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Welcome to the Brian Roos Show, it's August 31st, 2018. My guests are Paul Fromm, he's the director of the Canadian Association for Free Expression, also Loki Hilgard, he's from Ontario, now living in Medicine Hat, Alberta, he's got a degree in philosophy from the University of Alberta. So welcome gentlemen to the show.

Very good to be here, Brian, and once again, we're dealing with, unfortunately, a free speech case, free speech being a rather scarce commodity in Canada today. And our guest is the latest person charged under Canada's notorious hate law. So Loki, welcome, and can you give us a bit of background about yourself, first of all, and then how you ended up being charged under the hate law? Yes, I was born in Ontario, and I had a nice, quiet, peaceful life during the 80s, 90s, and whatever the case, until this country became more and more infested with foreign invaders from the third world.

And from there I went to school and took some schooling and so forth. I worked in security for a while, I had attempted a military career, which I didn't much care for, got out of that, went involved with my father in a business, which failed miserably because of his ineptitude, his baby boomer liberalism, he was a typical hippie type, I guess you could say. And then so from there, I basically ended up here at the tail end of that situation.

And I was just being concerned about the, you know, the circumstances of this world, trying to raise awareness with my own people to wake them up to, you know, their displacement in their own country by distributing leaflets and so forth. And apparently, I was a little bit over reckless, and ended up getting arrested for public incitement of hatred. And that was basically where we stand right now.

Yeah, now, without going too deeply into them, because they're before the courts, but could you give us an idea of what the leaflets were, or what exactly the police say they have a problem with? Well, the leaflets, basically, the leaflets I distributed were predominantly anti-immigration leaflets, one of them stating immigration equals white genocide, and Jewish supremacism, written on the same leaflet to try to tie together those notions that immigration is a tool used by Jewish supremacists to genocide white people and replace them in their own country. And basically, that was the main thing. I also stuck up a bunch of stickers around the town that related to these matters.

So pretty much the intention was just to try to implicate those who are the, in my mind, the cause of all the problems related to the displacement of white people in their country. Now, in these leaflets and stickers, did you offer evidence or was this simply you just made the statement that immigration, third world immigration, equals white genocide? Oh, and some of them, I did reference websites, statistically based websites that simply put forth statistical evidence of who controls what, such as a website called the Zog.info, which is basically just showing evidence of these particular people happen to be Jewish who run this and who run that, just a huge database of statistics. And then also I had referenced your website, Mr. From, CanadaFirst.net, I believe, on one of them, so without your approval as well, as I should note, because, you know, it's a website that I find to be useful and relevant to Canada.

So I decided to put that forth and most of it was more declarative utterances without any great proof, mainly just websites and QR codes to some of them, maybe. I see. And how long had you been doing this in town? I first learned about you, I'd say, oh, about a month ago.

And this was a heavy breathing article from the Medicine Hat newspaper stating that somebody was handing, was putting around leaflets that were critical of white genocide and tying it, some of that to, I think they said the 1% is 99% Jews. Was that something you put out? Well, I have gotten from the internet quite a lot of these sorts of meme images and so forth. And so I'm not too sure if I specifically did that one, but probably.

Okay. Well, anyway, at that point, there was some, the Appellate Police were investigating and searching. You know, what sort of police state has this country become, where police investigate political literature? I've been to police states and I know the characteristics.

In a police state, the police are not necessarily all that concerned about little things like robbery or theft of bicycles or things like that, but they are interested in your political views or any expressions of political views that might be seen to be in any way hostile to the regime in power. That's what a political police force does. And, you know, it really disgusts me.

It disgusted me to read that that was what was going on. And then, of course, my name was mentioned because you apparently had put down the website of the Canada First Immigration Reform Committee, although the newspaper never said that. They're very, very cagey to try to write things so that it's as big a smear as possible without giving the reader the opportunity to go and check it out and see.

And the newspaper made some sort of comment about I was Canada's leading white supremacist or something of that nature. But, you know, the funny thing, and then further on in the article, it said, well, it wasn't certain whether I had actually had anything to do with it because I thought, you're a moron, I'm a reporter, never called me, never emailed me, never phoned me. I'm not hiding under the Antarctic ice cap.

