they're also totally stupid and far-fetched from reality. Meanwhile, our enemies, they are making real politics, achieving the possible step by step.

Look at the virus situation right now. I'm not even saying that they created it or that they did on purpose, but they saw it, and they saw a great opportunity, and they used the opportunity to push their things. They were analyzing the situation correctly.

They were analyzing the state of mind of the white race correctly. They were analyzing the state of the economy correctly, and they're using it against us. I believe that the year 2020 looks too good, like numbers to be just a coincidence.

Also, a lot of this predictive programming was found before 2020. So, most likely, they are not leaving things on chance. Also, 2001 with the Twin Towers, it's very unlikely that this is just a random terrorist act.

Yeah, but also, I mean, they have their failures too. They have their defeats too. For example, Stalin lost the war.

They didn't manage to establish world communism. They didn't manage to conquer all of Europe. For a while, because they didn't want to do that at that time.

They wanted to go for the long game of taking over. That was just part of their dialectic, basically. I think that Stalin wanted to take it all.

He did, but he was just a pawn of the Jews of the elite Jews, right? So, that's just part of their dialectic. I mean, some of the players, they are ideologically committed. They actually believe they swallowed the Kool-Aid, the Jew-Aid.

So, they're true believers, but that doesn't mean that those at the highest levels are that. They're just mainly front men, all these Antifa people, and leftists, and so on. I totally agree.

I'm just saying that this is the same in technology or in engineering. When you have a very complicated system, the more failures you will have, and the more weak spots you will have. So, the more complicated it gets, the more problems will occur, and the more failures you have.

And they have that too. The concept of entropy. Exactly.

But they keep going, and in the general direction, it goes their way. And it doesn't matter to them if they achieve their goals in the year 2100 or in the year 2300. It doesn't matter for them.

They will achieve it. Well, I don't think they have that much time because of the astrological alignments. You're familiar, of course, probably with the Kali Yuga and all those sorts of conceptions of Aeonics, right? So, obviously, at this time, it's claimed in traditional, whatever, spiritual teachings that we're in the Kali Yuga, we're at the end times, you know, the cycle of time is at an end, the Wolf Age, the Iron Age.

So, now we're going into the next stage. So, I'm thinking that they basically have to try to beat the clock for that in order to be able to install whatever sort of Jewish utopia they're looking to install. So, what do you think in general? Are they late with their plans? Are they behind in time? Or are they advanced with their plans? I think that they're pretty much having to rush it at this point in time.

It doesn't really seem entirely like everything is going as smoothly as they thought it would. But maybe I'm wrong. I'm not too sure.

I think the same here. It just seems like they're panicking. Of course, that could always be the illusion itself, that they're just creating the illusion that they're, you know, the leftists, blah, blah, blah.

That way they can install their Zionist government by getting everybody to attack the left wing and serve them up as a sacrifice. But at the same time, you know, it does seem just given their movements and the extreme nature of what they're doing, it doesn't seem like they're 100% in control of everything. And it may very well be that they're controlled from behind the scenes by basically entities of whatever sort, so far as we know.

I think that maybe if we use the, if we play our cards right, maybe we will manage to beat them in Europe. At least this is, well, maybe I'm naive in my hope, but I think that one of the major enforcers of this political abomination, this liberal democracy, this disgusting shit, I would say, but it's shit, is the United States. And the United States will go through some kind of revolution, and I believe it will be a racial revolution at some point.

Now, I hope that the white people will take the upper hand, or at least they will make some kind of, they will separate themselves from the non-whites, and they will manage to, how to formulate politically correctly, to get rid of the, to be, let's say, semitic free in the United States. And this will give enough time in Europe to deal with our problems, with these anti-national governments. I think there's a general problem with conspiracies, especially with very big conspiracies, in that case, that at the beginning you can do it secretly, people are not getting aware of it, it's under the surface, but the more you advance, and the closer you get to your final goal, the more obvious the things get.

We're already seeing that, that all the commercials, the movies, everything is race

mixing, and so more and more goyim will awake, and we'll see it, because it gets more obvious. So, I think we are in a stage, I'm not sure if we are already in it, or if we are going to that, but we're going to the critical point of this whole conspiracy, and the question is how many of the white people will understand and see the obvious, or will not see it. So, the critical stage is yet to come, and maybe all the things we are seeing now are created to hide, to cover this critical stage of the whole thing.

I think that's the case, because there were a lot of people who were becoming more Jew-wise just before the corona was imposed on people. But there's also a lot of predictive programming from even the 1990s, or maybe even before that, in pop culture, which, you know, predictively programmed into the consciousness, the corona. So, it's questionable whether that's actually true, but... What is the most popular genre in horror movies in the last 100 years? I guess, yeah, 12 Monkeys.

