

evola cariou kaminski

This lecture is dedicated to the American citizen. The American citizen is a person who has a great deal of knowledge of the world and of humanity. There is no one person in the world who is not a citizen of the American people, a citizen of the United States, a citizen of the United States, and a citizen of the But all of us are citizens of the world.

We are all citizens of the world. So I invite you to join me in welcoming this Good afternoon, everybody. This is Kominsky Goes Ballistic, Behind Enemy Lines on speakfreeradio.com. We're coming to you on tape today because we had to tape the show earlier in the day due to the work constraints of our guests, but that will eliminate all the call-ins we might have had, which we always look forward to.

This is so interesting that I think you're going to forgive us. We started out with a title that one of my guests have provided. I don't know if we'll live up to it, but that's our aim.

It's called The Ultimate Cause of World Unrest. Let me tell you about the two guests we have today. Loki Hulgaard, of course, is the young polymath who's been on this show at least four times before.

The last couple of shows, I've been trying to get at earlier philosophers who perhaps can explain what's happening today in Europe with the phony wars and the manipulation of the population. I asked Loki about Julius Evola, and he came up with Bruno Cariou, and he is a translator of Julius Evola's works about race. Before we go too far into this, I have to explain to you that when Bruno starts talking about Evola, he's going to use a word that you probably never heard before, and it's going to turn out to be a very important word that, once you understand it, you're going to recognize for what it is.

That word is gynocratization, and it is about the female question and about how society, especially white societies, have been affected by this. That's one of the major things he's going to talk about. First of all, Loki, who you've probably heard on past shows if you listen to them, is a Canadian under siege, a targeted individual from birth with no guidance except Aryan literature, and that he has mastered to the max.

He is averse to the culture of post-modernity, and he's currently being prosecuted for hate speech in Canada, and generally speaking, he is opposed to life-polluting technology. Bruno Cario is a translator of Julius Evola's works of race, an expert in the female question about white society. His latest work in English is Synthesis of the Doctrine of Race, and what I wanted to do with this show was to discuss a phrase, revolt against the Jewish matrix, but I don't think that's the way the show was going to turn out, because Bruno wants to focus on his work directly related to the race issue, the gynocratization of white societies.

Let me define that just a little bit more. When you think about transgenderism, when you

think about this worldwide effort to try to turn women into men and to turn men into women, you see that this is the way the world has been changed, and it hasn't been changed for the better, and the third thing he wants to talk about is the issue of Islam. I'm going to start this with a description of who Julius Evola was, and it was written by Bruno, and it is really a fantastic biography, and try to listen to it carefully, because as this discussion goes along, we're going to be able to perceive how a lot of these thoughts affect directly what's happening today, and here's how it goes.

Evola was born at the end of the 19th century into a Sicilian family which, not surprisingly, was deeply Catholic. His first readings were Nietzsche, Weininger, Weininger was the famous Jewish author, sex and character, an Italian philosopher named Michael Stater, who committed suicide about the same age as Weininger did, and finally, the German libertarian philosopher, Stirner. The young Evola already felt a Kistria spirit, did I pronounce that right, Bruno? You did.

Kistria spirit, in which he had the opportunity to demonstrate by serving in the Italian army during the First World War. He also felt inclined toward transcendence, an impulse that he first expressed through poetry and painting, poetry and painting, that the National Socialists would have described as Entarte, because it was Dadaism. Most people don't understand what those two terms were, we'll come back to that.

Evola, who was one of the main figures of the movement, knew personally Tristan Zara, the founder of this artistic movement, and in the early 1920s, he was thinking of committing suicide. He was saved by reading a Buddhist text, which led him to become interested first in philosophy and then in esotericism. The absolute individual is the editorial, quote, that's the title of a work, the absolute individual is the editorial outcome of his philosophical, I'm having trouble reading this text, reflection, which was a concomitant with a critique of idealism.

Let me go back to his name. Tristan Zara founded the Revue Er in 1926, followed a few years later by Krur, no, it was Evola who founded it actually, in both cases. It was a presentation of esoteric subjects and short essays published under various pseudonyms.

It was also during, I need to make this type bigger. He founded the Revue Er in 1926, followed a few years later by Krur, in both cases. It was a presentation of esoteric subjects and short essays published under various pseudonyms.

It was also during this period that he came into contact with the work of René Guénon, who a lot of people have heard of, who was about to publish his famous book, The Crisis of the Modern World, the title of which was perhaps not without influence on that book that remains his best known today, published in 1934. I think I skipped a sentence here. Revolt Against the Modern World.

In the meantime, he, this would be Zara, that's Evola, published Pagan Imperialism at

the time of the negotiations between Mussolini and the Vatican, which led to the signing of the latter in accords at the end of 1928. The book caused a scandal, both in fascist and clerical circles, because it advocated the subjugation of the church by fascism. After some time in the wilderness, he published, this is Evola again, Evola, he published *Masks and Faces of Contemporary Spiritualism*, a critical assessment of the latter, ranging from anthroposophy to various clones of theosophy.

It was from this period, more precisely towards the end of the 1930s, that he made his first foray abroad, namely to Austria and perhaps even to Germany, with the aim of establishing contacts with Germanic circles close to the conservative revolution. At their invitation, he gave several series of lectures in Germany during the 1930s. At one of them, he had the opportunity to meet Himmler, a supporter of National Socialism.

Evola worked in vain to bring it closer to fascism, but he never ceased to criticize it, mainly because, according to him, National Socialist racism was merely biological. I'm not entirely sure what that means. After publishing *The Myth of Blood*, a commissioned work in 1936, he set about developing his own racial doctrine, set out in *Elements of Racial Education and Synthesis of the Doctrine of Race*, which he conceived according to the traditional view of the three parts of the human being, body, soul, and spirit.

He examined the Jewish question in *Three Aspects of the Jewish Problem*, 1937, and in 50 or so articles. In 1936, he published a newspaper article entitled, *Viviano e una societa gynacrativa*, and do we live in a gynocratic society, that would be in English. In 1943, while the *Doctrine of Awakening*, a study on early Buddhism, had just been published, it was the first of his books to be published in English eight years later, he was a part of the Italian delegation that welcomed Mussolini, who had just been freed by Skorzeny, to the Wolfshans, Hitler's headquarters in East Prussia.

A year later, called to Vienna by the SD, who's the SD? Sicherheitsdienst. The German Secret Service. Ah, I see, okay, Vienna by the German Secret Service to examine Masonic documents seized from lodges by the Germans, he was seriously injured during a bombing raid.