This is typical, absolutely yellow journalism. And so, I mean, I didn't care one way or another. As they're saying in Germany now, the Lugan press, the lying press will say what it's going to say and it's becoming more and more discredited, thank heavens.

But I thought, you know, you're by yourself in a small town or a small city, I guess, and the Lugan paper really, well, but at this point, you weren't named, but it's already making judgments. And that never provides the reader with the sort of information that might be necessary to make an informed judgment or opinion. Could I make a comment quickly about that? Sure.

About how I was basically portrayed in the media, especially in the paper locally here, with this sort of big smear of evil white supremacists trying to tie me in with firearms and so forth and putting this big image of firearms on the main paper. And that has caused quite a lot of negative response in the town and the people here always spying into my windows and some shouting at me white supremacist or skinhead or something like this. And I'm thinking that that is going to probably prevent me from obtaining employment in this area to a great extent, because of its defaming my reputation.

Yes. And believe me, that is part of what the media does. And that's why, you know, Trump is so right in saying again and again, you lie, you lie, you lie, you're on the world's biggest liars.

And they are. And it's not, it's not just outright lies. It's that they're basically a propaganda arm.

That article about, well, at this point, they hadn't, you had not been arrested, but there was no effort to balance it. For instance, they mentioned me. In fact, I was the only one mentioned by name.

They never called me, they never asked, for instance, well, would you call yourself a white supremacist? And I would not. Or how would you describe yourself? Or what do you stand for? Instead, it's written out of the highly biased references in Wikipedia. Oh, yes.

And the Southern Poverty Law Center, Southern Poverty Law Center, is a militant fundraising group that makes its money defaming nationalists, mostly white nationalists. And it does this by a steady diet of the Nazis are coming, the Nazis are coming. And they use this to scare their overwhelmingly Jewish donor base with the constant reiterations of the Nazis are coming and so on.

They are an absolutely unreliable source of information. So the local media, the local newspaper, basically was helping to set up whoever would eventually be, well, if you were arrested in the end of the year, it's a setup. I should also mention briefly that the B'nai B'rith, they're getting some echoes here, but the B'nai B'rith also had slandered me on their website by trying to imply that my name was just a pseudonym and that I was affiliated with some types of terroristic organizations or something, and that this is part of some larger agenda or something like this, which is blatant defamation, because they specifically referred to me by name and associated that with terrorism.

Yes, that seems to be the latest angle of the professional anti-racist and the Zionist lobby. If you're a strong opponent of the immigration replacement, and that's how we as the European founding set of people in Canada or the United States are being replaced by deliberate immigration policy, if you're an opponent of that, then you're equated with terrorism in many cases. None of the charges involving you had anything to do with violence against anybody.

I guess they would try to associate that with violence, but that's the lie, I guess, the false association. Yes, that seems to be the tactic that they want to use to defame. But most of all, they don't want this topic discussed.

That's why even that initial article gave very few details about what it was you said, but there was a lot of guilt by association. They bring me into it, but I had nothing to do with your literature distribution. They throw away around a lot of heavy-breathing words or weaponized words.

They don't try to provide a balanced understanding of your position. In fact, I believe, if I recall correctly, that B'nai B'rith said something to the effect that this was a conspiracy theory among white supremacists and racists. It's no conspiracy theory.

You read the writings of a man who basically invented the European Union, Richard Count Cunhof-Kalergi, makes it quite clear that he wants the replacement of the European peoples. He wants no more French or Italians or Germans or English or Portuguese. He wants a new European man who will be a mixed race person like himself and will be part Oriental and part black.

He wrote that in 1922. That could never come about without mass immigration. That is the target.

That's the political parties in Canada that have pushed it. It's not just a Justin Trudeau thing or a Pierre Trudeau thing. Going back to Lester Pearson, this has been the policy of the government of Canada.