The zombie movie, yeah? Zombie movie. It's a very, very interesting predictive programming, and zombies are basically golems, right? So, the funny thing about the zombie movies, in most of these movies, the zombies are not the most dangerous. The most dangerous are other humans who are not zombies, and you're fighting against them, because the zombie himself is relatively predictable, right? He's just stupid, or slow, and so on.

But you're fighting other groups of non-zombies, and they are the real dangerous ones. Right, that's interesting, just like in that video game Resident Evil, that was to take place in Raccoon City, and that apparently is a place called Springfield, Missouri. There are similar buildings in Springfield, Missouri, around that city, in the US, to Resident Evil.

And in Resident Evil, they have a virus that becomes broken out from Umbrella Corporation, and there's an Umbrella Corporation, I think it might be in China. So, that's just more predictive programming on their part. And they're going underground, and the population is turned to zombies.

And there are underground... And the hero is some transhumanistic femoid. Yes, femoid is a good term in that case. There was even hidden in the last movie, there was even a hidden message for Bulgaria.

25th frame, I believe, for a very small period of time, when the main villain in the movie was talking about destruction of the human population, things like that, they used, for a very short period of time, but you can stop and see it, a frame that was taken from a protest in Bulgaria, from a nationalist, so-called nationalist organization, kosher nationalists. And they were talking about this, so maybe there was something here, I don't know, maybe something. Any ideas about the meaning of umbrella? I'm not too sure what that is exactly.

But I know that the color schema is red and white, so that's some hermetic alchemical

color schema. White apparently refers to, I think, like the, what is it, the mineral kingdom, and of course, red is more like blood. I think it could be male and female, and this is the creation of something new, if it's male and female.

And also, you could be also, well, of course, we can go to the Templars, it was the crucial things like that, but umbrella is like covering something, like a hidden something, right? Or something that overarches other things, like subsidiary corporations or organizations. Providing shadow. Yeah, providing shadow.

And it does look a lot like the Knights Templar Maltese cross too. And also, I believe that I've watched the movie, the last episode at least, quite long ago, but I believe that there was, there were cloning, and one of the clonings were these fanatical Christian that wanted to, Christian, so to say, that wanted to destroy the whole population on earth. And the other was this business figure, and they all had biblical names, of course.

And they believe that they are the chosen race, basically. Isn't it like the main female hero existed several times, so it's kind of a reincarnation thing, and she dies and gets reborn? Yes, yes. So, this is another Gnostic team, by the way.

Like this family, by the way, I like this family. All I know about is the video games, which we had that William guy. But we're not making analysis of movies, but there's something, by the way, I'm watching this TV show that you recommended me, The Tribes of Europa.

Very interesting, very definitely our Semitic friends are sending messages. I can't say anything about it, because I haven't started watching it yet. It's, at least in Bulgaria, using a certain torrent sites, I managed to download it before the premiere in Netflix.

I have a girlfriend, so I have Netflix, so what to say? Oh my God, oh my God, oh my God. We need it, we need it. Oh my God.

But first of all, the good tribe is these Semitic-looking guys. They are, of course, some kind of ecological, peace-loving types. And the ravens, the crows, I must say, they're taking Berlin, and they're killing everyone, and they're using slaves, and they're some kind of racist, I suppose, I don't know.

So maybe they're the Nazis, the evil Nazis. Yeah, of course, because of the ravens, Odin's ravens. And of course, the Atlanteans, the Atlanteans, high-tech, and they gave this black cube to these eco-Semites.

Well, eco-Semites is the best term that I can think of, because they look like Semites, definitely. And yes, by the way, the lady that was fighting for the, I will not spoil the movie, of course, for you, but I believe one of the white ladies that were fighting for the evil Nazis is from Iranian descent. She looks quite white, by the way, Iranian-German descent, I suppose.

Isn't Iran the land of the Aryans? Yeah, yeah, yeah, yeah. Maybe there is some Jewish mindfuck there. But yeah, it's an interesting idea, but they're preparing something.

So basically, the plot is that in 2029, some big blackouts are coming, and this will destroy the whole civilization in Europe, and these Atlanteans are obviously the Anglo-Saxons, or at least the technologically advanced Anglo-American empire. So they're the Atlants, I think, and they're the bringer of this black cube or black stone of power, which is very typical. By the way, just saying, there was a thing called... Okay, okay.

