


UC-NRLF



\$B 663 140



LIBRARY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
DAVIS

Greek Lyric Metre

Greek Lyric Metre

BY

GEORGE THOMSON, M.A.

PROFESSOR OF GREEK IN THE UNIVERSITY OF BIRMINGHAM
AND FORMERLY FELLOW OF KING'S COLLEGE, CAMBRIDGE
MEMBER OF THE CZECHOSLOVAK ACADEMY OF SCIENCES



BARNES & NOBLE, Inc., New York
Publishers • Booksellers • Since 1873

LIBRARY

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Digitized by Google DAVIS UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

First edition: Cambridge University Press, 1929
Second edition: photographic reprint with corrections and alterations: W Heffer
& Sons Ltd., 1961

CORRECTIONS

- Page 12, line 17, *for τᾶ μογερά read τᾶ μογερᾶ*
Page 40, line 15, *for αὐτᾶς read αὐτᾶς*
Page 63, line 18, *for ἀλικλύστον read ἀλίκλυστον*
Page 87, line 1, *for Str. 3¹ read Str. 4¹*
Page 90, line 18, *for phrase read figure*
Page 109, line 14, *for τόδ' read τότ'*
Page 117, line 19, *read θανάσιμον πρόπαρ ἀνδρὸς*
Page 121, line 8, *for anacrusis read resolution*
Page 125, line 5, *for Pherecratic read Trochaic*
Page 131, line 2, *for προπάραιθ' read πρόπαρ*
Page 156, line 17, *for A . . . χρέως read B . . . χρέως*
Page 162, col. 2, line 29, *for Sappho, 2 (Diehl 2) read Sappho, 1 (Diehl 1)*

PRINTED IN GREAT BRITAIN

TO MY MOTHER

PREFACE

THE English reader does not need to make a detailed study of the rules of versification in order to enjoy English poetry. He can recognise blank verse, or the sonnet, or the heroic couplet, when he meets it, and for the rest he can safely trust his ear to guide him. But with Greek poetry it is different. The Greek convention is foreign to him, and more elaborate than his own. He reads a piece of Aeschylean lyric, for example. Parts of it seem quite straightforward; they appeal to his instinct for rhythm and, although he might find it no easy matter to analyse them, he is satisfied. Other parts are not so simple—he succeeds, with difficulty, in getting some sort of rhythm out of them, but he is not at all sure that it is the right rhythm. And in other parts again he feels that he is altogether astray. This is a serious obstacle to his enjoyment of Greek poetry.

The first business of the student of Greek metre should be to remove this obstacle; and much has been done in this direction by German scholars—Wilamowitz, Schroder, and others—in the last quarter of a century. Discarding the arbitrary preconceptions of their predecessors and the Procrustean methods with which they contorted the facts to fit them, and relying on what is best and most authoritative in ancient tradition, these scholars succeeded in clearing away many difficulties and in illuminating much that was formerly obscure. Not only have they shown the modern reader how to distinguish the various types of Greek rhythm, but they have given him a general idea of the smaller elements—the phrases—without doing violence to his ear.

Much, however, still remains to be done. Granted that this passage is in one rhythm and that in another, that this phrase is Glyconic and that “iambo-choriambic,” why, the reader may

fairly ask, does one rhythm give place, within the compass of a single poem, or even of a single strophe, to another, why does iambo-choriambic follow Glyconic and yield in turn to choriambic, with such bewildering unexpectedness? Is there any rhyme or reason in it at all?

The first scholar to tackle this problem was the late Walter Headlam. He showed, in the first place, that these transitions are not arbitrary or abrupt; that, in the hands of the Greek poet, one rhythm grows out of another, naturally and beautifully, in accordance with a few rhythmical laws so simple that anyone with an ear for rhythm can understand them. And in the second place, not content with explaining *how* these transitions were effected, he went on to enquire *why*, and discovered that certain rhythms tended to be associated with certain ideas, thus laying the foundations for a theory of *significant* rhythm.

Unhappily, Headlam did not live to complete the work he had begun; and the only monument of his metrical discoveries that he has left behind him is his brief, but brilliant, article in the *Journal of Hellenic Studies*¹. Naturally, it was impossible for him to prove to others, within the compass of that article, that his principles stood the test of application to all the facts, though those who are familiar with Headlam's scholarship can have little doubt that he had proved it to himself. The primary object of this book is to show that they do stand this test, that not only do they remove obstacles from the path of the reader who wishes to read Greek lyric poetry with pleasure and discernment, but they bring to light new beauties which have hitherto lain unsuspected.

Therefore it is my application of Headlam's theory, rather than the theory itself, that is new. At the same time, it will be seen that I have developed his theory in certain important directions along lines indicated by him but not followed up. For the sake of completeness I have incorporated his work into my own, with acknowledgments where they are due, but

¹ *J. H. S.* vol. XXII (1902), pp. 209-27.

the reader who is interested in the subject will find it helpful, I think, to study Headlam's article in conjunction with this book.

Further, I must mention my debt to Mr J. T. Sheppard, who taught me the right method of approach to the study of Greek poetical technique. Some of his work has been published, and to that I shall refer in the proper place, but most of it has been conveyed to me through the more intimate channels of college teaching, and for that no reference can be given, nor any adequate acknowledgment.

Lastly, I wish to thank Professor D. S. Robertson for correcting some mistakes and for several helpful suggestions.

GEORGE THOMSON

CAMBRIDGE, *January*, 1929

NOTE

References :

- To the lyric poets (except Bacchylides) : Bergk, *Poetae Lyrici Graeci* (vol. I, 1900; vol. II, 1915; vol. III, 1914), also Diehl, *Anthologia Lyrica*, 1922-5 (in the Index).
- To Bacchylides : Jebb, 1905.
- To Aeschylus : Wecklein, 1885 (see also page 158).
- To Sophocles : Pearson, 1924.
- To Euripides : Murray, 1902-13.

PREFACE TO THE SECOND EDITION

IT is now more than thirty years since this book was published. I started work on it when still reading for the Tripos, my interest having been aroused by Headlam's article on the subject (1902) and also by one of Yeats's essays, in which he wrote.

The relation between formal music and speech will yet become the subject of science, not less than the occasion of artistic discovery. I suggest that we will discover in this relation a very early stage in the development of music, with its own great beauty, and that those who love lyric poetry but cannot tell one tune from another repeat a state of mind which created music and yet was incapable of the emotional abstraction which delights in patterns of sound separated from words¹.

I remember pondering over these remarks, which seemed to find confirmation in my own experience of Irish peasant poetry, and thinking how quick Yeats would have been to appreciate Headlam's work on Greek lyric metre, if only he had known Greek. It occurred to me that, if I could make a systematic study, based on the languages best known to me, of the common origins of poetry and music, I might succeed in verifying at least the first part of Yeats's prediction.

That study has occupied me ever since. My first results were published in *Marxism and Poetry*²—a little book which has circulated widely in Europe and America and has been republished in India and translated into Arabic, Chinese and Japanese. The first part of it was reprinted in Volume I of *Studies in Ancient Greek Society*³, in which I endeavoured to reconstruct the common basis underlying all forms of Greek

¹ W. B. Yeats, *Essays* (1924), p. 24.

² London, 1946; 2nd ed. Bombay, 1953.

³ *The Prehistoric Aegean*, London, 1949; 2nd ed. 1954.

poetry, sung and unsung. A further discussion of the origin of speech, which, as Yeats divined, is bound up with the origin of music, will be found in the opening chapter of Volume II of the same work¹. These problems are so complex that no solution to them can be more than provisional; but my conclusions tend to confirm the soundness of Headlam's approach to Greek lyric metre. His account of that subject is the only one to have become part of a general theory of the origin of music, poetry and speech.

It has not often fallen to the lot of a poet to become a professor of Greek; but it has happened. Gerard Manley Hopkins was an accomplished Greek scholar, and during his last years, when he was professor of Greek at University College, Dublin, he was engaged in writing a book on Greek lyric metre. In a letter dated January 27, 1887, he wrote.

I have done some part of a book on Pindar's metres and Greek metres in general and metre in general and almost on art in general².

The MS. is lost, but his views on the subject have become available in his *Letters* (1935-38) and *Notebooks* (1937). In many respects they were very close to Headlam's. This has been pointed out by Professor W. H. Gardner, who writes:

The subtle rhythmic effects in Greek lyric, as noted and described by such recent investigators as Headlam and Thomson, were at least practically known to Hopkins through the ear; and when it is a question of rhythm, the ear of a true poet needs no theoretical bush.

He continues:

The work which Hopkins failed to complete has been carried out, in part at least, in such a book as Thomson's *Greek Lyric Metre*, an exposition which explains admirably many of those aspects of the Greek "individual metres" which bear the most striking resemblance to the rhythms of Hopkins³.

Thus, it may be claimed that, if Headlam made Greek lyric metre the subject of science, Hopkins had already made it an

¹ *The First Philosophers*, London, 1955.

² *Letters*, vol. II, p. 150.

³ W. H. Gardner, *Gerard Manley Hopkins* (London, 1947-49), vol. II, pp. 101-2.

occasion of artistic discovery; and Headlam's principles receive further confirmation from the fact of their having been anticipated by one who was both scholar and poet.

My book is now republished with only a few alterations. Some corrections and modifications will be found in my editions of the *Prometheus Bound* (1932) and the *Oresteia* (1938) and some substantial developments in Chapter XIV of *Studies I*, mentioned above. In addition to these, several of my interpretations of particular passages are, as it now seems to me, unacceptable. In general, I failed to allow sufficiently for the subjective element which enters into all judgments of this kind. Some of these defects were pointed out at the time in a review by Professor H. D. F. Kitto, whose criticisms I accept¹. If I have let them stand, it is because I hesitate to tamper with a work of youthful enthusiasm for fear of burying the poetry under a load of learning, as so many scholars have done.

GEORGE THOMSON

BIRMINGHAM, 1960

¹ *Classical Review*, 1929, p. 173.

CONTENTS

	PAGE
<i>Preface</i>	vii
<i>Chapter</i> I. Poetry and Music	I
II. The Phrase	5
III. The Sentence	13
IV. Methods of Transition	20
V. Musical Form in Greek Poetry	34
VI. Significant Rhythm	46
VII. Pindar's First Olympian	70
VIII. <i>The Suppliants</i> of Aeschylus	81
IX. <i>The Oresteia</i>	100
<i>Supplementary Notes</i>	133
<i>Appendix</i>	151
<i>Index</i>	158

CHAPTER ONE

POETRY AND MUSIC

THE arts of poetry and music, sprung from a common mother—the dance—are sisters; but their relations to each other have not always been the same. In modern Europe they are almost entirely independent; poets require no knowledge of music, nor musicians of poetry. And when the two consent to collaborate, it is usually on the strict understanding that music shall be mistress. In the Wagnerian ideal of grand opera, music, poetry and dancing were to be harmoniously combined—music supreme, supported by the other two. Similarly, in setting a poem to music, the modern composer is not usually concerned to heighten the value of the piece as poetry; his object is rather to adapt the poet's idea to a new artistic form, and in pursuit of that object he does not scruple to abandon the natural rhythm and melody of the poetry in favour of a new rhythm and melody of his own.

This form of song, in which music is the dominant, poetry the subordinate, element, has not prevailed in every age. Listening to an Elizabethan song, we feel that the two are more evenly balanced. The music is simple; it does not defy, but enforces and amplifies, the rhythm of the poetry. The poetry is also simple, and so lends itself the more readily to musical accompaniment. Turning to the ancient Greek convention, we find yet a further difference. The greater part of Greek poetry, outside didactic verse and dramatic dialogue, was written expressly for musical accompaniment: even Homer may, like his own Demodocus, have sung to the lyre. Moreover, if poetry and music commonly went hand in hand, there was no question but that poetry was the mistress and music the handmaid. We know little of Greek music, but what we know confirms this view. There was no harmony; the choir sang in unison to the accompaniment often of a single in-

strument—sometimes to the lyre and flute combined. The words of the singer were the dominant element, and often reached, both in sense and in rhythm, a degree of elaboration rarely equalled in the poetry of other ages. The music which accompanied such poetry was necessarily simple.

The age of which I am speaking is the great lyrical period, from its inauguration by Terpander to its culmination in Aeschylus and Pindar and in the earlier work of Sophocles. Already, in the fifth century, a change was setting in; but the evidence for this change only confirms what has been said of the earlier period. Thus Pratinas, a contemporary of Aeschylus, complains of certain musical innovations in the form of the choral dance known as the hyporcheme. He declares that the flute is no longer made to follow the singer, but the singer the flute¹.

Τίς ὁ θόρυβος ὄδε; τί τάδε τὰ χορεύματα;
τίς ὕβρις ἔμολεν ἐπὶ Διονυσιάδα πολυπάταγα θυμέλαν;

The rhythm of this apparently incoherent succession of short syllables cannot be determined without the aid of the music which accompanied it. Having thus playfully imitated the new-fangled style of which he disapproves, Pratinas goes on to explain what the flute-player's proper business is:

τὰν ἀοιδὰν κατέστασε Πιερίς βασιλείαν· ὁ δ' αὐλὸς
ὑστερον χορευέτω· καὶ γάρ ἐσθ' ὑπηρέτας.

'The song is mistress, the music her handmaid.' And with these words, set to a rhythm that is unmistakable, Pratinas returns to the more seemly practice of his ancestors.

But younger poets were not deterred by this protest from carrying their innovations into tragedy itself. In many of the choral songs of Euripides, we feel that the author is writing

¹ Bergk III (pp. 557-9); Athen. xiv 617B Πρατίνας δὲ ὁ Φλιάσιος αὐλητῶν καὶ χορευτῶν μισθοφόρων κατεχόντων τὰς ὀρχήστρας, ἀγανακτεῖν τινὰς ἐπὶ τῷ τοῦ αὐλητᾶς μὴ συναυλεῖν τοῖς χοροῖς, καθάπερ ἦν πάτριον, ἀλλὰ τοὺς χοροὺς συνάδειν τοῖς αὐληταῖς· ὃν οὖν εἶχε θυμὸν κατὰ τῶν ταῦτα ποιούντων ὁ Πρατίνας ἐμφανίζει διὰ τοῦδε τοῦ ὑπορχήματος. Plato agreed with Pratinas: *Rep.* iii 398 D καὶ μὴν τὴν γε ἀρμονίαν καὶ ῥυθμὸν ἀκολουθεῖν δεῖ τῷ λόγῳ.

for an audience which is more intent on following his music than his poetry. The words are weak and sometimes repeated without regard to the sense for the sake of the musical accompaniment, much in the manner of the modern song.

Eur. *Or.* 1414-17

περὶ δὲ γόνυ χέρας ἰκεσίους ἔβαλον ἔβαλον Ἑλένας ἄμφω.
ἀνὰ δὲ δρομάδες ἔθορον ἔθορον ἀμφίπολοι Φρύγες.

The Aeschylus of the *Frogs* ridicules Euripides for writing in this new-fangled style:

Ar. *Ran.* 1353-5

ἐμοὶ δ' ἄχε' ἄχεα κατέλιπε,
δάκρυα δάκρυνά τ' ἀπ' ὀμμάτων
ἔβαλον ἔβαλον ἅ τλάμων.

If we may trust the same critic, Euripides did not stop there. His music sometimes broke right away from the rhythm of the words, which was contorted to fit the unnatural pattern:

Ibid. 1346-8

ἐγὼ δ' ἅ τάλαινα προσέχουσ' ἔτυχον
ἐμαντῆς ἔργοισι,
λίνου μεστὸν ἄτρακτον
εἰειειιλίσσουσα χεροῖν....

No doubt, Aristophanes is exaggerating; but the fact that such a parody was possible shows that, in the hands of Euripides, the music was becoming more, and the poetry less, important, and that these tendencies were new.

The full effect of Greek choral lyric is irrecoverable, because of the three elements which made up that composite art only the poetry survives. We can still hear the words of the poet; but his lyre is dumb, and the feet of his dancers have vanished. We may, however, console ourselves with the knowledge that the one element we possess was, at least in the earlier period, the most important of the three. Down to the middle of the fifth century, the flute-player played, and the dancer danced, in time with the natural rhythm of the poetry.

Once this is granted, the task of analysing the extant

remains of Greek metre becomes very much simpler. If we may assume that the rhythm of the music followed the rhythm of the words, then the surest way of discovering the metre of a piece of Greek lyric is to read it according to the sense. Recite it aloud, marking the natural pauses and word-groupings, the climaxes and the cadences, which the sense of the words dictates to the understanding, and the ear will grasp the rhythm. Moreover, since we possess in the words the rhythmical element of the musical accompaniment, by examining them we may hope to discover something of the nature of Greek music. Most students of that subject have concentrated their attention on the melody—a problem beset with difficulties; they have tended to overlook the easier method of approach—the rhythm of the words preserved in our texts.

All that is required to grasp the principles of Greek metre is a sense of rhythm and of poetry. A knowledge of modern music will make the task still easier. Since Pindar was a musician as well as a poet, we must remember that, while the sense and emotional value of his words is always his first consideration, he will tend to use his rhythms as a musician uses them. Music has developed, in the last two centuries, into an art different in many respects from the music of any other period; but it has preserved the fundamental qualities characteristic of the music of all ages. If we can isolate these, sifting the universal from the particular, we shall be entitled to avail ourselves of what light they may throw on the study of Greek metre; and I venture to predict that our method will be justified by its results.

We must begin, however, with an account, as simple as possible, of the verse or phrase, and of the feet of which it is composed. We will pass on to the group of phrases, or sentence; and so, working our way through the strophe and the triad, we will conclude our enquiry with some account of the poem as a whole—the single Pindaric ode, and the dramatic stasimon, which must be considered in relation to the still larger units of the play and the trilogy.

CHAPTER TWO

THE PHRASE

The image shows a musical score in 3/4 time, key of B-flat major. The melody is written on a single staff. Four phrases are indicated by arrows above the staff, numbered 1 through 4. The lyrics are: "Dear harp of my country, in dark-ness I found thee, the cold chain of silence had hung o'er thee long.¹"

THE smallest rhythmical units into which this piece of music may be divided are the bars, which mark the disposition of the recurrent beats or accents. But they give no indication of the rhythm of the passage as a whole :

Dear / harp of my / country, in / darkness I / found thee, the / cold chain of /
silence had / hung o'er thee / long.

A better clue is provided by the four figures or sections, which are independent of the bars :

Dear harp of my country,
In darkness I found thee,
The cold chain of silence
Had hung o'er thee long.

Even that, however, is not entirely satisfactory. As we listen to the song, we feel that the first two figures, and the last two, should be taken together :

Dear harp of my country, in darkness I found thee,
The cold chain of silence had hung o'er thee long.

These are phrases—the smallest units which are sufficiently self-contained to convey an adequate impression of the rhythm

¹ See Stewart Macpherson, *Form in Music*, pp. 9-10, from which this example is taken.

The same principle holds good in English prosody. Take any piece of versification, the simpler the better :

Of all the girls that are so smart
There's none like pretty Sally.

We may, if we like, divide these lines into feet : Of all / the girls / that are / so smart / there's none / like pret/. . . and so on. But it does not help much, because, if we want to grasp the rhythm, we must attend, not to the individual feet, but to the verse as a whole. So in Greek :

Ar. Ach. 836

εὐδαιμονεῖ γ' ἄνθρωπος. οὐκ ἤκουσας οἱ προβαίνει . . .

This passage may be divided into feet (iambi and spondees), or into two figures ($- \cup \cup$ and $- \cup \cup$ and $- \cup \cup \cup$), but the vital rhythmical unit consists of these two figures taken together—the verse or phrase.

