**Bruno 2**

Welcome to Aryan Practical Idealism. We have on again Bruno Carriou, who is a translator of Julius Evola's works and a scholar and independent researcher into matriarchy, pantheism, and the cybernetic control grid that enslaves this world and its relationship to Judaism as well. Welcome again Bruno.

Hello, thank you for having me on your show again. We make sure this time it doesn't go on and on and on. Six hours was just too much.

Yeah, most people they don't have a tolerance for that. Yes, exactly. And as we have just said, well, speaking of talking about those issues requires a lot of energy.

I mean, probably more energy than most people will spend in their entire life when talking, you see. Yeah, more important things in six hours than most people will ever say during their whole life. Yeah, I'm being quite nice with them when I say that.

Well, I'm not sure, where do you want to start in terms of the questions? Should we just go through the question list? Yes, in a sequence. Okay. So the first question that I had in mind was to discuss the COVID hoax and the theater of the real.

So the COVID is obviously a hoax, as most people are aware in this community. Yes, I think that more people than they think are aware that it's a hoax. They may not call it a hoax, but that's the idea they have on their mind, you see, among people.

They're starting to recognize that it's a simulation, basically. I'm not saying that they are starting to. There's no awareness growing, growing awareness.

No, what I'm just saying, and this is an impression I have, although I happen to live in a area where there is a very large representation of the most stupid people in France. So although I happen to live in such an area, I get the impression that in other areas in France, people are not as gullible and sick as they are here. This is the impression I have.

It's not that they've started to realize that something's wrong. It's just that right at the beginning, I think that they could feel that something was wrong with it. Maybe they're connected to a collective consciousness or something that enables them to sense that.

It might just be common sense. Yeah, maybe. It might just be common sense.

Where are the dead? Right. Yeah, that's true. There's so many inconsistencies in their story, in their narrative.

Yes. And while people tend to be grossly pragmatic and grossly realistic when it comes to matters such as work, money. So people, for example, who have had their shops or restaurants or bars closed because of the measures that have been taken purportedly to fight that hoax, are just not happy.

Yeah. So it's starting to affect them at their level. Yes.

And they are looking for answers. And of course, some of them are challenging the official version. I guess that's why they have to have all sorts of subversions and various variations on the narrative so they can deceive people more and try to manage to get away with what they're trying to do.

Frankly, I haven't been in touch with the news for a long time. It's just one radio program that I listen to every Friday just to get the Zeitgeist of the week. That's all.

Because otherwise, I mean, it would be foolish to follow the news knowing that it's all lies. Yeah. You immerse yourself in a theater of the real, basically.

Yes, exactly. Yeah, exactly. And this is basically sound pollution, nothing more.

But having said that, as you realize, it's necessary from time to time to get the Zeitgeist. So the narrative, well, I don't know what it is now as we speak. I don't know.

I don't think they have changed it so much because it boils down to a very simple, simplistic idea. There is a virus. You may all be killed if you don't follow the measures that we are taking to protect you.

And as far as the gullible ones are concerned, I mean, they must feel so privileged to feel that they are protected. So they love it. About half or 60 percent of the population, they love it because it's the principle of pastoral power.

It's based, in fact, and the whole, I mean, the whole democratic joke is based on vanity, on playing with people's vanity. Yeah, they actually think that they have power, but they have none. Yes, power or that somebody is caring for them.

This is something I realized quite some time ago. I'm not a genius. I'm just saying that when you observe what the reactions, the actions of people, you see that the democratic regime, the democratic atmosphere rather than the regime, the atmosphere that living in a democracy creates is based on playing on people's ego and vanity, vanity.

Oh, there are so many people right now feel so vain because they think they are protected. People are caring for some people care for them, you see. Right, exactly.

So the notion of the pastoral power relates to the priests of the order of Melchizedek and Masonry, and then that has its origin in probably the Middle East in the sort of priestly caste, lunar spirituality. Is that correct? Yeah, it's not a probability, it's a certainty. So the whole paradigm of today's world is basically a Judaic priestly caste paradigm of power.

Semitic would be more appropriate. Semitic, because well, my whole work on panic power started when I said to myself that I needed to check what Foucault says in his writings about the origin of pastoral power, not just because I didn't believe him, but because I had to check for myself. That's what I always do, by the way.

And that's it. It was the starting point of that work to about one year and a half ago. And he tends to focus on the Jews.

But really, before the Jews developed that conception of political rule, other Semitic peoples had developed it. So that's the notion of the priest king or the shepherd king? Of course, it's developed in priestly circles. There's no doubt about that.

But since priests are still ruling today, you see, that makes no difference. It makes no difference at all. Priests are still running the show, except that they change clothes.

That's all. So now they're basically hiding behind the facade of being a representative of the people. But that still is, in a way, the pastoral power's function is to represent.

Yes. And you are the sheep as well as the ship. But that would be another conversation.

But I'm just mentioning it in passing for your listeners who want to inquire about the coincidence, the phonetic coincidence, and there are plenty of them in English, between sheep and ship. But let's move on. Does that get into international maritime law also? Exactly.

Yeah, it's a combination of pastoral and maritime law that has been implemented for the past millennium, since the Church developed the first bureaucratic government in Europe in the 12th century, with the Gregorian Reform, so-called Gregorian Reform. So it's kind of a mix between sea law and maritime law, and the notion of a pastor, of a shepherd who governs his sheep. Yeah, it's kind of a mix.

It would be very interesting to investigate it, but at the same time, clearly, maritime law plays a large part in the current system. Anyway, pastoral power plays on people's vanity and on people's, I would say, quite natural desire to be cared for. There's something about human nature, in quotation marks, of course, human nature that is essential, fundamental.

People cannot live, cannot operate emotionally without feeling that they are cared for, you see. And pastoral power plays on that, on that very fundamental human feeling. Is that why they decided to destroy the nuclear family, so that they could concentrate power in the state, and the state would be like their substitute caregiver? There would be much to say about the so-called nuclear family, but yeah, well, of course, yes.

This is not a subject I'm too interested in, but now that you mention it, yes, of course, instead of a proper family, many, instead of having a proper family, many people find themselves alone. So they feel, of course, completely neglected. And this COVID thing, you know, is very effective, because it speaks to those people who live alone, who don't, who don't, who are not cared for.

And this is very cunning from those people to play on that string. Right. So that's like the phrase, we're all in this together.

So in other words, we are all in this together. This is a pantheistic motto. We are all in this together.

Bear in mind, let us bear in mind that this motto comes from afar, from almost the beginning of time. I don't want to be too bombastic, but pantheism is probably the oldest, the most ancient belief on earth. And we are all in this together.

It's just the modern translation of the notion, the concept of whole, the big whole. Yeah, so that's like Nuit and Hadith in Egypt. Yes.

And Hadith is the pastoral priest king. Yes. Another coincidence, phonetic coincidence that I cannot but point out here is that which exists between whole, W-H-O-L-E and whole, H-O-L-E.

You see, it's a big whole. Yeah, so basically, we're all living inside of a Pisces, or a ship, which is other goddess. Yes.

That's Bucurekt, as Bachofen called it, the mother state. Frankly, I have trouble in conceptualizing the link between pastoral power and this maritime law on which modern law is based, at least in Anglo-Saxon countries. I think it could have something to do with the captain of the ship as the priest.

Of course. Yes, of course. And of course, it's universal because the water is everywhere, and the water probably refers to the waters.

It's maternal. It's maternal. Yeah.

It also refers to the waters as in like a cosmic or cosmos. Foucault elaborated on this point when discussing the etymology of what was the word again? Oh, yes. The Greek word gobernoi, which has been translated into English by cybernetics.

Okay. So that's the net that you refer to. Yeah.

K-U-B-E-R-N-I-N-A-I. It means it's that part of the ship which you use to navigate. I just can't remember the word.

Let me just find it. Yeah. So the net is basically the matrix.

Also. Yeah. But let's not bring the matrix into that.

Yeah. On the ship, you've got the rudder. Yes.

R-U-D-D-E-R. Yeah. Right.

Well, this word in Greek is gobernoi, and it was translated, what it was used by those who invented cybernetics to call their science. So I think it was the job of a German scientist. I can't remember his name.

But anyway, cybernetics is nothing else than the rule on the seas. You see? So it's power applied to basically like the sum total of being? Yes. Cybernetics is at the root of computer science.

Internet derives from computer technology. See, when we own the net, we own the sea, definitely. Right.

That's why they call it surfing the world wide web. Well, yes. Yes.

Well, whatever W-W-W means here. I think that's numerology for something. Yes.

I told you. Yeah. I told you offline that numerology may have been a science.

There may still be people who, well, who know what they're doing in numerology. There are the keys to it, but we don't. And so there are so many people who let just the imagination run wide when dealing with numerology.

This is not a field where I find myself to be very confident. Yeah, it's pretty clouded in mystery, I guess you could say. You find on YouTube, and I'm sure even on Bitchute, hundreds of people going wide about numerology.

Anyway, they will find what they want to find. To use numerology, you need to have the keys to it. It is a science.

But anyway, coming back to the W-W-W might just represent the waves. Right. That's true enough.

Yeah. It looks like waves. Sorry? It looks like waves.

And obviously, words and language, the characters are always structured to have a cult meaning or meaning that just relates to either metaphysical realities or just simple concrete realities. That's what they look like. When you have eyes to see waves.

Three waves. Yeah. Is it Masonic again? But back to this psychological driving force behind the pastoral power and pantheism, COVID is, as I said, very effective in getting people to think that they cared for.

And I just want to say that I don't think they've changed their narrative, but just keep on telling it, telling it on and on. But the only question at this point that arises is how long are they going to make it last? Well, I think they're going to make it last for as long as it's necessary for them to create massive destruction and massive unification of the people as a reaction to that. Without death.