So there was a thing called Fortress Europa, or Festung Europa, which is German for fortress. And this was one of my ideas. I mean, it's not obviously my own idea alone, but one of my ideas is that the European nations, or at least some influential nations, if we manage to reawaken the people for the national, racial, national socialist idea, this will help us to create this fortress, this defense against foreign invasion, of course.

And of course... That's what Norman Lowell wanted to create with his Imperium Europa. But the Imperium Europa is kind of... I don't know. It's... I don't know.

I'm not identitarian, let's put it that way. They're using the term, but my idea is for a racial aspect. Yeah, his might be just a sort of another nebulous nationalism without any racial component, just like Francis Parker Yawkey and his conceptions of, even though it's possibly compatible with race, it doesn't explicitly imply it or affirm it.

There must be racial elements, because otherwise we cannot protect the European people. We talked many times that the country that built, for example, Canada. Canada was not a bad country before the infiltration and invasion of non-whites and infiltration of the Jews.

The same thing I could say for Bulgaria before the Communists. There was a very natural process going and things like that. But overall, Germany, of course.

United States, you and I must agree with Evo about the Negroization. But... I think Siege of Man has a pretty good analysis of that of America. It sort of entailed the germs of its own destruction from its origin because of the ideology of individualism and so on.

That it necessarily implied its own degradation, even though there's somebody from America called James Maddow who wrote a fair amount of writings, but whose writings are largely not available. He founded the National Renaissance Party in America, and he claimed that America was foreign for the purpose of creating the New Atlantis, which he claimed is a combination of all the white races. But I'm pretty sure that the reality is that they created it, the New Atlantis, to be a race mixed, you know, slave caste of brown people and so on.

That's also spoken of, I think, in that Baldrindigar Tilak guy, the guy who wrote the Arctic Home and the Vedas. He spoke about how there would be like a new race that's not

recognizable in the States. And same with Blavatsky, the sixth sub-race, the fifth root race, and in her *The Secret Doctrine*, discusses how that is their intention.

And if you look at the Kalergi map that I sent you there, as we've discussed previously, that basically illustrates things quite clearly, that their intention is to put Canada into the British Bundesreich. This is a Kalergi map that he wrote in his *Practical Idealism*. And then America would be Pan-America, which would entail the whole of South America and North America.

Yeah, yeah. So basically, the Canada and, so you mean that at once could be Canada and England? Yeah, Canada, England. It shows it further on the map.

Australia, New Zealand, Canada, England. I think that's part of Indonesia or New Guinea or whatever in the South Pacific. Then they've got India and I think Pakistan and possibly might be Tibet in there.

And then they've got also Israel and or some, I think, yeah, Israel is part of Pan-Europa, apparently. That's what he shows there. But then a lot of the African colonies are also assimilated into that British Bundesreich, all the Southeast Africa.

Maybe they changed the plan a little bit or maybe, I don't know, maybe apples. They've always got their templates and they always modify them all the time. But it's very similar to what I, maybe I've showed you that the logistical path, this is according to the great analytics of today, that the logistical paths of Europe are passing through Africa.

And that's why I was, how to say, I just thought that Europe was so much of the independence and the possibility of real might and independence from Russia, from United States, because we lost the ability to have resources. But of course, you can argue that these imperial powers were created by the Jews and things like that. And to some extent, yes, but I don't think that they were created.

I think that the Jews used them to obtain enormous fortune. I think what they did with the disbanding of the African colonies and the so-called decolonization of the third world, that was part of the plan, you know, to try to create the Second World War and blame it on white people and then demoralize them and then use that as a justification to decolonize them after the whites had already built up the colonies so that the Jews could just siphon off the money and then they could try to transfer it over to China probably or something like that. But also it's possible that the Chinese could fail in Africa.

Yeah, like that *Empire of the Dust* documentary. Yeah, yeah, as you mentioned, because maybe China will collapse on themselves. They actually, they were never expansionistic to that degree.

They are always, the Chinese are always targeting neighboring countries. So basically to assimilate them and to conquer them and things like that. But this thing with obtaining

colonies on the other side of the world and it's, I don't know, I don't know.

It seems like pushed by the Jews. I think that the Jews are making a fundamental error in trying to rely upon China to do the dirty work like the white people, because obviously the natural tendency of the Chinese is just inertia. It's just to sit around and, you know, sit in their Chinese restaurants or convenience stores or laundromats or drug debt, whatever they do.

But they don't really have that, that crusading Faustian soul. They have a Magian soul that's sort of, you know, yeah, exactly. So for that reason, they're not going to be a success.

And the Jews, the fact that they screwed themselves over with the white people in large part now, you know, many are becoming more and more aware. And so for that reason, they're starting to panic. And I'm sure China inevitably will have to attack America in a preemptive strike in some form or other, more overtly, because their existence is dependent on trade with America.

