

On Democracy; Hypocrisy and Lies

by Tyrodal Knight, Orlando Croy Romero

On Democracy

(Or how my country was deceived).

.....

The word democracy is a Greek word (demos and cratos) which translates as "government of the people". This political system or way of governing in ancient Greece, was used to elect their rulers by exclamation, which means that the most acclaimed ruled. I allude here to the Athenian Ionians, since in peoples such as the Spartan Dorians of the heroic age the King was recognized by blood, which is the same as charismatically. The Ionian election system (by exclamation) is what militarily and politically weakened Athens, leading it to confront, after the wars against the Persians (medical wars) with Sparta, which was ruled by an aristocracy of blood, that is, a government of the best spiritually speaking, which concluded with "the Peloponnesian war" putting an end to the Athenian Ionian hegemony, but that is another story.

The democratic system was "popularized" after the French Revolution, being the highest manifestation of lies and hypocrisy, because it is a perverse and therefore unjust system, whose purpose is to perpetuate mafias of all kinds in the political and economic power of a given country. All this with the aggravating factor that this system in the contemporary age is exercised by the "representatives" of those who have "freely" elected them. It is hard to believe the falsity and hypocrisy of this election system.

Let the reader see if this suffragette system is not a bad staging of a sainete (with the pardon of the sainete), being in the suffragette where the falsity of these corrupt people who give them the name of politicians is most rigorously manifested.

"Democracy is a form of state decay."

"General Philosophy" Nietzsche.

"Democracy represents the non-belief in superior men, in elected classes:"

We are all the same" In the end they are all a selfish and plebeian herd".

"The decline of the idols" Nietzsche

This system of choice, which within the Aberro typology is framed in the ludic, together with the economy, is the highest manifestation of the swindle.

(Editor's Note: Aberro typology is discussed in the work "Fundamentals of Hyperborean Wisdom", by Luis Felipe Moyano (or "Nimrod of Rosario"). The Aberro typology is classified into: Playful, sacralizing, and luciferic graceful).

In my homeland (Argentina), all these lies are based on what we know as the "Preamble of the Argentine Constitution" which reads (those in parentheses are mine):

"We, the representatives of the Argentine nation (read Buenos Aires), gathered in a constituent national congress by will and election of the provinces (that adhered to Buenos Aires) that compose it, in fulfillment of pacts (read conspiring in favor of the synarchy), with the object of constituting national unity (to remain under the tutelage of Buenos Aires), to strengthen justice, to consolidate internal peace, to provide for the common defense, to promote the general welfare (of Buenos Aires), and to secure the benefits of liberty (to exploit the provinces), for ourselves, for our posterity (for Buenos Aires), and for all men of the world who wish to inhabit Argentine soil; invoking the protection of God (read Englishmen), source of all reason and justice: we order, decree and establish this constitution for the Argentine nation (read Buenos Aires)".

The reader will wonder what this has to do with democracy. Whoever lives in this mysterious land that is Argentina, and has somehow internalized its history, will understand this reflection. When I say that this constitution was written for and in favor of Buenos Aires, it is because for me, Buenos Aires does not belong to Argentina. I will begin by stating that in this blessed land there were three men, three patriots who defended the integrity and interests of the Argentine homeland: General José de San Martín (1778-1850), Brigadier Juan Manuel de Rosas (1793-1877), and General Juan Domingo Perón (1895-1974).

This statement is based on the fact that after Argentina's "Independence" in 1816 two hegemonic forces fought for the power of the new Nation, namely: "The Unitarians" and "The Federals". To call them in geopolitical terms, the "unitarios" are clearly "Atlantists", a denomination that identifies the colonialist powers, mainly the United Kingdom (England) and the USA, which founded a strategic alliance known as the "North Atlantic Treaty Organization" (NATO). And the federals clearly identified with "Euro-asists", a region that can be related to the former Soviet Union, and at present with the Russian Federation. Maritime power against continental power, that is to say: Atlantist colonialism against continental nationalism, this confrontation being evident in the struggles that in the 19th and 20th centuries and in the current 21st century the peoples undertook and are undertaking for their liberation, including of course the Argentine Nation.

Undoubtedly the Atlanticists, or the colonialists for that matter, needed a legal framework that can be called tactical; and this framework is undoubtedly the so-called democracy, which also needed a legal framework: "The preamble of the constitution". This preamble is of strategic value (immovable), not so the laws that derive from it that are of tactical value (fluctuating), new laws, amendment, etc.

The changes in the constitution (reform of the constitution) do not invalidate the "immovable" because they are "of form" and not of "substance". In other words: they are changes imposed by geostrategic and economic needs of the colonialists.

It would take many pages to expose the struggles that in our Argentina confronted the unitary synarchs with the national forces, which is not the purpose of this reflection. I will only say that the first constitution of nationalist profile of Argentina was formed in 1820 with the support of the provincial governments and who did not agree with that constitution: Buenos Aires. This fact and many others that are not relevant here, was what consolidated Juan Manuel de Rosas in power, leading the Atlantist sinarcas forces (at that time France and England) to conspire against his government, which caused what is historically known as the battle of "La vuelta de Obligado" in which the Anglo-French fleet had a Pyrrhic victory, which despite it had to recognize the Argentine sovereignty, but that is another story.