We shall look to the phrase, therefore, rather than to the feet of which it is composed, as the organic unit of measurement in Greek metre, and in this we shall be justified by the principles underlying prosody and music alike. In the case of Greek metre, moreover, there is a further reason why we should adopt this method. The phrase is immediately apprehended by the ear, the bar or foot only by a more conscious process of analysis. Now, in modern music we can always find out how the phrases are barred, if our ears cannot do it for us, by reference to the score. But the scores of Greek music have perished ; and though we can grasp the unity of the phrase as a whole, we do not always know how it was divided into bars—indeed, we cannot be sure that it was barred, in the modern sense, at all.

The modern composer invents his phrases as he goes along. Bound by no convention, he gives free rein to his fancy, and the only authority to which he owes obedience is his ear. So, to some extent, with the Greek poet ; he too is at liberty to invent phrases of his own if he pleases. At the same time, he possesses in common with his audience a large stock of

phrases which have become stereotyped by constant usage; and it is out of these elements that he constructs the framework of most of his rhythmical designs.

Our first business, therefore, will be to identify and classify the various phrases admitted by common convention. For the present we will content ourselves with noticing only the most frequent; once these have been grasped, the rest will be acquired without difficulty in the subsequent stages of our enquiry.

The Greek poet recognised four classes of rhythm, each of which contains a number of these standard phrases. The simplest, and one of the most important, is the rhythm which most modern metricians call dactylo-epitrite; but we will study it under the less formidable name which Headlam gave it¹—Dorian.

Dorian phrases are built up of two figures: one is dactylic, the other is called the epitrite, and may be represented by the symbol $\text{⏏} \cup \text{⏏} -$ ². DORIAN

Pind. *O.* iii 1

Τυνδαρίδαις τε φιλοξείνοις ἀδεῖν καλλιπλοκάμῳ θ' Ἑλένῃ.

Here we have two such dactylic phrases, with an epitrite between them. They are called prosodiacs, and normally consist of two dactyls followed by a spondee, as in the first example (weak ending), or by a single long syllable, as in the second (strong ending). Sometimes they contain three dactyls, and sometimes only one. Similarly, the epitrite consists of a trochee followed either by a spondee, or by a single long ($\text{⏏} \cup \text{⏏}$). And here again we sometimes find a longer form, with two trochees instead of one ($\text{⏏} \cup \text{⏏} \cup \text{⏏} -$). Finally, in both

¹ W. Headlam, *J.H.S.* vol. XXII, p. 212.

² Whether the Greeks recognised a rhythmical beat, or *ictus*, is not certain (see J. M. Edmonds, *Lyra Graeca*, III, pp. 587-9): but the modern reader will find it easier to distinguish between the various Greek rhythms if he assumes that they did. I have therefore marked the rhythmical beat on those syllables where, to an English ear, it would naturally fall.

prosodiac and epitrite the final spondee may be represented by a trochee: $\text{⏏} \text{⏏} \text{⏏} \text{⏏} \text{⏏}$ and $\text{⏏} \text{⏏} \text{⏏}$.

The typical Dorian phrase is made up of these two figures combined in various ways. In the following example, each verse represents a phrase, and ought always to be printed as such, while the component figures are marked off by horizontal lines:

Aesch. *P. V.* 542-51

μηδάμ' ὁ πάντα νέμων θεῖτ' ἐμᾶ γνώμα κράτος ἀντίπαλον Ζεύς,
μηδ' ἐλινύσαιμι θεοὺς ὀσίαις θοίναις ποτινισσομένα
βουφόνοις παρ' Ὀκεανοῦ πατρὸς ἄσβεστον πόρον,
μηδ' ἀλίτοιμι λόγοις, ἀλλά μοι τόδ' ἐμμένοι καὶ μήποτ' ἐκτακείη.

IONIAN Our second class of rhythm is called Ionian, and its most characteristic phrases are built up out of the foot called Ionic a minore ($\text{⏏} \text{⏏} \text{⏏} \text{—}$), which will be familiar to readers of Horace:

Hor. *Od.* iii 12

Miserarum est neque amori dare ludum...

Aesch. *Pers.* 88-91

δόκιμος δ' οὔτις ὑποστὰς μεγάλῳ ρεύματι φωτῶν
ἐχυροῖς ἔρκεσιν εἴργειν ἄμαχον κῦμα θαλάσσης.

A slight change in the rhythmical accent will give us, instead of Ionic a minore ($\text{⏏} \text{⏏} \text{⏏} \text{—}$), another rhythm: $\text{⏏} \text{⏏} \text{⏏} \text{—}$. This is choriambic:

Soph. *O. T.* 483-4

δεινὰ μὲν οὖν δεινὰ ταρασσει σοφὸς οἰωνοθέτας.

Now look again at the passage just quoted from the *Persae*. It proceeds:

Aesch. *Pers.* 92-3

ἀπρόσοιστος γὰρ ὁ Περσῶν στρατὸς ἀλκίφρων τε λαός.

The first of these two figures is plain Ionic a minore. The second is a variation: it contains the same number of longs and shorts, but the third and fourth are inverted: $\text{⏏} \text{⏏} \text{⏏} \text{⏏} \text{—}$

instead of $\cup\cup\cup-\cup\cup\cup-$. This process of inversion is called *anacclasis*. It is very common in blank verse:

To bé | or nót | to bé: | *thát is* | the question.

Whéther | 'tis nóbler . . .

There, it is the stress-accent that is inverted; here, the syllabic quantity. And here, the result of the process is the very common rhythm known as Anacreontic, after the poet who invented it:

Anacr. 62

φέρ' ὕδωρ, φέρ' οἶνον, ὦ παῖ,
φέρε δ' ἀνθεμεῦντας ἡμῖν
στεφάνους, ἔνεικον, ὡς δὴ
πρὸς Ἔρωτα πυκταλίζω.

Choriambic too yields a variant by the same process. Instead of $\cup\cup\cup\cup\cup\cup\cup\cup\cup\cup$ we get $\cup\cup\cup\cup\cup\cup\cup\cup\cup\cup$. This phrase labours, for want of a better, under the name of iambo-choriambic:

Soph. *Trach.* 116-18¹

οὔτω δὲ τὸν Καδμογενῆ
στρέφει, τὸ δ' αὔξει βιότου
πολύπονον, ὥσπερ πέλαγος. . .

We saw that Dorian rhythm was made up of two figures— one dactylic and the other trochaic. In our third class, which I will call Aeolian², these two feet again predominate, but they are more closely combined. Each phrase contains one dactyl and one or more trochees. The most important will be found in Horace:

AEOLIAN

Hor. *Od.* i 3, 1

Sic te diva potens Cypri.

This is the Glyconic: $\cup\cup\cup\cup\cup\cup\cup\cup\cup\cup$ a trochee or spondee, a dactyl, another trochee, and a final long syllable.

¹ In the last of these phrases the initial iambus is resolved into three shorts (a tribrach).

² I would have called it by its old-fashioned name of logaedic, but that is now forbidden: see J. M. Edmonds, *Lyra Graeca*, III, p. 617 n.

Anacr. 1 1-3

γουνουμαί σ', ἐλαφηβόλε,
ξανθή παι Διός, ἀγρίων
δέσποιν' Ἄρτεμι θηρῶν.

This passage, which begins with two Glyconics, introduces us to another very important Aeolian phrase—the Pherecratic. Its basis is one trochee or spondee, one dactyl, and one spondee: $\text{—} \cup \text{—} \cup \cup \text{—}$. In both phrases, the dactyl normally occupies second place, but its position varies. In the Pherecratic, it is sometimes the first foot; in the Glyconic, the first or third:

Soph. *Trach.* 119-21

Κρήσιον· ἀλλά τις θεῶν αἰὲν ἀναμπλάκητον Αἶδα σφε δόμων
Glyconic Glyconic Pherecratic...
ἐρύκει.

Lastly, we may mention a shorter phrase often found in combination with these two—the Aeolian tripod: $\text{—} \cup \cup \text{—} \cup \text{—}$ or $\text{—} \cup \text{—} \cup \cup \text{—}$:

Soph. *El.* 245

εἰ γὰρ ὁ μὲν θανῶν γὰ τε καὶ οὐδὲν ὦν . . .

We have already observed how Ionian rhythm yielded Anacreontic and iambo-choriambic by the process called anaclassis. Aeolian rhythm is varied in the same way. Instead of the normal Glyconic we often find an anaclastic form: $\cup \text{—} \text{—} \cup \cup \text{—} \cup \text{—}$ for $\text{—} \cup \text{—} \cup \cup \text{—} \cup \text{—}$.

Anacr. 6

Μεῖς μὲν δὴ Ποσιδηϊῶν
ἔστηκεν, νεφέλας δ' ὕδωρ
βαρύνει, Δία τ' ἄγριοι
χειμῶνες κατάγουσιν.

So with the Pherecratic; instead of the normal $\text{—} \cup \text{—} \cup \cup \text{—}$ we find $\cup \text{—} \text{—} \cup \cup \text{—}$:

Soph. *Phil.* 1123-5

οἴμοι μοι, καὶ πού πολιᾶς
πόντου θινὸς ἐφήμενος,
γελαῖ μου, χερὶ πάλλων.

Ῥμὴν ὦ Ῥμέναι Ῥμὴν,
Ῥμὴν ὦ Ῥμέναι ὦ.

Cat. xxxiv 1-4

Dianae sumus in fide
puellae, et pueri integri:
Dianam pueri integri
puellaeque canamus.

Our fourth and last class of rhythm is Paeonic. The im- PAEONIC
portant feet to remember are the cretic (⌊ ∪ ⌊) and the
bacchius (∪ ⌊ -):

Aesch. *Supp.* 423-5

φρόντισον καὶ γενοῦ πανδίκως εὐσεβῆς πρόξενος.

Aesch. *Eum.* 791-3

στενάζω; τί ῤέξω; γένωμαι δυσοίστα πολίταις;

The other feet belonging to this class are merely resolved
forms of these two. Thus the cretic may be resolved into the
first paeon (⌊ ∪ ∪ ∪):

Ar. *Ach.* 216

σπονδοφόρος οὗτος ὑπ' ἐμοῦ τότε διωκόμενος . . .

Similarly, both cretic and bacchius may be resolved into the
fourth paeon (∪ ∪ ∪ ⌊ or ∪ ∪ ∪ -):

Aesch. *Eum.* 329-30

ἐπὶ δὲ τῷ τεθυμένῳ τόδε μέλος, παρακοπά . . .

Combine any of these feet with an iambus and you get the
Paeonic figure known as the dochmiac. It has many forms,
of which the commonest are: ∪ ⌊ - ∪ ⌊ or ∪ ⌊ ∪ ⌊ - ("slow")

dochmiac), $\cup\cup\cup\cup\cup\cup$ or $\cup\cup\cup\cup\cup\cup$ ¹ ("quick" dochmiac). Most dochmiac passages contain an admixture of cretics and bacchii and often of pure iambic:

Aesch. *Agam.* 249-58

κρόκου βαφὰς δ' ἔς πέδον χέουσα
ἔβαλλ' ἕκαστον θυτήρων ἀπ' ὄμματος βέλει φιλοίκτω
πρέπουσά θ' ὡς ἐν γραφαῖς, προσεννέπειν
θέλουσ', ἐπεὶ πολλάκις
πατρὸς κατ' ἀνδρῶνας εὐτραπέζους
ἔμελψεν. ἀγνᾶ δ' ἀταύρωτος αὐδᾶ
πατρὸς φίλου τριτόσπονδον εὐποτμον παιᾶνα φίλως ἐτίμα.
Pherecratic

Instead of $\cup\cup\cup\cup\cup\cup$ we sometimes find $\cup\cup\cup\cup\cup\cup$; that is to say, the initial syllable of this form of the dochmiac is sometimes long:

Aesch. *P. V.* 618-19

πόθεν ἐμοῦ σὺ πᾶτρὸς ὄνομ' ἀπύεις;
εἶπέ μοι τᾶ μογερά τίς ὦν . . .

This completes our examination of the more common standard phrases. In the course of our enquiry we shall come across others which will be readily recognised as variants based on these types. Let us now consider how these phrases are combined in groups so as to form the rhythmical period or sentence.

¹ There is also another form found in dochmiac, which is sufficiently common to deserve mention here: $\cup\cup\cup\cup\cup\cup$. Cf. Aesch. *Agam.* 379 *Διὸς πλαγάν*.

CHAPTER THREE

THE SENTENCE

TURNING back for a moment to the Irish air quoted at the head of the last chapter, we remember that the phrase, and not the bar or the figure, was the smallest unit which could convey to the ear an adequate impression of the rhythm. But even the phrase is not entirely self-contained. We feel that, although the first of the two phrases comes to an end in the middle of the fourth bar, the rhythm continues without a break to the climax in the eighth. In other words, the two phrases must be taken together as parts of a single musical *sentence*. The first phrase, as it were, raises our expectations; the second satisfies them. The first makes an announcement; the second answers it with an appropriate response.

The stanza of modern verse is built up in the same way. Read, for example, the opening of Drayton's *Agincourt*:

Fair stood the wind for France—

that is the announcing phrase. It is twice repeated:

When we our sails advance,
Nor now to prove our chance—

we feel that the rhythm is moving towards a climax. And so it is:

Fair stood the wind for France,
When we our sails advance,
Nor now to prove our chance
Longer will tarry.

The ear is satisfied; the stanza is complete. The last verse has rounded off the rhythmical period with a suitable *cadence*. Or read the well-known Aeolian couplet:

THE CA-
DENCE

‘Τμήν ὦ ‘Τμέναι’ ‘Τμήν,
‘Τμήν ὦ ‘Τμέναι’ ὦ.

That, too, is a complete sentence. The Glyconic announces, the Pherecratic responds. Reverse the order of the two phrases, and this sense of completeness is lost. The Pherecratic is a natural cadence, the Glyconic is not.

Different rhythms demand different cadences. A favourite one in Dorian rhythm is a phrase of pure epitrite:

Pind. *O.* vi 19-21

οὔτε δύσηρις ἐὼν οὔτ' ὦν φιλόνικος ἄγαν,
καὶ μέγαν ὄρκον ὁμόσσαις τοῦτό γέ οἱ σαφέως
μαρτυρήσω· μελίφθογγοι δ' ἐπιτρέψοντι Μοῖσαι.

Ionic a minore finds its most complete cadence in its anacastic form, the Anacreontic. Another, less complete, is obtained by the same process in a different way. Just as choriambic (⊥ ∪ ∪ ⊥) gives two iambs (∪ ⊥ ∪ ⊥), so Ionic a minore gives two trochees (⊥ ∪ ⊥ ∪):

Aesch. *Supp.* 1029-36¹

ἴτε μὰν ἄστυδ' ἀνακτας μάκαρας θεοὺς γανάεντες πολιούχους
τε καὶ οἱ χεῦμ' Ἐρασίνου περιναίουσιν παλαιόν.
ὑποδέξασθε δ' ὄπαδοὶ μέλος· αἶνος δὲ πόλιν τήνδε Πελασγῶν
ἔχέτω, μῆδ' ἔτι Νείλου προχοὰς σέβωμεν ὕμνοις.

Choriambic tends to slip into Aeolian:

Soph. *Phil.* 714-15

οἰνοχύτου πώματος ἦσθη δεκέτη χρόνον.
Aeol. tripod

In Aeolian rhythm the usual cadence is Pherecratic, of which an example has just been given. The same phrase is often used as a cadence in Paeonic. An example will be found at the end of the dochmiac passage from the *Agamemnon* which was quoted in the last chapter².

¹ 1029 ἄστυδ' ἀνακτας Tucker: ἀστυἀνακτας. 1031 περιναίουσιν Marckscheffel: περιναίετε.

² See above, p. 12.

The announcement does not necessarily consist of only a single phrase. A favourite device is to follow up one sentence with another, which repeats the general scheme of the first, but with a longer announcement:

Anacr. 1

γουνούμαί σ', ἐλαφηβόλε, (First sentence)

ξανθή παι̃ Διός, ἀγρίων

δέσποιν' Ἄρτεμι θηρῶν·

ἢ κου νῦν ἐπὶ Ληθαίου (Second sentence)

δίνησι θρασυκαρδίων

ἀνδρῶν ἐσκατορᾶς πόλιν

χαίρουσ'· οὐ γὰρ ἀνημέρους

ποιμαίνεις πολιήτας.

This device will be familiar to Englishmen:

God save our gracious King, (First sentence)

Long live our noble King,

God save the King.

Send him victorious, (Second sentence)

Happy and glorious,

Long to reign over us;

God save the King.

Nor are all the announcing phrases necessarily identical. The following sentence begins with an Ionian phrase (— √ √ √ √)¹ and a Glyconic:

Soph. *O.T.* 1186–8

ἰὼ γενεαὶ βροτῶν, ὡς ὑμᾶς ἴσα καὶ τὸ μηδὲν ζώσας ἐναριθμῶ.

This scheme is repeated in the sentence which follows, except that there we have two Glyconics instead of one:

Ibid. 1189–92

τίς γάρ, τίς ἀνὴρ πλέον τᾶς εὐδαιμονίας φέρει

ἢ τοσοῦτον ὅσον δοκεῖν καὶ δόξαντ' ἀποκλῖναι;

¹ For this and other Ionian phrases, see Appendix

PRO-TRACTION The final cadence may be strengthened by extending it beyond its normal length :

Soph. *Trachl.* 947-9

πότερα πρότερον ἐπιστένω, πότερα τέλεα περαιτέρω,
 Glyconic (resolved) Repeat
δύσκριτ' ἔμοιγε δυστάνω.
 Pherecratic (protracted)

The last of these three phrases is a Pherecratic augmented to the extent of one syllable: $\underline{\text{L}}\text{U}\text{U} \underline{\text{L}}\text{U} \underline{\text{L}}\text{---}$ instead of $\underline{\text{L}}\text{U}\text{U} \underline{\text{L}}\text{U} \underline{\text{L}}\text{--}$. The effect is heavy, and appropriate to the sense. The same device is used in Dorian rhythm, where the epitrite may be protracted in this way :

Stesich. 32

οὐκ ἔστ' ἔτυμος λόγος οὗτος·
οὐδ' ἔβας ἐν ναυσὶν εὐσέλμοις.
 Epitrite (protracted)

Nor is it confined to the end of the sentence: wherever the poetical effect is slow, heavy or laboured, we may expect it to be enforced by protraction. Perhaps the finest example is found in the *Eumenides*, where the Furies, rising out of sleep, begin their binding-song with an invocation of their dread mother, Night :

Aesch. *Eum.* 322-4

μᾶτερ, ἃ μ' ἔτικτες, ὦ μᾶτερ Νύξ,
ἀλαοῖσι καὶ δεδορκόσιν ποιάν,....

OVERLAP A still more effective means of enforcing the final cadence is provided by another musical device known as *overlap*. Let us continue our analysis of that strophe from the *Oedipus Tyrannus* (1186-96): *ἰὼ γενεαὶ βροτῶν*. We have seen that the second sentence is a repetition of the first, except that the Pherecratic cadence is held up, and so enforced, by the interposition of an additional Glyconic. Our third sentence

Aesch. *Supp.* 553-5

Choriambic

ἀντίπορον γαῖαν ἐν αἴσᾳ διατέμνουσα πόρον κυματίαν ὀρίζει.
Pherecratic

So in English:

None but the brave, none but the brave, none but the brave deserves
the fair.