Once that goes, then they won't have any alternative. They'll have no recourse but to fight. And then they'll just screw themselves over.

I believe that I spoke with you about my empirical, how to say, observation of the of the Chinese that for many years I was forced because there was no other source of food that I was working on to places or not enough time to cook for myself, unfortunately. So I was buying from this Chinese restaurant for more than, let's say, 13 years, 14 years, actually. The male Chinese were something like maybe 10, 15 Bulgarian words.

And the female Chinese, it or she, it's not exactly clear, she didn't even know as much as this. So absolutely impossible. They're living in Bulgaria for so many years, they just, because they're not interested, they're just, as you said, they're just sitting in their restaurant and that's it.

Yes, because they're basically a robot. Yeah, so. And so they're not going to have much success in taking over the world if that's their policy, even if they've got their people spread all over the world.

The only thing that they can do in other people's countries is probably to sabotage it by spreading bioweapons around or whatever their intention would be. And they'll just get rounded up and kicked out once enough damage occurs. They also could try to improve genetically their people because now they know that they're inferior.

And you can see that in their, how to say, they were always inferior, for example, in swimming because of their short size. And maybe because of the building of their skeletal structure. But they managed to take a gold medal in one of the previous Olympic Games because there was this very fast swimmer that is quite tall, quite fit, of

course, Chinese.

It seems kind of fishy. It seems kind of fishy. So maybe they're trying to check, to improve their genetics also.

This also could be a problem. They can always work in that direction, but how much success are they really going to have? Because they're trying to beat the best, so they're just going to fail anyway. Also, another thing is that all races, maybe with the exception of the Africans, but they're not really a competition for the intelligence, but all, let's say, intelligent people in the earth are trying to improve their genetics and to rise their IQ.

Right. And the white race is going in the opposite direction. We must destroy our genetics and destroy our IQ to be less healthier, to be stupid, to be equal, to be dysgenic, just to please the minority of mutants.

Right. Exactly. Yeah.

That can only go so far, because like it was predicted in that O.T. Gunnarsson Hear the Cradle Song story, even though it's just a story, I'm sure he was like some initiate, it discusses that, how things get down more and more and more and societal just basically spiral out of control because of the non-white immigration, the unprofessional nature of the non-white hiring, white people not having enough children because they don't want to live their lives in this horrible world and so forth. So they disconnect from it or they're too self-serving in their careerism and so on. So they don't want to invest their time and efforts in having children.

And from there, it's just basically a gradual downward spiral, accelerated spiral. Yes, this could be. But also, you see, we said that the Asiatics were these Magian people that I call them, of course, Oriental, Oriental psychology that is not active like the Aryan spirit, the male Aryan spirit that is explorer, conqueror, a philosopher and builder and destroyer at the same time.

They're very passive, but they're also very, very dangerous because they are multiplying a lot, obviously. Right. And of course, because of very methodical, they're very methodical, exactly like the these snakes, these lizards.

Yeah, their dragon strategy or worm strategy. Yeah. And the same thing could be said for the Jews.

I mean, they obviously had Oriental admixture, side by side by the Negro admixture or the Middle Eastern admixture as a whole had a Negroid component. Yeah, that's sort of like an injection of violence genes into your genetic stock. Yeah, yeah.

So this could say something about our enemies. Or actually, we must start to look at more and more in our enemies, why they're doing this stuff. What are the, how to say,

what is their main goal? What are their, how they're doing their machinations? What is their psychology? What could be their weaknesses, both spiritual and physical weaknesses and things like that? So this is a thing.

This thing, I believe that we must analyze these people because they're analyzing us. Yeah. And we just don't understand our enemies, including their history, including their whatever they have, like a culture.

It's not a real culture, but whatever they believe, let's say a religion. So we must look at all these things and we must formulate a strategy how to deal with them. I think that Julius Evola's short work, *The Elements of Racial Education*, where he discusses the whites, he doesn't really touch upon the non-whites so much in that racial education.

But we need to have some sort of more developed and elaborate racial education as it relates to the non-whites as a sort of general guide or template to how they operate and how they behave. And, you know, like you had said, their psychology. Their psychology must be researched and maybe we must talk in the future about that in a very deeply.

Now we are just bringing some things like an idea for the future podcast and what we are doing. But definitely, as I said in the talk before the podcast, I'm planning to make this conversation quite regular. Of course, you have time.

Yeah. I've got all sorts of ideas, all sorts of shows, topics that I've written out just yesterday, actually. And to make this conversation, basically, I want to look from the problems from all different perspectives, from material perspective, from spiritual perspective, from geopolitical, economical, from social perspective, from cultural perspective, how we must.