After the overthrow of Rosas in 1850 a new constitution was drafted to suit the atlantists (1853), since then there were seven reforms of the constitution 1860, 1866, 1898, 1949, 1957, 1972, 1994, all of them to suit the atlantist sinarcas, except for the reform of 1949 because it was drafted during the National Justicialist government of General Juan Domingo Perón, which as it is clear was abolished by the sinarcas coup plotters.

It is since the overthrow of the Justicialist national government, which took place in 1955, where two years later the coup plotters reformed the constitution, becoming a tactical weapon of inestimable value for the interests of the Atlantist unitary synarchs. In the democratic system where they claim to "freely" elect their governors, it is the system where the other tactical aspect of the synarchy is generated: the Marxist subversion with all its ideological variables that devastated for decades the entire Central and South American continent with the complicity of democrats and dictators.

These subversives would have no place in a dictatorial system that is generally exercised by the military power, whose objective is to deliver in a summary manner the wealth that is temporarily indispensable for economic and geostrategic reasons to its Atlantist masters. From this perspective, it is obvious to say that the unitary traitors are the ones who create the conditions for this surrender to take place, be they dictatorial or democratic governments. We Argentines have a long historical experience of these facts.

.....

"Equal rights for all: this is the most marvelous injustice, for it is precisely the superior men who suffer under this regime". ("Thus Spoke Zarathustra" Nietzsche).

.....

"...The Christian-democratic mentality favors the herd animal, the dwarfing of man weakens the great master feathers; it hates constraint, hard discipline, great responsibilities, great audacities. The most mediocre take advantage and establish their measures of their values". ("Ecce homo" Nietzsche).

.....

In democratic systems, groups of subversive ideology are organized and supported by those interests that respond to Atlanticism and tolerated by the unitary democracies, which act as sepoys of those interests, the objective of these movements being the destabilization of "democracy" to justify coups d'état that will bring about "internal order". This alternation between dictatorship and democracy is the cause of the economic, political and moral deterioration of any country, in this case Argentina. This manipulation, this baseness, this betrayal could never be carried out if it were not with the complicity of the Unitarians. That is: Buenos Aires.

These unitary traitors are in evidence when in the XIX century they commit a crime of lèse justice against the heroic Paraguayan people, which the sinarchy called "The war of the triple alliance", and the nationalist Paraguayan people, "The great war". This nationalist people had a fleet of ships built in the country, with iron and steel foundries, its own railroads, telegraphs, hospitals and free and compulsory education, and with the lowest rate of illiteracy in the three Americas!

The material and spiritual power of this nation, governed by the principles of the Primordial Wisdom, is to be found in the migration to America of the Cathars with the logistic support of the Teutonic Knights after the "defeat" inflicted by the forces of evil in the 13th century, historically known as "The Crusade against the Albigensians", something that will be understood by those who have been instructed in the Primordial Wisdom. All the Argentine provinces, except for Buenos Aires, opposed this fratricidal war spiritually speaking. But this is also another story.

It is clear and evident that these historical events were based on an institutional legal principle known as the "Preamble of the Argentine Constitution", which, as I stated above, is of a clear Atlantist unitary nature; being these same unitaries those who in 1946 called "zoological alluvium" the people who en masse went to the capital city to demand the release of General Perón, who the unitaries had imprisoned, and who even today contemptuously call "little black heads" all those non-whites who go to Buenos Aires for work.

It must be clear that this derogatory qualification was and is coined by the white oligarchs who are mostly concentrated in the capital, which is the same as Buenos Aires. For example: The war for the recovery of the Malvinas Islands was planned by the unitaries of Buenos Aires and fought by Corrientes, Entre Ríos and Cordobeses, to name the ones who had the most casualties, which

is the same as saying, the federalists of the Argentine provinces, events that I will detail in another reflection.

But let's go back to democracy. Argentina's national constitution, that book whose laws nobody complies with, states: "The people only govern through their representatives". This is at the very least humorous, only a cynic or an ignorant person can give veracity to such a fallacy. The representatives, read politicians, were never interested in the suffragists! What's more, they always made fun of them! These mafias made up of politicians who call themselves democratic, will always agree to enrich themselves and perpetuate themselves in power with the never fulfilled promise of achieving welfare for the people, who with great impudence and total hypocrisy shout at the top of their voices Democracy is the best system we have! These parasites would have to add: To steal and enrich us!

Why continue writing about these despicable scum who without exception are traitors to the homeland. This is so because these corrupt people have always acted as lackeys of hidden and sometimes not so hidden powers. This is so true that even the so-called Peronists never called themselves National Justicialists, which is the name of General Perón's ideology, and this for a simple reason: they are traitors who are functional to the unitary-atlantist strategies.

Dear and respected compatriot, where did the National Justicialist maxim of: social justice, economic independence and political sovereignty go? It would be necessary to ask the so-called Peronists, outside or inside the government.