He was left paralyzed in his lower limbs. Was it because of the consequences of the bomb shrapnel that had injured him, or because he had been butchered by doctors in charge of his case? Back in Italy under a false identity, he contacted Ernst Jünger to ask for permission to translate one of his books into Italian. He did not get an answer.

He then began to work on three of his major books, *Metaphysics of Sex*, *Riding the Tiger*, and *Men in Ruins*. Until the end of his life, when fascism had risen at least halfway from the ashes in the form of the Italian social movement, he was busy giving guidelines to the small group of rightists who met quite frequently at his mother's flat in Rome. Evola died in 1974, five years after *Inner Traditions* published *Revolt Against the Modern World*, and at his request was cremated.

His ashes were deposited in a glacier in the Italian Alps by a handful of his closest friends who, like him, were mountain climbers. They founded the Centro Studi Evoliani shortly afterwards. Giorgio Freyda, a terrorist-turned-publisher, began to publish those of his writings that he had always refused to republish, those on race.

Evola's work, whom Alain de Benoist, a figure of the French New Right, had helped to make known in France, began to be translated into French while in the Anglo-Saxon world Inner Traditions continued to make his work known. To drip-feed it, by the time I encountered his work in the early 90s, all of his books had been translated into French except his books on race. In 2000, I contacted a Parisian publisher who had just published *Le Mythe du Sang*, *The Myth of the Blood*, to propose a translation of a synthesis of the doctrine of race.

It was published with a rather conventional, I must say, preface by me in 2002, the same year that I decided to make his doctrine of race known in the English-speaking world by publishing three aspects of the Jewish problem. It was published the same year, probably printed judging by the final result, in the cellar of the American with whom I had made the mistake of associating. It was followed by *The Elements of Racial Education* in 2004, *Heaven Imperialism*, printed by a printer who probably used kosher glue.

I wanted you to understand, you have to explain that later. Yeah, yeah, I will. In 2007, when Evola was beginning to interest a certain academic fringe, to date, all the 300 copies of *Heaven Imperialism* printed, or at least all of the 220 or so that could be decently shipped, have been ordered, all but one.

By far, by far, this is the most widely read of the four books by Evola that I have published in English. As for the second edition of *Three Aspects*, republished in 2016 in a critical edition by Actos, which had commissioned me to co-translate *Metaphysica de la Guerra* in 2009. To reassure listeners, the English text of all my publications has been proofread by a native speaker familiar with Evola's work.

So, Actos had published at that time, or was about to publish, the entirety of Evola's books, not yet published in English. To date, six copies of the second edition of *Three Aspects of the Jewish Problem* have been shipped. As to *Metaphysics of War*, it is a collection of essays in which the Islamic notion of inner war and outer war is discussed relatively often.

The very relative interest in Evola's racial and political doctrine on the fringes of the academia had faded in the late 2010s. When the Italian author suddenly made headlines in the biggest American rags, from the *New York Times* to the *Washington Post*, after an adviser to the US president dead in office sparked a scandal by referring to him during a speech delivered, you won't believe it, at the weekend itself, a reference which apparently earned him to be sacked. Don't show off your culture, even when it's superficial.

Five years later, Evola continues to be mentioned more or less regularly in one or other of these rags. As late as May 12th, his name came up again in an article titled The Far-Right Mystical Writer Who Helped Shape Putin's View of Russia. That mystical writer, once translated, had an imperialism in Russia, Russian.

I will soon publish the French translation of the German version of this book, *Heidnischer Imperialismus*, *Heidner's Imperialism*, and that will be the last book by Evola not to have been translated into a foreign language. Amazing, that's an amazing description of Evola. I have simultaneous questions for both of you.

I'll start with Loki. Loki, when I asked for you, for somebody who could explain the works of Evola, what made you pick Bruno? Well, I think his work, his translations into English of Evola's most significant and very relevant works for this present time period is essential to be gotten out to people. He had touched upon the veritable paucity of interest in terms of the actual most significant and perhaps dangerous works of Evola to the establishment, that being the racial works, synthesis of racial doctrine, the elements of racial education, and the other regarding the hidden forces.

Those works are the most significant and also the heathen imperialism, which the Vatican apparently had a very great antipathy toward. And since it was in Rome under Mussolini, that was something that they most certainly did not want to get out. Isn't that correct, Bruno? It is.

I just want to add what I think is a very important point to be made, because it is said quite rightly that Evola disowned that work of his. And that's as I said, that's right. But that would tend to make someone who is not familiar with it think that, well, this is a work that has aged and is no longer relevant.

Well, as I said, I will be publishing the French translation of the German version of this book, *Initial Imperialism*, and I've been working, I've been proofreading it for the past six months. And I can say that it's still very topical in a sense that the church, contrary to what most people believe, hasn't lost its grip on what's left of our world. It hasn't.

The proof is that most presidents, when elected, who do they go to visit first? The Pope. Right? They haven't. And the criticism made by Evola of the church, of the role, essential role that the church has played in the in fostering the decadence of the of the West is still most relevant.

He disowned it just because, for example, I'm saying this for those who are familiar with it, because there are some awful statements, like, for example, he approves of Machiavelli. I'm sorry, approves of what? Machiavelli. Ah, yes.

The Italian, the prince. Yeah, yeah. And of course, Evola would completely distance himself from someone like Machiavelli a few years later.

But for details like this one, he disowned it. But frankly, it is still still very, very relevant. Let me just say this.

You're listening to Comiskey Goes Ballistic on SpeakFreeRadio.com. We're having a discussion about the works of Julius Evola by a person who knows as much about any of them as knows as much about Evola as anybody, Bruno Cariou. And he is being accompanied by Loki Holgaard, who introduced me to Bruno. And we're going to try to get at the meaning of Evola's work with the situation that exists today as regards the history of World War Two, National Socialism and the situation in Germany today.

So, Bruno, you had an idea of discussing three basic topics. Perhaps you would like to begin at the beginning. Well, let's say that the topic of race may be dealt with first.

OK. Do you agree? Yes. You said you weren't quite sure what biological racism means.

No, explain it to me. Well, Evola developed a doctrine of race which is consistent with the traditional, with a capital T, a view of the human being made of three parts, the body, the soul and the spirit, as opposed to the Cartesian materialistic view of man, according to which man is body and, well, mind, if you want, or spirit. Both terms are equivalent in their terminology.

Ghost in the machine fallacy. Yes. So there is a first level, the biological race, that is the, well, our colour, skin colour, hair colour, our bodies.

And then there's a second level, the soul, and the third level is the spirit. The spirit is distinguished from the soul by Evola in a sense that the spirit is something that is immutable. In principle, immutable, while the soul is being between the body and the spirit, a sort of media and is subject to mutation, to change.