So basically, it is not just it's not enough just to revive our culture. We must make our culture attractive in the modern age. I think the alt-right did something along those lines, even though it's a little bit kosher and it's a little bit Christian.

But that whole general mentality or whatever form of culture needs to be represented. Basically, we must present the culture. You cannot go like Varg is trying to present the culture.

OK, but you cannot force the people in the 21st century if they have still a functioning civilization and this anti-natural culture. Of course, I admit that you cannot you cannot force people in 21st century to run naked in quotes for quotes and things like that. Right.

Exactly. That's just more of a mockery, in my opinion. That's just a mockery of white people that they're putting forth.

The Jews, you know, the sort of pagan blah, blah, go into the woods and live in bear skins and so forth. It's just more or less just a means of implying an insult on white people for

the most part. If you still have this and when you say pagan, it's also interesting because usually I have some critics about this explanation, but usually most of the scientists think that the term pagan comes from pagus, from the Latin term for peasant.

And this comes from the idea that the first population that were Christianized were the city populations. So the peasants were the last that were Christianized. And also it's a derogatory term for peasant.

Right. It means that you're backwoods, that you're sort of a boonie type. Yes.

But actually, I use the term pagan because there was a Bulgarian noble name called pagan and this has nothing to do with the Latin understanding of that. So I don't think that this is true. The same thing like I don't think that the term tsar came from Caesar.

And I believe that this is Roman propaganda in our history to force how they're so important and how everything comes from them and things like that. But anyway, I think that if you have these people, these very small groups living and trying to go back to the nature and to the old beliefs and old culture, this is all good. But you have a culture that is against them.

It's anti-white, anti-Aryan and will be dominated by Jewish spirit and by non-whites because they're multiplying in the cities in Western Europe. In Bulgaria, it's a little bit different. Maybe in Eastern Europe, it's a little bit different.

But the rising number of population in the cities by non-whites. Yeah, that's their intention is to take over the cities and they can take over the military. That's what they've been doing is basically their gradualistic strategy for power is to try to ingratiate themselves into the system, become the system itself through gradualistic means like their sons.

When they come in, they'll be, you know, a car repairman or whatever. And then their son will train and study all the time. And then they'll become, you know, an accountant.

And from there, then they can become administrators in a government office or so on and influence policy that way. That's basically their strategy. But this also reminds me something that Christopher told me that the non-whites in the case of Germany will be predominantly Turks.

They don't like to join military because too much discipline, I suppose. And the money may be not so great. And also in their minds, it's not prestigious, I suppose.

Many of them actually fled the Turkish military. The military service in Turkey is like two or three years. I can't remember correctly.

And they're fighting the Kurds, I suppose. Yeah. And many of the Turks in Germany, they

have double citizenship or they are Turkish citizens.

And so they have to serve in the Turkish military. And they actually pay themselves out of there. It costs them something like 10,000 euro to not to serve in the military.

So basically, they are some kind of cowards. They don't like to serve their own country, but they come to Germany. So they don't want to brag about their own country.

And I think that the military and the police is still for people who have, let's say, at least a mindset of order. Anti-chaos people go to the military or to the police. So it's not, you need some kind of, let's not nationalism, but minimalistic patriotism or something.

Some reason it's because it's not very well paid. That the social status isn't what it was in the past. At the past, the military had an enormously high social status in Germany.

So, yeah, you have to have some idealism to be part of the military or the police, even though it's a Zog military, you fight stupid wars, but still. Well, last time I was in a military recruit center in this country, in Canada, the only people that were there were Filipinos and some little Jew who was applying for some reserve position or something like that. There was only one guy who was white in the recruit center and the recruiters were all Jews too.

But that's in Western Europe and in the Anglo sphere, as you say. So maybe that's something. So they are basically hiring the low class, poor people.

Basically, for them, it's still an advancement or a career. For them, they're giving preference mainly to non-whites over white people. There was one case where this one white guy tried to apply for the military reserves.

He was like a former professor that got fired from his professor job because of making some statement about how multiculturalism doesn't work or something. And he tried to apply to the reserves and they sent him a formal letter saying that he was rejected because of his political opinions. I know many guys who did that in Germany.

They just said in the hearing, I'm a national socialist. I want to fight for the fatherland. Blah, blah, blah.

They said, whoa, whoa, whoa, whoa. We don't want that here. But maybe this is a good sign that the German military is not infiltrated by Turks and other non-whites.

There are Turks and there are women and there are even Negroes in there. But the absolute majority is white German. And some German Russians came from the east and stuff like that.