They call it a conspiracy theory. It goes back a century to Cunhof-Kalergi, right? Then they just turn around and call it a conspiracy theory. They lie, they lie, they lie.

It's not a theory. It's not like we've decided there are little green men on the other side of the moon that nobody's ever seen. They were put there years ago by Queen Elizabeth I. Okay, that might be a conspiracy theory.

People have kept it quiet ever since. Yeah, there's no evidence of that whatsoever. Yes, I guess that might be a conspiracy theory if somebody were to concoct such a thing.

The population replacement is there in Statistics Canada. We can see the fairly rapid decline of our status in Canada and our counterparts in the United States. The overwhelming cause is massive third-world immigration.

If that's not the plan, then why not stop that third-world immigration? It seems like my leaflets are pretty much just a factual statement. I quoted another, I think it was immigrantwatch.org, immigrantwatchcanada.org, which is that statistical website which basically proves that these are statistically verifiable facts. If truth is an absolute defense, as it should be and was, I believe, in the common law of this nation, in a court of law, then I don't see how I could possibly be convicted of quote-unquote hate if what I'm saying is true and can be verified and proven to be true.

Yeah, I'm just wondering if the truth is a defense. Sometimes the truth is no defense. I'm concerned about Tlok'in.

Yes, in human rights cases in Canada, which involve free speech, it's being ruled as truth is not a defense because they have an elaborate explanation. They say, well, this is really remedial. You can't go to jail for that.

It's really the impact of your words that might make people think badly of some privileged minority, but that's not the ballpark we're playing in here. This is the criminal code, and Loki's quite right. There are defenses.

Sincerely held religious belief is one. Truth is another. Discussion of matters that are in the public interest is a third, and I don't think probably this broadcast is the place to go into anything in depth in terms of defense strategy, but Canadian Association of Free Expression is definitely helping Loki in this and their efforts to obtain a competent lawyer.

Now, I said a competent lawyer because there have been very few prosecutions under this law, and there have been very, very few lawyers who've ever taken one of these cases, and so I don't mean to suggest that a lawyer who practices real estate is not a good lawyer, but he may not have the skill set to help here, and this is going to be a big fight, and I think it's another black mark on the province of Alberta because in this case, the local police cannot lay the charge without the consent of the provincial attorney general because the law recognizes these are political persecutions. You can spout as much hatred as you like against Christians. You can display a disgusting work of art of Jesus Christ with his feet in a bucket of piss.

In fact, there is exactly such a thing called Piss Christ. You can defame the Catholic Church. You can say every Catholic priest is a child molester.

You can say the same horrible things about Americans, and so it's not hatred that's the problem or hateful expressions. It's criticizing privileged groups, and Loki criticized the most privileged group in Canada, and that's the Jews. Well, you really hit the nail on the head, Paul.

You can criticize Jesus Christ all you want, but if you say anything critical of the Jews, hate speech is speech that the Jews hate. That's right. When they say that's hate speech or that's hate, what they mean is they hate that expression.

It doesn't mean it's false, and I think there is a growing understanding throughout the Western world about this politics of replacement, and the polls are showing here in Canada that people are turning against the immigration policies of this country even more than they already have. The opposition has always been underplayed, but the recent polls show people quite negative about Trudeau welcoming the invaders pouring across the Quebec border, and people also are saying that this is a big issue for them, and that's a change because to get political change, an issue has to be what you might call a make-or-break issue, or it has to be strongly on people's minds. People may have opinions on many things, fisheries, health care, the state of the Arctic.

We have opinions on many things, but for many of us, those opinions are not make-or-break, but for many people now, this is what the most recent polling shows, immigration is up there as number one or number two. It's not been there for, well, in my political experience, which goes back 50 years, it's never been there like that, and I smell the definite stink of dirty diapers in Ottawa. Yes, particularly with the Liberals who are imposing this policy, but even among the Conservatives, because they obviously would like to capitalize on it, but their leadership just doesn't believe in maintaining Canada for the European founding settler people, or they're very scared, even if they do believe.