You would spoil that rhythm if you read it thus:

None but the brave, none but the brave, none but the brave
Deserves the fair.

THE
SAPPHIC
STANZA

Unfortunately, many Greek rhythms are spoiled, or at least obscured, by the way in which they are printed. Take the Sapphic stanza¹:

Sappho 2

ποικιλόθρον' ἀθάνατ' Ἀφροδίτα,
παῖ Δίος δολόπλοκε, λίσσομαί σε,
μή μ' ἄσαισι μηδ' ὀνίαισι δάμνα,
πότνια, θῦμον.

Is that the right way to read it? Does not the ear feel instinctively that the third and fourth lines should be taken more closely together?

ποικιλόθρον' ἀθάνατ' Ἀφροδίτα,
παῖ Δίος δολόπλοκε, λίσσομαί σε,
μή μ' ἄσαισι μηδ' ὀνίαισι δάμνα, πότνια, θῦμον.

That is how anyone with an ear for rhythm reads the stanza, and that is how it ought to be printed. If we hesitate to accept the authority of our ears, we have only to notice that hiatus is not allowed between the third and fourth lines, as usually printed, though between the others it is common, and

¹ This account of the Sapphic stanza is taken from W. Headlam, *Illustrations of Greek Metre*, II (Camb. Univ. Press). See also Lobel, *Sappho*, p. lxvi.

that a single word is often divided between the third and fourth, between the others never.

How, then, is the stanza to be analysed?

ποικιλόθρον' ἰθάνατ' Ἀφροδίτα

That is clear enough. The first phrase is made up of two figures—an epitrite and a Pherecratic. And the second is a repetition of the first: *παῖ Δίος, δολόπλοκε, λίσσομαί σε*. So is the third—but with a difference. Being the last of the stanza, it ends with a more perfect cadence than either of the preceding:

μή μ' ἄσαισι μηδ' ὀνίαισι δάμνα, πότνια, θῦμον.

Is not this very beautiful?

Aphrodite, goddess enthroned in splendour,
 Child of Zeus Almighty, immortal, artful,
 I beseech thee, break not my heart, O Queen, with sorrow and anguish!
 Rather come, O come as I often saw thee,
 Quick to hear my voice from afar, descending
 From thy Father's mansion to mount thy golden chariot drawn by
 Wings of sparrows fluttering down from heaven
 Through the cloudless blue; and a smile was shining,
 Blessed Lady, on thy immortal lips, as standing beside me
 Thou didst ask: "Well, what is it now? what is that
 Frantic heart's desire? Do you need my magic?
 Whom then must I lure to your arms? who is it, Sappho, that wrongs
 you?"

On she flies, yet soon she shall follow after;
 Gifts she spurns, yet soon she shall be the giver;
 Love she will not, yet, if it be your will, then surely she shall love."
 So come now, and free me from grief and trouble,
 Bringing all to pass as my heart desires it!
 Answer, come, and stand at my side in arms, O Queen, to defend me!

CHAPTER FOUR

METHODS OF TRANSITION

THE sentences we examined in the last chapter were mostly homogeneous; that is to say, their component phrases were all drawn from one or other of the four recognised classes of rhythm. But the Greek poet did not hesitate to mix his rhythms, when the effect seemed to require it, and indeed we find every variety of sentence, from complete homogeneity to the utmost diversity. Some phrases have natural affinities with each other, irrespective of the class to which they belong, and these may be freely mixed without violence to the ear. Such are the Aeolian tripod and the dochmiac, happily combined by Aeschylus in *The Suppliants*:

Aesch. *Supp.* 641-6¹

μήποτε πυρίφατον τάνδε Πελασγίαν τὸν ἄχορον βοᾶν
 Aeolian tripod Aeolian tripod Dochmiac

κτίσαι μάχλον Ἄρη, τὸν ἀρότοις θερίζοντα βροτοὺς ἐν ἄλλοις.
 Dochmiac Dochmiac Pherecratic

Others, however, do not possess this natural affinity. If they are to be satisfactorily combined, the poet must find some means of mitigating the abruptness of the transition. There are five such methods of transition, or shifts as they may be called: shift by anacrusis, resolution, link, echo and overlap².

I. ANACRUSIS.

If we look back at the phrases we have been considering in the preceding chapters, we shall find that they may be divided into two classes according to the disposition of the

¹ 642 τάνδε Πελασγίαν Klausen: τὰν Πελασγίαν πόλιν.

² See Headlam, *J.H.S.* vol. XXII, pp. 216-19, for shift by echo, link and overlap.

rhythmical accents. First, there are the phrases based on such feet as the iambus and anapaest, which begin with an unaccented syllable. These are in what is called *rising* rhythm. And secondly, there are the phrases based on such feet as the trochee and dactyl, which begin with an accented syllable. These are in *falling* rhythm.

There is a peculiar feature of falling rhythm which must now be explained; though it is so common in the poetry of all languages that it hardly needs explanation.

With a waist and with a side
 White as Hebe's, when her zone
 Slipt its golden clasp, and down
 Fell her kirtle to her feet,
 While she held the goblet sweet,
 And Jove grew languid.—Break the mesh
 Of the Fancy's silken leash;
 Quickly break her prison-string,
 And such joys as these she'll bring.

This passage is plainly in falling rhythm. The feet correspond to the Greek trochee, and begin with the rhythmical accent—all except the last line but three: "And Jove grew languid...." What are we to make of it? If it stood alone, we might take it as rising rhythm (iambi): "And Jove / grew lang/uid,—Break / the leash." But the context compels us to take it in falling rhythm like the rest:

Fell her / kirtle / to her / feet,
 While she / held the / goblet / sweet,
 And / Jove grew / languid....

The initial syllable stands outside the metrical scheme. This is anacrusis. In Greek, too, falling rhythm is frequently varied by the addition of a prefix of this kind—usually a single syllable, long or short, more rarely two shorts.

Stesich. 32

οὐκ ἔστ' ἔτυμος λόγος οὗτος

Prosodiac with anacrusis

Alcm. 23. 36-7

ἔστι τις σιῶν τισις. ὁ δ' ὄλβιος ὅστις εὐφρων . . .
Trochaic Pherecratic with anacrusis

Pind. *P.* iii 23

μεταμώνια θηρέων ἀκράντοις ἐλπίσιν.
Prosodiac with anacr.

It is not difficult to see how anacrusis comes to be used as a means of transition between rising and falling rhythm:

Aesch. *Supp.* 800-5¹

πόθεν δέ μοι γένοιτ' ἂν αἰθέρος θρόνος,
πρὸς ὃν χιῶν ὑδρηλὰ γίγνεται νέφη,
ἢ λισσὰς αἰγίλιψ ἀπρόσδερκτος οἰόφρων κρεμὰς
γυπιάς πέτρα, βαθὺ πτώμα μαρτυροῦσά μοι . . .

After two iambic trimeters we hear the phrase - ˘ ˘ ˘ ˘ ˘ ˘, an iambic dimeter, which, while continuing the rising rhythm with which we began, at the same time suggests trochaic with anacrusis, and so provides an easy transition to the trochaic phrases which follow.

Soph. *Ant.* 948-55

καίτοι καὶ γενεᾷ τίμιος, ὦ παῖ παῖ,
καὶ Ζηνὸς ταμιεύεσκε γονὰς χρυσορύτους·
ἀλλ' ἄ μοιριδία τις δύνασις δεινά·

These are prosodiacs—strongly marked falling rhythm. Hence, when we come to the next two phrases—

οὔτ' ἂν νιν ὄλβος οὔτ' Ἄρης,
οὐ πύργος, οὐχ ἀλίκτυποι

we take them as also in falling rhythm—trochaic with anacrusis. But trochaic with anacrusis suggests iambic, rising, rhythm, and by this means we are enabled to pass to dochmiac:

κελαιναὶ νᾶες ἐκφύγοιεν.

¹ 801 χιῶν... νέφη Porson: νέφη δ'... χιῶν. 802-3 ἀπρόσδερκτος Weil: ἀπρόσδεικτος.

Soph. *Ant.* 332-8

πολλὰ τὰ δεινὰ κούδεν ἀνθρώπου δεινότερον πέλει

τοῦτο καὶ πολιοῦ πέραν πόντου χειμερίῳ νότῳ

χωρεῖ, περιβρυχίοισιν . . .

Pherecratic with anacrusis

This is an Aeolian sentence of a type with which we are already familiar. But the closing Pherecratic has anacrusis. And so we proceed in rising rhythm:

περῶν ὑπ' οἴδμασιν, θεῶν τε τὰν ὑπερτάταν, Γᾶν . . .

II. RESOLUTION.

There is another, more subtle, means of transition between rising and falling rhythm. An iambus may be resolved into a tribrach (∪ ∟ into ∪ ∪ ∪): so may a trochee (∟ ∪ into ∪ ∪ ∪). Hence the tribrach provides a convenient link between the two.

Aesch. *Cho.* 22-5¹

ιαλτὸς ἐκ δόμων ἔβην χοᾶς προπομπὸς ὀξύχειρι συν κόπῳ.

Iambic dimeter

Iambic trimeter

πρέπει παρῆσι φοινίαις ἀμυγμὸς ὄνυχος ἄλοκι νεοτόμῳ.

The first three phrases are iambic. The fourth opens with three tribrachs, which might be iambic too. Only when we reach the end of the phrase do we realise that they stand for trochaic: ∪ ∪ ∪ ∪ ∪ ∪ ∪ ∪ ∟.

Aesch. *P. V.* 169-76

τίς ᾧδε τλησικάρδιος θεῶν ὄτῳ τάδ' ἐπιχαρῆ;

Iambic

Iambic

τίς οὐ ξυνασχαλᾶ κακοῖς τεοῖσι, διχα γε Διός; ὁ δ' ἐπικότως ἀεὶ

Iambic

Iambic

θέμενος ἄγναμπτον νόον δάμναται Οὐρανίαν γένναν, οὐδὲ λήξει.

Epitr. resolved

Epitrite

Prosodiac

Epitrite

The first four phrases are iambic (rising rhythm). In the fifth, we pass to Dorian (falling rhythm): but the transition is made

¹ 24 παρῆσι Hermann: παρῆσι. φοινίαις ἀμυγμὸς Conington: φοίνισσ' ἀμυγμοῖς.

easy by the resolution of the first foot of the epitrite: $\cup\cup\cup\cup-$ instead of $\cup\cup\cup-$.

Soph. *Aj.* 605-7

χρόνῳ τρυχόμενος, κακὰν ἐλπίδ' ἔχων
rising rising

ἔτι μέ ποτ' ἀνύσειν τὸν ἀπότροπον ἀτδήλον Αἴδαν.
shift to falling Aeolian enneasyllable (resolved)¹

A pretty example of shift by resolution is to be found in Pindar's first Olympian—a composition we will examine in detail in a later chapter:

Pind. *O.* i 7-8

Trochaic

μηδ' Ὀλυμπίας ἀγῶνα φέρτερον αὐδάσομεν.
Pherecratic

ὄθεν ὁ πολύφατος ὕμνος ἀμφιβάλλεται . . .

The first of these two phrases is in falling rhythm, though a hint of rising is contained in the iambus appended at the end. The second begins with two tribrachs. Of these the first, *ὄθεν/ό*, is so divided as to suggest trochaic, while the second, *πολύφα*, is doubtful, and so prepares the way for the undisguised iambic which follows, and for the rising rhythm which continues to the end of the strophe.

III. LINK.

Some figures and phrases contain in themselves the elements of both rising and falling rhythm. The fourth paeon, for example, may stand for a cretic, which is in falling rhythm ($\cup\cup\cup\cup-$ for $\cup\cup\cup$), or for a bacchius ($\cup\cup\cup\cup-$ for $\cup\cup\cup$), in which

¹ See Appendix. Thus the last phrase of the strophe echoes the first (596-7): $\tilde{\omega}$ κλεινὰ Σάλαμις, σὺ μὲν που. Cf. *Phil.* 827 ἴπν' ὀδύνας ἀδαήης, ἴπνε δ' ἀλγέων = 838 πολὶ τι πολὺ παρὰ πόδα κράτος ἄρνυται. Resolution is used, not as a shift, but as an anticipation of a change of rhythm, in Soph. *Trach.* 116-19 (see above, pp. 9-10), where *πολύπονον* (for $\cup\cup\cup$) anticipates the dactyl *Κρήσιον*: cf. Aesch. *Theb.* 818 γένεος. For other cases of shift by resolution, cf. Eur. *H. F.* 413 ἀγορον, *El.* 480 ἔκανεν, *Hel.* 341 πότερα.

case it is in rising rhythm. Hence it comes to be used as a shift from one to the other.

Aesch. *Supp.* 787-91¹

μέλας γενοίμαν καπνὸς νέφεσσι γειτονῶν Διός,

Iambic (rising) Iambic (rising)

τὸ πᾶν δ' ἄφαντος ἀμπετῆς ἄϊστος ὡς

Iambic (rising)

κόνις ἄτερθε πτερύγων ὀλοίμαν.

Paeon (link) Pherecratic (falling)

In the same way, the so-called iambo-choriambic (υ̇υ̇υ̇υ̇ υ̇υ̇υ̇υ̇) may be regarded as beginning in rising (iambic), and as ending in falling (choriambic) rhythm. That is why it is used in the following passage:

Aesch. *Cho.* 48-52

ἰὼ πάνοιζυς ἐστία, ἰὼ κατασκαφαὶ δόμων ἀνήλιοι, βροτοστυγεῖς

Iambic (rising) Iambic (rising) Iambic (rising)

δνόφοι καλύπτουσι δόμους δεσποτῶν θανάτοισι.

Iambo-choriambic (link) Pherecratic (falling)

The transition is sometimes effected by a non-descript phrase invented for the occasion:

Aesch. *Agam.* 745-8

δύσεδρος καὶ δυσόμιλος συμένα Πριαμίδαισιν,

Ionic a minore (rising)

πομπᾶ Διὸς ξενίου, νυμφόκλαυτος Ἐρινύς.

Link Pherecratic (falling)

Aesch. *Supp.* 879-82

καὶ γὰρ δυσπαλάμωσ ὄλοιο

Aeolian enneasyllable

δι' ἀλίρρυτον ἄλσος κατὰ Σαρπηδόσιον χῶμα πολύψαμμον

Link Ionic a minore

ἀλαθείς.

¹ 790 ἀμπετῆς ἀϊστος ὡς Haupt: ἀμπετῆσαισ δόσωσ.

IV. ECHO.

The dominant factor in the rhythm of Greek lyric, as was suggested at the outset of this inquiry, is the natural rhythm dictated by the sense of the words. Sometimes, especially in the case of the simpler and commoner rhythms, the words are so grouped as to break across the outlines of the metrical pattern, thus creating a rhythmical undercurrent, as it were, of their own. Common dochmiac, for example, can be so arranged as to suggest trochaic :

Aesch. *Agam.* 202-3

Dochmiac	Dochmiac
πνοαὶ δ' ἀπὸ	Στυμόνος μολούσαι
(Trochaic)	

Dochmiac	Dochmiac
κακόσχολοι, νήστιδες,	δύσορμοι . . .
(Trochaic)	

Often the purpose of such effects is merely to give the ear variety; but the poet is quick to take advantage of them for another purpose:

Aesch. *Agam.* 387-91¹

Dochmiac	Dochmiac	Dochmiac	Dochmiac
πνεόντων	μείζον ἢ δικαίως,	φλεόντων	δωμάτων ὑπέρφεν
(Trochaic)		(Trochaic)	

Dochmiac	Dochmiac	Trochaic (echo)
ὑπὲρ τὸ βέλτιστον.	ἔστω δ' ἀπήμαντον	ὥστ' ἀπαρκεῖν

Pherecratic
εὖ πραπίδων λαχόντι.

The trochaic movement is at first heard as an undercurrent running beneath the rising rhythm of the dochmiacs; but presently it emerges as an independent phrase, and so affords an easy transition to the falling rhythm of the final Pherecratic.

¹ 391 λαχόντι Headlam: λαχόντα.

Aesch. *Supp.* 58-63¹

εἰ δὲ κυρεῖ τις πέλας οἰωνοπολῶν ἔγγαιος οἴκτον ἄτων,
Choriambic Trochaic with anacrusis

δοξάσει τις ἀκούειν

Pherecratic

ᾄπα τᾶς Τηρέτας μήτιδος οἰκτρᾶς ἀλόχου κικηλάτου τ' ἀηδόνας.
Ionic a minore Trochaic

The latter part of the Pherecratic (τις ἀκούειν) suggests Ionic a minore: and this is echoed at the beginning of the next phrase (ᾄπα τᾶς Τη-), which continues in Ionic a minore.

Aesch. *Theb.* 712-3²

κατάρης Οἰδιπόδα βλαψίφρονος. παιδολέτωρ δ' Ἔρις ἐξοτρύνει.
Ionic a minore Aeolian decasyllable³
echo

Soph. *O. C.* 121-37

Μολόττος (♣-♣) and cretic Μολόττος and cretic
προσδέρκου, λεῦσσε νιν, προσπεύθου πανταχῆ.

Bacchius Anaclastic Glyconic

πλανάτας, πλανάτας τις ὁ πρέσβυς, οὐδ'
echo

Glyconic

ἔγχωρος· προσέβα γὰρ οὐκ

ἄν ποτ' ἀστιβὲς ἄλσος ἐς τᾶνδ' ἀμαιομακετᾶν κορᾶν,
Glyconic Glyconic

Aeolian tripod Aeolian tripod

ἄς τρέμομεν λέγειν, καὶ παραμειβόμεσθ'

Bacchius Anaclastic Glyconic Glyconic

ἀδέρκτως, ἀφώνως, ἀλόγως τὸ τᾶς εὐφάμου στόμα φροντίδος
echo

Anaclastic Glyconic Pherecratic

ιέντες· τὰ δὲ νῦν τιν' ἤκειν λόγος οὐδὲν ἄζουθ'
echo

¹ 58 οἰωνοπολῶν Headlam, after Tucker: οἰωνοπόλων. 59 οἴκτον Schwenk: οἴκτον οἰκτρὸν.

² 713 ἐξοτρύνει Headlam: ἄδ' ὀτρύνει.

³ See Appendix for this phrase. Its function here is to combine an echo of choriambic with the Pherecratic cadence.

Anapaests

ὄν ἐγὼ λείσσων περὶ πᾶν οὔπω δύναμαι τέμενος
γνῶναι ποῦ μοί ποτε ναίει.

In this last passage—an excellent example of the uses of the echo—the cretic πανταχῆ is followed by a bacchius (πλανάτας), which is repeated as the opening of an anaclastic Glyconic¹, and so prepares us for common Glyconic. We hear the bacchius again at ἀδέρκτως: again it is taken up as the opening of an anaclastic Glyconic, and so we come back to common Glyconic again. The bacchius is re-echoed a third time in *ιέντες*, thus preparing the ear for the rising rhythm of the anapaests, which bring this beautiful strophe to an end.

Sophocles, of all the poets, delighted in the effects which can be obtained from this device of echo. In his pursuit of them, he sometimes abandons the standard phrase almost entirely, and gives free rein to his fancy, allowing one rhythm to grow out of another, phrase upon phrase, just as they suggest themselves to his sensitive ear. Thus, the following passage is based on certain standard phrases (given above the words): but it owes its organic unity to the delicate interplay of echoes (given below the words).