For example: At present (December 2011) there is no social justice (25% of Argentina's population of 43 million inhabitants is indigent and subsists on state subsidies). There is no economic independence: (Foreign debt repayments are imposed by international organizations, for example "The Paris Club"). National sovereignty does not exist either: (Millions of hectares of the Homeland have been sold to foreign economic powers; for example, the fresh water reserves "De los esteros del Ibera" in the province of Corrientes, and 3,000,000 hectares in the north of Patagonia to China). It is all too clear that these so-called Peronists are anything but National Justicialists, they are nothing more than traitors to the nationalist principles set forth in the 1949 constitution and repealed after the unitary coup d'état of 1955.

This coup d'état was planned and carried out by the Unitarians of Buenos Aires, who curiously were sons and grandsons of European emigrants, which is the same as saying that they were white. Curious, isn't it? Does this mean that the white race in Argentina is Unitarian-Atlantist? Yes, this is what we Argentine nationalists call oligarchs. These whites, product of the migrations of the last 150 years, were those who fled from the poverty and the wars that in those times plagued Europe, mainly the planned migrations at the end of the XIX century until approximately the middle of the XX century. These migrations, mainly of Italians, are the ones that currently make up, partially or totally, 50% of the Argentine population, seconded by the

migration of Spaniards, which increased after the civil war of 1936-1939. These emigrants (strictly speaking refugees) were anarchists and communists.

Ask the Argentines who read this reflection:

Isn't it curious that the subversive organizations, i.e., Marxists and anarchists that operated in our country at the beginning of the 20th century were of Italian or Spanish origin?

There were also migrations of Arabs, Poles, Jews, Germans, etc.

What does this have to do with democracy, the reader may ask?

To this inquiry I answer: that migrations were planned, and in many cases facilitated when not subsidized for a specific strategic purpose. And what is this strategic purpose? I will answer with another question: What do you think, dear reader, but the culture that these emigrants carried? The Primordial Wisdom teaches that "culture is a strategic weapon of the synarchy", and a weapon in the context of a war, whether it is used in a tactical or strategic way, aims to impose and bend the will of the enemy, and the enemy of culture, needless to say, is us, which they know well, we are a "hard nut to crack", reason for which they move tactically and take as objective our affections that become by the setting of affective sense, as sacred symbols of the archetypal structure, which by the way is what the man or woman who appreciates himself or herself as spiritual will have to face in a fierce combat, but this is also another story.

(Editor's Note: "setting of meaning", "sacred symbols", "archetypal structure", are concepts of the Hyperborean Wisdom, presented in Volume I of "Fundamentals of Hyperborean Wisdom"). I of "Fundamentals of Hyperborean Wisdom").

Thus, the above argument has to do with democracy as a tactical-strategic element of domination, applied to all the pre-Columbian peoples of America, for example, the Maya, Toltec and Aztec people, direct descendants of the spiritual Atlantis, and further south the Inca people who as we know are of Viking origin, and the Sioux and Blackfeet peoples of North America who are also direct descendants of the red Atlantean race, and this to name the most important ones.

How to subdue and dominate these people who, consciously or not, knew who they were dealing with?

Using the only two weapons they possessed: culture and force. We are in the XVI century in which the culture was the Judeo-Christian religion that had nothing to do with the spiritual force that the pre-Columbian civilizations possessed, there being no way to persuade these "savage" peoples, using the only option they had left: force.

They killed tens of millions in unequal wars, in the mines as slaves, by poisoning them so as not to confront them, or simply by killing them! While "waiting" for more subtle weapons of domination.

Dear reader, do not believe the dogmatic historians who want you to believe that all this genocide was carried out (and is carried out!) for the "thirst" of Gold, or of material riches, which is the same thing. It was, and is, a genocide afflicting the spirit. It was a crime against spirituality committed in the war we wage against matter! A war that we will undoubtedly win very soon.

The most subtle weapons that the forces of evil were waiting for to subdue these heroic peoples who were resisting in spite of their millions of casualties, were beginning to be concealed in the heat of the so-called "French Revolution" and its three concepts: "Liberty", "Fraternity" and "Equality", principles of a Masonic nature, fundamental in any representative democracy.

But conditions in America were not ripe for the application of such social concepts, they had to be created, and they created them! It is known as the "War of Independence" Is the reader getting the picture? Not in vain all these independence movements were supported politically and financially by the English Freemasonry with the support of the so-called "Creoles", due to the war it had with the kingdom of Spain for geopolitical reasons. But this is also another story.

So the new "independent" nations needed a legislation in which everyone would have the possibility to express themselves freely. And what would that legislation be? Yes, you guessed it, dear reader! The representative democracy, whose preamble and the compendium of laws derived from it, as I explained above, nobody complies with, and this for a simple reason: They are not interested in it. Don't you think so, reader? I invite you to open at "random" the book of the National Constitution and read any Law or paragraph and you will corroborate by yourself that this Law has never been complied with.

Juan Manuel de Rosas. "The restorer of the laws". Father of Argentine federalism.

Despite this fallacy, corrupt and hypocritical politicians continue to claim that democracy is the best system known, ignoring or hiding the fact that genocide continues in a more subtle way. At the beginning they were killed in the name of civilization, which is the same as saying in the name of God; and after the so-called Independence until today, they are killed by the "free market"... of money. This is what I call "economic-financial holocaust".