Well, I think they can orchestrate quite a lot without much fallout in terms of infrastructure or so-called human resources, as they call them. In my last study, in a footnote, actually, I gathered all the main hypotheses about the reason for that COVID-19 operation. And my answer was that that operation didn't need to be conducted for them to achieve most of the goals people assume this operation is made to achieve.

Let's take the first credible one. Migrants, or so-called migrants, invaders. Some people have it that it was the operation seen COVID-19 was conducted to allow more invaders to invade European countries incognito.

Well, did they need that to import further tons and tons of Africans and Asians here? Not sure. I'm not sure. They've always got some excuse to make up.

Yes, of course. Did they need that excuse to create more fictitious money? Did they need to? No, because they could just print it up. Exactly.

When you say print, well, yes. Just print it up on their banking system. Yes, yes.

Enter in some digits. Yes. I'm just trying to reflect on those hypotheses, knowing that some of your listeners might have listened to programs, to shows in which they are discussed and often considered as the truth, you know, conspirationists and so on.

There's also some people who claim that it's done for the purpose of forcibly vaccinating the population. Yes, but you need to be very consistent. People need to be very consistent with themselves.

I Why? Why wouldn't they they pretend they have not a virus, a vaccine? Why wouldn't they? Why wouldn't they what? Pretend they have a vaccine. Why would they pretend they have a vaccine? Why wouldn't they pretend they have it? I don't understand what you mean. Well, they could pretend they have found a vaccine and inoculate people with more poisons.

Well, that's that's my view. I think that that's at least part of it, that they're trying to achieve all of these purposes as well. But they're also trying to use COVID as a means of, you know, engineering enough chaos so that they can institute their Zion government.

Yeah, well, just just to say that this vaccine hypothesis is not that doesn't seem very credible. They could pretend they have one. Wouldn't they? Yeah, but the thing is, they're they're probably trying to use that to inject people with poisons to depopulate the.

The Western world, basically. I think we are far too many whites because most of them are just Negroes inside. So for me, another, you know, theory among conspiracists is that they are trying to depopulate, if not the West, at least the world.

This is the facts show that this is this theory is completely wrong. It's been now 30 years, at least, since some people claim among conspirationists that the Rome Club, the Club of Rome, planned in the early 80s to plan a depopulation, well, plan to depopulate the world. But I have tried to find that document.

I found it. There's no talk. There's nothing about that in that document.

Which document is that again? There's a couple, I think. I can't remember off hand. It's the document which is pointed at in most, well, in those conspirationist articles that deal with that subject.

It's a famous document by the Club of Rome. 1980, I think. But I've read it.

There's nothing about that. They do have the Georgia Guidestones also. Yeah, it speaks of population.

Yes, but it doesn't hint at any plan of depopulation. Doesn't. There's so many lies propagated by disseminated among conspirationists.

It's awful. But anyway, the facts show that not only... I think this, if I could just interject, I think that this document is called Dialogue on Wealth and Welfare. Exactly.

Yes. Those who propagate this kind of lies do not realize that depopulation is in stark contrast with the capitalist ideology. Capitalist ideology is based on one word.

It's growth. Now, growth means quantity. Quantity means population.

Well, I think at this time it's what they're trying to do is just synthesize socialist, liberal, whatever you want to call that, with capitalism to make communitarianism. But it accommodates both, basically. So it's just a more government-regulated state capitalism.

Yes. But anyway, to sell, they need people, you see, to buy their goods. They need people.

And the more people they have, the best for them. One billion and a half Chinese, for instance, hmm. So I guess the notion of that is largely just fear-mongering for the most part.

Fear-mongering. You wonder whether these people who have been disseminating that lie for years and years, where they come from? Sorry, but you think the population of Nigeria, take Nigeria, in the past 20 years, it has gone from 15 million to 50 million. Fifth, and not to mention Nigeria or the Philippines.

So come on, give us a break with your depopulation theory and let's move on to something more serious. I mean, each time there is a global crisis, all those conspiracies come back with a depopulation theory. It's just annoying.

I mean, very annoying, because we are adults, but there are teenagers who are against the system and who are not as experienced as we are. And they are likely to be misled by those theories that are just hoaxes. That's why they created them in the first place, just to keep the population confused and in a state of fear.

So that's why I said that we may wonder where they got that idea from. Maybe someone in the government, well, inspired them. I don't know.

I'm not saying that all conspiracists on the net who uphold that hoax are agents. No, I'm not saying that. I'm just saying that they are either sick or intellectually very slow.

All right. So let's come back to where we started. Otherwise, we keep on talking for hours and hours again.

So what that is, then, we're discussing the Internet of Things. Are we now? The cybernetics? Yes. And my last remark about the COVID is really, how long are they prepared to make it last? I don't have the answer.

You don't. So let's go back to the Internet and the pantheistic connection. So, yeah, obviously, the Internet is sort of like the waters or the waves, which is sort of like the cosmos.

I think that might have something to do with the Hebrew Kabbalah, too, with the Ein Sof, or the boundless light of nothingness. Well, the astral light. Well, actually, the Kabbalah owes, may owe more to Christian speculations on the relations between God and man than Christian speculations owe to the Kabbalah, you see? Right.

I guess the Kabbalah was sort of developed more in the Middle Ages than anything. Yes. I heard that they actually stole some of the Germanic conceptions as well.

According to Guido von List, they derived it in part from the Kala of the Germans. The Kala? K-A-L-A. Yeah, I'm not familiar with that.

That's what he says anyway. That's what Guido von List says, but we can never tell if Guido von List was not just a tool of theirs as well. Well, yes.

To me, it's mumbo-jumbo. I've tried, but no. I've read a few pages, but I'm generally able to realize quite quickly whether a book is of interest or not.

And no, I found it to be mumbo-jumbo. Yeah, he says that the fleurs-de-lis have all sorts of secret meanings and occult properties. Once again, let's be very cautious about our imagination.

Yeah, it does seem pretty fanciful and contrived, most of that Arminist conception of things. It's also being pushed by Stephen Flowers, who is affiliated with left-hand path groups. Although Flowers, from what I've read from him, is a rather reasonable person.

Yes, but he was also involved in some type of higher-level masonry, child rape, and so forth, left-hand path circles that he was involved in. Well, I don't know. I haven't seen him.

I had a few emails with the guy. I was trying to get Jörg Landsvand-Leibenfeld's Ostero translated from the Fete Fractur script, and he wanted like $250,000 to translate 1,000 pages of Jörg Landsvand-Leibenfeld's Stephen Flowers. Yes, he is.

Many people are, of course, when money is a driving force behind what they do. Pretty much, yeah. Yeah, but that doesn't mean he is a raper.

No, there's other evidence that suggests he is, but I guess that's sort of an irrelevant point for the most part. But he is sort of pushing Zoroastrianism as well, which I think is somehow related to Christianity as like an origin of that, somewhat similar, like a Jewish creation in Persia. Yes.

You were talking about the Kabbalah, though, and how Christianity influenced it. I was just pointing out that the influence of Christian theology on it is probably as strong as the influence of the Jewish speculation on it, you see. We are talking about mutual influences.

Yeah, reciprocal conditioning, and it's both lunar spirituality and Semitic in its origins. Yes, but the worst thing is that these speculations didn't remain speculations, they were turned into technology. Right, that was the organized church? Well, no.

The state craft, the ship of state? It's just that these speculations about the relations between man and their God were applied and applied to the technological domain when the means started to exist, to make them real. It's not a chance if the first theories about technological progress were developed in monasteries and in the church in the early Middle Ages, you see. Basically, the theory is that man can become God, right? Yeah, I guess that sort of derives from Baal worship.

They consider themselves Baals. Well, I don't know if in Phoenician religion there is a theory akin to it, I don't know. But it seems to me that the Christians were the first to come up with the idea that man could become God.

And some fathers of the church, two of them, went so far as to claim that man can become, well, like God, plural, plural, not God, plural, God. That's in Phoenicia they had that conception? Yes, we're talking about Justin the Martyr, second century. He's one of them.

Who wrote that book, Hebrew Phoenicians and Judeo-Berbers, Introduction to the Jews and Judaism in Africa? Who wrote it? Which scholar? Who wrote it? I couldn't tell you. I simply forwarded it to you. I haven't read it, but I would like to.

It does seem relevant, but I just haven't had the time. But it's on top of my reading list. It's hard to read because the text is garbled.

The guy's name is Nahum something or other, but the text is garbled. Right. Yes, but you see, there is a PDF of the book, of the actual book.

Yeah, the ones that I have are just scanned copies. So I guess I didn't download the original, so I should probably do that and check it out. But the important thing is that the whole ideology of progress stems from that idea that man can become God, God, the whole of it.

Because you see, how do you become a God? Or God? Well, basically, I think they use their just ritualistic magic and so forth. No, no, no, no. At some point, you see, you've tried, tried, tried, but in vain.

There is at some point, yes, the idea that you will never manage it. So you need machines. Okay.

And that ties into the transhumanism of today. Exactly. Exactly.

Transhumanism is basically the coronation of the man-God as the theory of man-God as elaborated in Christianity. That's why they call it the coronavirus, because they're crowning themselves king. That's, yes, it has to do with the crown, yes, and so with the king.

You must realize that the king in ancient Rome, for example, was in disrepute. Romans, early Romans hated kings. They did away with them, by the way, as you know.

Yeah, well, there was Caligula in Rome as a good example of the corruption of kings. Yes, but even before that, I mean, Rome had a few kings, but they, well, they were top-down. And what we call the Republic followed.

Isn't that more plebeian and democratic? Because Evola, I believe, conceives of the priest-kings or the, you know, the kshatriya caste as being like a warrior priest-king, doesn't he? Isn't that what he propounds? Not to say that you are, but... Yeah, yeah, René Guénon too propounded that idea. Well, I mean, it's very debatable, very debatable. I think it's only in a further stage that we find a priest-king.