So what Loki has done by bringing up this issue is very, very inconvenient, and I think that's why a decision was made in Edmonton to persecute him, unprosecute him, both the same thing. I have asked him, and I don't think he's actually got it in black and white yet, did they actually get the Attorney General's consent, because if they did not, for some reason... I can go and check right now if you want, I have it in my bag, I think it's on a notice of recognizance. Okay, sure.

I can check it, it's no problem. It's on a search warrant, I think they do have a stamp, I think it's from the Attorney General of Alberta on it, but I could go and just shoot over here. I'm not too sure though, but we'll see.

Yeah, but if this was done legally, they would have to have the consent of the Alberta Attorney General, and I find it significant that the approval seemed to be given very, very quickly, because these leaflets were, or this firing was done just a little over a month ago, and usually by the time there's a police investigation and they have a suspect, usually the report goes up to the Attorney General and his office, or his or her office, studies it, and they have some meetings and then they make a decision. Certainly in Ontario, these proceedings take months, if not a year or more. So, I have the notice of recognizance only, but I do remember receiving a search warrant that they tossed in a corner here, and that it did have the Attorney General's stamp on it.

Well, that may not be the same thing, Loki, as the Attorney General's consent to the laying of charges, that's a search warrant. So, one of the things that you'll have to press for is evidence that the Attorney General did consent. Otherwise, the charges will have to be thrown out.

Well, that'd be nice. What appearances have you made so far? Well, I went to, on the 9th of this month, to do a, I guess, docket date thing, and then they bumped it up to, actually no, it was initially to go there just to get instructions from the duty counsel as to what to do. And then from there, it was bumped up to the 30th, just yesterday.

And then from there, since I didn't have a lawyer arranged as yet, then they bumped it up again to the 20th. The judge enabled me to extend the date. And from there, that's the docket date that will, where I'll have to plead.

And I will have to choose whether I'm going to have a trial with a jury and a judge, or just with a judge, and whether it's before the Court of Queen's bench, or just whatever the other court was, which I guess is a lower court or something of that sort. Well, something I think listeners ought to know is that these court proceedings take on a life of their own. You've been to court now twice, and virtually nothing's been accomplished.

You haven't even pleaded yet. And that's typical in the Canadian legal system is absolutely sclerotic. It's nothing at all like what you see on television, where things move along quickly.

You haven't even actually really made what one might call a first appearance where you plead. You've been in court twice, and maybe the next time there'll be the plea, but that's not the same thing as the trial. And there'll be more appearances.

My guess, and it's only a guess, is that there will not be a trial for at least a year. The justice system in this country is completely clogged up. But that unfortunately puts you under a bit of a shadow, because you would probably like to get to work.

Sure. And also, I have to go to the police station every Friday, so I can more or less never leave the town for more than six days at a time. Otherwise, they'll charge me $4,000 for the surety bond that they have against me.

So if I do not do that, then they'll probably arrest me and possibly even put me into jail or something. I'm not too sure what, as a breach of notice of recognizance? Oh, yes. I mean, they can do that.

And that's why you have to obey the conditions of your recognizance, or in layman's terms, bail. When they raided your place, could you describe that raid and describe what they took? Okay. Actually, I could just read off, I have on a piece of paper that I had written up all the things that they had taken from me.

When I came back first, I was arrested. And when I showed up after about being, I think held for 40 hours in their holding tank. So how long? 40 hours.

40. Okay. Yeah, from about 1130 on the first, when I was arrested, to whatever, I think like 730 on the second or something.

And so I came back and the place was a mess. Everything was scattered all over the place. There were bubble tea containers at the place I drank and McDonald's containers.

And so they had taken from me, I'll just read the list, about around $7,000 to $8,000 worth of stuff. There are two guns, two SKS guns, one pump-action 12-gauge Weatherby shotgun, one 10-22 Ruger, a crate of 1200 SKS corrosive ammo plus 100 additional rounds, approximately 80 rounds of shot shells, approximately 30 rounds of slug ammo for the shotgun, approximately 522 LR rounds, accessories, soft gun case, bandolier, cleaning case, scope, a tool pouch with drill bits and screw bits and various other tools in it, electronics. They took all my computers, one, two, three laptops, one tower computer.