Soph. *Aj.* 221–32²

Epitrite ³	Epitrite	Prosodiac	Epitrite
<i>οἴαν</i>	<i>ἐδήλωσας</i>	<i>ἀνδρὸς</i>	<i>αἴθονος ἀγγελίαν</i>
a		b	c
		Prosodiac	Prosodiacs
<i>τῶν</i>	<i>μεγάλων</i>	<i>Δαναῶν ὑπο</i>	<i>κληζομέναν, τὰν</i>
<i>ὄ</i>	<i>μέγας</i>	<i>μῦθος</i>	<i>ἀέξει.</i>
b echoed		d	d echoed e

¹ Cf. Eur. *Supp.* 1012–13 ὀρῶ δὴ τελευτὰν ἴν' ἔστακα· τύχα δέ μοι.

² See Headlam, *J.H.S.* vol. XXII, pp. 218–9.

³ - ˘ ˘ ˘ for ˘ ˘ ˘ -: see Appendix.

Iambo-choriambic Pherecratic
οἶμοι φοβοῦμαι τὸ προσέρπον. περίφαντος ἀνὴρ
a echoed e echoed f
 g

Anacastic Pherecratic
θανεῖται, παραπλάκτω χερὶ συγκατακτὰς
f echoed e echoed g echoed

Prosodiac Epitrite
κελαινοῖς ξίφεσιν βοτὰ καὶ βοτῆρας ἵππονώμας.
f echoed c echoed

V. OVERLAP.

The use of overlap for enforcing the final cadence has already been illustrated. As a shift, it is not confined to the cadence.

Soph. *El.* 1064-9

Aeolian couplet¹

καὶ τὰν Οὐρανίαν Θέμιν δαρὸν οὐκ ἰπὸνήτοι.

Glyconic

ὦ χθονία βροτοῖσι Φάμα, κατὰ μοι βόασον οἰκτρὰν

Anacreontic Anacreontic

ὄπα τοῖς ἔνερθ' Ἀτρείδαις ἀχόρευτα φέρουσ' ὄνειδη.

Anacreontic Pherecratic with anacrusis

Eur. *Hel.* 1451-5

Iambo-choriambic Iambo-choriambic
Φοίνισσα Σιδωνιάς ὦ ταχεῖα κόπα ῥοθίοισι, μάτηρ
Pherecratic

Glyconic Pherecratic

εἰρεσίας φίλα, χοραγὲ τῶν καλλιχόρων δελφίνων, ὅταν αὔραις...
Iambo-choriambic

Aesch. *P. V.* 412-17²

Iambo-choriambic Iambo-choriambic
στένω σε τὰς οὐλομένας τύχας, Προμηθεῦ· δακρυσίστακτα δ'
Anacreontic Ionic a minore . . .

¹ I give the name Aeolian couplet, for the sake of convenience, to the Glyconic followed by a Pherecratic.

² 415 δακρυσίστακτα Minckwitz: δακρυσίστακτον.

ἀπ' ὄσσων ῥαδινῶν λειβομένα ῥέος παρειὰν
(*contd.*) Anacreontic

νοτίοις ἔτεγξα πηγαῖς.
Anacreontic

Overlap reaches its highest point of development in a continuous contrapuntal effect, in which two different rhythms are made to run side by side for the duration of several phrases. This may be called *concurrent* rhythm¹.

CONCUR-
RENCE

Aesch. *P. V.* 130-40

Iambo-choriambic Iambo-choriambic
μηδὲν φοβηθῆς· φιλία γὰρ ἦδε τάξις πτερύγων
Anacreontic Anacreontic...

Iambo-choriambic
θοαῖς ἀμίλλαις προσέβα
(*contd.*)

Pherecratic Iambo-choriambic
τόνδε πάγον, πατρώας μόγις παρείπουσα φρένας·

Aeolian decasyllable
κραιπνοφόροι δέ μ' ἔπεμψαν αὔραι.
(Pherecratic)

Iambo-choriambic Iambo-choriambic
κτύπου γὰρ ἀχὼ χάλυβος διῆξεν ἄντρων μυχόν, ἐκ δ'
Anacreontic Anacreontic...

Iambo-choriambic
ἔπληξέ μου τὰν θεμερῶπιν αἰδῶ·
(*contd.*) Pherecratic

Aeolian decasyllable
σύθην δ' ἀπέδιλος ὄχῳ πτερωτῶ.
(Pherecratic)

The concurrent phrases are iambo-choriambic and Anacreontic. Both are indispensable to the design—the first because it forms the basis of the metrical pattern, the second because the natural grouping of the words demands it. If we analyse the first sentence, for example, as iambo-choriambic alone—

¹ See Headlam, *J.H.S.* vol. XXII, pp. 219-21.

μηδὲν φοβηθῆς· φιλία
 γὰρ ἦδε τάξις πτερύγων
 θοαῖς ἀμίλλαις προσέβα. . .

the result looks well enough on paper, but does not satisfy the ear; for the dominant rhythm of the middle of the sentence is Anacreontic:

μηδὲν φοβηθῆς·
 φιλία γὰρ ἦδε τάξις
 πτερύγων θοαῖς ἀμίλλαις
 προσέβα. . .

The distinctive feature of the passage as a whole is its lack of phrase-pauses. Before we approach the end of one phrase we are carried onwards by the beginning of the next. The effect is undulating. Could Aeschylus have devised a happier rhythmical accompaniment to the flight of his Ocean Nymphs as they ride through the air on their winged sea-horses? Perhaps that is why Sophocles uses the same rhythm in a passage in the *Electra*:

Soph. *El.* 1058–62

Iambo-choriambic Iambo-choriambic Iambo-choriambic...
 τί τοὺς ἄνωθεν φρονιμωτάτους οἰωνοὺς ἐσορώμενοι τροφᾶς κη-

Anacreontic Anacreontic

(*contd.*) Iambo-choriambic Iambo-choriambic...

δομένους ἀφ' ὧν τε βλάστωσιν ἀφ' ὧν τ' ὄνασιν εὐρω-

Anacreontic Anacreontic

(*contd.*)

σι, τὰδ' οὐκ ἐπ' ἴσας τελοῦμεν;

Pherecratic

In the *Ajax*, he compounds Anacreontic in the same way with Glyconic:

Soph. *Aj.* 695–701

Glyconic Glyconic
 ὦ Πὰν Πὰν ἀλίπλαγκτε Κυλλανίας χιονοκτύπου

Anaclastic Glyconic Anacreontic...

πετραίας ἀπὸ δειράδος

(*contd.*) Anacreontic...

Glyconic	Glyconic
φάνηθ', ὦ θεῶν χοροποί' ἄναξ, ὅπως μοι Μύσια Κνώσι' ὀρ-	
(contd.)	Anacreontic
Glyconic	Glyconic
χήματ' αὐτοδαῆ ξυνὸν ἰάψης· νῦν γὰρ ἐμοὶ μέλει χορεῦσαι.	
Anacreontic	Anacreontic

If we ask why Sophocles uses this rhythm here, perhaps we shall find the answer in a fragment of Pindar's :

Pind. *fr.* 95

Glyconic	Glyconic
ὦ Πᾶν Ἀρκαδίας μεδέων, καὶ σεμνῶν ἀδύτων φύλαξ	
Glyconic	Glyconic
ματρὸς μεγάλας ὀπαδέ, σεμνᾶν Χαρίτων μέλημα τερπνόν.	
Anacreontic	Anacreontic

When Sophocles addresses a hymn to Pan, he writes in the rhythm used by Pindar for the same purpose before him. Possibly, the intention of both was to remind their audiences of a traditional song: or did the song take its shape in reminiscence of the poets? For it is in the same rhythm:

Scolium 5, Bergk III p. 644

Glyconic	Glyconic
ὦ Πᾶν Ἀρκαδίας μεδέων κλεενᾶς,	
Anacreontic	
Glyconic	Glyconic
ὄρχηστά, Βρομίαις ὀπαδὲ Νύμφαις,	
Anacreontic	
† γελασίαις † ὦ Πᾶν ἐπ' ἐμαῖς	
Glyconic	Glyconic
εὐφροσύναισι, ταῖσδ' αἰοδαῖς κεχαρημένος . . .	
Anacreontic	

Rhythmical effects such as these—and surely they are very beautiful—are not to be found, so far as I know, in the poetry of any other language. No doubt, Greek lends itself more easily than most to intricate rhythmical design, but part of the credit must be given to the Greek poets themselves who

excelled all others in the subtlety of their sense of rhythm. For it is possible to produce effects—less precise, but similar—in a language which by comparison is so intractable as English:

You need not fear us, we are friends. Our father said no, but we
pleaded, then in haste took to the air,
Breathlessly beating long wings,
And on and on raced with the wind,
Riding the crests of the mountain breezes.
We heard the dull strokes of a hammer through the sea-caves, and we
leapt a-horse without time to remember manners,
Each foot in the stirrup without a sandal.

We see, Prometheus, and a sullen cloud has drawn down in a moment
drifting tears over our eyes.
Oh what a sight we see here—
Immortal limbs grappled in steel,
Wasting to death in this iron winter!
The world has new masters, and Zeus usurping all power to himself
proclaims a new order of harsh oppression.
The giants that were have been brought to nothing.

CHAPTER FIVE

MUSICAL FORM IN GREEK POETRY

THE kindred arts of poetry and music differ in one most important respect. Poetry tells a story; like sculpture, it is a representative art. A poem often takes its shape from the impress of its subject-matter—for every story has a beginning and an end—and thus assumes a natural coherence which diminishes the necessity of an artificial form. Music, on the other hand, tells no story; like architecture, it is a non-representative art. Not being a direct narrative of human experience, it depends for its coherence upon a superimposed, artistic form, which will appeal to the aesthetic instincts of the hearer and convey to him a proper sense of unity and completeness. It is only natural, therefore, that conscious artistic form should have been more highly developed by musicians, for whom it is indispensable, than by poets, for whom it is not. And it reaches its highest development in the hands of those composers whose work is furthest removed from direct representation of human experience—in the fugues of Bach, the quartets of Mozart, the symphonies of Beethoven, which cannot be fully appreciated without previous acquaintance with the formal principles underlying their composition.

TWO-PART FORM

We saw that the simplest kind of musical sentence owes its coherence to a natural response by which the second of its component phrases provides a complement or counterpart to the first. The same principle underlies the simplest kind of musical design, known among musicians as *Two-part form*. If we listen to *God Save the King*, for example, we find that, both rhythmically and melodically, the design falls into two more or less equal portions, the second being a restatement, in similar though not identical terms, of the first. This is

Two-part form: and, for convenience, we may represent it by the symbol A-B.

Musicians felt the need of a design which would offer them greater scope than is afforded by simple Two-part form. Hence the rise of what is called *Three-part* form. Listen to a stanza of *The Red Flag*, and you will find that the composition falls not into two but into three parts, the third being a restatement of the first, and the second something in the nature of a digression or development. Statement—digression—restatement. First subject—second subject—first subject. The design may be described in various ways, or symbolically A-B-A. Minuet-and-trio form is based on this principle. The first piece of the design, the minuet, begins with a first subject which develops into a second, and it ends by returning to the first. The second piece, the Trio, is constructed in the same way, and the third is a repetition of the first.

THREE-
PART
FORMMINUET
AND
RONDO

A. Minuet: A-B-A.

B. Trio: A-B-A.

A. Minuet: A-B-A.

The Rondo is a further elaboration of the same principle. In the Simple Rondo the first subject is repeated twice—in the middle and at the end; but the first and second statements of it, and the second and third, are separated by two digressions or episodes, thus: A-B-A-C-A. The Sonata-Rondo is even more elaborate. There are two subjects and a central episode. The first subject is followed by the second, then the first recurs; in the middle comes the episode; then the first subject returns, then the second, and finally the first again: A-B-A-C-A-B-A.

Sometimes, the completion of the design by the return to the opening subject is followed by an extension or appendage, added either to soften a too abrupt conclusion or to provide a sort of epilogue in which the main themes of the composition are summarised. This is the *coda*.

THE
CODA

CYCLIC
FORM

The superior flexibility of Three-part over Two-part form may be seen from its use in continuous composition, where the second subject of one piece of the design is sometimes taken up as the first subject of the next: A-B-A, B-C-B, C-D-C, etc. This is Cyclic form, and reminds us of the *terza rima* of *The Divine Comedy*, in which the first verse of each *terzetta* rhymes with the third, and the second with the first and third of the next *terzetta* :

La gloria di colui che tutto move
per l'universo penetra, e risplende
in una parte più e meno altrove.
Nel ciel che più della sua luce prende
fu' io; e vidi cose che ridire
nè sa nè può qual di lassù discende.

Of course, it must not be supposed that these formal principles are rules which the composer is bound rigidly to observe: they are merely the fundamental laws, based on aesthetic instinct, which form the groundwork of his art. He is free to vary them as much as he chooses: only, even when he diverges from them, his work cannot be rightly understood without reference to these original types.

That the Greek poets were endowed with a finer sense of form than most poets of modern times, will hardly be denied. Nor is it difficult to see how they came by this highly developed sense of form: they were also musicians. Mr Sheppard has shown by a detailed study of the structural form of Greek poetry, how, in epic, lyric and tragedy alike, they strove to attain a formal unity by the artistic arrangement of episodes, images and ideas¹. The principles of composition which he discovered by a study of the subject-matter alone are essentially the same as those which underlie the form of modern music. We shall have more to say on this important subject later; for the moment, let us note the parallel, and remember

¹ J. T. Sheppard, *Pattern of the Iliad* (1922). See also *Aeschylus and Sophocles* (1927), *Cambridge Ancient History*, vol. v, chap. v, and his articles in *J.H.S.* (1922), pp. 220 ff., *C.R.* (1922), pp. 5-11.

that nothing is more natural than that poets who were also musicians should have revealed in their poetry a musical technique.

One link is still wanting to make our argument complete. Musical composition is based on certain fundamental principles of artistic form; and we have evidence that these principles underlie the formal technique evolved by the Greek poets. Can they be traced in their rhythms? To answer this question we must examine a unit in the rhythmical design larger than any we have noticed so far—the strophe.

In some songs written in Three-part form—in *The Red Flag* for example—the rhythm of the words is the same throughout: the musical form depends on variations in the melody alone. In others, however, the form is reflected in rhythm and melody alike. In Schumann's *Freisinn*, for instance, the second subject begins with a change of rhythm—a change which is reflected in the words themselves.

A. Lasst mich nur auf meinem Sattel gelten,
Bleibt in euren Hütten, euren Zelten,
Und ich reite froh in alle Ferne,
Ueber meine Mütze nur die Sterne.

B. Er hat euch die Gestirne gesetzt
Als Leiter zu Land und See,
Damit er euch daran ergötzt,
Stets blickend in die Höh'.

A. Lasst mich nur, etc.

Now the melody of Greek music has perished, but the rhythm has survived. In many pieces, the rhythm is the same from beginning to end, and their musical form, if they had a musical form, has perished along with the melodies which accompanied them. In others the rhythm varies. Let us examine these with a view to discovering on what principle the rhythms are built up.

Starting from the isolated foot, we advanced, through the figure and phrase, to the sentence. We now come to the strophe—the recurrent group of sentences which constitutes

THE
GREEK
STROPHE

the framework of the poem as a whole. Strophic lyric is of three kinds—monostrophic, antistrophic and triadic. The monostrophic poem falls into a number of single strophes, each identical in rhythmical form. The antistrophic poem falls into pairs of strophes: no two pairs are alike, while the strophe and antistrophe of which each pair is composed are identical. In the triadic poem, strophe and antistrophe are followed by an epode, which differs from them in form, though similar in its general character: and this tripartite design is repeated without variation in detail or in the order of its parts. Thus, in the first two kinds it is the strophe, in the third the triad, which is the organic unit—the largest the poem contains. Here, if anywhere, we shall expect to find traces of formal design. And since, for the reason explained above, these traces are less likely to appear in homogeneous rhythm than in heterogeneous, let us begin with some simple examples of Two-part form in homogeneous Dorian.

STROPHES
IN TWO-
PART
FORM

Aesch. *Pers.* 854–99¹.

Str. 1

A ὦ πόποι, ἦ μεγάλας ἀγαθᾶς τε πολισσονόμου βιοτᾶς ἐπε-
κύρσαμεν, εὖθ' ὁ γηραιὸς

B πανταρκῆς, ἀκάκης, ἄμαχος βασιλεύς, ἰσόθεος Δαρεῖος ἀρχε-
χώρας.

Str. 2

A ὄσσας δ' εἶλε πόλεις πόρον οὐ διαβὰς Ἴαλυσος ποταμοῖο, οὐδ'
ἀφ' ἐστίας συθείς,

B οἶαι Στρυμονίου πελάγους Ἀχελωῖδες εἰσὶ πάροιχοι Θρη-
κίων ἐπαύλων.

Str. 3

A νᾶσοί θ' αἶ κατὰ πρῶν' ἄλιον περίκλυστοι τᾶδε γὰ προσή-
μεναι,

¹ This free form of Dorian is Stesichorean: see W. Headlam, *J.H.S.* vol. XXII, p. 215; and cf. below, pp. 103–4. Its peculiar characteristic—the long dactylic phrase—is obtained by resolution of the final spondee of the prosodiac.

B οἷα Λέσβος, ἐλαιόφυτός τε Σάμος, Χίος ἠδὲ Πάρος, Νάξος,
Μύκονος, Τήνω τε συνάπτουσ' ἄνδρος ἀγχιγείτων.

All these sentences are of the same type—a long dactylic announcement, followed by an epitritic or trochaic responsion. And each strophe consists of two such sentences.

Eur. *Andr.* 766–76

A ἢ μὴ γενοίμαν ἢ πατέρων ἀγαθῶν
εἶην πολυκτῆτων τε δόμων μέτοχος.
εἶ τι γὰρ πάσχοι τις ἀμήχανον, ἀλκᾶς
οὐ σπάνις εὐγενέταις,

B κηρυσσομένοισι δ' ἀπ' ἐσθλῶν δωμάτων
τιμὰ καὶ κλέος· οὗτοι λείψανα τῶν ἀγαθῶν
ἀνδρῶν ἀφαιρεῖται χρόνος· ἅ δ' ἀρετὰ
καὶ θανούσι λάμπει.

Both sentences are quadruple; but in this case the responsions are different. The Two-part character of the whole, however, is perfectly clear.

Pindar elaborates this simple form by the addition of a *coda*:

THE
CODA

Pind. *N.* ix 1–5

A Κωμάσομεν παρ' Ἀπόλλωνος Σεκυωνόθε, Μοῖσαι,
τὰν νεοκτίσταν ἐς Αἴτναν, ἔνθ' ἀναπεπταμέναι ξείνων νενίκα-
νται θύραι,

B ὄλβιον ἐς Χρομίου δῶμ'. ἀλλ' ἐπέων γλυκὺν ὕμνον πράσσετε.
τὸ κρατήσιππον γὰρ ἐς ἄρμ' ἀναβαίνων ματέρι καὶ διδύμοις
παίδεσσιν αὐδὰν μανύει

C Πυθῶνος αἰπεινᾶς ὁμῶκλάροις ἐπόπταις.

The first two sentences both end with a double epitrite (⊥⊥⊥—⊥⊥⊥); the third, the *coda*, is composed of three epitrites—a common conclusion to Dorian periods known as the *Στησιχορεῖον*¹.

A clear example of Two-part form in Ionian rhythm will be found in a strophe already quoted from *The Suppliants* of

¹ Another example of two-part form with coda will be found in the twelfth Pythian.