This new genocide has killed as many or more men, women, children and the elderly than the Spanish conquistadors in complicity with the Judeo-Christian church!

This could never have been done without the help of what we know as representative democracy.

There were patriots who took up arms against this lie because they saw the deception; they are the ones we know by the name of "Federal Montoneras" who fought against the centralist-unitary

governments of Buenos Aires after the overthrow of the maximum leader of National federalism Juan Manuel de Rosas.

Atlantist unitarianism has its representatives and referents since the coup d'état of 1810 by Bernardino Rivadavia, through Domingo Faustino Sarmiento to the present day.

This subject Sarmiento, who has never been unmasked, is one of the most important heroes of the Atlantist Unitarians. I will transcribe verbatim some of the thoughts of this "Señor" who professed a pathological hatred of all races except the white English and North American race.

Sarmiento writes in his book "Facundo":

"The blacks placed in the hands of Rosas a zealous espionage, in charge of servants and slaves, providing them, in addition, with excellent and incorruptible soldiers of another language and of a savage race...Happily the continuous wars have exterminated the male part of the population."

He also despised Italians whom he called "Palurdos", "bachichas" and "ignorant".

The unitarianism of this subject is evident when he writes that: "Argentines are a harmful amalgam of races incapable and inadequate for civilization" (Sarmiento, complete works. Ed. Belin Hnos. Parias 1909).

Sarmiento wrote on April 1st, 1868: "With emigrants from California a North American colony will be formed in the Chaco; it may be the origin of a territory, and one day a Yankee state. If they conserve their type, I will see to it that they conserve their language as well" (José María Rosa. "Historia Argentina". Volume VII).

Do you feel disgusted as a reader? You haven't read anything yet!

In 1844 he stated: "Will we succeed in exterminating the Indians? For the savages of America I feel an invincible repugnance without being able to help it. These scoundrels are nothing more than filthy Indians whom I would send to be hanged if they reappeared. Lautaro and Caupolicán (Mapuche (Toqui) chiefs who fought against Spanish domination) are lousy Indians, because that is how they all are, incapable of progress, their extermination is providential and useful, sublime and great. They must be exterminated without even sparing the little one, who already has an instinctive hatred of civilized man" (El progreso 27/9/1844; El Nacional 25/11/1876).

Not vomited yet? Maybe he will now.

"If the poor in hospitals, in beggars' asylums and orphans' homes are to die, let them die, because the State has no charity, no soul. The beggar is an insect, like the ant. He collects waste. So he is useful without needing to be given money. What does it matter if the State lets die those who cannot live because of their defects? Orphans are the last beings of society, children of vicious parents, they should be given nothing to eat". (Speech in the Senate of Buenos Aires, September 13, 1859).

Wait, wait, don't throw up yet!

"I hate popular barbarism...the rabble and the gaucho people are hostile to us...as long as there is a chiripá there will be no citizens Are the masses the only source of legitimacy and power? The poncho, the chiripá and the rancho are of savage origin and form a division between the cultured city and the people, causing the Christians to degrade themselves...you will have the glory of establishing throughout the Republic the power of the cultured class by annihilating the uprising of the masses". (Letter to Mitre on September 24, 1861; in USA).

When Sarmiento found out that in Córdoba there was a town called "Fraile muerto" (Dead Friar), he was disgusted and ashamed of the name and had it changed immediately. He asked if any English or North American resided there, they answered him yes and it was called Mr. Bell. "Then call it Bell Ville" ordered the sinarca.

Domingo Faustino Sarmiento. 33rd Degree Mason.

He was interrupted by General José de San Martín in his exile in France, when he was arguing against Rosas denying his accusations. He also put a price on the head of José Hernández (1000 Patacones) for having written the "Martín Fierro".

A book could be written with the statements of this traitor to the homeland, but you, dear reader, have to vomit.

There are many historians who, in spite of these execrable statements, defend this traitor, alluding to the works concerning the defense of the homeland, education and science in general. What they do not say is that all these reforms were carried out by and for the Atlantist Unitarians of Buenos Aires, because for Sarmiento (and not only for him) Argentina is Buenos Aires!

For some reason the imbeciles and useful idiots of the provinces accept as slaves that they are, the maxim that the unitaries themselves imposed on them, such as "God attends in Buenos Aires".

"We are a material colony because we have been spiritually colonized."

José María Rosa.

Argentina has a plethora of traitorous Unitarians, among whom the following stand out

- Bernardino Rivadavia (1780-1845),
- Justo José de Urquiza (1801-1870),
- Faustino Sarmiento (1811-1888),
- Bartolomé Mitre (1821-1906),

- Julio Argentino Roca (1843-1914).

All of them are democratic to the hilt. The 20th century also has its own, but in this case unitary dictatorial coup plotters:

- José Félix Uriburu (1868- 1932),

- Isaac Rojas (1906- 1993),

- Eduardo Leonardi (1896-1956),

- Juan Carlos Onganía (1914-1995),

- Jorge Rafael Videla (1925-2013),

all of them unitary military men. Both the "democratic" and the de facto presidents were functional to the synarchy, strategically alternating in power.

The reasons why the "Republic" of Buenos Aires had and still has a belligerent hatred against the true Argentine people, are not only historical, but also anthropological and even racial.