But the early Aryans, as we mentioned last time, didn't have any priests. So I... Well, Eric, what was their community like? Was it like a national socialist type community in a way? It was a small community. Right.

Small communities without any caste to start with. Just everybody is like their own person and then they just work with their collective group. But surely when society or when societies form and things become more complex, then there becomes a differentiation of labor and so forth and a differentiation of caste.

Exactly. Yeah. A creation of caste.

Yes. The caste only appeared when they moved to... when they were settled in India. So I guess that could be a criticism of Evola's works in so far as he is taking it up.

He's trying to go back to the Aryan traditions. But what that really means for him is just the preservation of his aristocratic caste system, which was a sort of further fall of man into more rigidified units that were stratified and in a way divisive to some extent with one another. Yes.

Anyway, the division of labor was a catastrophe. And that's what... that's basically what... the reason why the early communities imploded. At the same time, though, I guess.

So in other words, perhaps if we could say in your idealized state of affairs, that it would be more like a somewhat of a libertarian conception. Well, we have to think of, I don't know, communities of perhaps three, four, five, three, four, five hundred people. Right.

So in other words, like a village conception or hamlet. Yes. Yes.

So and without caste, as I said. So does it make it a more egalitarian society? No, because women didn't have access to any major position. Well, I guess their position would be the traditional role of taking care of children and the elderly and sick and, you know, the household and so forth.

Yes. Yes. But there was no sharing of women as it is propounded in some millenaristic sects in the Middle Ages or later.

Right. Like communism. Yeah.

Or even in Plato, there's no community of women. Right. As far as we know that we know.

Yes. I always looked upon Plato's work as pretty effeminate, actually, especially the figure of Socrates. He seems to be sort of a classical world proponent of the cosmopolitan mongrel.

He is, definitely. Well, in fact, he may have been a mongrel. Yeah.

If you look at a bust of his face, type in Socrates bust in a search, you'll see a sort of ugly looking, negrified look to him. But bear in mind that this bust was, well, made not during his lifetime. OK, so I guess we don't really know entirely what he looked like.

He may have been made just during the Renaissance. Yeah. So that could have been a forgery, so far as we know.

Oh, yeah. Just the character of Socrates in the works of Plato. Obviously, his character was mediated through Plato's imagination and recollection.

When I suggest that he may have been a mongrel, I'm referring to two sources, but two sources that, you know, two Greek sources. But we don't know more. They just hint at the possibility that he was, well, his father or his mother was not Greek.

But his whole philosophy smells of all the, I mean, the worst, worst, smells of the worst ideas that have shaped the world as it is nowadays. That's why they teach that in school still. They never teach Aristotle really so much.

They only teach Plato. I don't know. Plato, I mean, they've moved on.

Plato, that was fine for centuries. They had literate people lobotomized by Socrates. And yes.

But now I think they've moved on. They don't even need the history now. Like Francis Fukuyama said, the end of history.

Yes. So they move on to something even more radical. Yeah, because it's not a question of trying to convince people who are rational agents, because there are no rational agents anymore.

They're just like nodes in the system, in the cybernetic control grid. Definitely, yes. They're basically like computer chips that are programmed to play certain functions in the giant computer.

More or less, yes. Yeah, we would drift here to the matrix theory. Yeah, it makes sense that that's what Jews want to do, or whoever these entities are who control the Jews or whatever genetic stock that they have, this Mediterranean Jewish stock from the Near East, the Levantines, as Francis Parker Yawkey called them, that they're probably possessed by some type of entities or something and they want to derive the soul energy of people.

But I guess you'd almost certainly not agree with that or not be able to affirm that that's true or false. But that's my speculation anyway. No, I haven't said that I disagree or agree.

It's just that... That's speculation? That it's speculation? No, I wouldn't say that there are mere speculations. I would just say that it fits with one's own experience, or it does not. Right.

Yeah, in my experience, these entities are definitely not entirely so-called human. They're not conscious as an autonomous entity. And that may very well be a result of whatever influences from above, as Evola called it, Inferhuman or whatever, the forces of disintegration that are influencing them as a sort of like cybernetic robot that's taken over to engineer these circumstances.

And they're not a fully conscious entity, but that's related to the this cycles of time, how things have become more and more technologized. And so it's basically subverted the autonomy of so-called human consciousness from the previous Hamlet model to the caste system, which degenerated to democratic, priestly caste rule in today's society, becoming more technologized as things have gone forward. Machines.

Machines could be materializations of non-human entities. May very well be. And they're just constructed or created from ideas that are, or thought forms that are put into the bio-computer brains of the so-called humans.

And then those humans make those and then those entities can use those to further enslave, just like in the Terminator movies, right? In Terminator 2. As I said, machines could be the form they use to manifest themselves on our plane of existence. But I haven't read that in a book or speculated about that. It was just that one morning, that has happened a few times since I was suddenly woken up.

Well, I was waiting for the bus and it was somewhere else in mind. And I was woken up, in quotation marks, by the roaring of an engine. I turned my head and saw it was a truck.

I did think at that very moment, I thought it was some sort of, the sound of some sort of beast, really. Yeah. Well, it looks like in Maximum Overdrive by Stephen King, if you're familiar with that movie.

No. It's a movie where the machines are basically possessed by entities and then they come to life. Emilio Estevez was in it and they basically trapped them in a diner and they have to fight against the machines to survive.

No, I'm not. I've just seen one movie taken from one novel. That's one of the reasons why I try not to watch too many movies, not to let myself be influenced.

Yeah, because that's what they want to make them for. They want to influence your mind. Yeah, exactly.

But you see, it happens from time to time that someone tells me about a movie, about a scene or a few scenes in the movie that reminds me of hypotheses I've made, and this is a very good example. Yes. When you also watch most people driving their cars, there is something quite disturbing about it, in a sense that they look like and behave like they are the master of something.

They could very well be mastered, I think. It's interesting. A lot of the gang stalkers around here who are gang stalking me, if I see them drive by in the vehicles, they'll always accelerate their vehicle and they just stare ahead crazily like they're not even human beings.

They're a cybernetic robot that's controlled and they're their faces. They don't look like they have any real human expression. You probably go out more often than I am.

Not so far. I've been inside in the last few days. I just basically stay inside and use my cardio equipment because I don't want to be set up in a false flag operation by these gang stalkers.

Hmm. Still, I barely see any face. Even when I'm out, I try not to look at too many people, you see, because you may have trouble when you meet someone's eyes.

I'm speaking by experience. There are far too many people out of their mind out there for me to venture into that kind of relationship with people we don't know. I told you the other day, and this is a point not many people think about.

You see, how many people do we meet when we go out? What do we see? It depends who you are and where you are. Sorry? It depends who you are and where you are. Let's say you're going downtown.

You stay there, let's say, for 30 minutes. You'll see probably around 50, 70 people during those 30 minutes, right? Yeah, it just depends on how big the town is and how busy it is, of course, but probably on average. On average.

Okay. But the point most people, it's a very simple point, most people don't see is that we are not made to see so many people we don't know in such a short period of time. Our brain is not made for that.

Yeah, I'm sure that causes a lot of stress responses, like a fight or flight state. Subconsciously, social life is a trauma. The brain is not conceived to think about two ideas at the same time.

In the same way, the brain is not conceived to deal with so many unknown people, foreigners, in such a short time. That's why social life is a trauma, subconsciously, of course. Yeah, I guess that's sort of, you know, in the ancient world, we just had our farms, and we were in the countryside, and we were having a relationship with the natural environment.

That enabled a harmonious state of consciousness, whereas now, with all the technology and the urbanization, it's become basically like living in a war zone. That's why people in the city are so aggressive. Even nowadays, there are still people who experience that lifestyle, I don't know, in Wyoming or places like that.

Maybe all those they see in a day, they know. They don't see any foreigners. They don't see any people they don't know.

They live on a ranch, and there's no trauma for them. But someone who spends an hour or two downtown at rush hour is traumatized day after day. And this may well explain why people have lost gradually their mind throughout generations.

Living in town is a trauma. It is. And that's why the so-called archons or those who control whatever, the Jews and so forth, that's why they want to take the land away from the peasants, like it says in the protocols, we will take the peasants from the soil.

We want them packed into the cities because they can subject them to the trauma, and then when they release whatever sort of stress response, then those entities feed off their energy that they release. That's not deep down. People are still, despite fashionable clothes and so on, they are still very, very close to the beast.

Yeah, well, you can see that in their behavior whenever there's riots, mass riots and so forth. Their reptilian brain is what is what leads them subconsciously, of course. But it's the reptilian brain that reacts when the person is confronted with tens, hundreds of foreigners in an hour or two in cities.

And this affects every person subconsciously. And because it affects them subconsciously, the effects are even deeper, stronger and more harmful. Simple things like that are nowadays completely forgotten.

That's why it's better to live in the most primitive conditions in the country than in the city. I've basically come to that conclusion myself now that I know more about their control system and their cell towers, all the ways they have of enslaving you in the city and creating massive traumas. It's sort of like a trap.

They entice you with the prospect of bread and circuses, of money and pleasures, and then they just basically use that illusion of benefit to basically drain you of your energy. There's something to do with draining the energy, indeed. Yes.

That's why they have their monuments on ley lines and around rivers and so forth that can transmit energy, you know, like phallic symbols. Good point. Loki, pause.

Two minutes, right? Okay, yeah. I'll just continue to discuss those issues related to the so-called archons and technology. Obviously, there's a transhumanist agenda, and Elon Musk and various other Jews are big pushers of the agenda.

Then there's the figure of the golem in Gustav Merink's novel of the same name of Rabbi Lo of Prague. This has always been a Jewish conception of this magical construct made of inorganic materials that is inhabited by some sort of entity and that does the bidding of the Jews or those who control the Jews. So, clearly, it appears that this is what is being done and what their intention is, is to try to maybe bring or enable entities to operate on the earth, these archons or whatever you wish to call them.

