Correspondence Between Aberrant Typology and the Indo Aryan Typology

A better perspective of Aberrant Typology will be gained if we

compare it with the ancient Indo-Aryan typology, i.e., with the so cial organization that is vulgarly denominated “caste system.” In

India, the castes are four: that made up of Brahmins, or the priestly

caste; Kshatriyas, or the noble-warrior caste; Vaishyas, or the free man caste; and Shudras, or the servile caste. This system was also

very common among the Semites of Egypt and Babylon. But this

should not be surprising because it is in fact a common Atlantean

heritage, since that civilization, in its last era, was organized on the

basis of the same system.

Now, referring exclusively to the Hindu system, we can say that,

with some good will, it is possible to relate it to the Aberrant Typol ogy if we liken the Shudra to the Paśu and invert the Brahmins

with the Kshatriyas (and, on a transcendent plane, we identify the

Rishis with the Loyal Siddhas, i.e., with the Lords of Agartha). In

the synoptic table of Figure 100, both typologies can be seen com pared.

The first thing that will undoubtedly come as a surprise is the

fact that the comparison of types requires so significant an inver sion. However, everything becomes clearer if we affirm that with

such an inversion we do no more than restore to the caste of the

Kshatriyas the place that befits them and that was usurped in the

remote past by the caste of the Brahmins. It is, certainly, a change

that occurred in Atlantis, which will last until the end of the Kaly

Yuga, and that which will be difficult to understand in our days due

to a deep-seated prejudice. We allude to the widespread belief in

the “superiority” of the priestly caste over the warrior caste, which

is nothing more than another synarchic disinformation tactic.

With the aim of contributing to create the maximum confusion in

this ma#er, many authors of esoteric books have sustained in the

last century the thesis that the same Kaly Yuga, i.e., the period of

the greatest spiritual decadence that we have in memory, would

have no other origin than “the rebellion of the Kshatriyas.” These

authors, including Rene Guénon and the Theosophists whom he

combated, like H. P. Blavatsky, Rudolf Steiner, etc., worked in sup port of a current of Atlantean philosophical-esoteric thought, of

clear Shambhalic inspiration, which seeks to exalt the Siddhas of

the Dark Side as “Masters of Wisdom” of the White Hierarchy, hid ing their nefarious condition of the original traitors of the Hyper borean Race of Spirits.

But the truth is very different because, according to the Hyper borean Wisdom, it corresponds to the synoptic table of Aberrant

Typology. To put things in their place, it is necessary to have certain

data and a subsequent clarification. The data are the following: in

the Christian-luciferic period of Atlantis, society was organized on

the basis of very ancient Hyperborean principles, also in four

castes; the spiritual hierarchy of such castes was this: first, the war rior caste; second, the priestly caste; third, the citizen caste; and

fourth, the servile caste. The fact that there were also four races:

Red, Yellow, Black, and White, should not cause confusion, for the

first three were jointly making up three castes, and the last, White,

was numerically insignificant as it was constituting a special caste

of sacred constructors. What occurred next? At a later period,

rightly termed “satanic,” the Traitorous Siddhas gained complete

control of civilization, destroyed the “Universal Empire” model on

which it was organized, and ruled it with an iron hand through the

priestly caste, who came to be known as the Lords of the Dark Side.

In order to fulfill their evolutive plans, the Traitorous Siddhas reor ganize society under the dominion of the priestly caste, which they

elevate to the first level, thus creating the system that all the peo ples of Antiquity knew, except those who descend directly from the

“Cro-Magnons,” i.e., from the Atlantean White Race, or are tribu taries of their wisdom.

Here is the data; now, let us move on to the promised clarifica tion.

If, as we affirm, a change in the hierarchical order of the upper

castes took place in the satanic period of Atlantis, is it possible that

such a fraudulent alteration has lasted until the present day? And,

if so, how was it instrumented? In order to find the answers, it is

necessary to touch on a subject that constitutes the key to the

whole deception. At that time, the Traitorous Siddhas decided to

support their strategy by the creation of a suitable Myth; (remem ber that when speaking of a Myth, we allude to a psychoid Arche type, the evolution of which is carried out in superstructures of

cultural or historical facts). Said Myth, which is responsible for the

repetition of the error until the present day, is denominated “Dual

Power” and has been represented with a plurality of similar sym bols: Gemini, labrys or the Cretan double ax, two-headed eagle, two

swords, etc. The following paragraph, already seen in the article

“The Resignation of Wotan,” is related to this fact: “At that time, in

the world, the social crisis that accompanied the sinking of Atlantis

was unleashed: two of the three castes that made up Atlantean so ciety, the warrior caste and the priestly caste, were locked in an all out war; the priestly caste was supported by the Traitorous Siddhas

and the warrior caste by the Loyal Siddhas. In the midst of this

conflict came to fall the objects that Freya-Partridge released be fore nuptially re-integrating with Wotan: the emerald labrys did

not touch the ground because the priests intercepted it in the air

and, giving displays of great joy, incorporated it into their own

Strategy; since then it contributes, archetypally, to perpetuate the

fable of the “spiritual superiority” that the priestly caste would

hold over the warrior caste” (page 755).

It is worth clarifying that the theme of the Myth was Hyper borean, known in Atlantis since the Luciferic period when it was

serving as the basis for the organization of the model, or way of

collective liberation, the “Universal Empire”; the action of the Trai torous Siddhas consisted in re-signing a powerful psychoid Arche type to operate from the universal collective unconscious in the al teration of the traditional theme. The Hyperborean Tradition af firmed that there are two powers that must be differentiated in

every social organization: the Spiritual Power and the Temporal

Power. Such powers keep the following opposition: the Spiritual

Power is superior, “strategically superior,” to the Temporal Power.

Well, based on this doctrine, the Traitorous Siddhas elaborated the

Dual Power Myth.

Here is the deception: the Myth consists in fostering the belief

that the priestly caste should hold the Spiritual Power and the

warrior caste the Temporal Power, consummating the spiritual in version of values. What does the Hyperborean Wisdom say about

it? Answer: that, because the normal Hyperborean Spirits present

an “essential hostility” toward the material world of the Demiurge,

which inevitably converts them into a Race of warrior Spirits “in”

the universe, it is the warrior caste that effectively enters into con tact with the Spirit (Nous) or Vril; and, also, that the priestly caste

can only influence the soul (Psyche), emotional body or astral dou ble, of man, i.e., the evolutive, energetic, material entities, etc. The

la#er will become clear later on, when we study the ethical outline

of the priestly type. It is, then, the very essence of the castes, the

spiritual scope of their function, which determines that it is natur al for the warrior caste to assume the Spiritual Power and for the

priestly caste, the Temporal Power. The inversion of castes carried

out in Atlantis, responsible to a great extent for the famous “sink ing,” and the evolutive action of the Dual Power Myth, have created

a permanent conflict ever since. And, every time that the warrior

caste has a#empted, as part of a Hyperborean Strategy of collective

liberation, to regain its position as the regent of the Spiritual Pow er, it has been annihilated and slandered . . . and then the pane gyrists of the Synarchy have spoken of the “Rebellion of the Ksha triyas” and blamed this caste of Hyperborean lineage for being “re sponsible for the Kaly Yuga.