To understand this affirmation, it is necessary to keep in mind that the white race that migrated to Argentina began to be the majority with respect to the other mestizos in the second half of the XIX century. These mestizos, who significantly possessed black blood, were the ones that Sarmiento treated with contempt in his speeches and writings. They were also the most discriminated and enslaved, in spite of the fact that in 1853 slavery had been abolished. That the musical culture of Buenos Aires that we know with the name "Tango" is of African origin, the same as all the Latin American folk music that we know such as: "cha cha cha", "mambo", "rumba", "salsa", "cumbia", "samba", "bamba", "conga", "milonga", "guaracha", "candombe" etc. are of obvious African pronunciation. All these rhythms in which the percussion instruments stand out, all have names originating in African dialects. This "music", certainly cheerful and festive, has its origin in the black race and its later mixture with the native peoples of the region, who in the XIX century were slaves in all South America.

Something similar happened with tango, with the aggravating factor that this music was assimilated by the white race and incorporated to its culture, that is, to Buenos Aires. Dear reader, search at "random" the lyrics of Tango you want and you will begin to understand what I mean, especially if you understood what the "Principle mood", but in this case the archetypal woman is not white, which has caused and causes havoc in the weak "porteños" and the central region of the Republic. And the carnavalito, the samba, the chacarera and other national expressions? No, not those, it is music of gauchos, nationalist music, with the tango we better manage the egregore that feeds on the white race unitary-atlantist!

The "tanguero" lacks ideals, he doesn't care about his homeland, and very seldom about his family, he is only interested in his wife... who abandoned him Did the reader notice how folk

music was taken out of its native interpretation? I mean by this that instruments that have nothing to do with the traditional have been included in its interpretation, for example: the saxophone, drums, clarinet, etc. The same thing happened with tango, but on the contrary, it was defended and protected from all poetic and musical innovation, accepting with many reservations interpreters such as "El sexteto mayor", "Salgan De Lio" to name the best known ones. But the "heresiarch" of tango, Astor Piazzola, was not forgiven for having taken his "feet off the plate". This great musician, author and composer is slowly being forgotten because of the conspiracy of those who were defeated by the archetypal Dama, and who are currently under her orders, so: Shoot against Piazzola; he does not feed our beloved mother...Binah!

One could safely say that Piazzola tried to flee from the Dama archetype (musically speaking of course), and that was not forgiven.

Let us return to democracy. In the middle of these options are the cowards disguised as "progressives", a term that identifies the social pariahs who currently hide behind the suffragettes in order to achieve material gains or that someone from the political power does something for them.

I will end with three songs from "Martin Fierro" where the envy and subsequent hatred that Sarmiento felt for the decision and courage of the nationalist gaucho, spiritual qualities that this guy never had, is evident.

"In danger What a Christ! The heart is enlarged,

For all the land is a field,

And no one should be surprised by that:

He who considers himself a man

Wherever he wants, he makes a wide leg".

"I don't make myself next to the footprint.

Even if they come with their throats slit,

With the soft ones I am soft,

And I'm tough on the tough,

And none in a hurry

He's seen me backtracking".

"My glory is to live so free

Like the bird in the sky:

I make no nest on this ground

Where there is so much to suffer,

And no one will follow me

When I soar".

(Author: Croy Romero)

On Hypocrisy and Lies

(Or of the dogma of modernity).

(Article written by the Tyrodal Knight, Orlando Croy Romero).

.....

There is no doubt that we are living in a corrupt and decadent civilization because it is hypocritical and lying. These two adjectives are frequently used to denominate certain behaviors of those who are part of a given human group.

It is necessary to clarify that lie and hypocrisy are understood by the fact that these two words have lost the punishable meaning they intrinsically have. This is so because this decadent society is founded and sustained by these two adjectives. This is analogous to a world of drunks wanting to teach what sobriety is. In this case the drunkards are the hypocrites.

A hypocrite is someone who feigns feelings he lacks, or expresses ideals he never follows.

The word "hypocrite" comes from the Greek Hypokrites meaning "actor". It comes from the verb Hypokriynein which means to act or exaggerate.

It is indescribable the disgust I have for these subjects, be they men or women. This disgust is the reason why it is difficult for me to describe them in this reflection, which is why I will limit myself to illustrate what they really are; because "an illustration is worth a thousand words". I will begin by removing the mask of those abominable people who claim to love children.

Dear reader, here is the man, the woman... and abortion!

Fetuses in a waste bag.

"It is said that abortion is a means to help the woman, when in reality what is being sought is an accomplice to murder, with the aggravating factor that it is a filicidal act."

Victim of a hypocritical love.

"Abortion as planning is nothing other than the planning of death."

"Fetal life is denied or misrepresented to justify murder."

"To deprive the birth of a child, ethically, is a crime against childhood."

"What is serious is that the mass media, together with education, which is also massive, encourages and promotes the murder of children before they are born."

Whoever mistreats children or prevents them from being born, it is because the innocence that belongs to them has died within them, and in its place the seed of Evil has germinated".