In fact, the soul is feminine, while the spirit is masculine. That's why the soul is always represented by the moon and the spirit is by the sun. Exactly.

Yeah. So there have been quite a lot of articles published in the Anglo-Saxon world, especially by counter-currents. Have you heard of them? Yes, it's a great website.

Yes, which are interesting, but for the most of them, they somehow distort Evola's views in a sense that his doctrine is really about three aspects of race. And most of these reviews try to interpret his doctrine of his as, well, being a spiritual racism, spiritual racism. And that's very similar to Catholicism in a way.

Yes, and to Yogi as well. But it's only, well, it suffices to read, to actually read Evola's synthesis of the doctrine of race, for example, to realise that this is not the fact. To him, the three components of the human being are important.

Well, of course, the body being subordinated to the soul and the soul to the spirit. But it

is a well, it takes all of them into account and the race of the body is important. It is important.

So it should be stressed here. Read, read synthesis of the doctrine of race and you will realise that very, very quickly. He was not a spiritual racist at all.

Can you, can you elaborate on what the actual importance is? Could you please elaborate yourself? I'm not sure I could. Elaborate on the importance of. Of the importance on the difference between soul and spirit on the concept of race.

Yes, well, the spirit in principle is an element. And in the human being that doesn't change, that is immutable, so it's not subject to change and in principle to decadence. We may come to that issue later.

Is that what is that what René Ganon refers to as like the nucleus of one's being? Yes, it is. And various philosophers as the sign, Heidegger, call it the sign and so on. So but why the the the soul is feminine in a sense that it constantly changes.

You receive from the outer world various stimuli, stimuli and the soul reacts to these stimuli is imprinted, is sort of imprinted by them. So it's a an element which is a state, I should say, state which is constantly in the state of of change, you can't you cannot rely on the soul. So in his doctrine of race, the although the body, the race of the body and the race of the soul have their own importance, the main thing is the race of the spirit.

Only by working on strengthening the race of the spirit can man realize himself, accomplish himself. And on the political and civilizational plane, only the spirit can save us from a decay. It's the ultimate point of reference.

I'm getting the impression and perhaps I'm too far ahead, but all of this is a preface to the word you use called gynocritization of white societies. Yes, in a sense that we can consider that in our society, it's the soul that has taken over and the spirit has, well, almost vanished. Let me see if I get this straight.

Spirit is basically something that everyone has, no matter what their race is. And a soul is something that is affected by what you learn. Is that correct? Very good point.

Yes, very good point. Yeah. Are you sure it's the case that everyone has a spirit? Because there's questions from people like Christian Identity who would claim that only white people have a spirit.

But you see, how can we know? Well, true. You can only know it through the spirit. Yeah.

And the actions of the people. So you can infer the inner from the outer. Well, I would think everybody has spirit.

Yeah, well, but the word itself is quite lobile. I mean, it's not very univocal. Because our

languages, our modern languages, equate mind and spirit.

For example, in French, far more than in English, we term both by the word esprit. So there's a lot of confusion behind this issue, a lot of confusion. And I will not enter.

I don't want to enter in the examination of this issue, because it's far too complex. And frankly, as Evola himself made it clear in the Doctrine of Awakening, these issues are part of, well, speculations. I think it can be known if you're sufficiently developed spiritually, that you can know those who do not have a higher consciousness, because you're looking upon them from above.

I continue to be fascinated by the word gynocritization. And with you adding that the soul is dependent on what you learn, all of this bears directly on this epidemic that is occurring in the world today of trying to turn women into men and men into women. Well, and women trying to turn men into women.

I'm familiar with that, yes. They want everybody into a Raggedy Andy doll that they can play with. You are, John, but there are very few people who are aware of that, very few men.

Somebody had to tell it to me, and then it became obvious. Somebody told you. Somebody had to remind me that it's women who leave all the relationships today, not the men.

It's the women who bring up men from primary schools. Yeah, yeah, that's right. As simple as that.

Yeah, well, as simple as that. Let's say that we should start our inquiry from that point, maybe. Maybe.

But until then, is there anything, is there anything else you would like to know about? You would like me to give some precision about? Yes, several things. The women leaders more, more disposed to adopting communist systems, which leads to a top down control by the bankers. Oh, that's another excellent point.

I mean, the development of capitalism linked to, I mean, to the emancipation of women. Yeah. And the other way around.

The oppression of men, the imprisonment of men. Yes. I want to make a point here, which is very important.

During this show, we will be talking about several, in quotation marks, minorities, people, women, etc. Let's be clear. I'm not generalizing.

I mean, there are exceptions to rules. Exception to the rule, especially among women and even colored people. So if there are women who are listening to this show, please do

not assume that I'm making generalization.

I'm just saying that there are very, very, very few women that are not against men and are not trying to to debase them, to effeminize them, feminize them and debase them. Yes. From primary schools.

But it's still a general, it's still a general principle of their nature. It's just not a universal, maybe, is what you're trying to say. Yes.

Well, let's say that there are, there is an elite of women who know exactly what they're doing. And let's say that under them, their colleagues, their sisters do it just naturally. Right.

Sort of the nature of women to have a collectivistic hive mind. Yes. And I'm not here trying to be, well, to say, to make an excuse.

I mean, men should have reacted against this feminism in the most, in the largest sense of the word. So I'm not, I'm not trying to make excuses, men should have reacted, they haven't. But only very few men can, those who have managed to go through this education by women without being feminized.

And there are not a lot of them. And this brings us back to Evola's view, according to which things, in fact, cannot be acquired. You have a nature and that nature, deep down, cannot be changed.

That's like the Socratic notion that virtue can't be taught. Yes. Although I wouldn't mind not dwelling on Socrates.

Yeah. But. So I'm losing my, I'm losing track.

Well, the larger question I had is what is the, I was thinking about national socialism in Hitler's time, which was definitely a masculine oriented philosophy and practice. Whereas today, there is a much softer ethic amongst all countries that seems to have been affected by women and leading toward communism. Is that correct, you think? Yes.

Well, I won't argue about that, of course. The national socialist leadership was essentially about masculine power. Yeah.

Having said that, as we say in French, the devil often hide in details. Right. You know that during the National Socialist, well, during the war, the leaders of the movement let their women buy clothes from Jewish merchants, well, designers.

Yeah. In New York. Right.

So they got, they became decadent in that respect, violated their principle of national socialism or ethnocentrism. Yeah, they shouldn't have allowed that, although it must be

stressed that the National Socialist women were not, I mean, in any way, the modern current German women. Take Goebbels' wife, for example.