Aeschylus (1029–36)¹. The first sentence concludes with a ditrochee, the second with an Anacreontic; and in both the length of the announcing phrase is the same. Another, in heterogeneous rhythm, will be found in the strophe from the *Prometheus* analysed at the end of the last chapter (*P.V.* 130–40)². Here, the announcement is Anacreontic and iambo-choriambic, the responsion an Aeolian decasyllable; and both sentences are similar, except that the second is more condensed. We shall come across many more strophes of this type when we examine the plays of Aeschylus in detail.

STROPHES
IN THREE-
PART
FORM

Three-part form may be seen at its simplest in a strophe like the following, where the first phrase is repeated after a short digression:

Aesch. *Agam.* 1135–41 (Dochmiac)

A φρενομανής τις εἰ θεοφόρητος, ἀμφὶ δ' αὐτᾶς θροεῖς

B νόμον ἄνομον. οἶά τις ξουθὰ

ἀκόρετος βοᾶς, φεῦ, ταλαίνας φρεσὶν

A Ἴτυν Ἴτυν στένουσ' ἀμφιθαλῆ κακοῖς ἀηδῶν βίον.

More commonly, however, the restatement of the opening subject is shorter than its first occurrence:

Aesch. *Agam.* 170–7. A Trochaic: B Dactylic

A Ζεὺς ὅστις ποτ' ἐστίν, εἰ τόδ' αὐτῷ φίλον κεκλημένῳ, τοῦτό
νιν προσεννέπω. οὐδ' ἔχω προσεικάσαι πάντ' ἐπισταθμώμενος

B πλὴν Διός, εἰ τὸ μάταν ἀπὸ φροντίδος ἄχθος

A χρὴ βαλεῖν ἐτητύμως.

Nor need the formal divisions be coterminous with the sentences. In the passage which follows they are independent:

Aesch. *Supp.* 638–46. A Aeolian: B Paeonic.

νῦν ὅτε καὶ θεοὶ Δῖογενεῖς κλύοιτ' εὐκταῖα γένει χεούσας·

(A) Tripody

Pherecratic

Pherecratic

¹ See above, p. 14.

² See above, p. 30.

μήποτε πυρίφατον τάνδε Πελασγίαν τὸν ἄχορον βοᾶν
 Tripody Tripody (B) Dochmiac

κτίσαι μάχλον Ἄρη
 Dochmiac

τὸν ἀρότοις θερίζοντα βροτούς ἐν ἄλλοις.
 Dochmiac (A) Pherecratic

In a few cases, we find that the Greek poets developed Three-part form somewhat on the lines of the modern Rondo. In the strophe which follows, the three main subjects are trochaic, dactylic and dochmiac, with cretic as a link between the first and third :

Aesch. *Agam.* 966-77¹. A Trochaic: B Dactylic: C Dochmiac.
 Form : A-B-A-C-A.

DEVELOP-
 MENT OF
 THREE-
 PART
 FORM

τίπτε μοι τόδ' ἐμπέδως δειῖμα προστατήριον
 A

καρδίας τερασκόπου ποτᾶται,

μαντιπολεῖ δ' ἀκέλευστος ἄμισθος ἀοιδά,
 B

οὐδ' ἀποπτύσας δίκαν δυσκρίτων ὄνειράτων
 A

θάρσος εὐπειθὲς ἴζει φρενὸς φίλον θρόνον;
 (cretics) A

χρόνος δ' ἐπεὶ πρυμνησίων ξυνεμβολὰς ψαμμὰς ἀκτὰ παρή-
 C A (cretics)

φησεν, εὐθ' ὑπ' Ἴλιον ὤρτο ναυβάτας στρατός.
 A

No poet was more skilful in his development of Three-part form than Pindar. So let us conclude this account of strophic composition with an analysis of three of his odes, which will illustrate almost all the metrical principles I have hitherto enunciated.

¹ 974-5 ξυνεμβολὰς ψαμμὰς ἀκτὰ παρήφησεν Headlam: ξυνεμβόλοις ψαμμιάς ἀκάτα παρήβησεν.

Pind. *P.* vi. Monostrophic. A Paeonic : B Aeolian.
Form : A-B-A-B-A-B-A.

(A) Dochmiac

Ἀκούσατ' ἢ γὰρ ἐλικώπιδος Ἀφροδίτας ἄρουραν ἠ̇ Χαρίτων
(B) Pherecratic Tripody with anacrusis

(paeon)

(A) Dochmiac Link

ἀναπολίζομεν, ὄμφαλον ἐρίβρομου χθονὸς ἐς νάϊον προσοιχόμενοι
Tripody (B) Glyconic

Πυθιόνικος ἔνθ' ὀλβίοισιν Ἐμμενίδαις
Tripody Glyconic

(paeon)

(A) Dochmiac

ποταμιά τ' Ἀκράγαντι καὶ μὰν Ξενοκράτει
Tripody

Dochmiac

ἔτοιμος ὕμνων θησαυρὸς ἐν πολυχρύσῳ
(B) Pherecratic

(A) Dochmiac

Ἀπολλωνία τετείχισται νάπα.

Pind. *P.* v. Triadic. A Paeonic : B Aeolian. There is also a recurrent figure $\underline{\cup\cup\cup}$ or $\underline{\cup-\cup}$. Form of strophe : A-B-A-B-A-B-A-B-A. Form of epode : A-B-A-B-A-B-A-B-A-B-A.

Str.

(A) Dochmiac

Dochmiac

Ὁ πλοῦτος εὐρυσθενής, ὅταν τις ἀρετᾶ̇ κεκραμένον καθαρᾶ̇
(B) Glyconic

(A) Dochmiac

(A) Paeon

βροθήσιος ἀνὴρ πότμου παραδόντος αὐτὸν ἀνάγη
(B) Glyconic

Dochmiac

πολύφιλον ἐπέταν.

Paeon

($\underline{\cup\cup\cup}$)

Dochmiac

($\underline{\cup\cup}$)

ὦ θεόμορ' Ἀρκεσίλα, σύ τοί νιν κλυτᾶς αἰῶνος

ἀκρᾶν βαθμίδων ἄπο

(B) Tripody with anacrusis

(A) Dochmiac

σὺν εὐδοξίᾳ μετανίσειαι

(B) Tripody

(A) Dochmiac

ἑκατι χρυσαρμάτου Κάστορος·

Cretic (— — —) Paeon & Cretic

εὐδίαν δὲ μετὰ χειμέριον ὄμβρον τεῶν

Dochmiac

καταιθύσει μάκαιραν ἑστίαν.

Er.

(A) Bacchiac & Paeon

Ἀπολλώνιον ἄθυρμα. τῷ σε μὴ λαθέτω

(B) Glyconic

(A) Dochmiac

Κυράνας γλυκὺν ἀμφὶ κᾶπον Ἀφροδίτας ἀειδόμενον,

Anaclastic Glyconic

(B) Tripody

παντὶ μὲν θεὸν αἴτιον ὑπερτιθέμεν,

Tripody

Tripody

(A) Dochmiac

φιλεῖν δὲ Κάρρωτον ἔξοχ' ἑταίρων·

(B) Pherecratic

(A) Paeon (— — —)

(A) Cretic

δὲ οὐ τᾶν Ἐπιμαθέος ἄγων ὄψινούου θυγατέρᾳ πρόφασιν Βατιδᾶν

Anaclastic Tripody

(B) Tripody

Dochmiac

Dochmiac

Cretics

ἀφίκετο δόμους θεμισκρέοντων· ἀλλ' ἀρισθάρματον

(A) Dochmiac

ὕδατι Κασταλίας ξενῶθεις γέρας ἀμφέβαλε τεῶσιν κόμαις.

(B) Glyconic

Glyconic¹

Pind. *N.* vii. Triadic. A Aeolian: B Aeolian and Paeonic combined. There are also some dochmiac and iambic figures. Form of strophe: A-B-A. Form of epode: the same.

¹ — — — — — for — — — — —.

The principal phrases are as follows:

- A (1) $\begin{array}{l} \cup \cup \cup \cup \cup \cup \cup \cup \\ \cup \cup \cup \cup \cup \cup \cup \cup \\ \cup \cup \cup \cup \cup \cup \cup \cup \end{array} \left. \vphantom{\begin{array}{l} \cup \cup \cup \cup \cup \cup \cup \cup \\ \cup \cup \cup \cup \cup \cup \cup \cup \\ \cup \cup \cup \cup \cup \cup \cup \cup \end{array}} \right\} \text{Enneasyllables}$
- (2) $\cup \cup \cup \cup \cup \cup \cup \cup \cup \cup \cup \cup$ Decasyllable with anacrusis¹
- (3) $\cup \cup \cup \cup \cup \cup \cup \cup \cup$ Glyconic
- (4) $\begin{array}{l} \cup \cup \cup \cup \cup \cup \cup \cup \\ \cup \cup \cup \cup \cup \cup \cup \cup \end{array} \left. \vphantom{\begin{array}{l} \cup \cup \cup \cup \cup \cup \cup \cup \\ \cup \cup \cup \cup \cup \cup \cup \cup \end{array}} \right\} \text{Pherecratics}$
- (5) $\cup \cup \cup \cup \cup \cup \cup \cup$ Tripody with anacrusis
- (6) $\cup \cup \cup \cup \cup \cup \cup \cup \cup \cup \cup \cup$ Hendecasyllable
- B (7) $\cup \cup \cup \cup \cup \cup \cup \cup \cup \cup \cup \cup \cup \cup \cup \cup \cup \cup$
- (8) $\cup \cup \cup \cup \cup \cup \cup \cup \cup \cup \cup \cup \cup \cup \cup \cup \cup \cup$
- (9) $\cup \cup \cup \cup \cup \cup \cup \cup \cup \cup \cup \cup \cup \cup \cup \cup \cup \cup$

Str.

- (A) 1 Iambic
 Ἐλείθνια, πάρεδρε Μοιρᾶν βαθῦφρόνων,
 6
παῖ μεγαλοσθενέος, ἄκουσον, Ἥρας, γενέτειρα τέκνων.
 4
Iambic
ἄνευ σέθεν
 4 Iambic Dochmiac
 οὐ φάος, οὐ μέλαιναν δρακέντες εὐφρόναν τεὰν ἀδελφεᾶν
 2 Link Iambic
 ἐλάχομεν ἀγλαόγυιον Ἥβαν. ἀναπνέομεν δ' οὐχ ἅπαντες ἐπι φίσα.
 a
- (B) 7
 εἶργει δὲ πότμῳ ζυγένθ' ἕτερον ἕτερα. σὺν δὲ τὴν
 a echoed
 8
 καὶ παῖς ὁ Θεᾶρίωνος ἀρετᾶ κριθεῖς
 (A) 5 1
 εὐδοξος αἰίδεται Σωγένης μετὰ πενταέθλοις.

¹ Cf. Pind. *O.* xiv, 1, Καφισίων ὑδάτων λαχοῖσαι. But the analysis of ἐλάχομεν is doubtful. It may stand for a dactyl.

Ερ.

(A) 4

σοφοὶ δὲ μέλλοντα τριταῖον ἄνεμον

Dochmiac b

4 Iambus

ἔμαθον, οὐδ' ὑπὸ κέρδει βλάβεν·

b echoed

(B) 9

ἀφνεὸς πενιχρὸς τε θανάτου πέρας

9

ἄμα νέονται· ἐγὼ δὲ πλέον' ἔλπομαι

(A) 3 1

λόγον Ὀδυσσεὸς ἢ πάθαν διὰ τὸν ἄδυεπῆ γενέσθ' Ὀμηρον.

c c echoed

To reduce these beautiful and intricate rhythmical designs to a paper analysis is a difficult and not altogether satisfactory task. Rhythmical composition is a flexible, delicate art, and cannot be adequately presented except through its proper medium—oral recitation. The arbiter must always be the ear, not the eye; and it is not easy to appeal to the ear through the eye. Therefore, I ask the reader, after studying the analyses given above, to recite the words of the poet aloud for himself, and to consider whether, guided by the principles of phrasing and of composition which have been laid down, he does not find in them a natural, organic unity appealing directly to his ear and to his sense of form.

CHAPTER SIX

SIGNIFICANT RHYTHM

I SUGGESTED at the beginning of the last chapter that, by comparison with poetry, music was a non-representative art—an abstraction or sublimation rather than a direct description of human experience. But this is not equally true of all music. Even in modern Europe, where the art has attained its highest development, we have, along with the fugues of Bach and the symphonies of Beethoven, the music-dramas of Wagner. Operatic music is not complete in itself: though still mistress over poetry, it is the servant of the drama, and in virtue of that relationship it assumes certain features which distinguish it from music of the purer and more abstract kind. Different combinations of melody and rhythm produce different emotional associations; and out of this property of music Wagner contrives to create many of his most striking dramatic effects. Wotan, Brünnhilde, Siegfried—not only do we see these figures on the stage, we hear them in the music, and so can be reminded of them through our ears when they are no longer visible to our eyes. Fate, Love, and Death—the Ring, the Sword, the Curse—all these themes have their appropriate musical *Leit-motives*, which are so skilfully woven into the dramatic texture as to provide, as it were, a running musical commentary on the varying fortunes of gods and heroes displayed to us on the stage.

THE *LEIT-*
MOTIV

Greek music, in general, did not exist for its own sake, and perhaps its most important function was to provide an appropriate accompaniment to drama and the dance. In this respect, it was more closely related to the operatic music of Wagner than to the abstract music of Bach and Beethoven. Hence we are not surprised to find that the Greeks attached the greatest importance to the emotional associations—the

ἦθος—of the different modes. The Dorian mode was solemn, manly, characteristically Greek. The Ionian was relaxed, effeminate—there was something un-Greek about it. The Lydian was voluptuous, the Phrygian exciting and passionate¹. All this is a matter of common knowledge: but what we have now to consider is whether similar ethical significances were attached to the different classes of rhythm—that is to say, whether the ethical quality of a piece of Greek music depended on the kind of rhythm, as well as upon the kind of melody, in which it was composed. For an answer to this question we must turn to the poets themselves, and in particular to the dramatists, who change their rhythms incessantly, and may be expected to have some reason for doing so.

THE
ETHICAL
CHARAC-
TER OF
THE
MODES

The characteristic Dorian virtues, associated in the minds of the Greeks with the Dorian mode, were ἀρετά, εὐσέβεια, σωφροσύνα. For this reason, Aristotle held that the Dorian mode was the most suitable for purposes of education². He also wrote a hymn to Ἀρετά. We may presume that he wrote it in the Dorian mode: we know that he wrote it in the Dorian rhythm:

DORIAN
FOR THE
DORIAN
VIRTUES

Arist. (Bergk II pp. 360-2)

Prosodiac

Ἀρετά, πολύμοχθε γένει βροτείῳ, θήραμα κάλλιστον βίῳ,
σᾶς πέρι, παρθένε, μορφᾶς
καὶ θανεῖν ζαλωτὸς ἐν Ἑλλάδι πότμος
καὶ πόνους τλῆναι μαλεροῦς ἀκάμαντας.

Hesiod had said that Ἀρετά dwelt upon a rocky height³. Simonides recalled the theme of the epic poet in a lyrical setting; and he used the Dorian rhythm:

Simon. 58

ἔστι τις λόγος ποτὲ τὰν Ἀρετὰν
ναίειν δυσαμβάτοις ἐπὶ πέτραις,

¹ For references see below, p. 67 n.

² Arist. *Pol.* 1342 A.

³ Hes. *Op.* 284-90.

νῦν δέ μιν θεῶν χῶρον ἄγνόν ἀμφέπειν
οὐδ' ἀπαντᾶν βλεφάροις θνατῶν ἔσοπτον,
ᾧ μὴ δακέθυμος ἰδρῶς ἔνδοθεν μόλη θ', ἴκηται τ' ἐς ἄκρον
ἀνδρείας . . .

The Chorus of the *Andromache* extol the virtue that comes of noble birth in a strophe which has already been quoted as an example of Dorian rhythm¹, and ends:

οὔτοι λείψανα τῶν ἀγαθῶν
ἀνδρῶν ἀφαιρεῖται χρόνος· ἅ δ' ἀρετὰ
καὶ θανούσι λάμπει.

The Chorus of the *Medea* declare that the course of overpassionate love runs counter to virtue and good fame:

Eur. *Med.* 627-41 (Dorian)
ἔρωτες ὑπὲρ μὲν ἄγαν ἐλθόντες οὐκ εὐδοξίαν
οἶδ' ἀρετὰν παρέδωκαν ἄνδρασιν . . .
στέργοι δέ με σωφροσύνα, δῶρημα κάλλιστον θεῶν².

In the *Oedipus Tyrannus* the Theban elders pray, in Dorian rhythm, for purity of word and action—for εὐσέβεια:

Soph. *O. T.* 863-5
εἴ μοι ξυνείη φέροντι μοῖρα τὰν εὖσεπτον ἰγνείαν λόγων
ἔργων τε πάντων ὧν νόμοι πρόκεινται . . .

We observed that the Dorian was felt to be the most Greek of the modes. In the same way, the Dorian rhythm seems to have been used in preference to others by the poets for narrating the exploits of the Greek race, or for the contrast of Greek with Asiatic. Stesichorus used it for his poem on the sack of Troy:

Stesich. 18
ᾧ κτειρε γὰρ αὐτὸν ὕδωρ αἰεὶ φορέοντα Διὸς κούρα βασιλεύσιν.

¹ Eur. *Andr.* 76-801: see above, p. 39.

² For other examples of Dorian for Ἀρετά, see Eur. *I. A.* 562-3, *Hel.* 1151-4, fr. 11 Nauck; Mel. fr. adesp. 104 B οὐ μήποτε τὰν ἀρετὰν ἀλλάξομαι ἀντ' ἀδίκου κέρδεος.

Aeschylus treated the same subject in the same rhythm:

Aesch. *Agam.* 104-5¹

κύριός εἰμι θροεῖν ὄδιον κράτος αἴσιον ἀνδρῶν
ἐκτελέων . . .

The same theme was a favourite with Euripides, and the rhythm is usually Dorian:

Eur. *Hec.* 928-32

κέλευσμα δ' ἦν κατ' ἄστυ Τροίας τόδ'· ὦ παῖδες Ἑλλά-
Iambic Dorian . . .
νων, πότε δὴ πότε τὰν Ἰλιάδα σκοπιὰν
πέρσαντες ἤξετ' οἴκους;

Ibid. 905-9

σὺ μὲν, ὦ πατρίς Ἰλιάς, τῶν ἀπορθητῶν πόλις οὐκέτι λέξῃ·
Ionian Dorian . . .
τοῖον Ἑλλάνων νέφος ἀμφί σε κρύπτει δορὶ δὴ δορὶ πέρσαν.

In the next passage the effect of the Dorian is enforced by a happy contrast with Aeolian:

Eur. *I. A.* 751-5

ἤξει δὴ Σιμόεντα καὶ δίνας ἀργυροειδεῖς
Aeolian couplet²

ἄγυρις Ἑλλάνων στρατιᾶς ἀνά τε ναυσὶν καὶ σὺν ὄπλοις
Dorian . . .

Ἰλιον ἐς τὸ Τροίας.

Aeolian

The Chorus of the *Andromache* are Greeks, Andromache herself is a Trojan. When the Chorus come to comfort her, they sing in the rhythm which befits their nationality:

Eur. *Andr.* 117-34³

ὦ γυναῖ, ἠ Θετίδος δάπεδον καὶ ἀνάκτορα θάσσεις
δαρὸν οὐδὲ λείπεις,

¹ See below, p. 104.

² Cf. Aesch. *Agam.* 699-700 κελσάντων Σιμόεντος ἀκτὰς ἐπ' ἀξιφύλλους.