They took six SD cards, 128 gigabyte micro SD cards. They took, what is it, three 64 gigabyte USB sticks. They took three 32 gigabyte USB sticks.

They took two 16 gigabytes USB sticks, two 8 gigabytes, two other steel 8 gigabyte USB sticks, four external hard drives of two terabytes each, also a scientific calculator, a printer scanner device, printer, in other words, cases. They took a hard shell aluminum briefcase, which contained a lot of my personal stuff like keys to padlocks and various things, scientific calculators, also a miniature computer, which I used as a phone. They took, what else, a bunch of suitcases.

They took a huge collection of laminated texts and materials that I laminated and printed off from the internet with homesteading information and various other information that I wanted to preserve, images and so forth. Oh, when you say homesteading information, that has nothing to do with political views about Jews or minorities? No. But some of it was the poor man's James Bond stuff, which I had gathered together, so I can see, you know, how they could twist it that way, but at the same time, you know, that's obviously their contention or implication.

What else was there? There was a black Smith & Wesson handcuffs, a couple machetes I had around here, an aluminum baseball bat, a falco, falcon stiletto horn knife from Italy, a couple Kershaw spring-loaded knives, kitchen knives. They wouldn't even allow me to have kitchen knives, so I had to cut vegetables with a razor blade for the last month because I had no money because they took all my money, which I'll get into as well. They took two large collapsible batons, one to two medium-sized collapsible batons, one hunting knife plus a case, one skinning knife and bone saw on a case, two Leatherman multitools, one larger titanium multitool, two compound bows, one black, one camouflage, one package of uncut arrow shafts, two packs of blade broadheads.

I think for some reason they took sleeping bags of mine. I don't know why they would have done that. They took some winter clothing of mine.

They took a keychain nuclear radiation detector. They took some NIOSH particulate filter masks, which I had. They took a pouch of my keys, mini wrench, mini light.

What is it? A wristwatch. For some reason they took my wristwatch, a metal case which contained a lot of my Christian identity, printed material of information that I wanted to read and had gathered a library of about a fairly sizable cubicle or a filing cabinet, one of those carrying ones that you can carry in your hands, which is about, I don't know, a thousand pages of it in there. They took approximately two thousand Canadian dollars in physical bills because I had had stamped on them Jewish white genocide, and so they claimed that that's for evidence, and so the judge wouldn't return any of them saying that they needed it all for evidence, even though they had photographic evidence of it.

I think when you go back to court you must again demand most of your stuff back. Now, I can understand that the guns might be considered evidence. I can understand that perhaps some of the material on the computers or the hard drives might be considered evidence, but a printer is an evidence of anything.

Your watch, what's that evidence of? This is basically harassing theft on the part of the police, and I think it requires a real effort to try to get them back. The police come in and, just like a bottom feeders or one of these drag nets, get everything, take everything, and I think some of the things they took up wouldn't even be covered by the evidence, sorry, by the search warrants, so you've definitely got to fight to get that back. It's a matter of justice.

I've come to believe, having seen a number of these cases, that this is not necessarily an abusive process, although it probably is, but an abuse by process. This entire process is a form of punishment. You haven't been tried yet.

Well, you haven't even pleaded yet. There's been nothing decided in a court of law, but they've stolen a lot of your goods, and I would say particularly the money. I'm in a state of hardship.

I have almost no means to live. Sorry, what did you stamp on the money? I stamped Jewish white genocide on the money. Okay, but it would be possible to read the denomination of the note, right? That's right.

They actually put in the paper here, local paper, a big blown-up photo of one of the bills, so you can just type my name in on a Google search, Loki Holgaard, H-U-L-G-A-A-R-D, and you can see those images from the media and how they're slandering me. Okay, so what they've done is, I can understand they may want several of these notes in different denominations, if they are different denominations, to prove that you did this, assuming that it's supposed to be a crime, but to keep all of your money, the stamp, just seems like punishment and overkill. They've got the sample.