Well. There's nothing you can say about about her, really. In terms of criticism, because she basically sacrificed herself for her husband, like the ancient Vedic tradition of Sukhi, I guess you could call it.

Indeed. And if what he said is true, well, she reached the pinnacle of womanhood when she said to her children before giving them, well, death, to live in a world without national socialism is pointless. Her words were prophetic.

Yes. She had fully understood that it was pointless. But having said that, we are all born, we were all born after our countries became occupied by the forces of whom you know.

Yes. And Evola, in the Doctrine of Awakening and even in the synthesis of the Doctrine of Race, points out that it's not by chance that we are born in this or that time. According to him, and he based himself on a Hindu doctrine, we choose our birth.

Yeah, the nucleus of our being for its incarnation chooses certain conditions. Yes. And he compared this teaching to Seneca, the Roman philosopher, who said that there are people who choose to be born in conditions, in times that do not fit them, in times where they are sure to meet all kinds of problems and even sufferings.

That would be considered like the man against time, wouldn't it? Yes. According to Savitri Davide's terminology. Yes, it would.

Wasn't Seneca a Jew who wound up killing himself? Who, sorry? Seneca. Oh, Seneca. No, he wasn't a Jew.

To the best of my knowledge, he wasn't. But he did kill himself. He did.

Yeah. But stoically. Yeah, he didn't do it out of emotion.

He did it because he had fulfilled his purposes, and then there was no point any longer to continue. So he just took that path, the right to live. He chose to die.

Not that I'm a fanatical Stoic. There would be much to say about Stoicism. Evola was quite close to Stoicism, even though he was also critical on some of the aspects of the philosophy, because he considered it as a bit too strict, in fact.

It could be compared perhaps to that 19th century morality movement. I'm sorry, the which one? Puritanism. Yeah, sorry, Puritanism.

Yeah, of course. Thank you, we're lucky. That was 18th century.

Yeah, Puritanism. Exactly. So I'm not a fanatical Stoic.

Not at all. But I'm just quoting Seneca here, because it is my belief that no matter how a man, a thinker, can be wrong, at times, he can put forward ideas that are valuable. And it is the first time for ages that I've quoted a Stoic philosopher.

And that's basically the only statement of his that I would quote. There's a Stoic statement that, to the effect, know when to die. To choose the right time, die at the right time.

Yes, very true. Evola also said that in Stoicism, there are certain Aryan ideas that can be found. And those ideas are basically of transcendence, which is spirit.

Yes. And that's why it's essential to adopt that mentality at this time, to overcome the gynocracy and its souliness. Well, to adopt it, you said, I don't think you can adopt it.

I guess not, yeah. You're born with it. Yeah, you are or you're not.

There's nothing you can do about that. It's in your own nature. But you can also lead yourself to degeneration too, by continually or habitually practicing activities or involving yourself in them that leads to a soulish, emotional, hedonistic lifestyle that tears you down from that higher state of being.

Some people are made for that life, hedonistic they are. Yes, and we know who they are, yes. Yes, but another very important point made by Evola in Synthesis of the Doctrine of Race is that which pertains to the concept which he invented of hysterical transcendence.

Transcendence? No, transcendentals. Yeah, that's a very awkward formula. But yeah, he calls them hysterical but transcendental still.

What does he mean by that? Is that contrary to people like those Puritans? Yeah, whom Seneca described? Contrary to these people, these hysterical have chosen to incarnate, to be born in times like ours, because they know they will enjoy it to the full. You see? So we are surrounded by them, actually, and there's nothing they can do about it. And let's not, well, let's not get angry at them, because that's what they are, and they cannot change their nature.

Right, just like gays say, it's who I am. Yes. Bruno, you mentioned early on that you were going to divide your comments into three parts, and I think maybe it's time to turn our attention to the third part, which is Islam.

And there's one statement in your earlier statement that really intrigues me, which was the Islamic conception of an internal and an external war. Yes, this was first put forward by René Guénon, and then Evola developed these notions of Islamic belief. The inner war is the war that each and every one has to fight against himself.

And Arabs and Semites, Semite people, Semitic people, have a lot to fight. Right. Yeah, I remember Nietzsche said something about how the Arabs overcame their Semitic tendencies.

Yes, they have a lot to fight. So that may explain why this notion of inner war is so important in Islam. I mean, in Islam, we would have to look and see, really.

I cannot say that I have inquired as much as I have as far as most topics we are talking about are concerned. I cannot say. But we would have to look and see whether this notion of inner and outer war is, well, popular in Islam, is known in Islam.

But anyway, what is important is that it conditions the top elements of the Islamic society. So the inner war is a psychic war, if you want. We've just talked about the soul.

We just tried to explain the difference between the soul and the spirit. So people have to understand that an inner war is simply, yeah, the fight against yourself, but the fight against your evil tendencies, if you want. Yeah, base tendencies.

Yeah, base tendencies. While the outer war, well, John, what do you think it is? Well, I only know that they go around killing people who insult their deity. Exactly.

But to put that in perspective, and to put that in a European perspective, because you don't have, you're not suffering the same fate as we do here. I'm not an Arab, right? Yeah, well, yeah. In fact, outer war is the war that they've been waging against the West for a since Mohammed bought his first camel.

Yes. And that's when a problem arises. Well, that you fight an inner war.

That's great. That's great. That could prevent you from avoiding the outer war.

Against us. Just as an aside, how vividly do you see that in France today? Well, every two or three days, a French person is butchered, assassinated, poisoned on the streets, or at his or her house by a jihadist, by an Arab, by a Muslim. That's as simple as that.

There is a French site, website, called FDESOUICHE, that means native French, F-D-E-S-O-U-C-H-E.com, on which, well, which offer all news about these crimes that have been, that are happening almost every day in France and in Europe too. I assume they're hush crimes that they don't report the actual race of the person in the media. Exactly.

They don't, well, now they can't prevent from, they can't hide it. Right. They can't.

But is that an Islamic thing or is that a racial thing? Because the same thing is happening in this country, where there are gratuitous murders of white people by Blacks who aren't religious at all. I was going to, in fact, to make that point before we started to discuss this issue. I was going to, but I forgot.

The problem is obviously racial. We don't care whether this guy is Muslim or I mean, believes in another God. The problem is that it is racial.

What if the whole of Iceland had converted to Islam and had migrated to France or, well, to France? I'm taking this example because the United States is huge. It's a huge country. What if, I don't know, 100,000 Icelandic Muslims, white ones, had migrated and settled in France? Well, there wouldn't be any problem.

Right. So, of course, it's racial. And of course, somebody like the Catholics or Christians, they would say, oh, no, it's not.

It's religious. I'm sure the Icelanders would go around doing just the same thing. Exactly.