The so-called humanists, be they democratic, socialists, communists, freemasons, etc., always proclaimed the struggle for the weakest. They always proclaimed the fight for the weakest. The poor against the rich, the black against the white, the weak against the strong. It was necessary to take the side of one of them who curiously never took the side of the children. For this social scum, war, the death penalty or any form of violence was condemnable, so that weapons had to be opposed to the firing squad and the scaffold. For them, life was the first thing to be sacrificed for, to improve the quality of life of the needy, the disinherited, in short, the weak.

The one with the gloved hand is the one who claims to love his children and children.

So far so good. One could agree or disagree with these "Malthusians", but something happened with these "wise men", something that would be the most abominable, despicable and satanic emerged at the threshold of the world's sense: Abortion.

This ethically unspeakable act has now become an institutionalized dogma, which is to say with certainty that death has been legalized.

Child killed in an abortion.

The discussion regarding abortion arose because these humanist criminals were unable to deny the life of the fetus, and took the discussion to the question of whether the fetus was a person or not. This position of whether the fetus was a person or not, was circumvented by targeting the mother, affirming that she is a person and therefore has the capacity to make decisions. This position condemned the child to death, asserting that although it was a life, it was not a person! My dear reader, if this is not hypocrisy, what the fuck is?

Those children who were saved from being killed in the womb with an abortion, left one hell to fall into another: the hell of life, with the aggravating factor that they are totally defenseless in the midst of a terrible war in which they are taken hostage if not killed by their captors (parents, religious, educators, etc.), leaving many casualties on the battlefield, including many wounded, such as those idiotized by education, internet and television.

There is a veiled truth, hidden, denied if not unknown; it is the fact that children are not delivered (natural way), they are born by cesarean section (cultural way), unless the birth by the latter way is for medical reasons, which in no way means that it is not negative for the child, since a design has been altered by a cultural fact.

There are also late-term abortions. This, for example, is a social abortion.

Child eating garbage found in the street.

Did the reader realize that children no longer play as they once did, emulating their "elders"?

The Lord's favorite food: Pain.

The game is nothing more than the actualization of virtual (cultural) matrices through the creation of meaning. Boys no longer play at war, nor do they play at emulating the activities of their elders, mainly at work. Girls no longer play at being a mother and therefore with dolls.

Dear reader, there is no doubt that abortion is responsible for this decadence, fundamentally the covert ones, such as cesarean sections and the subsequent abandonment, which is also a product of the lack of affection and authority of the parents (fundamentally men), who in some way were also aborted...by the social system.

With this deplorable and terrifying picture, the child passes to a social stage known as adolescence. This stage is nothing more than a new trench where he will have to face the same enemies with other aspects but stronger. The adjective "adolescent" lacks a clear definition, except that semantically it means "lack", which is not important here, since what is important is the context in which adolescence manifests itself.

This period of life is characterized as the most combative due to the "independence" that naturally corresponds to the young person with respect to the family and social environment.

You choose: This...

The success in confrontations with the superstructure (social environment) depends on the weapons with which they have been endowed, which apparently are not the most adequate. And what are these indispensable and strategic weapons? Let us see:

Hatred and envy is nothing other than the hypostatic unfolding of Evil in the archetypal structure.

There is a manifest envy towards young people on the part of the elders. This is the reason why they are abandoned and left to their own devices.

...or this.

The profound implication of this sentence must be sought within each person responsible for his or her education, which is where this hypostasis manifests itself, which, as I said above, is nothing other than the manifestation of Evil in the archetypal structure.

It could well be said that youth has been given as a sacrifice to the God of modernity, that Moloch that feeds on the pristine and noble that is youth. They, the hypocrites, the corrupt, be they educators, judges, or whoever has a social responsibility, are the new sacrificers who officiate as "guardians of the threshold", culture being the logistical support of this satanic scourge.

It is of cardinal importance that young people be instructed in the different sciences or disciplines that the superstructure offers them, so that by using the different habitual languages and with strategic orientation, they can evaluate the reality that surrounds them and with it form a mode of movement to avoid falling into the trap set by the enemy strategy.

Whoever lives in an urban environment and lacks this instrumentation provided by the different habitual languages, will be in a state of total defenselessness, remaining at the mercy of the enemy who will integrate him to the iron aspects of the superstructure.

Thus, the greater the knowledge of the variables of "reality", the greater the possibility of forming a strategy of liberation. All this will be worthless if the young person has not been instructed in the only spiritual manifestation of man: Art.

Yes! There is no way to purify the blood in an urban environment except by knowing in depth its tactical variables, which are none other than the different scientific disciplines (usual languages) if not with the mutant power, with the help of the only pure and spiritual thing of man. Art.

You choose: To live under this symbol...

...or this one.

There is no doubt that it is this instruction that young people (and not only young people) suffer from, a lack that is evident in the idiocy in which they have submerged their minds and their spirits. It is only necessary to see the total abandonment, the deep loneliness in which the young people find themselves for it to be irrefutable that they are atonement victims of this perverse and diabolical system, built and exercised by these new priests called "officials", be they politicians or educators, who are only functional to that demon that in ancient times was known by the name of Moloch.