They're serving there too. In our case, the police is the Bulgarian force, I would say. But the police is totally under the thumb of the government, the regime.

The army is virtually non-existent. But they're making steps. Obviously, in Europe, the spirit is that they're slowly starting to invest more and more money in the military, which means something.

For example, they're buying these F-16s from the United States. They're investing money in the new model of AK-47, whatever this means. New body armor, repairing the old Soviet tanks, and even talking about buying new Leopards and things like that.

So I don't know. I don't know. I mean, something is coming, definitely.

What I noticed with the police force is that in the biggest cities in Germany, they are basically controlled by Arab clans, which is kind of an Arabian mafia structure. Huge families with 3,000 or 5,000 members. And these families, they send some of them in the police to infiltrate the police and get the information about their investigations and actions.

And they provide this information to their clans. There were even some cases in the mainstream media. Because it's a natural thing if you have a city like Berlin, who is like 50% non-German.

Enormous. And so how do you recruit young police officers? Of course, they're recruiting them from Turks and Arabs. This reminds me of the cases in England with the, what was the politically correct term? Child grooming gangs.

There was a case with the police officers with non-Anglo-Saxon sounding names like Rashid and Ismail that were raping kids. And we're talking about really kids, like 8, 10, 12 years old children. And they were covering their tribesmen in England and also raping kids themselves.

And that's all tied into their occultism, obviously. Yeah, part of their culture, part of their traditions. And of course, this is an act of their complexes, because they were conquered people.

At least 100 years ago, they were conquered people. Obviously, whatever their culture is totally inferior to the European culture. So they're spreading their... And of course, this is a way to spread their seed, of course, in a way, according to their customs.

That's just their natural biological imperative of, I guess, more or less any group, especially those that don't have any willpower or self-control, that is just to spread their own genes into the population of whatever other conquered people. It's pretty much the history of the whole world. So if you allow non-whites into your country, that's a necessary consequence, is that you're going to just open yourself up literally in the most graphic sense.

Do you remember that scene from the movie Fight Club, where the main character

basically smashes the face of this blonde guy? And this destroys him totally. And he said something, I just wanted to destroy something beautiful. So I think that is very similar, that if you are ugly, that you hate the beauty and you want to destroy it.

It's the same thing when they're tearing down these statues and so on. Of course, I mean, iconoclasm is a very old thing, but... Yeah, Nietzsche called that resentment morality. Just imagine you are some Negro in America and you're walking to Washington and you see statues of Abe Lincoln, George Washington, Jefferson, you're looking up to these enormously powerful, successful white men.

And this gives you a natural feeling of inferiority and hatred. And then you think about your ancestors in Africa. If you're honest, I mean, some believe they were kings and built the pyramids.

Well, let's say you're some kind of realistic Negro, then it's very natural to develop hatred against that. And of course, the Jews know that, they know psychology better than everyone. And they use it.

Well, their psychology is basically the psychology of the intervention. It's that subterranean lower consciousness. Something that's rooted in the feral drives.

Just the psychology of the last man, because you mentioned Nietzsche. Let's say, I mean, we are harvesting the fields, you know, we are digging mines and so on. So we understand nature very well and we exploit the nature and we transform the nature.

But they are harvesting humans and they are exploiting humans over thousands of years. And they live among us as a minority group for thousands of years. So they know us very well.

They know us very well. That's their business. Do you remember what was written in the New Testament? What was the translation in English? Hunters of soul, fishermen of soul, something like that.

Fishers of men. Fishers of men, thank you. I think it's supposed to be meant otherwise, that they're trying to, you know, spiritualize people.

Obviously, the real meaning is that they're just pimping people. They're basically a pimp. That's what a Jew is.

Nothing spiritual in that thing. Nothing spiritual. We see the fruits.

We see the fruits already. I mean, we talked many times ago. Of course, it's complicated.

We can say it's back and forward. A lot of people like this. For example, they think Christianity built Europe.

OK, where if this Christianity is so productive spiritually, where these beautiful cathedrals in, let's say, the Middle East, where for 400 years there were Christians there, where these cathedrals, where these beautiful fortresses and castles and art and literature, where is this? Oh, what the people like about Christianity is actually the pagan elements in Christianity. And that's more in the Catholic Church than Protestantism. That's why Evola is always talking about how, you know, Catholicism has to be somehow reformed, like that could be potentially a basis for, you know, salvaging Europe somehow, not Catholicism itself, but just the pagan elements in Catholicism, just like von Leibenfels and his, you know, Areosophical conceptions.

The whole term culture comes from cult, cultus. So the religious element is connected to the genetics, to the race of the people. So even if the Jews enforce some Middle Eastern religions on the Europeans, the old culture, the old cult, the race of the people will transform the religion.