If they have samples, that's all they need, one way or another, to make the case. Meanwhile, they've actually got your money. I should say, too, that without my mother having given me $400, and without my having stockpiled food, because I'm a prepper here, so I've got lots of sardine cans and various other beans and so forth, if I had not have stockpiled food, I could probably have been in a state of malnutrition or something at this time.

Right now, I have to rely on the food bank also for additional food to cover the cost, because the welfare people that I'm unfortunately having to go on income support here, they only gave me like $580 a month in order to actually exist, so that's cutting it pretty close to the bone for me. Oh, yeah, that's outrageous. I think it's important for us to inform more people, and we will be doing that through the ongoing reports from the Canadian Association for Free Expression.

We've got to inform more people, and hopefully when the court appearances get down to real business, by which I mean the actual trial, there'll be people there to come and see you and support you. So far, I've just been persecuted and vilified in this town, like a witch hunt for the most part, so everywhere I go, I see quite a lot of people having a negative reaction to me and making a lot of commentary and slanderous statements and so forth. Well, this is being egged on and promoted by the local paper through the highly biased coverage.

I have argued for years that most of our news outlets, radio, television and print, are not really news, but are soap opera. Should I relate my experiences with those? Yes. The newspaper? When I first came out there on the 9th, the first time I was in the court, one of the people there, they basically sort of trapped me.

As soon as I came out, they snapped a photo of me and so forth and just stood in front of me. And then they were trying to get me for an interview for a comment, and so I just said, oh, I don't hate anybody. And then right around there, they had a group of people that were dressed in like Spider-Man costumes and so forth, and screaming and shouting, we don't like racists and blah, blah, blah.

And they're basically creating a public scene. And I was just walking away because I had to go to the library to handle these legal affairs through email and stuff. And for that reason, basically, it just seemed to generate even more negative attention towards me.

And this last time that I had come out, just yesterday of the court, there was another one of these reporters who was there, and he had just sort of stood in front of me. And I looked at him and said, why are you, just as if to say, why are you standing in front of me? And so I just walked away from him. And then he would follow me for about half a block or a block until he finally tried to get my attention by saying my name.

And I just walked away. But he was just demonstrating sort of creepy behavior, like stalking me or something like that. Yeah, that's typical of the press.

You should find out the reporter's name. Another thing is, there is a something called the Press Council of Canada. And not all papers belong to it, but most do.

And it might be worthwhile if you've got something very specific about either of those articles that you complain to them. Excuse me, one of the things, this would be my complaint, not yours, but they involve my name in their initial article, making comments, and then actually in the end saying, well, they didn't really know if I had anything to do with it. But they never called me.

This is appalling journalism. There's been a lot of self-pity in the media in the United States and Canada recently. In fact, in my local paper in Hamilton, there was a write-up by some columnist saying that unfortunately, people don't appreciate the hard work that the press does and that an independent press and journalism is important for a free society.

I would agree. If they were independent and if they were proper journalists. But much of what we're fed is just outright propaganda.

It's not news. In fact, it's highly misleading. I come back to the initial article.

They said that you listed several extreme writer, I think that was their term, websites. Well, that's a judgment. Why not give those websites so an interested reader could go and check them out and say, oh yeah, that's very extreme, I don't agree with that.

They said, well, that makes some sense. And that's exactly why they just want to slap a label on, because they're afraid that people who check things out will come to agree or many will come to agree what the white nationalists are saying makes a lot of sense. I also think of Arthur Topham's situation.

He's in a small town in British Columbia, you're in a small town in Alberta. So it seems maybe the international Jews have been picking on you. They're trying to pick on isolated people, like they did with Arthur Topham.

Paul and I have done a lot of videos about Arthur Topham's problems. Yeah, yes, they are bullies and easier to pick, if they think you're isolated, pick you up. Now, they bit off more than they could chew with Arthur, because Arthur had been in that small town of Quesnel, British Columbia, for a long time.