The demiurge, as Serrano called it, that the Jews are basically terraforming the earth. I think it was Jay Widener, another Jewish conspiracy theorist, who had said that they're trying to terraform the earth through chemtrails and various other electromagnetic technologies, like HAARP technology, so that these entities can have an atmosphere in which they can operate. That is revealed predictively in predictive programming like Stephen King in his movie Maximum Overdrive from the 80s, and I'm sure the Matrix movie also.

That's almost certainly what their intention is, ultimately, is to basically trap everybody into some sort of literal shell that they could just drain their energy, because in Japan, they have sleep tubes where people just sleep. They want them to be more and more small. So, we go from the origins of the Aryan on hamlets and farms.

You are independent, you're autonomous. Ultimately, they want to get you in a five-by-five apartment blasted by electromagnetic fields and smart technology. So, everything you do is controlled, and then they can just cut you off if you're not a good slave using the social credit system as part of their cybernetic control grid.

Basically, not only they want to control you, but they want to control you with the end goal of basically stealing your soul from you, so they can vampirize it, because that's what they are, is a vampiric entity, this demiurge or archon, archontic force that is working with the Jews on the earth, and if not all Jews, then almost all Jews, at least those who have power and control, but almost certainly most, if not all. In addition to probably others of similar genetic stock, who are either bred, interbred with Jews, or who are of a similar nature that has that schizophrenia gene, the DNST3 gene, or whatever other genetic stock that enables these entities to basically possess them. Mm-hmm.

You are talking about possession. Yeah, that's my thought, that basically like the Matrix movie, they're pretty much trying to get to the point where they take everybody from their previously autonomous state, and then they just make them into like a human battery that they can drain their energy from. I think the two issues are not necessarily connected.

I mean, the battery, people being used as batteries, and possession. They're not connected? I'm not saying they're not, I'm just saying they may not be. Difficult to say, of course.

What's the connection between those issues for you? Well, between a human battery, basically we're just soul food for them. We're like energetic food that these entities feed off. No, but between a human being as battery, as a battery, as being used as a battery, and the notion of possession.

Well, these entities are basically possessing them so that they can feed off their energy. Because they're like an astral parasite that parasitizes their energy. So, even though they might very well be self-destructive, because they're non-physical entities probably to whatever extent, they still use physical bodies, even if it leads to their own destruction of that physical body.

And they do so when you're in a certain vibrational state of consciousness, sort of fear and anger and rage, low vibrational frequency. That's why they want people to drink liquor and do drugs and hang around in bars and things like that, because it puts you in a low vibrational state of consciousness. And probably why they have those cell towers and so forth, so that they can manipulate the electromagnetic spectrum and put you into that state of consciousness that enables these entities to efficiently feed off you for as long as possible, while you're forced to work.

And what work is, is just your release of energy so you can be vampirized. Yeah, well, exactly. It seems to be contradictory.

Let me explain myself. Sure. Possession implies that there is something that enters someone's body and dwells within it, right? Yeah, or at least has control over it.

Well, it's not the same thing, basically. You see? Well, that's what I mean, is to whatever extent that these entities exert an influence on the body, and they vampirize the soul energy of the being that incarnates in that form. Yeah, but you may control someone from inside or from outside.

Yeah, it's difficult to say. I'm sure that maybe both are the case. My problem with the notion of possession is that it is a priestly notion.

Is that because they are the ones who are like the intermediaries who can depossess you? Well, yes, of course. They pretend or pretended they were the only ones. Through exorcism? Yeah, but my point is, could it be that they invented the existence of such entities so as to be able to act like the only persons who could save you? Right.

That makes sense. That's my only problem, you see, with the notion of possession and of the existence of infra-human entities. I'm so allergic to anything priestly that I can't help wondering if these entities may just be inventions from them.

Yeah, you can see how in pop culture, which they largely control, that that sort of a means of fear-mongering people, you know, from all these horror movies and stories and so forth, many of them are financed by the Catholic Church themselves. I think the exorcist was financed by them. They have vested interest in doing that because that relates to their so-called knowledge slash power of the priest, that they have the knowledge of these entities and they have the power to save you and so forth.

So it makes you dependent on them. Yeah, and that would make these entities non-existent. They would be a creation, yeah, a creation of a priest in order to, well, to get the upper hand on people, to control them mentally.

And of course, they've got all this, like the gang stalkers have, especially the directed energy weapons technology and voice to skull and so forth, that they could make people think that these are real entities and they can use that on a mass scale, not even just through TV and that sort of medium, but the actual direct influence of electromagnetic devices that manipulate human consciousness. So they could make people think that there's a Messiah coming or, you know, create a hologram, a holographic image and so forth. We are quite far from that, aren't we? What do you mean? Well, from seeing in the skies Christ coming back.

Well, there's still lots of Abrahamic Judeo-Christian and Islamic types that are waiting for a Messiah. So maybe they're looking to, you know, orchestrate that or make it, make them think that there's some sort of new Aeon, you know, Age of Aquarius. There's a big problem for them, facing them, a huge problem.

And there's only one spot and there are three candidates. Who are they? The spot being the sky. Who are those candidates looking? Three candidates.

You mean the Abrahamic religions? Well, Jesus is supposed to come back, but he's not the only one. The, not Muhammad, of course, but the hidden, how do they call him? The hidden caliph, I think, no? Isn't it the Imam Mahdi or something? Exactly, Imam. The hidden Imam in Islam is supposed to come back too.

And Jews have also their millenaristic views. Yeah, that they're their own Messiah. Yeah, but you see, there's only one spot, but who's coming back? Well, I think they can orchestrate it in such a way that it satisfies most people, getting them to think that they're the solution.

And then they can just collapse the distinction and, you know, say like with Theosophy, they can just make a universalist religion, mother goddess worship, worshiping, you know, not only Mother Earth, but some sort of more abstract I'm soft or type mother goddess, and then have her so-called messianic saviour figure consort. But do they need that? The worship of, through the worship of women, they worship Mother Goddess. Every individual, every person, every mutual, every macho worship the Mother Goddess through his worshipping women.

And there's another thing. While we are speaking, there may be, I don't know what they are, thousands of millions of people were worshipping sexually the Mother Goddess through the internet. Right, through pornography and so forth.

Of course. Can you, you know that pornography sites, websites represent between 80 and 85% of 85% of all the websites visited in a day? Yeah. That's gigantic.

So, I guess that's a word to the wise, to people out there, is to keep away from any sort of pornography. No, but my remark, my observation is not meant to be of any moral order. Sorry, there's a phone ringing.

Sorry. That's good. Yeah, so the Mother Goddess obviously plays its role, its function.

But in Islam, I don't see there being too much of a Mother Goddess presence there, even though I guess Allah is the moon god, pretty much. So that's somewhat related to the female matriarchal conception. But yeah, the figure of this messianic figure who is going to be descending from the aether obviously, the figure of an external authority that people have to worship, and that only a priestly caste elite are capable of having a relationship with, conditions the populace to be, for the most part, subordinate and subservient to the power of the priest, which is based upon their so-called gnosis or knowledge of that.

Loki, sorry to interrupt you. My observation was not meant to be moralistic. It's just that there are technical reasons, technical reasons, I mean, more than technical, physiological reasons for not consuming pornography on top of existential reasons.

Most of men who are, most of those who use and abuse pornography end up being important. Yeah, I guess they burn themselves out there. Well, yes, that's the goal.

That's the goal. There's a website called Your Brain on Porn that refers to that, discusses the physiology of it, yourbrainonporn.com. I don't know. But that sounds interesting.

Your Brain on Porn. Yeah, it basically claims that it's a drug that, you know, creates hyper dopamine secretion because of excessive, you know, you get a dopamine spike whenever you view the pornography because it's exciting material. And then that, in order to get a similar dopamine secretion, you have to increase the dosage like a drug, basically.

So it just, over time, that burns out your sympathetic nervous system. Yes, on top of making people, men important. I guess it makes you impotent because you're comparing that which is not.

But because you are living a virtual experience. Yeah, you're comparing something which is hyper stimulating with something which is comparatively less in real life. And at the same time to, you know, you just burn out your sympathetic nervous system.

So over time, I'd probably weaken you. Yes, but it's physiological. I don't have the explanation, but that's a fact.

And it should be expanded to other kind of experiences. So sensational sexuality, it also affects other parts of people's experience. So in other words, the sexualization of society is designed to basically put you into this lower state of consciousness and burn you out, basically.

And ultimately, to have everyone worship, have every man worship women and through them, the mother goddess. And the mother goddess, basically, for Christianity is just Mary Magdalene, I guess. Yes, or Mary, just Mary.

And in a way, I remember reading in this one article by Bill White called, what was it, Yahweh Unmasked. He says that Yahweh is just a syncretic figure that derives from, you know, the mother goddesses of the Near East combined with Baal. Yes, this is documented by various sources.

Of course, yes. Yeah, if you want, we're talking about transvestites, gods being transvestites. Yahweh is one.

Yes, sure. His attributes is those of a possessive mother. Right, yeah.

He's taking care of his little children, his chosen ones and so forth. And gets angry for triples. Right, that's sort of inherent in the Jewish nature.

I think you had referenced once about the Yeshidi Mami. Yes. The Jewish mother.

Yes. That's Yahweh, that's Yahweh. Some Jews are very aware of it.

And besides, there is another dimension to the use and abuse of pornography. Humiliation. So you mean the viewer is humiliated? Yeah.

Because they're cocked by the other guy? Yeah, by definition, of course. You are in, what is humiliation? Etymologically, is to find yourself below. Yeah, that you're humble.

Yeah, to humble, exactly. Of course, there's no, I mean, there are millions and tens, hundreds of millions of people, men, humiliating themselves. And as we speak, of course, I'm aware that there are also, there is also a pornography, pornographic stuff for women and for gays, of course.