For example, I'm making an assumption here. Let's say there's this Islamic migration continues and Europe will be Islamic continent and the Europeans will convert to Islam. Then Europe, not Saudi Arabia, so the center of Islam will switch from Saudi Arabia to Europe and the European people will transform Islam into something else.

Like the Christians transformed Judaism into Christianity. So it's not fair to say it's Judaism, it's not Judaism, it's a weird mixture of paganism, Judaism, Christian cults, European cults. So it's a weird mixture of everything.

And the Protestantism is actually a step back. To Judaism, yes. That's why you have America, because America is a Protestant country and that's Protestantism in the spirit of capitalism.

By the way, when you say Protestantism, who are the most aggressive foreign power that helped the genocide of the Germans in the 30 years of war? I'm not too sure. Yeah, you're talking about the Swedes? Yes, yes. Yeah, the Swedes of Adolphus.

Look what happened in Sweden now. Maybe that's their karma or something. They were quite, we'll put aside the atrocities and the religious fanaticism and their geopolitical games, because the Swedes, they also wanted to build empire.

They were quite brave people. This is what I can take from history. They were quite brave people.

And look what happened with them now. Because of Protestantism and from Protestantism, you're going to some kind of, I don't know, pluralism. And for pluralism, you're going to these merchant societies, you can make money and things like that, because this is the whole thing with certain codes of Protestantism, obviously.

And then you have this infiltration of the Jews again. And then you have this basically

liberal democracy that they cannot call the boys boys and the girls girls anymore. They're using the term it or whatever.

Maybe in their case, it's an evolutionary thing, too. I mean, they live on a quite isolated peninsula. They don't have enemies at the borders.

They didn't have a war for centuries. That's what Evola claims, is that it's a result of lack of challenge from without. He was talking about the generation of all those of the Nordic type in the synthesis of racial doctrine and various other works.

It makes sense. I mean, why were the Germanic tribes able to defeat the Romans? Because they were fighting each other all the time and they were well trained. So and even Hitler said that, I don't know where he said it, maybe in the table talks.

I can't remember the source, but he said that it would be good for Germany to have a war every 30 years. To harden the man. Yeah, of course, our enemies will use that and say, see, he wanted permanent war.

It wasn't meant like that. Well, I guess you could say that we'll have to face that right now, but say that for later for colonization, for a recolonization, reconquista. Yeah, we need resources.

This is this is one of the things that we must do. And I mean, on a personal level, but also on a national level, we need resources. Because I think it's a result of individualism itself.

That's the main problem right now is that the self-serving upper class whites, they don't want to leave out. They don't want to get a negative reputation by affirming nationalist values or they don't want to become involved with the nationalists because then they might have the crosshairs put on them or they won't there won't be any money in it for them or status or reputation. But eventually that will, you know, they'll recognize that there's no alternative at that point.

Some of the healthier elements amongst them will have to, you know, ante up and throw their hat in the ring on the side of their own people. Otherwise, they won't have a future at all. When you look into these great reset plans and so on, actually a huge part of it is some kind of renationalization and bringing back the factories and shit.

They're actually planning that. So we will see a small scale rebirth of nationalism, which started with Trump. We know who controls Trump and he was he was promoting that as well.

But we were looking at this already. These these forge of the Jews, China, they are not producing enough stuff and because of the crisis and the people and I because you know that I was born in the openly communist country and I remember what was the end of communism. And all these lines of people are lacking of certain things like toilet paper,

which is retarded if you think about it.

And all these uniting to fight the virus and all this bullshit. It sounds exactly like communism. And this is stupid.

And the prices of of transportation from China to Europe and to the United States are rising. So these products that are coming from China, they will become more and more. They will become cheaper.

Not cheaper, more expensive. Yeah. And another thing is that they're basically doing the same like the Soviet Union.

So they keep the living standard of their own population low and export all the in Soviet case, it was oil and resources exported to to build an enormous army. And the Chinese have the same problem. They can only export so much because they have hundreds of millions of people who are only eating a bowl of rice a day.

So now the living standard is rising. Their wages are going up. They're the middle class is growing in China.

And these people, they start to consume more on their for themselves. They want to buy more shit for themselves, so they cannot export that or they need to produce even more. For example, I saw a Chinese guy.

He had a shoe factory. And if I remember correctly, it was some enormous number. He had like four hundred thousand employees.

And so it was enormous. And he was making shoes in in China and, of course, for all kinds of brands from Nike to Adidas and, you know, enormous factory. And this guy was building a new factory in Somalia, the Chinese guy.