³ Here we have two examples of the prosodiac with final dactyl: $\sim \sim \sim$ for $\sim \sim \sim$. See Appendix for other examples.

Φθιάς ὄμως ἔμολον ποτὶ σὰν Ἀσιήτιδα γένναν,
εἶ τί σοι δυναίμαν . . .

—FOR
ZEUS

Lastly, no doubt because of its connotation of *σεμνότης* and of its peculiarly Greek character, the Dorian rhythm seems to have been consecrated to the name of Zeus:

Aesch. *P. V.* 542–60

μηδάμ' ὁ πάντα νέμων θεῖτ' ἐμᾶ γνώμα κράτος ἀντίπαλον Ζεύς.

The Ocean nymphs have already expressed, in mournful measures, their compassion for the suffering Titan. They now turn to address to Zeus a solemn hymn in which they preach the necessity of submission to his will. The change of subject, impressive enough in itself, is made doubly so by the change of rhythm. So, in *The Suppliants*, the daughters of Danaus, after lamenting their plight in Ionian strains, remind themselves that the ways of Zeus are dark and past searching out:

Aesch. *Supp.* 88–90¹

εἶθ' εἶη Διὸς εὖ παναλήθως—Διὸς ἴμερος οὐκ
εὐθήρατος ἐτύχθη.

In the *Choephoroe* Orestes and Electra begin their invocation over their father's tomb with a lament, and the metre is Aeolian; but they go on to pray for vengeance, and their voices become more passionate. The brother cries:

Aesch. *Cho.* 379–81²

τοῦτο διαμπερέως ἴκεθ' ἄπερ τι βέλος· Ζεῦ, Ζεῦ κάτωθεν ἰάλλων.
Prosodiacs Pherecratic

The sister echoes his cry:

Ibid. 393–4

καί ποτ' ἂν ἀμφιθαλῆς Ζεὺς ἐπὶ χεῖρα βάλοι.

By a natural extension of idea, Dorian rhythm came to be associated not only with Zeus, but with the offspring of Zeus,

¹ 88 εἶθ' εἶη Headlam: εἰθείη.

² 379 διαμπερέως Headlam: διαμπερές οὐς.

divine and mortal, when the poet had their lofty origin in mind. The Theban elders address the Voice of Zeus made manifest at Delphi:

Soph. *O. T.* 151-67

ὦ Διὸς ἀδυεπὲς Φάτι, τίς ποτε τᾶς πολυχρύσου

Πυθῶνος ἀγλαὰς ἔβας

Θήβας; ἐκτέταμαι φοβερὰν φρένα δείματι πάλλων.

They go on to invoke the daughter of Zeus, Athena:

πρῶτά σε κεκλόμενος, θύγατερ Διός, ἄμβροτ' Ἀθάνα . . .

—FOR
ATHENA

The sailors of Salamis ascribe their leader's reported madness to the intervention of Artemis, daughter of Zeus:

—FOR
ARTEMIS

Soph. *Aj.* 172-91

ἦ ῥά σε Ταυροπόλα Διὸς Ἄρτεμις, ὦ μεγάλα φάτις, ὦ μᾶτερ
αἰσχύνας ἐμᾶς,

ᾧρμασε πανδάμους ἐπὶ Βοῦς ἀγελείας . . .

And they pray to Zeus and Apollo to prove the report untrue:

—FOR
APOLLO

καὶ γὰρ ἂν θεία νόσος· ἀλλ' ἀπερύκοι

καὶ Ζεὺς κακὰν καὶ Φοῖβος Ἀργείων φάτιν.

The old men of Colonus entreat Zeus and his holy child Athena to grant the Athenians victory in battle:

Soph. *O. C.* 1085-95¹

ἰὼ θεῶν πάνταρχε, παντόπτα Ζεῦ, πόροις γὰς τᾶσδε δαμού-
χοις σθένει ἴπινικεῖφ τὸν εὔαγρον τελειῶσαι λόχον,

σεμνά τε παῖς Παλλὰς Ἀθάνα.

The Trojans pray for victory to Apollo as son of Zeus, in the same rhythm:

¹ For Dorian for Zeus and Athena, cf. also Mel. fr. adesp. 82 A-B Bergk (III p. 710) κλῦθί μοι Ζανός τε κούρη Ζανί τ' ἐλευθερίφ: Lamprocles 1 Bergk (III pp. 554-5).

Eur. *Rh.* 224-41

Θυμβραῖε καὶ Δάλιε καὶ Λυκίας ναὸν ἐμβατεύων
Ἄπολλον, ὦ Δία κεφαλὰ, μόλε τοξήρης, ἰκοῦ ἐννύχιος
καὶ γενοῦ σωτήριος ἀνέρι πομπᾶς
ἀγεμὼν καὶ ξύλλαβε Δαρδανίδαις,
ὦ παγκρατές, ὦ Τροίας τεῖχη παλαιὰ δείμας.

The votaries of Tauric Artemis praise Apollo, son of Zeus by Leto, in the same measure :

Eur. *I. T.* 1234-5¹

εὔπαις ὁ Λατοῦς γόνος, τὸν ποτε Δηλιάς ἐν
καρποφόροις γυάλοις ἔτικτε . . .

—FOR ERAPHUS Eraphus, the offspring of Io, was begotten by Zeus. So the Danaids invoke the aid of their divine ancestor in Dorian :

Aesch. *Supp.* 40-57

νῦν δ' ἐπικεκλομένα Δῖον πόρτιν ὑπερπόντιον τιμάορ' Ἴνιν . . .

Zeus begot Perseus of Danae, visiting her in a shower of gold :

Soph. *Ant.* 944-50

ἔτλα καὶ Δανίας οὐράνιον φῶς
ἀλλάξαι δέμας ἐν χαλκοδέτοις αὐλαῖς·
κρυπτομένα δ' ἐν τυμβήρει θαλάμῳ κατεζεύχθη.
Pherecratic protracted²

καῖτοι καὶ γενεᾶ τίμιος, ὦ παῖ παῖ,
καὶ Ζηνὸς ταμιεύεσκε γόνους χρυσορύτους.

—FOR HERACLES Heracles, the greatest of Dorian heroes, was the son of Zeus by Alcmena : and Dorian is used for Heracles both by Sophocles—

¹ Cf. Pind. *fr.* 87-88 Χαῖρ' ὦ θεοδμάτα λιπαροπλοκάμου παιδεσσι Λατοῦς ἱμεροέστατον ἔρνος.

² Cf. in the same play 816 ἀλλ' Ἀχέροντι νυμφεύσω, 846 ξυμμάρτυρας ὄμμ' ἐπικτώμαι.

Soph. *Trach.* 94-111

Iambo-choriambic¹

ὄν αἰόλα Νύξ ἐναριζομένα τίκει κατευνάζει τε φλογιζόμενον

Ἄλιον, Ἄλιον αἰτῶ

τοῦτο καρῦξαι τὸν Ἄλκμήνας, πόθι μοι πόθι μοι παῖς

ναίει ποτ', ὦ λαμπρᾷ στεροπᾷ φλεγέθων,

ἢ ποντίας αὐλῶνας ἢ δισσαῖσιν ἀπείροις κλιθείς,

εἶπ' ὦ κρυπτεύων κατ' ὄμμα.

and by Euripides :

Eur. *H. F.* 798-806

ὦ λέκτρων δύο συγγενεῖς εὐναί, θνατογενοῦς τε καὶ

Aeolian

Διός, ὃς ἦλθεν ἐς εὐνὰν Νύμφας τᾶς Περσηίδος· ὡς

πιστόν μοι τὸ παλαιὸν ἤδη λέχος, ὦ Ζεῦ, σὸν ἐπ' οὐκ

Dorian . . .

ἐλπίδι φάνθη, λαμπρὰν δ' ἔδειξ' ὃ χρόνος τὰν Ἡρακλέος ἀλκάν.

Helen was the daughter of Zeus by Leto. Stesichorus —FOR
sought to appease her in Dorian rhythm : HELEN

Stesich. 32

οὐκ ἔστ' ἔτυμος λόγος οὗτος·

οὐδ' ἔβας ἐν ναυσὶν εὐσέλμοις, οὐδ' ἴκεο πέργαμα Τροίας.

When her companions in Egypt assert her divine origin,
they use the same rhythm :

Eur. *Hel.* 1144-6²

σὺ Διὸς ἔφυς, ὦ Ἑλένα, θυγάτηρ·

πτανὸς γὰρ ἐν κόλποις σε Λήδας ἐτέκνωσε πατήρ.

The Dioscuri were her brothers. Pindar's hymn to the Twins —FOR
is in Dorian : THE
DIOSCURI

¹ For this introduction to Dorian cf. below, p. 92.

² The analysis of *σὺ Διὸς ἔφυς* is doubtful: the antistrophe (1158 ἔ Πριαμίδος) does not correspond.

Pind. *O.* iii

Τυνδαρίδαις τε φιλοξείνοις ἀδείν καλλιπλοκάμφθ' Ἑλένα . . .

So was Alcman's :

Alcm. 9

Κάστωρ τε πώλων ὠκέων δματῆρες, ἰππόται σοφοί,
καὶ Πωλυδεύκης κυδρός.

Euripides introduces a touch of Dorian rhythm for the sake of a passing mention of all three together :

Eur. *I. A.* 766-72

εἰρεσία πελάζῃ Σιμουντίοις ὀχετοῖς

Aeolian

τὰν τῶν ἐν αἰθέρι δισσῶν Διοσκούρων Ἑλέναν

Epitrite + prosodiac

ἐκ Πριάμου κομίσαι θέλων ἐς γᾶν Ἑλλάδα δοριπόνοις

Aeolian

ἀσπίσι καὶ λόγχαις Ἀχαιῶν.

Prosodiac + epitrite

IONIAN—
A CON-
TRAST TO
DORIAN

Dorian, then, stands for the Dorian way of life, for Greece as opposed to Asia, and for the head of the Greek Olympus. All this implies a contrast. We look for other rhythms to represent the Ionian way of life, Asia as opposed to Greece, and other gods less characteristically Greek than Zeus.

IONIC A
MINORE
FOR
LAMEN-
TATION

Let us turn, first of all, to Ionic a minore. Unlike the manly Dorian, it is relaxed and luxuriant in effect—the measure of passionate lamentation, of tearful sighs and groans.

Aesch. *P. V.* 415-16

δακρυσίστακτα δ' ἀπ' ὄσσων ῥαδινῶν λειβομένα ῥέος παρειάν.

Ionic a minore

Anacreontic

Soph. *El.* 826-31¹

Ἦλ. ἔ ἔ, αἰαῖ. Χο. ὦ παι, τί δακρύεις;

Ἦλ. φεῦ. Χο. μηδὲν μέγ' αὔσης. Ἦλ. ἀπολεῖς. Χο. πῶς;

¹ It will be noticed in this and the following passages that continuous Ionic a minore is varied by occasional spondees, bacchii and anapaests.

Eur. *Supp.* 42-53

ἔσιδοῦσ' οἰκτρὰ μὲν ὄσσων δάκρυ' ἀμφὶ βλεφάροις, ῥυ-
σὰ δὲ σαρκῶν

πολιᾶν καταδρύμματα χειρῶν· τί γάρ; ἂ φθιμένους παῖ-
δας ἔμοῦς οὔτε δόμους προθέμαν οὔτε τάφων χώματα γαίας
ἔσορῶ.

Eur. *Phoen.* 1539-42

τί μ', ὦ παρθένε, βακτρεύμασι τυφλοῦ ποδὸς ἐξάγαγες ἐς φῶς
λεχθήρη σκοτίων ἐκ θαλάμων οἰκτροτάτοισιν δακρύοισιν . . .

Sappho 62

καθνάσκει, Κυθήρη', ἄβρος Ἄδωνις, τί κε θεῖμεν;
καττύπτεσθε, κόραι, καὶ κατερείκεσθε χίτωνας.

Aeschylus uses Ionic a minore for the lament of the night-
ingale :

Aesch. *Supp.* 61-3

ὅπα τᾶς Τηρείας μήτιδος οἰκτρᾶς ἀλόχου κερκηλάτου δ'
ἀηδόνοσ.

Sophocles does the same :

Soph. *Aj.* 627-9

αἴλινον αἴλινον, οὐδ' οἰκτρᾶς γόου ὄρνιθος ἀηδοῦς . . .

The distinctive character of the Ionian way of life is summed up in the word *ἀβρότης*; and we find that Ionic a minore is the rhythm appropriate to this idea and to the peoples among whom this way of life prevailed. Thus, when Aeschylus wishes to describe Helen, not as the daughter of Zeus, but as she really was—a woman, delicately-veiled, who fired the hearts of men with love, he gives us a touch of Ionic a minore in the musical accompaniment:

—FOR
ASIATIC
LUXURY

Euripides uses it for the flight of Perseus :

Eur. *El.* 458-63

περιδρόμῳ μὲν ἵτυος ἔδρα

Glyconic (resolved)

Περσέα λαιμοτόμαν ὑπὲρ

Enneasyllable

Iambo-choriambic

Glyconic . . .

ἀλὸς ποτανοῖσι πεδίλοισι φύαν Γοργόνος ἴσχειν, Διὸς ἀγ-

Choriambic

(contd.)

Pherocratic

γέλῳ σὺν Ἑρμᾶ, τῷ Μαΐας ἀγροτῆρι κούρῳ.

Choriambic

And for the lioness in chase of her prey :

Ibid. 471-5 (same rhythm)

ἐπὶ δὲ χρυσοτύπῳ κρᾶνει

Σφίγγες ὄνουξιν ἀοίδιμον

ἄγραν φέρουσαι· περιπλεύρῳ δὲ κύτει πύρπνοος ἔσπευδε δρόμῳ

λέαινα χαλαῖς Πειρηναῖον ὀρώσα πῶλον.

Simonides for the winter storms :

Simon. 12¹

ὡς ὀπότεαν χειμέριον κατὰ μῆνα πινύσκη

Ζεὺς ἄματα τέσσαρα καὶ δέκα . . .

Sometimes it denotes mental rather than physical agitation :

Aesch. *Cho.* 390-2

πάροιθεν δὲ πρόρας δριμύς ἄηται κραδίας θυμός, ἔγκοτον στύγος.

CHOR-
IAMBIC
FOR
PROPHECY

And hence it comes to be associated with the inspired frenzy of the *prophet* :

Soph. *O. T.* 483-4

δεινὰ μὲν οὖν δεινὰ ταρίσσει σοφὸς οἰωνοθέτας . . .

Aesch. *Supp.* 58

εἰ δὲ κυρεῖ τις πέλας οἰωνοπολῶν . . .

¹ Cf. Soph. *O. C.* 1240-1 βόρειος ὡς τις ἀκτὰ κυματοπληξ χειμερία κλονεῖται.

Chorus, exhausted by their passionate appeal for vengeance, turn once more to lamentation :

Aesch. *Cho.* 464-6

ὦ πόνος ἐγγενῆς καὶ παράμουςος ἄτης αἱματόεσσα πλαγά.

It has a similar effect in the *Electra* of Sophocles :

Soph. *El.* 245-6

εἰ γὰρ ὁ μὲν θανὼν γὰ τε καὶ οὐδὲν ὦν κείσεται τάλας.

Trochaic

Soph. *O. T.* 1186-1204

ἰὼ γενεαὶ βροτῶν, ὡς ὑμᾶς ἴσα καὶ τὸ μηδὲν ζώσας ἐναριθμῶ.

Soph. *Phil.* 169-90

οἰκτίρω νιν ἔγωγ' ὅπως μή του κηδομένου βροτῶν

μηδὲ ξύντροφον ὄμμ' ἔχων, δύστανος, μόνος αἰεί, . . .

Soph. *O. C.* 1211-38

μὴ φῦναι τὸν ἅπαντα νικᾷ λόγον· τὸ δ', ἐπεὶ φανῆ,

βῆναι κείσ' ὀπόθεν περ ἦκει πολὺ δεύτερον ὡς τάχιστα.

But Aeolian is not invariably set to sorrowful themes. So long as the poetical tone is light and tender, Aeolian provides an appropriate accompaniment. It is often found in conjunction with merrymaking, singing and dancing. It is in Aeolian that the Suppliants pray the gods to shower all manner of blessings on the city which has undertaken to protect them :

Aesch. *Supp.* 702-5

εὐφήμοις δ' ἐπὶ βωμοῖς μούσαν θείατ' ὑοιδοί·

ἀγνῶν τ' ἐκ στομάτων φερέσθω φήμα φιλοφόρμιγξ.

Eur. *H.F.* 348-51

αἴλινον μὲν ἐπ' εὐτυχεῖ μολπᾷ Φοῖβος ἰαχεῖ

τὸν κάλλει φθιτόν, κιθάραν ἐλαύνων πλήκτρῳ χρυσέῳ.

The Thebans rejoice over the deliverance of their city from the villainous Lynceus in this measure :

Ibid. 763-4¹

χοροὶ χοροὶ καὶ θαλῖαι μέλουσι Θήβας ἱερὸν κατ' ἄστν.

The Bacchants long for their midnight dances :

Eur. Bacch. 862-96

ἄρ' ἐν παννυχίοις χοροῖς θήσω ποτέ λευκὸν
πόδ' ἀναβακχεύουσα, δέραν εἰς αἰθέρα δροσερὸν ῥίπτουσ'
Protracted Pherocratic²

ὡς νεβρὸς χλοεραῖς ἐμπαίζουσα λείμακος ἡδοναῖς . . .
Protracted Pherocratic

The captive Trojans look back on the days when the sounds of revelry were heard in the city :

Eur. Tro. 1071-6

φροῦδαί σοι θυσῖαι χορῶν τ' εὔφημοι κέλαδοι κατ' ὄρ-
φναν τε παννυχίδες θεῶν, χρυσέων τε ξοάνων τύποι
Φρυγῶν τε ζῆθεοι σελᾶναι συνδώδεκα πλήθει.

Anacreon, the poet of wine and song, makes frequent use of Aeolian :

Anacr. 17

ἠρίστησα μὲν ἰτρίου λεπτοῦ μικρὸν ἀποκλάς,
οἴνου δ' ἐξέπιον κάδον, νῦν δ' ἄβρῶς ἐρόεσσαν
ψάλλω πηκτίδα τῇ φίλῃ κωμάζων † παιδὶ ἄβρῆ.†

Sometimes he combines it with the more lively choriambic :

Anacr. 24

ἀναπέτομαι δὴ πρὸς Ὀλυμπον πτερύγεσσι κούφαις
Choriambic

διὰ τὸν Ἔρωτ'· οὐ γὰρ ἐμοὶ παῖς ἐθέλει συνηβᾶν.
Choriambic

CHOR-
IAMBIC
AND
AEOLIAN
COMBINED

¹ Cf. 781-9, a reminiscence of *Soph. Ant.* 100-9, in the same rhythm.

² The analysis is: $\underline{\quad} \sim \sim \sim \underline{\quad} \underline{\quad}$ for $\underline{\quad} \sim \sim \underline{\quad} \underline{\quad}$.

The fashion set by Anacreon was followed by many a nameless author of drinking-songs :

Scolium 22, Bergk III, p. 650

σύν μοι πῖνε, συνήβα, συνέρα, συστεφανηφόρει,
σύν μοι μαινομένῳ μαίνεο, σὺν σώφρονι σωφρόνει.