And he retained and retains a lot of local supporters who know him very well and have come to like him. And at his trial, not only did he have supporters from outside like myself and others, but he had local people who came out to the trial. Unfortunately, I don't think Loki has been in medicine long enough to build up much of a... I believe a year.

A year, yeah. But I'm sure that is a factor. They don't want anything like a fair fight.

Yeah, it seems really unfair picking on you like this, isolating you. They're really trying to isolate you, demonize you. It's a systematic way they go to discredit people and attack them.

I could mention the chronology of events that I had recorded and put into a document. After my arrest, just all the crazy events that occurred, how they were basically deliberately persecuting me in many ways, sort of subtly, but I'm not too sure if I should get into the details of the particulars of that at this time. But I have recorded all these incidents, and I'm keeping a journal, a log of information of what's going on, any sort of key instance.

Even one time I had noticed outside of my window in the middle of the night, there was a sort of nondescript unmarked van parked there, a white van. And then when I looked out the window, it just drove away. And this is about two o'clock in the morning.

Well, I think you're very wise to keep a log and make certain that in that log you put as much detail as possible, you know, the when, the what time, and whatever details there are. And that really is important. Because I don't know what your memory is like, but when a lot of things are happening, after a while, you sometimes can't remember, was that on Thursday or whatever.

So yeah, keeping a detailed log is good, because you'll undoubtedly, as time as things move ahead, want to refer to it. Yeah, that's what I did as well on my website, brianrud.ca. I've got a heading called getting fired. And I recall like, the details in 2015, B'nai B'rith deliberately got me fired from teaching jobs and they admit it.

So I record all of that on there. So I'm glad to have that as a record. I should mention that B'nai B'rith also on their website slandered me, I think I did mention that already, that their defamation was quite extreme.

They were trying to link me to some sort of nebulous group of haters, like some sort of agenda or network. And that this is just like some sort of game on my part, like I'm a terrorist who's affiliated with some sort of shadowy group, or something to commit violence. And that they actually explicitly mentioned that I was intending to commit violence or potentially, or something of that kind.

Yeah, that's typical. They like to scare people with, you know, immediately going from controversial opinions to violence, because violence does scare Canadians. And, you know, I'm not saying that's wrong, but the B'nai B'rith are skilled propagandists.

They've been at this for a long time. Since Neil Frank? Yeah, it was on 1913. I think B'nai B'rith began in like 1843 in the United States as the oldest Jewish service organization in the world.

So I guess that was the Neil Frank case. Yeah, the Neil Frank case, I think it was 1913. Yeah, I believe that's when they started in the United States.

But here in Canada, they're one of the major anti-free speech organizations, along with the Center for Israel and Jewish Affairs, and the friends of the Simon Wiesenthal Center. They're the three major Jewish lobby groups, and they're of course, fanatically anti-free speech. Well, not for them, but they are the reason, well, the predecessor of the Center for Israel and Jewish Affairs, the Canadian Jewish Congress, was the group largely responsible for educating for the very law that you're charged under, the hate law.

Essentially, though they would phrase it differently, hate laws exist to make it difficult to criticize privileged minorities and to raise, in a meaningful way, important issues. And like I said, you did with the ongoing efforts to genocide us, or that is too strong to replace us, which amounts to the same thing. That's why I felt sort of a moral obligation at this time to do this, even though I could have been doing anything else if I really only cared about myself.

I just feel a sense of obligation to actually do what I can to preserve my own identity in this world and not allow evil beings to just destroy it because of their own hatred for it. Yeah, it's good you're doing that. Like, Paul and I feel the same way.

We're not doing this for ourselves. We care about our country, we care about the whole world, and not just the right race for the whole world. So it's commendable what you're doing, Loki.

So when I found out about you, I wanted to get you on the show, and you're a Canadian. We've got to take care of our own Canadians being persecuted as well. Absolutely.

And I know we will be doing more updates as this case develops, but we'll be back and doing this again. And I want to thank Brian for having us both on because this is a very important issue. Yeah, and I want to follow up with you, Loki.

We can have you back anytime as things develop. Yeah, thanks for being on the show. All right.

Okay. See you guys. Okay.