Well, E. Michael Jones said the other day that Catholics weren't white, they were Catholics. Excellent. Great.

Great. Very good. It's almost as good, well, it's better than Oscar Wilde.

And that's the consequence when you ignore the racial issue, if you try to interpret higher dimensional reality while just excluding or ignoring biological racial issues, then you more or less ignore and misunderstand reality and you can't deal with it properly, which is why there's so much of this violence ongoing, because they just ignore it. And then they're too cowardly to face the controversial racial issues. But we can't blame the church.

We can't blame feminine people for supporting, well, for supporting anti-racial actions and policies, can we? Well, I think they're partially to blame, but I guess maybe you'd contend that the blame lies with those who are men of race or differentiated men for not standing up. Well, again, it's their own nature. They can't do anything about it.

They see in every black or colored person a sort of Christ, you see. Yeah, they can't blame that. A church man is someone to who we should have pity for.

Let me interrupt this debate with this notice. We're at about the midway point of our discussion, and I need to point out to our listeners who are listening to this live on speakfreeradio.com in the early evening that this is pre-recorded earlier in the day because one of us has to work tonight. And so that's why there are no phone calls and why I'm not continuously repeating our phone number to call in.

Let me explain that we're listening to Loki Holgard and the guest that he's invited on to talk about, an author of the early 20th century named Julius Evola, a national socialist partisan, and that's Bruno Carriou, who has really fleshed out several things in the first part of our discussion. Probably the one I would like to go back and exploring more in the second part of our show is that funny word, gynocratization, which is manifested by transgenderism. It's evident in women becoming men and men becoming women, and

the destructive influence that has had on societies all over the world.

Wouldn't you say that's a destructive influence, Bruno? That's the least one can say. Really? There are petty shivers. Petty.

Yeah. Because it's all about mediocrity. Isn't it about, this is my attitude about it, and I don't think it's yours, but for me, it's a Jewish plan to destroy the family system so that there'll be no such thing as motherhood except as that is manifested by the state.

Yeah, but you have to ask yourself, is a woman more cunning than a Jew, or a Jew more cunning than a woman? Let's bear in mind that Weininger in *Sex and Character* equates women, Jews, and Chinese. Oh, isn't that something? Yeah, yeah, yeah. And believe me, I can testify for that because I have known Jews, I have known women, and I have known, well, Chinese too, well, on a daily basis.

So who is the more cunning? What do men rate in this system? I'm sorry? In the system of those three examples, where do men rate? Rate, as in, how are they, what role do they play? Yeah, what, what? Slaves, slaves. Are they the eternal victims? Well, I don't place myself as a victim, and let's be clear, the best defense is attack. And let me make that point clear.

What I really don't, don't like to hear is people victimizing themselves, and this is a tendency that is quite pregnant in masculinist circles. No, no, no, there's no victimization, let's be clear here. Hello, this is a fight, and we are very few to be against them, but we fight, without any complaint or childish arguments.

It's like the quote from the *Bhagavad Gita*, heed not the fruits of action, just act. Exactly, exactly. Too many men in this masculinist movement, it's quite big in Canada, by the way.

Yeah, it's called MGTOW, I think, men going their own way, only it's a more distorted orientation, it's not directed outwardly, it's co-opted by the tribe and turned into this, you know, solipsistic selfishness. Oh, yes, they want, you know, all they want is that men and women are equal. Life, there's no equality in life.

There's no equality. One is superior to the other, or the other way around, but there's no equality. And they, I'm trying to make excuses, they're asking women to give them equality.

That's a joke, a joke. Yeah, that implies inferiority if you have to have it given to you by somebody else. Indeed.

But it has to be pointed out that some of these masculinists are psychologists. And that means that they are specialized in trying to solve a couple problems, you know, that sort of thing. So they can't push things too far.

Otherwise, they lose their clients. Yeah, doesn't psychology derive etymologically from CK Logos, which is like soul related? Soul being the CK, which is feminine. Yeah, well, it has its importance.

But by the way, metaphysics also derived from psychology, you know. So let's be careful about this. But let's just say that, yeah, I mean, the feminist movement is a joke, really.

A joke. And that's probably why they hijack it and twist it, so that they can mock their enemies and their kabbalistic mockery. Well, let's switch for the moment to a safer angle, a safer topic to discuss.

In 2002, you published three aspects of the Jewish problem by Evola. What are those three aspects? The spiritual one, the cultural one. What was the second one? Cultural.

I don't understand the word. Cultural. Cultural.

Thank you. Cultural. That's okay.

Yeah, cultural. Yeah. And, of course, the economic aspect of it.

Yeah, yeah, definitely. Which is the most important? Well, not for Evola. To him, it was only because the Jews had conquered the spiritual plane, or at least the plane of the mind, that they could then invade other planes and also conquer them.

The spirit is first to Evola. Yeah. How do you react to the fact that all the wars in the world are created by Jews? Well, business is business.

It's just business. Well, just, you know, I mean, it's not possible to put yourself in the head of a Jew. That's a good thing.

Yeah. And that's a very good thing. But, frankly, some of these guys are so cynical that they don't see further.

I have been inquiring, I've been researching, you know, various claims made by Catholic circles about the Russian Revolution in 1989. Yeah, 17. Yes, yes.

And I've tried to check as much as I can and cross-checked my findings just to find out that most of those claims are spurious. How so? And that most of them are really moved and motivated by money. That 383 of the 417 members of the Duma were Jewish in 1917, that was spurious? Well, I haven't.

This is the only aspect of the question that I have not gone into yet. But given that most of the other claims are spurious or fanciful, even. That they killed 100 million non-Jewish Russians? Well, that's Stalin.

Oh, well, it was Lenin to begin with. I don't think he killed, I don't think Lenin killed

millions. His police, secret police, the Cheka, killed, butchered, massacred tens of thousands of Russians.

Yeah, especially the Ukrainians, yeah. Yeah, yeah, but you're talking, you're referring to the Holodomor? Yes. Yeah.

Well, one thing that should be clearer is that there is a hollow cost. Okay? Yeah. Right.

Hollow. But we shouldn't think that things have not been manipulated on the other side. That sort of begs the question of Immanuel Malinsky's book, *The Occult War*, which was written around that time that Evola commented on.

Immanuel Malinsky was like a Catholic nobleman from Poland. Yes. There are quite a lot of distortions in that book, in fact.

Well, there's no distorting the gulags. No, no, no, no, no, no. But if you want, it's the kind of equivalent to the concentration camps during the National Socialist period.