But not everything ends here, because the nameless evil of this system (read civilization) could not survive without the logistical support of its slaves who by the millions hide behind the mask that we know by the name of "cultural subject". They are the ones who collect the food that their Lord most desires: pain! This pain, which, according to Buddha, is found in desire, is what becomes the guiding force in the lives of those young people who, by giving meaning to sex, fall

into the nets of the guiding design that governs women, which is none other than that of procreation. This, like every design that is a priori of the subject, is fixed in the young man (and not only in him!) who, with the tactical contribution of the superstructure, forms what we know as family.

This institution formed with cultural projections, which converge with animal designs, is the safest prison in which the Self, which is a reflection of the infinite Self, will never escape unless the charismatic song of the eternal mediates between it and the world, a portent that is forbidden to the great majority of men because they lack the inner ear, an indispensable "organ" to discern a musical language that primordial wisdom calls "language of the birds".

But let's not get off the subject. The family institution, as any archetypal construction, is born, evolves and dies, as long as it does not leave descendants; and in this descendants is the crux of the matter. Without children there is no family! Yes, my dear reader... the family as we know it is a hostage factory, for that is what children are. It is not possible to love a child when you have murdered your brother with an abortion or are planning to do so!

The great majority of those who today are parents by design (not by conviction) have siblings, children and grandchildren murdered in the maternal womb, being a mitigating factor if this crime has been committed out of animality or ignorance, subhuman states that do not for that reason cease to be punishable.

In this context the life of the couple that became a family develops, with the aggravating factor for the man that he will be held responsible for everything he did not know or could not achieve for the welfare of his family; and this is because of something that very few see: The woman, by archetypal manipulation is a "partner" in the gains, never in the losses (the man is always responsible if the woman miscarries). In such a way that the love that is proclaimed and wanted to be demonstrated in the bosom of the family when they act as lovers, wanting to demonstrate it with caresses, kisses and kindnesses, is undoubtedly the highest manifestation of hypocrisy and lies.

This would be nothing serious, it would be nothing more than a pathological relationship, except that this type of union, using what they call love, in a sea of secretions and sweat, in a banquet of shared pleasure, they gestate the future victims that will be eliminated in an abortion, and if they are lucky enough to come out unscathed, the superstructure in which they will be born, which is governed by the sacrificers in their service, will do so.

They are not playing; they are looking for food in the garbage!

Don't you remember reader? Please answer these two questions inwardly.

Question: How can you love a son when you have murdered his brother? How can you call "dear" or "my love" to someone who has been lied to and deceived? If this is not hypocrisy my dear reader, what the fuck is it? Please answer.

This does not end here, there is still more! Inexorably this man marches towards a sure and insurmountable old age, I allude here to a biological old age, since the spiritual old age has long since been lost. It is outrageous the contempt, indifference and even hatred with which this society, this Judeo-Christian culture treats the elderly. This treatment is based on the fact that this old man is close to death, and the hypocrite and the coward are frightened by this insurmountable fact. This is one of the reasons why the elderly are abandoned to their fate.

I ask, who would have the courage to live next to death? Not the hypocrite and the coward, of course. So shoot at the old! And that's what they do.

Seen from the transcendent, the elder is a warrior without knowing that he is one. This is a truth that has a profound metaphysical implication that has a direct relationship with the spiritual chaining, something that will be understood by those who were and are instructed in the primordial wisdom.

Will they understand?

Elderly man dead in the street. One more casualty. The enemy is relentless.

This warrior, without knowing that he is one, has been tried to be eliminated from the womb, and for reasons that are not relevant, he reached the current situation, which is analogous to what he was in the womb.

Yes, my dear reader. The child is born on a battlefield and this fact forces him to fight whether he wants to or not, and if he comes out of the combat unscathed, the enemy will never forgive him and the punishment will be contempt, abandonment and scorn, or extreme abandonment as illustrated in the photo above.

If you do not believe what you read, I invite the reader to visit this old people's home, which is given the academic name of "Old People's Home" when in reality they are dungeons that only that of a

infinite hypocrisy and wickedness can bear. Badly eaten, mistreated when not punished, this is how the coward and the hypocrite treats the one who is pointing out his certain and insurmountable future, which is death, with the aggravating factor that this conspiracy is carried out with the complicity of the children! How can they go for a walk in a shopping mall with an old man? No sir, he is not modern, what are people going to say!

Novice children, defeated in the first combat. Victims of hypocrisy.

Veteran of a thousand lost battles. Resisted until here.

This execrable society has a sick, satanically sick contempt for children and the elderly. Some for going into combat, and the others for resisting in combat. Do you understand? You must understand or you are lost!

A society that does not care for children and the elderly must inevitably agree with abortion, since it is the cause of such genocide.

Someone said: "I am the alpha and the omega, the first and the last".

Was he alluding to children and the elderly?

He also said, "Let the little children come to me, and do not hinder them, for the kingdom of heaven belongs to such as these."

Obviously I was not alluding to the children in the illustration above, much less the aborted ones. Or was I!

If this is not hypocrisy, what the fuck is!

"Hypocrisy is the way in which the mediocre, the unworthy and the unscrupulous relate to society. It is a worm that crawls, lies and betrays for a crumb of recognition and prominence".