Hence when Euripides sings of Anacreon's favourite theme—the delights of fleeting youth, the cares of approaching age—he uses the rhythm which Anacreon has made familiar :

Eur. *H.F.* 637-41

Pherecratic

ἀ νεότας μοι φίλον αἰεὶ· τὸ δὲ γῆρας ἄχθος
Choriambic

Pherecratic

βαρύτερον Αἴτνας σκοπέλων ἐπι κρατὶ κεῖται βλεφάρων σκο-
Choriambic Pherecratic . . .

Pherecratic

τεινὸν φάος ἐπικάλυψαν.
(contd.)

Weary of war, the Trojans sing :

Eur. *Rh.* 360-7

Glyconic Glyconic

ἀρά ποτ' αὖθις ἀ παλαιὰ Τροία τοὺς προπότας παναμερεύ-
Iambo-choriambic Anacreontic

Pherecratic

σει θιάσους ἐρώτων
Choriambic

ψαλμοῖσι καὶ κυλίκων οἰνοπλανήτων ὑποδεξίαις ἀμίλ-
Epitrite Anacreontic
Dorian

λαις κατὰ πόντον Ἀτρείδων Σπάρταν οἰχομένων
Pherecratic
Ἰλιάδος παρ' ἀκτᾶς;

Will the city of Troy ever again ring to the sounds of night-long merrymaking? They are heard in the rhythm. Will the Greeks ever cease from fighting? We hear for a moment the sturdy Dorian; and then, as—in the singer's imagination—

the invaders retreat across the sea, leaving Troy in peace, the Dorian rhythm dies away, and we return to Aeolian¹.

Dorian for the Dorians, Ionic a minore for the Ionians. It would be strange if the Athenian poets failed to select a rhythm which would be as appropriate to Athens as Dorian to her Peloponnesian rivals and Ionic to the cities beyond the Aegean. Their choice fell on the brilliant rhythm which occupied an intermediate place between the two—Aeolian.

AEOLIAN
FOR
ATHENS

The sailors of Salamis muse with longing over the glories of their island home:

Soph. *Aj.* 596-9

ὦ κλεινὰ Σάλαμις, σὺ μὲν που ναίεις ἀλίπλακτος εὐδαί-
μων πᾶσιν περίφαντος αἰεὶ.

They yearn for the sight of the Athenian acropolis, which greets the eyes of the homecoming seafarer as he rounds Cape Sunium:

Ibid. 1217-22

γενοίμαν ἴν' ὑλάεν ἔπεστι πόντου πρόβλημ' ἀλικλύστον, ἄ-
Bacchius Anac. Glyconic Glyconic

κραν ὑπὸ πλάκα Σουνίου, τὰς ἱερὰς ὅπως προσείποιμεν Ἀθάνας.
Glyconic Glyconic Anacl. Pherecratic

The Argive Herald threatens war if the Athenians refuse to give up Heracles' widow and children. They reply that theirs is a city not accustomed to yield to menaces:

Eur. *Hcl.* 358-61

μήπω ταῖς μεγάλαισιν οὕτω καὶ καλλιχόροις Ἀθή-
ναις εἶη· σὺ δ' ἄφρων, ὃ τ' Ἀργεὶ Σθενέλου τύραννος.

And, after the victory has been won, they rejoice that their city has granted to the children of Heracles the protection which their guardian-goddess Athena gave to Heracles himself:

¹ Thus the scheme of this passage is similar to that of Eur. *I. A.* 751-5 (see above, p. 49).

Ibid. 919–23

συμφέρεται τὰ πολλὰ πολλοῖς· καὶ γὰρ πατρὶ τῶνδ' Ἀθάναν
λέγουσ' ἐπικούρον εἶναι, καὶ τούσδε θεᾶς πόλις καὶ λαὸς ἔσωσε
κείνας.

Aristophanes varies his Aeolian with a touch of Anacreontic:

Ar. *Eq.* 581–94¹

ὦ πολιοῦχε Παλλάς, ὦ τῆς ἱερωτίτης ἀπα-
(A) Aeolian

σῶν πολέμῳ τε καὶ ποιηταῖς δυνάμει θ' ὑπερφερού-
σης μεδέουσα χώρας,

δεῦρ' ἀφικοῦ λαβοῦσα τὴν ἐν στρατιαῖς τε καὶ μάχαις
ἡμέτερον ξυνεργόν

Νίκην, ἣ χορικῶν ἐστὶν ἑταίρα, τοῖς τ' ἐχθροῖσι μεθ' ἡ-
(B) Dorian

μῶν στασιάζει.

νῦν οὖν δεῦρο φάνηθι· δεῖ γὰρ τοῖς ἀνδράσι τοῖσδε πά-
(A) Aeolian

ση τέχνη πορίσαι σε νίκην εἴπερ ποτὲ καὶ νῦν.

The Knights call upon Athena in Aeolian; but, at the name *Νίκη*, as they pray for victory in battle, the rhythm changes and we hear a touch of Dorian. Is this an accident? Anyhow, *Niké* dwells with Zeus in Olympus:

Bacchyl. x. 1 (Dorian)

Νίκα [γλυκύδωρε, μεγίσταν σοὶ πα]τήρ ὄπασσε τιμὰν
ἠψίζυγ[ος Οὐρανιδᾶν] ἐν πολυχρύσῳ δ' Ὀλύμπῳ
Ζηνὶ παρισταμένα.

The famous ode in the *Oedipus Coloneus* begins:

Soph. *O. C.* 668–719

εὐίππου, ξένε, τᾶσδε χώρας ἵκου τὰ κρῆτιστα γᾶς ἔπαυλα.
Glyconic Glyconic

This is common Aeolian, passing into Anacreontic, as in the

¹ Aeolian is again used for Athena in Ar. *Thesm.* 1137–47.

previous example. The poet then returns to Aeolian to describe the song of the nightingale as he has often heard it in his native woods at Colonus. In his second strophe he goes on to describe the greatest glory of the Athenian countryside—the olive:

Pherecratic	Ionic a minore
ἔστιν δ' οἶον ἐγὼ γὰς Ἀσίας οὐκ ἐπακούω,	
	echo ¹
Dorian	Ionic a minore
οὐδ' ἐν τῇ μεγάλῃ Δωρίδι νάσφ Πέλοπος πρόποτε βλαστὸν	
Dochmiac	Trochaic
φύτευμ' ἀχείρωτον αὐτόποιον ἐγγέων φόβημα δαίων,	
Dochmiac	
ὃ τᾶδε θάλλει μέγιστα χώρα,	
Dorian	
γλαυκᾶς παιδοτρόφου φύλλον ἐλαίας·	
Dochmiac ²	
τὸ μὲν τις οὐ νεαρὸς οὐδὲ γήρᾳ	
Ionic a minore	Glyconic ³
συνναίων ἀλιώσει χερὶ πέρσας· ὁ γὰρ εἰσαιὲν ὄρων κύκλος	
	echo
Glyconic	Pherecratic
λεύσσει νιν Μορίου Διὸς χά γλαυκῶπις Ἀθάνᾳ.	

That this design is woven out of diverse rhythms is plain enough. But observe how it is made to enforce the significance of the poetry. There is a plant which does not grow on Asiatic soil (Ἀσίας οὐκ ἐπακούω)—the rhythm is Ionic a minore; nor in the Dorian isle of Pelops (οὐδ' ἐν τῇ μεγάλῃ Δωρίδι νάσφ)⁴

¹ For this shift, cf. Aesch. *Supp.* 60-1 (p. 27).

² $\sim\sim\sim\sim/\sim\sim\sim\sim$: cf. Aesch. *Theb.* 508 πέποιθα τὸν Διός.

³ This is the Euripidean Glyconic that arouses the indignation of Aeschylus in the *Frogs* (1320-3) οἰνάνθας γάνος ἀμπέλου, βότρυος ἑλικά παυσίπονον. περίβαλλ' ὦ τέκνον ὠλένας, i.e. $\sim\sim\sim\sim\sim\sim\sim\sim\sim\sim$ for $\sim\sim\sim\sim\sim\sim\sim\sim\sim\sim$. Sophocles, however, might plead the excuse that, as he uses it, it provides a pretty transition from Ionic a minore.

⁴ I am aware that, taken syllable by syllable, this phrase might be regarded as Ionic a minore, like the last: but the natural rhythm of the words, to my ear, is unmistakably prosodiac.

—the rhythm is Dorian: but only in Attica, where it shall never perish, for it flourishes under the watchful eyes of Zeus and Pallas Athena (*λεύσσει νιν Μορίου Διὸς χά γλαυκῶπις Ἀθίνα*)—the rhythm is Aeolian. Rarely have words and rhythm been wedded in so perfect a harmony¹.

PAEONIC
—FOR
CRETE

One other class of rhythm remains to be discussed—Paeonic. If Dorian was proper to the Dorians, Ionic a minore to the Ionians, Aeolian to Athens, Paeonic seems to have had a special connexion with Crete.

Simon. 31

ὄταν δὲ γηρῶσαι . . . ἐλαφρὸν ὄρχημ' αἰδᾶ ποδῶν μιγνύμεν·
Κρητὰ μιν καλέοισι τρόπον, τό θ' ὄργανον Μολοσσόν.

Aeolian

Mel. fr. adesp. 118

Κρησίοις ἐν ῥυθμοῖς παῖδα μέλψωμεν . . .

Ar. Ran. 1356-7

ἀλλ', ὦ Κρητες, Ἰδας τέκνα, τὰ τόξα λαβόντες ἐπαμύνατε.

Bacchylides uses Paeonic for his sixteenth ode—the story of Theseus' expedition to Crete. Apollo, too, according to an ancient tradition, came from Crete: hence we find that Paeonic, as well as Dorian, is used for that god.

Simon. 26 B

Δαλογενές, εἴτε Λυκίαν . . . χρυσεοκόμας Ἑκατε, παῖ Διός.

—FOR
APOLLO
AND
ARTEMIS

Aesch. Agam. 1064-5

Ἀπολλον Ἀπολλον ἀγυιᾶτ' Ἀπόλλων ἐμός.

Ibid. 153²

ἰήιον δὲ καλέω Παιᾶνα.

¹ For other examples of Aeolian for Athens, cf. Eur. *Hecid.* 748-54, *Ion* 184-9.

² The third foot (Παιᾶνα) is a *πελιμβάκχειος*: see Appendix. Alcman used Paeonic for his hymn to Apollo's sister, Artemis: *τῷ οὐδὲ τῷ Κρακάλῳ οὐδὲ τῷ Νυρσύλῳ.*

Soph. *Trach.* 205-24

ἀνολοιυξάτω δόμος ἐφεστίοις ἀλαλαγαῖς

ὁ μελλόνυμφος· ἐν δὲ κοινὸς ἀρσένων

Iambic trimeter

ἴτω κλαγγὰ τὸν εὐφάρετραν Ἀπόλλωνᾶ προστάταν.

Mel. fr. adesp. 85

ὕμνον ὦν κλύετε· πέμπω δέ νιν

ὡς σέ, Κλειθέμιος παῖ,

Aeolian

Aeolian

Ἀπόλλωνι μὲν θεῶν, ἀτὰρ ἀνδρῶν Ἐχεκράτει παιδὶ Πυθαγγέλω.

The principal function of Paeonic rhythm, apart from these —FOR EX-CITEMENT particular associations, was to accompany intense or violent emotion, such as terror or religious fervour¹. In this capacity it was much used by the dramatists, and will be considered when we come to discuss how the dramatists used all these rhythms, not merely for the sake of passing effects, but as part of the very fibre of their plots.

The Dorian mode, as we saw at the beginning of this chapter, was Greek, the Ionian un-Greek, in effect. The Dorian was ἀνδρώδης, μεγαλοπρεπής², σεμνός³, μέτριος, σώφρων⁴: the Ionian γλαφυρός⁵, μαλακός, συμποτικός⁶. The Mixolydian was θρηνώδης⁷, the Phrygian ἔνθεος⁸. Without attempting to identify mode with rhythm too closely, we are now in a position to point out that these are the very attributes we should ascribe to the different rhythms in view of the emotional quality of the poetry which they accompany. It is clear therefore that the distinction between one rhythm

¹ Cretics, in particular, are used for earnest entreaty: Aesch. *Suῖρρ.* 423-8 φρόντισον καὶ γενοῦ κτλ. (see below, p. 91), Soph. *O. T.* 649-53 πιθοῦ θελήσας φρονήσας τ', ἀναξ, λίσσομαι, Ar. *Eccl.* 952 f. δεῦρο δὴ δεῦρο δὴ, φίλον ἐμόν, δεῦρό μοι πρόσελθε καὶ ξύνευνος τὴν εὐφρόνην ὅπως ἔσει (an amusing piece of musical parody).

² Athen. xiv, 624.

³ Plut. *de Mus.* xvii.

⁴ Plat. *Rep.* iii, 399 A.

⁵ Lucian, *Ἠαρμον.* 1.

⁶ Plat. *ibid.* 398 E.

⁷ *Ibid.* 398 E.

⁸ Lucian, *ibid.* 1.

Dorian

Ζεῦ πάτερ, ἐκ σέθεν· ὅττι δὲ θαρσαλέων ἔπος εὐχομαι νόσ-
φιν δίκας, σύγγνωθί μοι.

The rhythm has passed from Dorian, through Aeolian, into Anacreontic, and finally, at the mention of the name of Zeus, back to Dorian. The effect of those Anacreontics, in conjunction with Aeolian and in contrast to Dorian, is tender, pathetic; and that in itself would be sufficient reason for introducing them. At the same time, I cannot help thinking that Simonides had in mind, and wished to recall to his audience, a poem of Alcman's:

Alcm. 60

εὐδουσιν δ' ὀρέων κορυφαί τε καὶ φήραγγες,

Dorian Anacreontic

πρώονές τε καὶ χαρίδραι,

Dorian

φύλλα θ' ἔρπετά θ' ὅσσα τρέφει μέλαινα γαῖα,

Aeolian tripod Anacreontic

θῆρές τ' ὀρεσκᾶοι καὶ γένος μελισσᾶν

Dorian¹

καὶ κνώδαλ' ἐν βένθεσι πορφυρέας ἀλός·

Glyconic

εὐδουσιν δ' οἰωνῶν φύλα τανυπτερύγων.

Dorian

Here too the principal subject is Dorian, and here again it is blended—to my ear very happily—with Aeolian and Anacreontic. The Greek poets collaborated in a conscious striving, not after novelty, but after an artistic ideal, and each successive artist knew that his own work would gain, not lose, by being displayed against the background provided by the work of his predecessors. As Bacchylides well said:

ἕτερος ἐξ ἑτέρου σοφὸς τό τε πάλαι τό τε νῦν.

¹ The first of these epitrites is a good example of *rallentando* effect obtained by anacrusis and protraction.

CHAPTER SEVEN

PINDAR'S FIRST OLYMPIAN

THE modern reader, making the acquaintance of the Odes of Pindar for the first time, is apt to be puzzled by their apparent incoherence. He admires the fine language, the swiftness and the wealth of splendid imagery, but he is bewildered by the abrupt changes of subject and the seeming lack of any close unity of form. He feels perhaps that the lines of Horace, intended as praise of the poet's style, might be equally justified as a criticism of the arrangement of his subject-matter:

monte decurrens velut amnis, imbres
quem super notas aluere ripas,
feruet immensusque ruit profundo
Pindarus ore.

Does the fault lie with the poet or with his reader? The novice in modern music, as he listens to one of Beethoven's symphonies for the first time, feels similarly at a loss. He is impressed by many fine and moving passages, and receives at the end, perhaps, a sense of satisfaction and finality, but he is a little puzzled all the same. As his acquaintance with the symphony advances, this difficulty resolves itself: he comes to feel that, so far from being chaotic, it is really an organic unity, in which each part performs a definite function in relation to the whole. And if he pursues his enquiry still further, he will find that the musician is directing his inspiration along certain well-recognised channels of musical form. The work of Pindar is entitled to the same consideration. Modern literary critics devote less attention than musical critics to artistic form—in modern poetry it is less important. But in Greek poetry—related so closely, as we have seen, to music—it is essential. This is the key to the solution of our difficulty in understanding Pindar.

The First Olympian celebrates the victory of Hiero of Syracuse, and his horse Pherenicus, at the festival of 476. In order to understand the poem, it will help us if we try to envisage the circumstances in which it was performed. The banquet is over, Hiero and his guests recline on their couches, drinking the wine with which their golden cups are replenished by the beautiful pages in attendance on the feast. The musicians and the dancers come in, room is made for them in the body of the hall, and the ode begins—a blend of song and dance, in which the poet tells of the love of Poseidon for Pelops, son of Tantalus—how he carried him off to Olympus to serve, like Ganymede, at the banquets of the Immortals. According to the old story, the gods feasted on his flesh. But that was a lie: the gods are not cannibals. No, Poseidon stole Pelops for love. Even so, however, he was not to enjoy his company in heaven for long. The boy's father, Tantalus, upon whom the gods had bestowed the gift of immortality, grew overproud in his prosperity, and stole from them their nectar and ambrosia. For this sin he was cast into Hades, and his son sent back to the life on earth, where, with the help of his heavenly lover, he overthrew the King of Elis, made the King's daughter his bride, and the glorious festival of Olympia was founded in his honour.

The poet begins with praise of the festival, "like water, or gold, or the sun in the sky." The names of Zeus, the god of Olympia, and of Hiero, the victor, are coupled together, and with them a suggestion of the poet himself, by whose art the greatness of both is fitly celebrated. In the middle of the ode comes the myth—the story of Poseidon's love for Pelops. Finally, the poet completes his design by reminding us of the themes with which the ode began. We have just heard how Pelops was accorded, at the end of his days, an honoured burial in Olympia: and this brings us back to the thought of the Olympian festival, Hiero's victory. May God, who watches over him, grant him in the time to come a yet more splendid victory—in the chariot-race! Kings are the greatest of men:

only, let them not peer too far—let not their greatness beget in them the pride that ruined Tantalus! And, in the meantime, the greatest of kings shall continue to be honoured by the greatest of poets.

A The Olympian Festival.

Zeus, poets, Hiero.

The victory of Pherenicus.

B Poseidon's love for Pelops.

False legends.

Poseidon's love for Pelops.

False legends.

Tantalus ruined by pride in prosperity.

Poseidon's love for Pelops: the race with Oenomaus.

A The Olympian Festival.

The victory of Pherenicus.

God, Hiero—

Moderation in prosperity.

The poet.

It would be a pleasant task to examine other odes of Pindar, and show how they, too, are designed in similar fashion; to compare the lyric technique of Pindar with the dramatic technique of Aeschylus, and to trace both back to their common origin in the epic technique of Homer. But that would lead us beyond the scope of this book: we must content ourselves with observing that here we have an excellent illustration of the use, for the purposes of poetry, of those principles of formal composition which we have already examined in relation to rhythm.

Let us now look at the metre of the poem, and see how it contributes to the general effect. First of all, we must analyse the metrical form; and to do that, we turn to the first strophe, in obedience to a principle which Pindar observes in all his odes. As was said in the first chapter, the surest means of discovering the metre of a piece of Greek lyric is to read it

according to the sense of the words, which the metre is designed to emphasise. Pindar begins his odes by stating the metrical form in the clearest manner possible—that is, by making the rhythmical periods and the sense-periods coincide. But as the poem proceeds, as strophe is followed by antistrophe and epode by epode, the metrical form becomes increasingly familiar to the ear; and so, without danger of obscurity, the poet can vary his design by making his sense-periods run counter to the periods dictated by the metrical form, by introducing pauses in the sense in the middle of a phrase, or by allowing the words to run over from one phrase into another. By this means what might have been crude and stereotyped, becomes subtle and capable of infinite variety¹.