I see what you're saying. Are you contending that the Catholics are basically using the Jews as like a scapegoat? That's what I'm saying, yes. I know in the book, the plot against the church that was attributed to Maurice Pinier, throughout that, it basically interprets the whole of history as if it were this Jewish occult thread going through history that was opposed to the church and so forth.

No, I haven't. I'm not familiar with that, with this. It's pretty famous.

It's a book that was released around the time of Vatican II as a means of trying to influence people to not support Vatican II. All right, I see. And it basically, it's like a 600 or more page book that goes, that covers the history of the church and how they claim that Jewish intrigue was behind it all.

Yes. As opposition. Let's put it that way.

Like any ancient Romans, I don't make the difference between Jews and Christians. That's right. I was just about to bring that up.

It's the Jews who created the Christian church. Yes. And the Christians, well, they're both, you know, they're both on the same boat.

Yes, they are. Because one is playing the other against, yeah, he's playing, the Jews are playing the church and the card, and the church has been playing the Jewish card. So it's a dialectic of good versus evil.

It is. It is. They switch positions regularly.

We see today the Christian identity, people pillorying the Jews, but they want to be the

new Jews. Oh, that's a very great statement. Yes, they want to be the new Jews.

Sorry. Sorry, guys. Yeah.

After all, I mean, Jesus Christ, supposing that he did exist, was a Jew. Undeniable. By the way, just an anecdote.

I was invited at a conference about Jesus, the anecdote. I was invited at a conference in northern England in 2008 by Tomislav Sunich. Oh, yes, Tom Sunich.

And I will always remember that statement of his at some point in Bradford, you know, the old industrial northern city of England. Now it's taken over by Muslims, isn't it? Yeah, yeah, yeah. And he said to the audience, among which there must have been some Catholics, he said suddenly, you know, don't bear in mind that Jesus, well, Jesus look like, probably look like Bob Dylan.

I think it's the greatest statement he's ever made. It's worth quoting, that's for sure. It is.

I mean, sadly, the conference is no longer available on YouTube. You know, that's the weakness of white nationalist people nowadays. They, some of them, at least for those who are Christians, who have some sympathy for Christianity.

Yeah. I have a couple of other strange questions like this. The first one was, is there any relation of the Bolshevik revolution to the current thing going on in Ukraine? Very good.

And it's my statement is not rhetorical at all. Yeah. Well, I'm just stunned by your, by the link you just, well.

Well, I don't know the answer to it. That's the question. But could you please elaborate on that? Well, you've got the slaughter of, the surreptitious slaughter of a certain number of people who were not favored by the Jews who took over the Russian Republic, or it wasn't a republic at that time, the country of Russia.

And now you have a surreptitious slaughter of Ukrainians by the Jewish run United States, who was trying to openly antagonize and sabotage Russia. And due to the control of Jewish media, you've got the entire American people supporting the Ukraine who have been set up to destroy Russia. And yet it's killing Ukraine.

And it's also, I mean, this devolves onto Germany now because of all the people in Europe who are going to freeze to death this winter because the United States has impeded the flow of Russian gas to Europe. So this is a slaughter of not only Ukrainians, but it's a slaughter of Europeans. My first comment would be the following.

The problem is that you've got Jews behind the United States government and machinery, but you've got also Jews full of them behind the Ukrainian so-called government, cocaine addicts. And that's the icing on the cake. No, you forgot about

blood drinkers.

Well, I don't know about that. But behind Putin, so far as I know, and I've inquired, there are also, or there were, many Jews. Yes.

By the way, before we just, just a, well, just another point. I'm talking and your listeners might think that I'm, you know, just talking, but every reference to the opinion I've been giving, every reference to these opinions, some of them, and most of them, scholarly, can be found on my blog. Oh, good.

Tell us what it is. Yeah. I can't give any reference here.

When I, when I, when I've said, you know, I've inquired, I've researched, these are all the references can be found on my blog. And I can tell you that there are many of them. Tell us the name of your blog.

Well, it's Elements of Racial Education, except that it's in French. So people just type Elements of Racial Education in Google Translate. Yes, I use it all the time.

Yes. Yeah. And they will have it in French.

And then they can add WordPress. Elements of, Elements of Racial Education at WordPress? Yeah. Well, in French, it's Elements d'Education Raciale.

So, but they type in English, Elements of Racial Education, they will get it in French. Then they go to Google search, enter the French name, and then WordPress. All right.

So all the references are there. About, well, about Putin, I mean, are Jews still backing him? That's a core question. That's definitely interesting and something that I've never been able to conclude definitively.

I don't think pretty much anybody who's not an insider could know that definitively, because it's all political gamesmanship, maybe. That's a very realistic comment you've just made. Let's say that for a long time, he was backed by them.

And frankly, there are a few phony things about this war. Yes, tell us. Have you seen any plane in action? No.

I mean, lately, because his bridge in the bridge between Crimea and Russia was bombed. He launched, I think it's 30 or 35 bombs on Ukrainian towns. Well, yeah, OK.

And he said, well, we don't need more. All right. Well, do you want that war to go on for ages? Well, that's the best way to make it last, really.

There are phony things. You know, I've got to mention this. A correspondent of mine gave me a link to this website of a you may have heard of him, of an Englishman who, at

the start of this war, went to the Ukraine and filmed what he saw.

There was no war. But at that moment, I thought my correspondent wanted to say that there was no war in Ukraine. So I couldn't take him seriously.

But still, I ended up watching the videos. And what he says is not that there is no war in Ukraine, is that the war is very limited. Yeah.

It's a cosmetic war. Indeed. And frankly, it's perfect for this war comes at the perfect time for Western oligarchies.

Perfect time. That way they can shift away from the COVID. That's right.

Or shift away. In my view, this war is just the extension of the COVID experience. I think that it has a lot to do with biblical prophecy that they're trying to manufacture, trying to play it out like theater of the real, that this is plays into their Bible blueprint.

And then they can get all their Christian Zionists to think that, you know, the World War Three is Gog and Magog versus the Israelites or the Western powers. And then they can use them as pawns to hurl against Russia and then Russia against the US. Yes.

But don't forget one thing. The view that you've just expressed is only held in our quotation marks circles. I'm just thinking about.

But in terms of the consciousness of people, they believe it's biblical prophecy. No, Loki, not in Europe. But in America, they do.

And America is being used as a golem. Yes. I would tend to agree because I know that, well, 70 or 80% of Americans claim to have seen God.

This may work for the United States or maybe not even for Italy, but for the United States or Brazil or Bolivia or countries like that, but not in Europe. The thing is that we are the first online, if I may say, you know, and that won't work here. I think that it is just the extension of the COVID operation is because every day in newspapers and on medias, we can hear again the same kind of instructions that were given during the COVID operation.