"We must beware of hypocrites; they are always ready to betray, they do not know loyalty, they always conspire, and they are experts in lying. When they give their word they never keep it; and this for one reason: they have none!".

Ur- Man Croy.

Beyond the disgust that can be felt by this hypocritical and cowardly society, the old and the children also have their heroes and martyrs, those who fought until the end, going through hunger, persecutions and thousands of other vicissitudes. I will narrate actions of unknown historical facts, because they were not taught and hidden ex-profeso for fear of being emulated.

In 1806-1807 the English invaded Buenos Aires, then a Spanish colony. Everyone resisted the invasion, when I say everyone I mean men and women, old people and children. In those actions, the boundless courage of a child stood out, who among the shrapnel logistically supported the resistance, reaching gunpowder projectiles and throwing stones and boiling water at the invader. This child, wounded in combat, was distinguished for his courage; he was only 13 years old. His name was Juan

Manuel de Rosas. The restorer and father of Argentine federalism.

In 1810, General Manuel Belgrano, on his way to Paraguay with a small army of 250 men, had an encounter with the Spanish colonialists. Prior to that battle the general attended a meeting with his officers in which a group of countrymen were present to be accepted as volunteers,

among them there was a boy of only 12 years old who begged to be accepted as a volunteer. He was accepted with conditions that are not relevant.

On March 9, 1811, the bloody battle of Tacuarí took place. At the front of the infantry, haranguing the soldiers and beating the drum, was the 12 year old boy who was killed by a rifle discharge. His name was Pedrito Ríos. He was baptized with the name of "El tamborcito de Tacuarí".

In the second half of the XIX century the heroic people of Paraguay engaged in a war against Argentina, Brazil and Uruguay known as "The War of the Triple Alliance", which according to respectable historians fought to the dogs (this is not a metaphor) together with soldiers, women, children and old people in defense of the Paraguayan homeland. The sacrifice and courage of these people can only be compared to the defense of Stalingrad or the defense of Berlin in the Second World War, don't you think so? Take a closer look at that feat and you will see. I will give you a fact: Paraguayan casualties in the war were 1,000,000 between civilians and military, 75% of the population, and this between 1865-1870! (Here the hypocritical and cowardly Argentines were frightened because in Malvinas 600 patriots died, hiding that the British had more than 1100 dead soldiers! But that is another story of which I will comment later, because there is a total ignorance of the battle of Malvinas and the heroism shown by "boys" as the cowards and hypocrites pejoratively call the patriotic soldiers who fought in Malvinas. Said and documented by British officers)!

The final combat took place in "Cerro Cora". The forces of the triple alliance counted with 4600 soldiers, the Paraguayan with 480 defenders, 350 were children, old men and women! None of them deserted, none surrendered! One of the last to fall was the Führer of the Paraguayan homeland, Marshal Francisco Solano López. All this would be just another war anecdote if it were not for the fact that the chief of staff of Marshal Lopez (his son) had the rank of colonel. He died in combat at the head of his men. He was only 16 years old. His name was "Panchito" Solano López.

The paradigm of the courage of the old and the children was given in World War II, in the defense of Berlin. The following illustrations will eloquently summarize what would take many pages to explain.

The Führer decorating children in the defense of Berlin.

"Old men" learning the handling of the anti-tank "panzerfaust".

Waiting for the Russian attack. The two end of the courage. The boy was 15 years old, surely died.

The Führer greeting Hitler Youth children at the defense of Berlin.

Old man learning how to handle the "panzarfaust" anti-tank weapon.

This fact, which is not understood and feared by the historians of lies, will be marked with fire in the memory of all those who feel a boundless contempt for hypocrisy, lies and cowardice.

Words are few, they are not enough to accurately describe the loyalty and courage of this people, who, like any people who claim to be heroic, will immolate themselves before accepting any condition that would curtail their freedom.

It must be clear that a people like the one described above is not composed of common citizens fearful of the laws imposed by hypocrites and cowards. It is composed of heroes! Because a child, an old man or a woman, by risking their lives for an ideal or for the homeland, which is the same thing, not evaluating the strength of the enemy, with supreme principles such as honor and loyalty, cease to be human to participate in the eternal, whether they know it or not!

Whoever reading this reflection does not feel disgust, hatred and the certain desire to destroy everything, it is for the simple reason that through his veins does not run blood, it runs shit; shit emanating from the center of this sewer that hypocrites call heart, refuge of cowards and liars.

Since I was a child I was always moved by extreme poverty and destitution, I felt great pity for the elderly and the children, sometimes feeding them what I took to school as a snack, my mother collaborating in this. I felt contempt for my classmates when they mocked and laughed at them, even fighting for it; curiously the teachers always took sides against me. Yes! Something ran through my veins and I can attest that it was not the shit that ran and still runs to those who were classmates of the little I studied, and by extension to the vast majority of men and women, especially those who have friends. Because friends were those who made fun of old people and indigent children, and now I can say without fear of being wrong that I never had friends, that is, I never integrated a group of hypocrites!

Whoever despises injustice and inequity cannot have friends, because to be one or to have one, implies being an accomplice to a crime, a crime against eternity!

Regarding friendship I have something to say. I will do so in the next reflection.

Heil Sieg!