And that it all just sort of descends to the level of the chthonic tellurian, as Evola called it, the sort of lower states of consciousness that are purely based on irrational drives and emotion, and not anything of a more elevated nature. But I think they live on their proper level of consciousness, which could be compared to the level of consciousness of a cockroach. Yeah, either that or a bonobo or something in the treetops.

Sorry for the cockroach. I didn't mean to offend them. No, really.

I don't know if we can talk about, yeah, even of humiliation in stricto sensu, because these people are so low that they just experience what they are made by nature to experience. They live on the level of existence. Yeah, maybe they're just not, that's just their natural plane of being.

They're an incarnated entity that's not sufficiently developed to transcend that sort of base drive. But it does have the influence of pulling everybody down, too. The more you involve yourself in base drives and lower chthonic tellurian praxis, we could call it that, then the more you will become that.

I think Evola spoke about reincarnations or incarnations to some extent, that you basically, or at least that you become more like, either you fragment and cease to exist, or else maybe you would even incarnate maybe in a lower life form. Well, no, he criticized the theory of reincarnation. Yeah, I think maybe that's just theosophy.

That's a theosophical view. Well, yes. Yeah, he was critical of that.

Rooted in late Buddhism. Yeah, Mahayana Buddhism. Yes.

And beyond that, rooted in Negroid, in the beliefs of Negroid people from India. Right, the Dravidians' demonology. Yes.

And that's what became Hinduism from when Vedism was mixed with their Dravidian culture. Indeed. It created the contemporary superstitious ideology that constitutes Hinduism now, which is at the same time still a sort of, you know, mother goddess type of thing, so far as I understand.

It is, yes. ...pantheistic ideology with, at the same time, all these sorts of practices which are related to your somehow becoming, you know, getting money and being rich, like all this astrological, numerological stuff that they always rely on to try to gamble. Gamble with fate, I guess you could say.

Gamble with? With fate. Ah, fate. Yeah.

Well, I don't know. They are not to gamble with fate. You need to have to be in a different category, I think.

Gamble with fate, not so many people are able to, are strong enough to do that. Well, I mean, that's just what their religion is, and the Near East also. They're always trying to utilize various occult technologies to try to cheat what they're involved with for personal temporal gain.

Oh, yes, I see what you mean, but I don't... Is that gambling with fate? Fate. Gambling means you win or you lose. Well, you know how the Jewish gamblers in their casinos always try to cheat the system, right? But they're not gambling with fate.

They are just gambling. Yeah, I guess. Maybe they just don't understand their fate.

I don't know. That's their hubris. They think that there's something that can somehow overcome things through purely materialistic means or some sort of artificial occultist system, which is not reality, but which they believe to be reality.

And so they more cheat themselves than anything. And maybe that's their fate. Their Achilles heel is basically just to be not really resonant with the sum total to any great extent that they can understand how to preserve their identity.

And so they just bring about their own destruction eventually. I couldn't say I'm not in their head. Yeah, well, I'm sure they're not in their head either.

That's the thing, because they're subject to ecstasy in the sense of the Greek ekstasis going out of their mind because they're controlled by the forces of disintegration. So they're not really in their head either. They're just out of it.

So this alchemy of theirs, their occult practices, I'd always wondered if it's Jewish at its core, this sort of Near Eastern, Syrio-African demonology that Alfred Rosenberg speaks about, or is it something that was stolen from some type of Aryan origins? How could I say? How could I know? That's a claim that a lot of people are making, that it all derived from Egypt before the Jewish influence of Akhenaten, and that Egypt was white in its origins. Well, I think we discussed that point partly last time we spoke about Egypt. Yeah, I don't know.

I know it's probably been taken over by Jews in part, and then they were kicked out. And then from there, it just evolved through race mixing and caste mixing and then became more corrupted over time, just like every other Aryan society in its origins. So if this is the mother goddess influence that people are subject to with all this chthonic-Telurian influences that Jews and various others, Masons and so forth, engineer, what masculinist solutions are there? I think Evola speaks about detachment and so forth.

Evola wrote a piece about the origin of decadence, of degeneracy. Of course, he did not manage to get the answer. It's a question whose answer is difficult to get, almost impossible.

How come what is superior can degenerate and end up in the lowest state of existence possible? It is not easy, and it may be impossible to answer the mystery of decadence. That's the title of his article, The Mystery of Decadence. I have my take.

As soon as women get the upper hand on men, decadence starts, begins, unfolds. I guess that's because the woman basically parasitizes off the man and drains him of his energy. Leaving aside the energy issue, the problem still remains.

How can a man become subject to and enslaved to women? Basically through, I guess, sexual enticement, for the most part. Well, there are limits to application of your hypothesis. Frankly, a higher man will not, never, subject himself to a woman.

Whether sexually or not, of course, sexuality is the best means for a woman to subjugate and subject a man. No, my guess is different. It's not that the higher, the superior types of men were ever subjugated and enslaved by women, not the higher men.

The lower, the inferior, were subjugated by women. That didn't matter so much in early Aryan or white communities. Why? Because, as previously mentioned, we are talking about communities of a couple of hundreds, three hundreds, four hundreds of persons.

Superior men ruled and women could not subjugate them. Now, things change. The moment from small communities, you have larger communities.

Then the number of inferior men grow, right? Yeah, because they don't have to be the ones to face the hardship. They can just sort of hide in the crowd, mainly. Yes, but the more a given people expand, it's fruitful.

The more it is fruitful, the more it tends to lose quality, you see? Why is that, do you think? Well, it's the law of reproduction. The more you produce, the less quality your products have. You can check this even among craftsmen.

Until lately, craftsmen would make I mean, very few pieces until industry started. Then a craftsman would take him, for example, three weeks or two to make something. Well, through industry, it would take one hour to make hundreds of those pieces, hundreds.

That also has to do with, it's not necessarily a result of quantity, though. It's just a result of trying to have more quantity in the form of resources, so they deliberately make the products of cheaper quality and so forth. You can't have quantity and quality at the same time.

Yeah, I guess, because they have to be made by individuals who are craftsmen, as opposed to just assembly line types. Yes, you can't. This is something that can be checked on a very personal level, very individual level.

So, if you apply that rule to the history of the Aryan people, or the white people, you have a key to unfold the mystery of decadence. The more people, the less qualitative they were. Yeah, maybe even in the germ plasm of the person as well, that it becomes less and less valuable the more they expend it.

Because you have the notion of prima nocte with all the nobility having huge amounts of children too. Yes, yes, because apparently sex was a driving factor in their lives. Apparently, they had nothing else in mind.

Yeah, a lot of them, that's why they became syphilitic. Yes, that would be another discussion. But the thing is that at some point, there are so many inferior men that they can be used by women against superior men.

And this is the story of our society. There are still men worthy of the name today, but they are overwhelmed by inferior men. So I guess the goal is to try to get back their power.

And of course, the question is, how can that possibly be done? Given the extent of the net of the control grid that they live in, how do you cut through this net and break through it? If the mystery of decadence is an issue that is not easy to decipher, your question is even harder to answer. I've heard some people say that the only way to get through the net is just to smash down the power centers which generate the net. Can you repeat, please? I've heard some people say that the only way you can break through this net is to smash down the power centers that generate the net.

Well, that's a very general statement, isn't it? Yeah, it's the best I can do. Because on an individual level, how can you do it? Well, exactly. It's not like if you're going to become some, you know, so-called terrorist or whatever, you're just going to end up getting shot and killed.

And then you won't really do very much at all, effectively. Actually, I'm not so I may appear not so antisemitic. But I need to say something is that terrorism was probably invented by the Jews.

That would be that would be my antisemitic observation of the day. Yeah, you have the Erdogan, you have the Young Turks and then in ancient Rome, they burnt down ancient Rome, too. It's not that I rely only on one source to put that theory forward, but that source happens to be a US government website.

They acknowledge themselves more or less implicitly, explicitly, of course, that the first terrorists were Jews. During the war, the war against Rome. Yeah, that was Rome against Etruria or Rome against Judea.

Yeah, yeah, yeah, that was published, that history of terrorism by a government agency, a US government agency. They also have a story of the occult sciences, by the way, which is not uninteresting. Maybe some people there are very interested in occult sciences.

Well, when they are not watching porn movies, you know, no, I'm not fantasizing. There was a report some years ago, they monitored the White House, what the employees in the White House were doing all day long. And they found out that they were on porn websites almost all day long.

No, it's true. Yeah, hypersexual, I guess that's the nature of the Jew, as Wagner called them. They're the demon of human decadence.

Yes, and that shows Americans just how much they care for you. But not just Americans, I mean, the same is bound to be true in all Western countries. Anyway, I've been led astray by myself here.

Yeah, you were asking how to get out, how to solve the problem. Is there like a masculinist solution to the feminist problem? I was, yeah, I was saying that there is there's no practical answer. I mean, on an individual basis.

The pressure from inferior men is so strong that there's almost nothing you can do about it except to protect it, to protect yourself. But you cannot not have a proactive or proactive, yes, attitude. That's why I say that people should just start forming civil defense units to people with yourself.

But, yeah, sure. But there are so many men who would tell you that they are against female rule. And when you get to know them better, you see that they spend their time pleasing their girlfriend or wife as they can, so much so that they really appear as slaves.

And then that's when you interfere with what when you interact with them in their family, in their family, in a family context, and then you meet them outside that context and they would be very, they would come down very strongly about female rule. But in their family, they are completely subjected to that rule. Yeah, it's like a lot of these so-called tough man, macho man types, they've got their garages that they hang around in where the woman owns the house, basically, that they slave away to work to pay.

Of course. I mean, you must have met some of them. I have met them all my life.

Yeah, it's funny. I mean, they try to make jokes as if they say, you know, she wears the pants in the family or stuff like that. But, you know, those are just statements of truth or a fact about themselves more than anything.