That's right. Same kind of messages. What to do? How to do it? When to do it? What not to do? What's new? It's all programming.

Yes, it is. And it's just an extension of that whole tribal theater of the real type fear porn that, you know, is so prevalent in Christian eschatology, the end times conceptions. Yeah, but once again, that doesn't apply to the European public.

And that's probably why they want to use Russia and America to pinch, like pinchers, like Yaki said, to squeeze Europe and then destroy Europe and then blame it on Russia or

whatever. What's left here? Nothing, nothing. So they know what they may want is to make the last European people worse of this name.

Yes, yes. Because we are dealing with sadistic scum. Well, yeah, just look at the inquisitions.

Just look at just look at the concept of eighth day circumcision. I mean, how could you injure your future in that manner, if for not any reason to control them utterly and prevent them from becoming free beings? Another very good point. Yes.

Yes. Sadism. So this is this is who we have that controls the world.

Now, let's go back to Evola. What did he write during the 30s that predicted these things that are happening today, maybe? I'm afraid. And I've already pointed that out to Loki.

He didn't write anything. That could pertain to our present situation. Really? Let's take an example.

Stoddard, Lothrop. Yes. Yeah.

Back in the late 20s, predicted that Europe was going to be invaded by what there was a possibility that Europe could be invaded by by colored people. Right. Who else among, let's say, rightist thinkers has been as clear headed as him? Raspail.

John Raspail. Raspail. Well, yeah.

OK, but there are very few. Evola never saw that coming. He was, but let's be very concrete, down to earth.

He died in 1974 in Italy. Yeah. At that time, the immigration was very low.

OK. But this is not an excuse. But didn't he, in the three aspects of the Jewish problem, discuss about forming an Aryan and Aryan international to combat the Jewish international? Yeah, the Jewish.

But we are here. I thought we were talking about immigration and the invasion of our countries by colored people. True, but that's still all related because they're, you know, one of the principal causes.

No, it's not. It's not because the point is that like many of the right wingers, Evola didn't see it coming. And the problem is that the problem was for them, the racial problem was external to Europe.

Well, I wasn't just referring to immigration. I was referring to anything. In fact, I'm more inclined to maybe I still have Yaki on my mind that the West being a product of the international communist bankers has always been concerned with the eradication of

Germany.

And the decision of Biden to follow through on his treason with the Ukraine war actually has as its ultimate goal the destruction of Germany. But do you think there's anything left to be destroyed there? I mean, in spiritual terms? Well, from friends who have been traveling in Germany recently and saw that everybody's in a coma, maybe not. Wouldn't that just be a stimulus for spiritual awakening if they get attacked? Well, they're being attacked in a subtle manner.

They're being attacked by deception, by taking away their heat, taking away their fuel. Once it becomes more intense an attack, then they'll have to wake up and that will be a beneficial thing. Well, that's what my last guest said last week.

Monica and her brother Alfred Schaefer were saying that people are going to be pushed so far that they're going to rebel. And I don't see that happening. I see, especially in America, I see Americans laying down and dying because they've forgotten how to fight because all the wars we've conducted for the last 50 years have been pushovers that didn't really require serious fighting.

You just mentioned wars. You should read that Jewish historian of the military about the role of women and, well, in U.S. Army forces and the fact that it could be directly related to the bad results of these forces in war. He's a very, very interesting historian.

America is more interested in homosexual sex than they are in national defense. There is an obsession for sex, but of course, because women are in power. But there's also an obsession against sex in the case of the right-wing Christian types.

That's started itself an unhealthy mentality. There are a minority, Loki. Maybe in Europe, but not in America.

You know, a name that has not popped up in this conversation, and maybe it can't, but for me, it contains great significance in terms of religious power, and that is Serrano, who espoused a Wotan religion that is so far superior to Christianity that it almost allowed Germany to defeat the whole world during World War II. Let's be clear. Yes.

Had Serrano, who pretended to have met Evola in Rome. He pretended? Yeah, pretended. Had he lived in National Socialist Germany, had he published his book on National Socialist esotericism, he would have been imprisoned in a concentration camp.

Hitler couldn't bear esotericism. That's what people into Serrano just don't realize. Oh, I didn't realize that.

I mean, these stories about extraterrestrials would have sufficed to make him, yes, imprisoned in a concentration camp. Many esotericists were imprisoned in concentration camps. But that's only because they were against him.

They were the subversive element. Hitler was reading *Austra* magazine of Jörg Lönz von Liebenfels before that, and there was also Ernst Schertl, who wrote *Magic History Theory Practice*, that dedicated it to Hitler. With you, I mean, you see, he was young.

I mean, you can make mistakes when you are young. That doesn't mean he was a poor guy. Well, at the same time, you can't deny spiritual reality and claim that Evola's conception of spirit is still valid.

Esotericism has nothing to do with spirituality. With the spirit. You know that all these guys, except Evola, are feminists.

All esotericists are feminists. From, what's his name, the Renaissance guy, Agrippa d'Opinie, to Eliphas Levi. They have all been pushing a feminist agenda.

A mother goddess agenda. Yeah, exactly. Tutti frutti.

Alexander Dugin also seems to be advocating some type of agenda of that kind. He wrote an article called *The Darmithian of the Mother Goddess*. Oh, interesting.

I didn't know about that. But for your listeners, back to, you know, the anecdote about Bannon and Evola being mentioned. Uh, in an article a few months ago by the *Washington Post* in relation to Dugin, the mystical thinker who influenced Putin.

Well, I'm sorry, but Dugin, and then again, references are given on my blog. Dugin only started to get really interested in Eurasianism after the 20,000 Putin speech, the speech Putin delivered in 20,000 precisely about Eurasianism. It's Putin, it's actually, my point is that it's actually Dugin who was influenced by Putin.

I mean. Right. It's sort of the consciousness or soul of Russia is very female in a way.

Yes, it is. And I can confirm that because I lived not in Russia, but in countries that are close to Russia. I lived there quite a while.

And frankly, if some people expect them to save us, they are mistaken. Right. So maybe their goal is to assimilate us, then.

Sorry? Their goal is to assimilate us, maybe. No, no, no. I think, have you heard, John and Loki, have you listened to the speech delivered by Putin after the annexation of the Donbass Oblast? He delivered it three weeks ago.

Have you listened to it? I believe I did. Yeah. Well, it's clearly a speech that is an attack against the ideologies of the West.

And the practices of these sorcerers that are in power here. How could he say that about the West and not include Israel in that? Israel is the West. Yeah, René Guénon said that the Jews were the most Western race.