And he said that the Chinese earn, I don't know, two dollars fifty an hour at the Somalis. They got fifty cents an hour. So basically from extremely cheap slave labor to normally cheap slave labor.

Yeah, I remember. It's always goes that way, like a caste system with the Negroes are always at the bottom of everything. Yeah, yeah.

I remember that IKEA, you know, this furniture warehouse, they were producing in East Germany at the time. They were producing the GDR. Then they went to somewhere in Eastern Europe, maybe Romania or something.

I can't remember. And then from there they went to China. Then from there they went to Vietnam because Vietnam is cheaper than China.

So this is the way of globalism, right? You always go to the lowest wages and the

cheapest slaves. And the next cheap slaves to the Chinese are probably the Africans. Of course, their efficiency is so low that it makes it not cost effective.

And then they just screw themselves over that way. And then from there, you know, the standards are higher in China. So they have to pacify the population because there's going to be unrest because they're not going to work for as low a standard of living.

And then that will lead to revolution or whatever in there. Yeah, this could be could be explanation. But also, I must point out that the Chinese production is not known with the high quality, honestly.

Things are getting down to the lowest. It's basically like you're skinning some animal or something like that. You know, you're to the bone right at this point.

So you can't skin anymore. Yeah. And this is capitalism.

It's totally, I mean, it's not natural because it's based on permanent expansion and it's not based on any moral basis, a spiritual basis than to earn money. Totally Jewish system, of course. Communism is totally Jewish.

Both capitalism and communism are Jewish because they're based upon basically monopoly by Jews and enslavement of those who are not Jews. No matter what the system is, it's always the same sort of result with Jews ruling over everybody else. Yeah.

So it's what to add. I mean, it's another thing that we can think of. But local production is not bad as a set for Europeans.

We can do it. But as I said, we need a reconquista. And first we must, well, we have a lot of different theories, I mean, including a new ice age, climate change, whatever.

But we must reclean our own nations from the eternal enemies. We must remove the inner enemies, the spies, the agents, the degenerates, the Jews, the things like that. I say remove because EU and things like that, I mean, in a political way, to remove them from political system.

And then... Go ahead. Yeah, just saying two words. And then we must do, well, like Europeans did in the past, we must attack.

I mean, we need resources. All these trade routes. I mean, if we leave them to the Negroes, to some chaotic regimes, they will be under the influence of other power, either Russians or Chinese or the Americans or the Jews directly.

Who knows? So if we want to rule our own destiny, you need healthy people. A new spiritual-based system that will revitalize the people, because most of the people are totally pathetic and apathetic these days, and they are more like... Apathetic, yeah. Apathetic, thank you.

Than anything. And then we need to attack like the Spaniards did in North Africa and South America after the Reconquista, like French, like British even, like Germans. Everyone, after you're repulsing, you're defeating the enemies on your own ground, the people become stronger and you need to gain more territories and to obtain more resources.

I think inevitably what will happen is that there'll be, just like in the movie Rollerball with James Caan, they talk about there were like a bunch of corporate wars, and then from there the world became like a World Federation or something like that. But I think that that's sort of what's going to be happening with a lot of like private military contractor companies, that there's going to be, that that will be one way for power to be built, inevitably. Like Chris had said about the Turks, I think he said the Turks taking over the police in Germany, then it's going to be a lot of private military contractors, and the ones who have the most power in those will be the ones who will get the victory, and they'll basically take over whole towns and so on.

Sort of like what happened during the, whatever it's called, the restructuring of the South after the civil war there with the KKK. So some kind of reaction to this. Proaction too, in the sense of like military, private military contractors around the world getting, you know, getting money and territory and land and so forth.

And not even in an illegal way, but in an illegal way, hiring themselves out and so on. We may talk a lot about that, but I think that we must wrap up the conversation because we talk about what, two, more than two and a half hours. Any final words? I recommend that people read that Hear the Cradle song by O.T. Gunnarsson.

That's a good predictive programming book. Christopher? Um, what was the book I made as a gift to you two years ago? Might is Right. Might is Right, exactly.

Read that, read that. Good book. Good book, I will say.

That was written by Arthur Desmond of Australia. Are you sure about that? Yeah, there was a recent blog that Siegeman sent to me that referred to it, specific biographical information and so on. There's actually a larger edition, too, that was somehow suppressed.

I think it references Jews in it also. Really? Yeah, I'm pretty sure. I was at some point, I was thinking that it was written by Jack Bondon.

But well, can you give me a link? I'll be happy to read it. I'll see if I can find it. But, yeah.

So, thank you, gentlemen. And have a victory. Thanks for having me, Zykell.