Yeah, they are just trying to pretend bad and they would like, but the influence women have on them, it's just too strong. They can't help it. Yeah, they have that sort of subordinate mentality.

More than subordinate, slave, slave. So how do you overcome that in yourself then? It's just a question of detaching yourself from things or just trying to cultivate some form of personal autonomy where you're not dependent on others, you don't need anything or anyone, you can just sort of live your life. You've got to think that you live in a society where, as I said, inferior men, slaves to women, make sure that any objectively superior man cannot, well, can only survive.

Yeah, well, that's the only way that they can function in this society is by becoming this sort of a soy boy, goofy type with this, you know, gaping grin on their face. That they always have. Yes.

You know, there's this very interesting book that I read, I read some time ago about the way young men were educated, brought up in the noble families from the 15th to the 19th century. France or in Europe in general? In general, in Europe, yes. Men were fathers, used to bring up boys together with their wives.

But from the 16th century, wives started to get the upper hand and were put in charge of bringing up the boys, too. And there are so many families in which women in, I repeat, in noble families where women would dress their male offspring as girls. Yeah, I've seen pictures like that from England, even in like the 19th century, had like big lollipops and ribbons on them and so forth.

Exactly. So that raises a question. I mean, from a traditionalist standpoint, the modern world started only, let's say, at the beginning of the 19th century.

But we are here in the 16th century and still women, or some women in noble families, dress their male offspring as girls. Frankly, this is very modern, very modern. You see a lot of those bourgeois types, especially of the upper class, you know, in the city, in the urbanized environments especially, they always dress in a sort of very dapper type of fashion.

You know, everything is all very, very elegant and latest, greatest fashion and so forth. They've got all these silly haircuts and so on. And what happens to a male when having been dressed as a girl for during his entire youth? He becomes an adult.

Well, he becomes probably a faggot or something like that, bisexual. Exactly. At the very least, he becomes like that in his behavior, like a female type of behavior.

But it's not just the way they dress them. It's the fact that the boys spend their whole days with women. Yeah, you can see that now.

They have that sort of, I don't know, sewing circle mentality where there's always gossiping and bantering and so forth, a feminine personality. Yes, so they've been brought up exclusively by women. So the result is that when they become adults, they are women, basically.

They are women. And that's probably the plan of the Jews and all their affiliates, feminists and the Freemasons, is just to castrate the white male, destroy the nuclear family, you know, let the woman have the state as her husband or her mother figure to provide for her. And then she can be a single mother raising the boys, because that's what happened to me and my family, too, is that I was basically raised by a single mother in large part.

And that definitely has that influence on you. It creates a sort of retarded development. But this raises a very important question.

Was there a Jewish person in every household, noble household from the 16th to the 19th century to suggest the wife to bring up her male offspring as a girl? I suppose. No, it wasn't. OK, I thought they might have been emancipated or something more.

No, but in the household, there was no Jewish. It couldn't be a Jew in every household, noble household, to suggest the wife to bring up her male offspring as a girl. So it was just mainly the female influence.

Well, it's in her nature, of course. Yeah, yeah. Yes.

So no wonder so many nobles adopted Jewish views, the Jewish worldview, when they became adults. No wonder, because the Jew is essentially a feminine type. This was demonstrated, if I may say, by Weininger.

Right, Otto Weininger and his sex and character. Which is a book which... And he was a Jew, it's coming from the horse's mouth. Yeah, the first part is a bit too abstract.

But the second part of sex and character is to be read, to be read. It is. I was actually beginning to read that again, but I was sort of like getting a little bit tired of the first part.

But yeah, definitely something I should continue reading more. Yeah, I know. It was a bit of a shock when after reading Evola for the first time 30 years ago, I opened that book, Weininger's, and found myself struggling with so many abstract speculations in the first pages of the book.

But no, you need to get straight away to the second part. The first part is to be avoided at any cost. I guess that's sort of the Jewish tendency toward that quantitative reductivism and abstract conceptualization of reality.

You know, everything's all... It's like Spinoza and his ethics. It's basically just this wooden system of abstractions. Yes, definitely, as remarked by Evola, and as can be checked, the Semitic spirit is abstract by nature, yes.

And this is why the modern world, as the traditionalists would call it, is a Semitic world. Of course, the traditionalists wouldn't say that the modern world is a Semitic world. But there are many abstract speculations in the second part, too.

However, there are many, many observations that are very worthy in that second part about the resemblance, the psychic and mental resemblances between Jews and women. What do you think? He killed himself after he wrote it. Yeah, I guess it was like a mirror that he looked into and he didn't like what he saw.

Yeah. Hitler said that he was the only good Jew. Yes, but he was right, of course.

Yeah. Many instances he was, and in that instance he was, too. But I don't know how you deal with, because you asked the question, how you deal with the female grip on every aspect of life today.

But it has become completely, it has become so... Ubiquitous? Yeah, ubiquitous is the word I was looking for, that the only way you can deal with it is detachment, to bounce back on your reference to Evola, to the reference you made a few minutes ago. Yeah, Evola's got some... Oh, go ahead. No, I said detachment.

You're almost obliged, you almost have to be detached. Otherwise, well, there would be spots on the wall. Yeah, probably.

Yeah. Evola had works like Introduction to Magic, he discusses techniques of that. Also in Zen and the art of the samurai, and there's also the Doctrine of Awakening, Buddhist varieties of asceticism, which discusses Theravada Buddhism, allegedly the original Buddhism.

Those all have a lot of techniques that people can rely on to maybe develop or strengthen themselves and detaching themselves from this society, so they can ride the tiger, so to speak. So I guess you wouldn't prescribe that people just live communally or collectively in like units, like a masculinist unit, so to speak? No, I'm not a prescriber. I don't have any lesson to teach to anyone.

But it's just that these communities you refer to are very difficult to create. Yeah, obviously, you have to have working capital and you have to have people you can trust. Yeah.

The woman makes sure that all superior men cannot have enough money in this society to organize that kind of community. That's why they're so glad to see when men are paired off with women, because they know they'll be wasting all their time and money on the woman instead. Yes.

They make sure it doesn't happen. Yeah, that's why they're all matchmakers at heart, I guess you could say. Yes.

So you may want to start your own community, but who will? Well, exactly, that becomes a question. I mean, if I had to form a community, there would be only two people in my community. Yeah, it's sort of the only solution, really.

No. Maybe collectively within a certain area, I guess, in different properties or something, if you can. Well, yes.

Then just meet up whenever. Yeah, there is the financial question that arises again. So I guess, what's his name, Chinwezu? Chinwezu, yeah, I don't know how you say it.

I think that's his name, that Nigerian professor who wrote Anatomy of Matriarchy. Yeah. He says never to marry at all, I guess, in his book.

That's his prescription. Yes. So it's sort of like a prescription of being a player, but not in a macho sense or for sexual purposes, but just if that's your inclination and not to make that your focus? It's just that at the point we've reached, it's not a matter of not marrying at all, it's a matter of protecting yourself from the predator which women are.

Predators. It's not the same thing, Loki. The only way, well, the only option you have is to protect yourself.

It's not, you cannot attack. The only way is to defend yourself, protect yourself. We are not in a position to attack, right? Doesn't that just lead to like entropy, though? Say it again? Doesn't that lead to entropy, you know, like a system that closes in on itself? Yes.

But I guess there's their system, isn't it, closing in on itself too, though? So it's just a question of like a rat race to see who will be able to hold on longest? I think it's a point we already discussed last time. I don't see how their system could just implode. But then there's got to be some sort of proactive means.

I guess you said you don't really know what that would be. Well, I know that there aren't any. Because there are so many, you are so overwhelmed by the mass of slaves in your daily life, in your daily life.

Yeah. You're so overwhelmed by your mass of inferior men that there's nothing you can do against the female power. Nothing.

So basically, yes, create a community if you want, if you have the financial means to do it. But as far as I'm concerned, as suggested by the few measures I suggested last time on the show, I will always fight on my level for Reconquista. So it's more like a war of the mind? No, war, no, none of the mind.

I will always fight to reconquer what was lost. That means my land, my country. So it's not a question for me of creating a community because, well, will they let you create a community? Right, all sorts of legislation and means of shutting you down economically, claiming you're a terrorist or something.

Do you think that that rabble will just let you go? Oh, you want to create your own community? Oh, yeah, OK, go for it. No. Yeah, that happened to Craig Cobb in Lathe, North Dakota in America, where the whole town basically turned against him.

You see? Well, he created, I don't know, I haven't heard about him, but anyway, he created it in America, in the US. OK, but let's go further. Let's say that someone creates a community in a country that is not as infected by egalitarian and cosmopolitan Semitic ideas like Eastern European countries.

What makes you sure that they don't have, they couldn't break that community and destroy it just as easily as that man's community was destroyed? They won't let you go. They won't let you go. And the reason is that we are all in this together.

Pantheism, we are all in this together. You said it at the beginning. Yeah, they don't want anybody to get outside of the net because that might set precedents and other people might follow suit or else it might pose a threat in some way to their infrastructure or something.

But mainly, mainly through just setting a precedent ideologically, I think. In pantheism, there is nothing whatsoever, ever, that may exist outside the whole. Nothing, nothing at all.

So I guess we could consider the world in which we're living, basically pantheistic totalitarianism is a good term. Yes, very good point. Point.

Pantheism is totalitarianism, total, the whole. And that's what Julius Evola would call categogic totalitarianism. Yes, I know that Evola used the word totalitarianism in a positive sense at some point.

Called it anagogic totalitarianism. Yes, and so did I, so did I. He changed his mind and so did I, but for different reasons. It's just that it is based on an organicist worldview.

And this worldview, which according to which society is a body, is not, could I say, consistent with the Aryan or white worldview. How does it, how does it differ than in terms of, so pantheistic organicism? Society, that's like, that's more like the Tellurian conception of things. Yeah, as a body, it is not of Aryan or white or origin, it is not.