Yeah, but Evola pointed out rightfully, back in 1941, in an article, in one of those 50 and so articles about Judaism and the Jewish question that I mentioned, that were mentioned in the preface you read at the beginning of the show. He said, for Jews, for leading Jews, Israel is not important. They all live all around the world, from New York to Sydney, from Buenos Aires to Moscow.

They're in China as well, yeah. In China, yeah. It's not important.

People get focused or more obsessed with this problem, with Israel. But Jews, rich and leading Jews don't care. Yeah, maybe they're just setting their own people up as a sacrifice so that they can pretend that they're victims and that they can be the Messiah.

Possibly, possibly. Let me just say this, since we're running close to the end of the show here in a few minutes. You're listening to Kaminsky Goes Ballistic, Behind Enemy Lines on speakfreeradio.com. We haven't had any phone calls on this show because this is pre-recorded due to necessity.

You've been listening to Loki Hulgaard and Bruno Carriou, a formidable scholar of Julius Evola, who's written many interesting things about the 20th century, all oriented toward national socialism. It's been a fascinating conversation. I think, really, if there were one news item to come out of this, it's the increased consciousness about Geinachwertzization and how the feminist philosophy has worked hand-in-hand to lead us toward a worldwide communist state, if I may be so bold as to suggest that.

What do you think about that, Bruno? Communistic, as far as masses are concerned. Liberal, as far as billionaires are concerned. You see, there is this double standard which you find in Islam, in the Jewish religion, and in the way women and men are dealt with in this society.

Double standards. So, what's the solution? How do we get out of this problem, if at all? Is it for the males to rise up and assert themselves, God forbid? Well, who can? I mean, they've been feminized to the core. Some of them haven't.

You know, you've got to realize that some of them, of these guys, when they reach, I don't know, 21, they've been spending far more time at school than with their parents. And as many families now are mono, well, many families are led by women or they're divorced. So, obviously, the child, the boy, is left with his mother at school.

And from three years old to 21, some of them have only been taught by women. Right. That conditions them to be attached to women over much.

Well, I like being attached to women. But for women, do women realize that the time is coming when their exploitation of malleable leaders of the world is going to be taken away when their role as mothers is taken away and brought into Jewish laboratories to create pre-printed children? I think they are enjoying it. Yes, they would be, yeah.

There's all rights, but no duties there. As long as the world was, well, more or less ruled by men in the West, there was a sense of duty in women insofar as they had to abide by the man's law. Just an anecdote, it's about the fifth, I think, French king.

So, living in the fifth century, he had learned that his mother had tried to betray him. And asked to be fetched his best horse. And he attached his mother to the horse and let the horse go.

Oh, dear. Until she died. Yeah.

But that's a mother who would have had his son killed, right? And here, I would like, if I may make a suggestion. Yeah. To give some consideration to the work of a man who has been researching also in this area for some time.

He has mixed, well, he lost himself for a while into esotericism, taking it seriously. His name is Michael Thessarian. Oh, yes.

Yes. I've enjoyed him a lot. Yeah.

All right. I urge your listeners to, well, listen to some of his videos on YouTube about women. Women, the kind of sadistic women that rule the world.

I gotta have him on my show. I forgot about him. Yeah.

What was the one he had called female psychopathy? Or the female psychopath? Yes. Yes. He has a mention, just a quick remark.

Yeah. That people don't know that, but Masonic lodges are not reserved for men. There are feminine.

There have been Masonic lodges for quite some time. Huh. I love that.

This is completely unknown to most people. No. That's the Order of the Eastern Star, right? Among others.

Among others. Yeah. My mother was in that.

She was a nice lady, believe me. I believe you. They're all nice.

No, I had a great mother. Okay. We're headed toward the end of the show.

I got one bombshell question at the end of the show. Comes out from one of Evola's book. What was Evola's fear of a Jewish occult war to destroy the West? His fear, so far as I can understand, is that in general terms, it pretty much elevates the infrahuman above the superhuman.

It basically inverts the roles or power structure. It takes down the Aryan spirituality to a

more thonic, is the word, the more earthly and infrahuman, instinctive minded sort of low consciousness. What do you think, Bruno? Like it's embodied in jazz music, for example, or pornography.

Oh, that's interesting. I can't... Pornography, which was at first, you know, a sort of delicatessen among aristocrats, white aristocrats. But who introduced it to them? Was it not the peddlers, maybe, themselves? Well, that's, yeah, that would be to be researched.

Yeah, indeed. But at the time, the works could be done by artists. But then again, it begs the question of who introduced these ideas and how they came to fruition in the whatever nation, in the origin.

Because usually that's the technique that they utilize, that practical idealism or dialectical materialism that they use. They create the ideas in black magic form, then they manifest it. They make it a reality.

You've got to think that from the moment the aristocracy was sort of imprisoned and let itself be imprisoned in the so-called paradise, mundane paradise like Versailles, it was finished. Right. You had Marie Antoinette like milking goats into buckets made of gold and so forth, the park, all the surfs.

Yes. As long as you live that kind of life, you are bound to, I mean, be attracted to that kind of stuff because you live an idol life. Same with King Ludwig of Bavaria and Wagner too.

You've got me thinking about drag queen story hour in elementary schools in the United States. I would say this pornography has been around for a long time, but it accelerated in the 40s and 50s or maybe earlier in Holland, and it exploded in the United States, completely controlled by Jews, where now it's in every household in the world. Well, it hasn't escaped people's attention that the three countries where pornography was at its peak in the 70s, when it all started really as a mass phenomenon, these three countries were at the same time the countries where feminism was also at its peak.

I mean, the United States, Denmark and Sweden. Yeah, that's right. That's not a chance.

Isn't that something? Of course. If you want to make a man important, just put him in front of a screen and yeah, before a simulacrum. Simulacrum, yeah.

By the way, this is how the church fathers called one of the main objects used during the Eleusis Mysteries. Aha. Simulacrum.

Yes. Yeah, the penis. Now, that's a new philosophy about explaining human history.

As a simulacrum, Jason Breshears is really big on that. He's done 400 videos and maybe being run by some nefarious agency, who knows? Who knows? But we have had a

wonderful conversation between Loki Holgaard or among Loki Holgaard, Prudho Cariou and myself every now and then. You've been listening to Kaminsky Goes Ballistic Behind Enemy Lines on speakfreeradio.com. This has been a pre-recorded show, so there are no phone calls.

I want to thank you gentlemen for a very stimulating conversation. We could go on a lot longer about this and perhaps we will someday. Thank you very much and I'm sure I'll be in touch with you both in the future.

You're listening to speakfreeradio.com. Thank you all very much for listening.