No, this is here a very moot point because organicism has been upheld by most, by all, in fact, rightist thinkers, all from Yogi to Evola. All of them have upheld that organicist theory. But in fact, you would be very surprised to learn that the body, the society as a body as an organism, was elaborated in Rome under the impulse of the plebeians.

Weren't they, to some extent, influenced or represented by Jews too in Rome, the plebeians? At that time, I don't know, maybe a bit too early in their history. The presence of Jews in early Rome has not been documented. But the plebeians were of non-Roman origins, probably Etruscans.

So they were Etruscans who were basically slaves or something? Yeah, with some Eastern blood in their veins, let's say. But it was only because the plebeians revolted that the nobles, the Roman patricians, started to include them in their work. And so the organicism was created.

We are all a whole, a body, an organism. We are the head, the patricians, and you are the body, the plebeians. Right, that's what Oswald Spengler speaks about in his Man and Technics as the heads and the hands.

Yes, but what he failed to recognize is that it was under the pressure of the plebeians that the body, the society, started to be seen as a body. Yeah, something that needed to be managed or controlled. Well, yes, a whole.

Like the ship that had to be steered by the captain with the rudder. An artificial whole. I have documented the origin of this idea of a society as a body.

And even in Roman authors, organicism was seen as, well, not organicism, the word was not coined at that time, but the view that the society formed a whole, a body, was always equated with the idea of decadence. In Levy, in Pliny also, it is very obvious. So, you're sure that that took ascendancy in Rome for the Aryans, but didn't it also have prior origins too, in like the Vedic tradition and so forth, in India and so on, different places? That this body... No, no, to me, it was the first time it was expressed.

Expressed. So, before that, what was the conception of society, or whatever you want to call it? I don't know. It was known.

It was known. So, just a bunch of people who didn't... People lived. They didn't conceptualize their relationships at all.

So, is that not something similar to National Socialism? What? The Aryan tradition? Oh yes, of course. There is... If there is something... If there is a political regime in Europe that contains some originally Roman element in it, it is of course National Socialism. I stress National Socialism.

Right. So, in other words, blood and soil in the form of people, you know, doing what they do according to their nature. Suwam Kwe Kwe, as Avala calls it, to each his own.

Yes, but this gives me the opportunity to say something most people who discuss National Socialism do not seem to be aware of. It's that Germany was not born in 1933, right? So, that means that Hitler inherited Germany that had existed for quite some time. So, he couldn't possibly, yes, couldn't possibly erase overnight all that had been had been formed.

Formed, yes, for centuries. That's why he had to have the positive Christianity so that, you know, the people wouldn't reject National Socialism. Say it again.

That's why he had to have positive Christianity so people wouldn't reject National Socialism. Otherwise, you know, the Christian influence, they would have rejected it if it wasn't for that accommodation. Yes.

Difficult to say. Very difficult. So, that would be the goal then for people to strive toward is a National Socialist society and the means to do that is basically detachment, to detach yourself from society to as great an extent as possible and try to form your own parallel society, I guess, to whatever extent you're able to do so in the most clandestine way.

It's basically all that you can do. Yes. Without, yes, without focusing on historical National Socialism, without, I mean, saluting, having posters of Hitler in your bedroom, right? Yeah, you just want to embody the idea.

Yeah, it's just the idea which was very reminiscent in essence of early Roman principles. And early Rome, though, didn't they have more of an agrarian society? It was more based on farms. Of course, yes.

Wasn't that itself a sort of a fall from a more previous state? Of course, it was, as agrarian society is a fall from a warrior society. Warrior, by that I mean a lifestyle that implied that to live, you had to, sorry, you had to fight for your subsistence. I guess the problem with that, with the warrior society is that when people go around trying to take over the territory of others and so forth, then it often leads to their being enticed to allow the others to do work for them, to try to build up empires, and then it becomes too unwieldy and it collapses in on itself and destroys the creator of it.

Yeah, and this would be my second antisemitic observation of the day. It turns out that the empire was, the idea of the empire was conceived under Julius Caesar. Right, and he was like an operative of the Jews, I think.

Yeah, and this is documented. Julius Caesar was lobbied by Jews, yes. And as a coincidence, well, Rome started to become an empire after Julius Caesar, what a coincidence.

Yeah, because it does seem like the British Empire is, you know, British means covenant man in Hebrew, so far as I know, and the British Empire is basically Jewish from its origin too, because they had Cromwell. That was sort of at the origin of the British Empire was Oliver Cromwell, who enabled the Jews to have citizenship in England again. Yes, well, exactly.

Whenever, wherever the Jews could get access to the throne, then the idea of an empire arose. And that's all because they wanted their Zion government throughout history. Yes.

At least since Rome. Yes, what a coincidence. And then, of course, they blame white people for all the imperialism and so forth, when they're the imperialists, so classic mendacity and reverse projection on their part.

Yes, but as an anecdote, well, may not sound anecdotic to everyone, but it is a very unknown fact that European imperialism in the 19th century started with the endeavour of the French to act against the Muslim pirates in the Mediterranean. In the early 19th century, Arab pirates were very active in the Mediterranean Sea. So what happened is that European nations started to, well, to see what could be done about it.

And there was a conference that was held at that time. Can't remember where it was exactly, Paris or Berlin or Vienna, but there was a conference. And the point was that there was something to be done against Arab pirates operating in the Mediterranean.

Which country do you think was put in charge of fighting them? I don't know, France? Exactly, France, always the good Samaritans, you see, always. So that's the beginning of so-called European imperialism in Africa. It was just at the beginning a fight against the Arab pirates in the Mediterranean.

Of course, I'm not saying that the French or any other European nation had not ventured in Africa before. No, not. But the fact is that imperialism, European imperialism started from that day, that moment.

And it never paid for the French or European individual, never. On the contrary, we built roads, hospitals, etc. there.

But of course, it was the money came from French people's pockets or European people's pockets. But that's all. Yeah, it's always the Jew who inverts things and blames white people for what they do.

And anything that's done to white people, and that white people do as a retaliatory act or to try to defend themselves, becomes aggression and hatred. So it's always inverted. Yes.

They always use whites as a scapegoat for their own evil plans. And then they can cock history when they need to, to try to further vilify and insult whites and get them to have a you know, a negative sense of identity, demoralization technique to try to destroy them, basically, and encourage the non-whites to feel that they are somehow entitled to do whatever they want to white people and to take whatever they want from them, basically, without limit. Yes, that's the narrative.

Yeah, exactly. But they could only develop that narrative from the aftermath of the Second World War. When they had to create trauma, they had to create trauma through the Second World War first.

Yeah. That's why some people are claiming that Hitler and the whole National Socialist regime was just a creation so that not only could they create anti-Semitism and create the state of Palestine, but so that they can, you know, blacken the reputation of white people in their own mind, so they won't be permitted, according to the discourse of egalitarian, you know, humanitarianism, to have regard for their own identity, because then that would qualify them as so-called evil Nazis. There is no question that they were.

You think the Third Reich was controlled by Jews? There's no question that there were people in the National Socialist Party who were who were in favor of the creation of a Jewish state. No question about that. But that, of course, that doesn't imply that it was not an authentic regime, of course.

But yes, at the top of the regime, Hitler. And this is documented, provided that people take time to read the right documents. Hitler didn't give a fuss.

So Hitler never wanted that or didn't care? He didn't care. The only thing that he cared for was that the Jews were out of Germany. Yeah, that makes sense.

Perfectly rational that, you know, they'd want to remove the Oriental parasite that is ruining their society. Yes. By hook or by crook.

Yes, this is documented. The so-called Zionist movement was never, never favored by Hitler. Never.

But it was favored by Churchill, I think. Yes. But the reason conspiracists find a way of accusing Hitler himself of being in favor of Zionism is that among the National Socialist Party, there were indeed people who favored that state.

Yeah. But at the same time, you need to wonder, how many people in the National Socialist Party were agents? Yeah, I guess there's agents everywhere. You can never... A lot.

A lot. It is, I think, basically, it's not that I think it's quite obvious. National Socialism was allowed to operate as long as it was needed.

It was filled with agents. There is a French revisionist, historical revisionist, who is proud that he claims, yeah, it was a great thing that Hitler didn't purge the Germany as Stalin had. Well, had Hitler purged Germany as Stalin had, he may have succeeded.

But he did not. That was his mistake with a capital M. I guess he was too kind to other people. Kind.

Well, I don't know if it was the word. Maybe it is. Maybe.

Because when you look at him, there is on his face very much a sensation of kindness, indeed. Maybe you're right. He did not do it.

He paid for it. And we are paying. We are still paying for it.

I've got... I read so many studies on... So many. There are not so many of them. But studies on the infiltration of Germany by agents under Hitler.

I couldn't believe it. That's why I said, I just said they were allowed to operate for the time they... Well, for as long as their enemies wanted them to operate. It was a miracle, really.

But just only for the time their enemies wanted it to last. Yeah, I think there was about, like, however many assassination attempts on him, 20 or something. Brilliant.

Brilliant looking. Yes. And there are various books that have been written about these assassination attempts.

None has been able to prove that any of these attempts were faked, you see. And I'm sure if the Jews really wanted to do that, that they would easily... They would have that incentive to try to prove that. Yes.

Yes. But the miracle didn't last, of course. That's obviously why they wanted to get rid of it, because it was the greatest threat to their powers, National Socialism.

Against the liberal democratic, Hippocratic, hypocritical, the reign of quantity. Yes. In a way, maybe he should have been more interested in the question of the Israeli state.

Maybe if he had himself offered them a state, maybe they wouldn't have undermined National Socialism. Maybe. Wasn't it Madagascar that they offered them? Sorry? Wasn't it Madagascar that they offered them, I think? Yes.

Yes, but it wasn't Hitler, it was Fritz, who was different. I think all they wanted was that they were out of Germany. The boats carrying Jews were sent to the United States as early as 1934, if my memory serves me right, and these boats were not allowed to board on American territory.

So now that they managed to basically, physically, in the physical world, destroy National Socialism in that regime and achieve their goals ever since that time for the importation of mass non-white immigration and try to facilitate their white genocide agenda, using this discourse, this priestly caste, hegemonic discourse of egalitarian pacifism, is what I call it, because that's, in my opinion, what it reduces to is egalitarian pacifism, at least hypocritically, because they'll kill anybody who opposes egalitarian pacifism, but that's what they will impose on all and every, because that's, again, pantheistic, egalitarian. And when they say peace, they mean just they rule over everybody in their totalitarian, pantheistic, global Zion government. So that's what they've been bringing it toward now.

And now we are basically, you know, men and ruins. There are ruins on one side, the zombie masses, these, you know, ball-less untermenschen, and all the feminists and the non-whites and the Jews, and then they've got whatever remnant of white men in the world who could actually oppose that. And the only thing you can do is basically live amongst these ruins in a manner of detachment, basically, maybe try to detect the weak points of the system and then, you know, I won't say put them in the crosshairs, but... Yes, you've just summed it up.

The pressure is all too strong. It's very strong. Just like even in a microcosmic sense, when you're targeted as a targeted individual for gang stalking, they could use directed energy weapons on you, so what do you do? You just have to make a steel room to live in.

You know, you've got to take all sorts of precautions to defend yourself. So it's operating at all levels, basically, at you. Yes, the pressure has never been as strong as it is now.

But like with the gas laws of Boyle, isn't that going to build up more pressure in the system? The gas laws, Boyle's gas laws, you know, how volume in container, gas pressure, how it's building up in the system. Are you sure that's not going to lead to maybe a blow up sometime? You would need to elaborate on that. I cannot follow you.

Well, all the pressure that's existing, that's being put on all beings now, wouldn't that eventually accumulate to the point where it just blows up of its own accord? Yeah, but most people are batteries. Yeah, they're basically a receptacle of energy that can be fed off. Exactly.

So, well, it could go on and on forever, as long as they have these batteries. So maybe there's a way to try to get the batteries to burn out more quickly. But they could be charged again, couldn't they? Yeah, I guess.

It's definitely a difficult dilemma to figure out how to get out of the matrix. What is it that your other guest proposed? Well, the parallel society. Yes, that's the trend.

Yeah, and that's obviously, that is an endless struggle in itself, of course. Yes, because ask them, the question I asked you, will they allow you to build that parallel society? Yes. And that's the whole thing, what they're doing right now with their community policing, turning society into a spy society in this net of theirs, getting the population to spy on one another, so that everywhere you go, you're traced, and you're tracked, and you're monitored, and you're spied on.

So if you even breathe a word against their system, then you'll be qualified as a terrorist, or they can spread rumors amongst the community you try to go into. Yes, and the rebel loves it, because they feel they are protected, you see. And it also gives them a sense of importance to affiliate themselves with the system.

Sense of importance, yes, is what I wanted to add to my observations two hours ago. Yes, sense of importance, exactly. Yes, they feel so important.

Yeah, because they think that because they have some loose affiliation with the government, or the state, or society, call it what you will, the whole, then they are that much better because the whole is greater than the sum of the parts. Yeah, so it's a bit of a dead end, of a vicious circle. My thought would be that over time it would just be impossible for them to keep their system together, because it would become entropic and just fall apart through its own rigid control.

The more pervasive that control becomes, the more probable it will become that it will not be able to sustain itself, because it's not a dynamic system, but it's a system that is basically entropic or static, and that's the Near Eastern mentality. I see what you mean. My impression is that they have been perfecting their system, you see? Yeah, I don't follow what you said.

Yes, so it means that they, contrary to what some people may think, the system is not crashing, but on the contrary, is strengthening. So it's not going to be a Turner Diaries-type collapse? Well, it's just my guess. Well, it's just my impression.

Obviously, that's their understanding of things too, is that they can continue to move in this progressive direction toward ever more controlled technocratic society, but whether that's even possible or not is a question. Well, so long as they manage to eradicate superior men, then I can't see why it would not be possible. Well, I think it's just because they're totally against the laws of nature, basically, in their operations.

They're working against natural law, so they're not capable of maintaining that, which requires dynamism, which requires new input into the system. Mm-hmm. Yeah, but the question is, is it not that the perfect system, is the perfect subversive system, is the closed system, and they have found the key to that closed system? Yeah, I'm not too sure if they have, though, because they maybe think that they do.

No key. Basically, the fundamental, the essential question is, follows. Do they know what they're doing, or do they not? That's the essential question.

Well, they appear to know what they're doing, but I think that they're not entirely conscious themselves, because in my opinion, they're basically possessed, all these, the physical bodies who are at the highest levels, and it's these entities which control them that are possessing them and influencing them, and trying to orchestrate these conditions on the Earth, but I think that they're not capable of resonating harmoniously with it, and therefore cannot understand it properly, and so it would just lead to they're destroying themselves, ultimately. Well, it seems that they, well, they, at the top of their so-called hierarchy, some people do know what they're doing. Yes, that's, it's quite clear.

Yes, but at the same time, though, whether they can actually achieve that or not is a question, but that's sort of a question that we don't really even need to concern ourselves with over much, because we don't know the, we can only understand, you know, from our perspective, the exact techniques that they're using, and the nature of these types of these beings, and, you know, what we have within our power to do, so we have to understand ourselves and what we're capable of in relation to understanding, you know, the system itself and those who control it, but we won't, we won't have all the answers. That's pretty obvious, otherwise we would already probably have defeated their system, if there were enough people who understood it all. Yeah, the mass is a problem, and for them it's the solution, the mass, which doesn't understand anything to anything, of course, and that they are relying on the mass, the rubble, and the huger the rubble is, the more efficient their ineffective system is.

I mean, frankly, from a simple study of what they are capable of doing, it appears that most European countries, we are now at the level of the Soviet Union. Yeah, only it's just more technocratic. Yes, yes.

So, it's more like a super Soviet Union. Yes, exactly. It's amazing.

And it conceals itself behind the facade of freedom, when in reality it's the antithesis of that. Yes, freedom is just an instrumental force, a motto that they are using. But in fact, there have never been as few freedoms as there are today.

Well, I don't know what to do about it, other than just to keep on doing what we're doing, and try to... Well, protect oneself from the pressure, the very strong pressure they exert on you. And that requires protection in a sense of knowledge of the legal system, and their language, their priestly caste hegemonic discourse, and how they speak, and the actual real meaning of their words, and how you can work around their system, and you have to work through it in a way. Among other things, yes.

Yes, protection of yourself is not just hiding in a shack in the woods with a shotgun or something, because that's not going to help you at all. Basically, if someone was prepared to live in the woods, and was trained to, why not? Sure, if it's possible. But the thing is, if you're going to be doing something like that, then that's a good idea to be rural.

But once again, there are pitfalls and traps. They can use legalese, or legal bylaws to cut you out. They can move foreigners in.

They can create new zoning regulations. They can portray you in the town as a terrorist or something. They can raid you, just like Randy Weaver of Ruby Ridge, and the Branch Davidians, and so on.

So there are always problems everywhere you go. So that's like Adolf Hitler said, all life is struggle. So everywhere you go and everything you do is being brought against you as a war, a pervasive war, basically.

Yes, even if you don't send bombs to people in letters, like the, what was his name? The Unabomber? Yeah, yeah. I'm pretty sure that was Assayov itself, because he was like an Ashkenazi Jew, but even if that were the case. Well, I know for sure that the man was subject to experiments in university.

Well, I think he was a professor, actually, too. Yes, he was, yes. But he was a subject in some kind of experiments.

So they are very good at creating people who appear as enemies of the system, who may very well be objective enemies of the system, but who are completely directed by the system. Well, yeah, that's the whole phenomenon of gang stalking, is they're trying to, that's how they're trying to expand their cybernetic control grid, by mapping human consciousness, and then they can understand how to engineer your consciousness more so, and basically destroy you and replace you with these entities, maybe. And what they do, they're obviously monitoring your every movement, your every gesture, you know, using a radar to spy through the walls to observe your minute movements and behaviors, whenever they make noise outside or, you know, say a few words around you, if you're walking around, then they gather all that sense data, and they try to correlate that, your reaction with your brain states, so that they can create a conceptual model or a real model of you, and then they can situate you in certain contexts and set you up for a false flag operation that they create around you.

And Baudrillard spoke about that in his conception of hyper-reality and the simulacra and simulations, how that's how they're trying to engineer this theater of the real to basically traumatize the population and get them to think that there's all these terrorists around, when in reality it's obviously the government itself which is orchestrating these events in almost all cases. Mm-hmm. Yes.

Basically, the panopticon, which you have just, was operatis, you just described, is a voyeur technique. Voyeur? You mean like voyeurism? Yeah, and then it relates to sexuality. Right, because most people, they seem to get some sort of thrill through spying on people, it's like a naughty, dirty thing.

Yes, definitely. Yeah, it is. That's why it's easy to conscript the stupid masses into this spy society, police community, policing venture of theirs.

Oh, but we must not forget that at the top of their pseudo-hierarchy, there are people watching, probably enjoying it. Yeah, well, they've got nothing better to do with their lives except to do occult rituals and amuse themselves playing with the population like pieces on a chessboard. Mm-hmm.

Exactly. Yes. Basically like a bunch of children, for the most part, the people who rule this society.

Children, sheeps, yes. Like a woman plays with children or with their husbands, and on this, Loki, I think you should... Yeah, it's getting a bit long now. Yeah.

I guess we covered everything we needed to cover, so... Well, not really, but it would be for a third show. Sure, whenever you want, we'll continue discussing these unrelated topics on the next show.