Let us begin, then, with the first sentence:

Ἄριστον μὲν ὕδωρ, ὁ δὲ χρυσὸς αἰθόμενον πῦρ
ἄτε διαπρέπει νυκτὶ μεγάνορος ἔξοχα πλούτου.

That is plain enough. First of all, we have a Glyconic followed by a Pherecratic—a combination already familiar to us under the name of the Aeolian couplet. Pindar begins his poem by stating in the simplest terms this very simple rhythm. The only peculiarity to be noticed is that the opening of the Glyconic is inverted—that is to say, it is anaclastic—a variant so common that Pindar can trust his audience to recognise it at once. Next comes a short figure: $\cup\cup\cup\cup\cup\cup$. The falling rhythm of the preceding Pherecratic inclines us to take it as trochaic—as a resolved form of $\cup\cup\cup\cup\cup$. And the last figure confirms this impression: it is in strongly-marked falling rhythm—a prosodiac.

εἰ δ' ἄεθλα γαρύεν ἔλδεαι, φίλον ἦτορ, μηκέθ' ἀλίου σκόπει
ἄλλο θαλπνότερον ἐν ἀμέρα φαεννὸν ἄστρον ἐρημᾶς δι' αἰθέρος,

This is rather more elaborate. First we return to trochaic—a

¹ See Headlam, *J.H.S.* vol. XXII, p. 216. And compare, in this ode, the first strophe with the third or the fourth antistrophe.

dimeter this time, and that in turn is followed by another Pherecratic; then a dimeter again. The opening of the next phrase, also, is clearly trochaic—a trimeter with the third foot resolved: but it passes, by overlap of one syllable, into yet a third Pherecratic. Previously we heard the trochaic and Pherecratic movements separately; now they are dovetailed together. And what of that figure at the end of the last phrase—*δι' αἰθέρος*? It seems to be iambic, in rising rhythm, thus carrying on the hints of rising rhythm already let fall in *ἄριστον* and in *ἄτε διαπρέπει*. Let us proceed.

μηδ' Ὀλυμπίας ἀγῶνα φέρτερον αὐδάσομεν.

Again the opening is trochaic (a dimeter); again the trochaic passes by overlap of one syllable into a Pherecratic; and again the Pherecratic is followed by an iambic appendage—shorter this time, and not marked off, as before, by the division of the words.

We have now come to the end of the second musical sentence, and we notice how both have been marked off by the two pauses in the sense. Looking back over this, the first rhythmical period, we find that falling rhythm has prevailed—Aeolian and trochaic, with a diversion into dactylic: but at the same time we have heard suggestions of rising rhythm which make us await with interest the second period, to see whether those suggestions will be followed up.

ὅθεν ὁ πολύφατος ὕμνος ἀμφιβάλλεται

We have already come across this phrase as a beautiful example of shift by resolution¹. The two opening feet are tribrachs, of which the first suggests trochaic, while the second is neutral, and so brings us to plain iambic. By this means the transition to rising rhythm is completed.

Pindar has still one more development in store for us before bringing his strophe to a close. Iambic suggests another rising

¹ See above, p. 24.

rhythm—Paeonic. And that is why he has introduced iambic here:

σοφῶν μητίεσσι, κελαδεῖν Κρόνου παῖδ' ἐς ἀφνεὰν ἰκομένους
μάκαιραν Ἰέρωνος ἐστίαν.

Iambic has given place to Paeonic. And now we see why the initial Glyconic was anaclastic—ἄριστον suggests a bacchius (σοφῶν μη- Κρόνου παῖδ'); and why the first foot of that trochaic figure ἄτε διαπρέπει was resolved—it may be regarded equally well as a dochmiac.

Thus, starting from Aeolian, the poet has led us through trochaic and iambic to Paeonic, and he has worked his transitions with such skill that each step follows smoothly and naturally from the last.

Now turn to the epode. The strophe has led us from Aeolian through trochaic and iambic to Paeonic. The epode will lead us back again.

Συρακόσιον ἵπποχάρμαν βασιλῆα· λάμπει δέ οἱ κλέος

There is no difficulty here: first, dochmiac; then a Pherecratic; and the Pherecratic is again followed by that iambic appendage with which the strophe has made us familiar.

ἐν εὐάνορι Λυδοῦ Πέλοπος ἀποικία.

The first of these figures is new—or rather an old figure under a new disguise. It is a Pherecratic with anaclasis: $\cup \cup - \cup \cup \cup -$ instead of $\cup \cup \cup \cup \cup -$. The opening ἐν εὐά- suggests a bacchius, like ἄριστον at the beginning of the strophe; and if the first half of the figure echoes Paeonic, the second (νορι Λυδοῦ) adds a touch—transitory and slight—of Ionic a minore. Then we have another iambic figure, with the first foot resolved. Why? The resolution (Πέλοπος) prepares us for the next figure—trochaic:

τοῦ μεγασθενῆς ἐράσσατο γαιάοχος

This phrase reminds us of one already heard in the strophe:

μηδ' Ὀλυμπίας ἀγῶνα φέρτερον αὐδάσομεν.

It is, in fact, identical, except that this time the poet, relying on our familiarity with the earlier passage, allows himself an overlap of three syllables instead of one.

Ποσειδάν, ἐπεὶ νιν καθαροῦ λέβητος ἔξελε Κλωθῶ

Ποσειδάν—ἄριστον: the reminiscence is unmistakable. And it is deliberate, because what follows is a repetition of the Aeolian couplet with which the strophe began. The only difference is that there the Glyconic and Pherecratic were independent, here they overlap. The return to the opening subject has been anticipated, but it is not yet complete.

ἐλέφαντι φαίδιμον ὦμον κεκαδμένον.

ἢ θαύματα πολλά, καὶ πού τι καὶ βροτῶν

φάτις ὑπὲρ τὸν ἀλαθῆ λόγον

The poet is playing with that Pherecratic-iambic motive with which we are now thoroughly acquainted, and thus holds us in suspense before his conclusion. The first two Pherecratics are varied by anacrusis—echoing rising rhythm, while the third is resolved in such a way as to echo Paeonic. And now for the conclusion:

δεδαίδαλμένοι ψεύδεσι ποικίλοις ἐξαπατῶντι μῦθοι.

Ποσειδάν, ἐπεὶ νιν. . . As before, we hear an initial bacchius, but this time the Aeolian couplet which follows is uncondensed, like the opening of the strophe: ἄριστον μὲν ὕδωρ, ὁ δὲ χρυσὸς αἰθόμενον πῦρ¹.

The first subject, then, is the Aeolian couplet, with which the composition begins and ends. In the middle we are intro-

¹ An exact parallel, in *poetical* composition, to this anticipation of the full return to the opening subject has been pointed out to me by Mr Sheppard in the opening paragraph of the *Oresteia*: 1 ἀπαλλαγὴν πόνων...19 διαπονουμένου, 20 ἀπαλλαγὴ πόνων.

duced to the second subject—Paeonic; and the transition from the one to the other is in both cases effected by trochaic and iambic. In other words the design is an elaboration of Three-part form on the lines of the modern *Rondo*: A-C-B-C-A.

Thus, the metrical composition of this poem is not haphazard, as we might be led to suppose from the silence of most metricians, who content themselves with distinguishing and labelling the various phrases without attempting to consider the design as a whole: it is artistic, being based on definite principles of musical form. Can we say any more than this? Pindar is telling a story with a musical accompaniment, and we have seen that this accompaniment is artistically designed. Can we say that it is in any special sense appropriate to its subject?

The principal rhythms employed are Aeolian, Paeonic, trochaic and iambic, with a touch of Dorian and a still slighter touch of Ionian. As was explained in the last chapter, most of these rhythms were conventionally associated with different feelings or ideas. Is there any trace of those associations here? If so, we shall hardly expect them to recur with each recurrence of the corresponding rhythm—triadic form is too strict a convention to allow of so close a conformity as that between sense and rhythm: but do they recur often enough to suggest that the poet is deliberately using significant rhythm to heighten his effects? We shall remember, moreover, that such associations probably depended on melody as much as on rhythm; and this would enable the poet to enforce the significance of his rhythms, where he wants to enforce it, to disguise it where it is of no use to him.

Let us begin with the Aeolian rhythms. The Aeolian couplet is the rhythm of one of the best-known Greek wedding-songs:

‘Τμήν, ὦ ὑμέναι’ ‘Τμήν, ‘Τμήν ὦ ὑμέναι’ ὦ.

I hope to show later how Aeschylus uses the couplet with the significance it had acquired from popular association with the

idea of marriage or love; and, presumably, when he used the popular rhythm, he used the popular melody too¹. So here: in three passages, each of which describes an important step in the progress of the story, the Aeolian couplet is associated with love or marriage.

Poseidon falls in love with Pelops:

(40-1) *τότ' ἀγλαοτρίαιναν ἀρπάσαι,
δαμέντα φρένας ἰμέρω, χρυσέαισί τ' ἀν' ἵπποις . . .*

Here the suggestion in the music of the Love-motive is only slight: but it is clearer in the second passage. Pelops falls in love with Hippodameia:

(69-71) *έτοιμον ἀνεφρόντισεν γάμον
Πισύτα παρὰ πατρός εὐδοξον Ἴπποδάμειαν
σχεθέμεν.*

And the third time, when, after the contest with Oenomaus, he wins his bride, the wedding-tune rings out in full force,—

(88) *ἔλεν δ' Οἰνομάου βίαν παρθένον τε σύνευνον.*

The other Aeolian rhythm employed in this poem is the trochaic-Pherecratic couplet familiar to us from the Partheneion of Alcman:

Alcm. 23. 36-40

*ἔστι τις σιῶν τίσις. ὁ δ' ὄλβιος ὅστις εὐφρων
ἀμέραν διαπλέκει ἄκλαυστος. ἐγὼν δ' αἰίδω . . .*

It is a light, tripping measure, with the connotation common to most Aeolian rhythms—merrymaking, festivity and song.

(3-4) *εἰ δ' ἄεθλα γαρύεν / ἔλδαι, φίλον ἦτορ, ...*

(14-17) *ἀγλαΐζεται δὲ καὶ μουσικᾶς ἐν ἀώτῳ,
οἶα παίζομεν φίλαν ἄνδρες ἀμφὶ θαμὰ τράπεζαν.*

¹ Euripides puts a reminiscence of the same popular refrain on the lips of his mad Cassandra—in conjunction with Paeonic, in allusion to the Aeschylean Cassandra (Eur. *Tro.* 307-24). Cf. also Eur. *Held.* 917-18, *I. A.* 1056-7, 1078-9, *Hipp.* 554.

- (43-5) ἔνθα δευτέρῳ χρόνῳ / ἦλθε καὶ Γανυμήδης
Ζηνὶ τούτ' ἐπὶ χρέος.
- (61-3) ἀλίκεσσι συμπόταις νέκταρ ἀμβροσίαν τε
δῶκεν, ...
- (90-2) νῦν δ' ἐν αἵμακουρίαις ἀγλααῖσι μέμικται
'Αλφεοῦ πόρῳ κλιθείς, ...

Thirdly, let us follow through the poem that Dorian figure we noted at the beginning of the strophe. Dorian rhythm, we remember, is appropriate to manliness and courage among men, and to Zeus among gods.

- (2) ἄτε διαπρέπει νυκτὶ μεγάνορος ἔξοχα πλούτου.
- (13) Σικελία, δρέπων μὲν κορυφὰς ἀρετᾶν ἀπὸ πασᾶν,...
- (42) ὕπατον εὐρυτίμου ποτὶ δῶμα Διὸς μεταβᾶσαι.
- (89) τέκε τε λαγέτας ἔξ ἀρεταῖσι μεμαότας υἱούς.

Each strophe culminates in Paeonic—a strenuous, excited rhythm well adapted to convey the idea of speed :

- (20-2) ὄτε παρ' Ἄλφεῶ σῦτο δέμας
ἀκέντητον ἐν δρόμοισι παρέχων,
κράτει δὲ προσέμειξε δεσπότην.
- (77-8) ἐμὲ δ' ἐπὶ ταχυτάτων πόρευσον ἀρμάτων
εἰς Ἄλιν, κράτει δὲ πέλασον.
- (93-6) τὸ δὲ κλέος
τηλόθεν δέδορκε τᾶν Ὀλυμπιάδων ἐν δρόμοις
Πέλοπος, ἵνα ταχυτὰς ποδῶν ἐρίζεται
ἀκμαί τ' ἰσχυροὶ θρασύπονοι.

Among the dramatists, as we shall see, this rhythm is constantly used with a sinister suggestion of impending tragedy. So here, in the second antistrophe, where (not without playfulness) the poet alludes in hushed tones to the slanderous story of the cannibal banquet of the gods, the horror of the scene is heightened by the Paeonic accompaniment :

- (47-51) ἔννεπε κρυφᾶ τις αὐτίκα φθονερῶν γειτόνων
 ὕδατος ὅτι τε πυρὶ ζέοισαν εἰς ἀκμὰν
 μαχαίρα τάμον κατὰ μέλη,
 τραπέζαισί τ', ἀμφὶ δεύτερα, κρεῶν
 σέθεν διεδάσαντο καὶ φάγον.

To feel the full significance of these rhythms you must take them with their context. Read the poem through, therefore, noting how the amorous couplet gives place to the manly or solemn Dorian, and that, in turn, to festive Aeolian, and to rapid Paeonic. These delicate transitions of rhythm and of feeling are to my mind among the most beautiful features of the poem.

- (41-4) δαμέντα φρένας ἰμέρω, χρυσέαισί τ' ἀν' ἵπποις
 Love
ὑπατον εὐρυτίμου ποτὶ δῶμα Διὸς μεταβᾶσαι·
 Olympus
ἔνθα δευτέρω χρόνῳ ἦλθε καὶ Γανυμήδης
 Festivity
- (88-91) ἔλεν δ' Οἰνομάου βίαν παρθένον τε σύνευνον·
 Love
τέκε τε λαγέτας ἔξ ἀρεταῖσι μεμαότας υἱούς.
 Valour
νῦν δ' ἐν αίμακουρίαις ἀγλααῖσι μέμικται....
 Festivity

To have woven all the types of rhythm known to Greek lyric into a single musical design is in itself a feat of no mean artistic skill: but Pindar has done more than this—he has handled them with such delicacy that each serves to throw into relief the varying emotional effects of the poetry. We shall not grudge him, therefore, the praise which he bestows upon himself at the end of this remarkable composition:

πρόφαντον σοφία καθ' Ἑλλανας ἔοντα παντᾶ.

CHAPTER EIGHT

THE SUPPLIANTS OF AESCHYLUS

AESCHYLUS learnt not a little of his tragic art from the lyric poets: that is to say, he took over the technique of choral lyric and adapted it to the needs of drama. In the *Oresteia* this process of adaptation is complete: the long choral odes are worked with such skill into the dramatic framework that they are no less essential to the effect of the whole than the action of the plot itself. In *The Suppliants*—the earliest of his extant plays—the lyrical element is as yet imperfectly assimilated. Regarded as lyrical compositions, the choral odes of that play are perfect, but they are static in quality rather than dynamic—more like the odes of Pindar or Bacchylides than those of the *Agamemnon*.

A minor example of the advance made by Aeschylus as an artist between the earliest and the latest of his extant compositions will be found in his treatment of significant rhythm. We noticed in our study of the first Olympian that the triadic convention in which that poem is written is such that the poet cannot avail himself of the associative value of each rhythm every time it recurs. The tragedians abandoned this convention of the repeated triad. They occasionally use a single triad—a strophe, antistrophe, and epode—but it is never repeated; and in general they prefer the dyadic system of strophe and antistrophe, without an epode, each successive strophe being different from the last. No doubt, they chose this form because it was the most flexible. Even so, however, it required no little skill to make the rhythms of both strophe and antistrophe equally effective, when, as often happened, the sense of the words was entirely different. In several passages in *The Suppliants*, for example, we find a coincidence of rhythm and idea which holds good for the strophe but not for the

antistrophe, or good for the antistrophe but not for the strophe. This difficulty will appear less serious in the light of what has been said about melody, which could be used to enforce a significant rhythm, to disguise an irrelevant one. Still, it is awkward. But I believe that this awkwardness was felt by Aeschylus himself. He wrote this play at a time when he had not yet mastered the art of antistrophic composition as a dramatic medium. In the *Oresteia*—nearly forty years later—he has completely mastered that art, and contrives with amazing skill to make the two identical patterns of strophe and antistrophe serve with equal efficacy two entirely different purposes.

The fifty Danaids¹—descendants of Io, the bride of Zeus—have sought refuge in Argos, the birthplace of their great ancestress, from their fifty cousins, the sons of Aegyptus, who seek to marry them against their will. They appeal for protection to Zeus, who brought the wanderings of their ancestress to a happy consummation, and to Pelasgus, the King of Argos: and in both cases they threaten, if their prayers are not answered, to kill themselves at the altars of the Argive gods. Pelasgus grants them protection, and the Herald sent by the sons of Aegyptus to demand their surrender is forced to retire in discomfiture. The Suppliants are escorted amid rejoicing to their new homes, and all ends happily—for the time. The remainder of the trilogy is lost, but we know that their confidence in the protection of Zeus is misplaced. In the sequel he rejected their prayer, because it conflicted with other and greater designs of his own.

The dominant theme of the play is Zeus—the lover of Io and the greatest of the gods, the inscrutable governor of the destinies of man. It is from Zeus that the whole trilogy derives its significance, and around his name that the composition is designed. The shape the play is to take is clearly outlined in the anapaestic *parodos* (1–39), which is arranged as follows:

¹ In this account of the form and dramatic significance of *The Suppliants* I am following Mr Sheppard. See Preface, p. vii.

- A Zeus the Suppliant.
- B Egypt.
- A Epaphus, child of Zeus and Io.
- C Argos.
- A Zeus and the Gods of the Dead.
- Invocation.

The form of the first stasimon (40-181) is similar: the opening theme is taken from the *middle* of the preceding anapaests, and in the middle of the stasimon we are introduced to a new theme, Zeus the All-Highest:

- A Epaphus, child of Zeus and Io.
- B Lamentation.
- A Zeus the All-Highest.
- C Invocation.
- A Zeus of the Dead.

The second stasimon (533-607) proceeds along similar lines. We begin and end with the middle theme of the preceding stasimon, and in the middle return to the theme of Epaphus:

- A Zeus the All-Highest.
- B Invocation.
- A Zeus and Io: birth of Epaphus.
- B Invocation.
- A Zeus the All-Highest.

The third (638-717) is arranged somewhat differently, but the leading theme is still the name of Zeus, with which the Suppliants now couple that of Ares, in unconscious anticipation of the bloodshed that is to come.

- Zeus.
- Ares.
- Zeus.
- Ares, Aphrodite.
- Zeus.
- Artemis, Ares.
- Zeus.
- Ares, Justice.

Let us turn back to the first stasimon. We have already analysed the poetical form: let us now look at the rhythmical form, and see how the two coincide. The Suppliants begin in Dorian, for Zeus (40-57): then, as they turn to lamentation, comparing their fate to that of the hapless nightingale, we hear Ionic a minore and Aeolian (58-87). They remind themselves that the will of Zeus is inscrutable and incontestable: the rhythm is again Dorian (88-101). They appeal to him to exercise his will on their behalf—to smite their pursuers with a storm which will swallow them up before they can reach the shores of Argos. This is the climax of the Ode: the Suppliants have worked themselves into a frenzy of religious incantation, and the rhythm is accordingly dochmiac (110-59). Their frenzy dies away: but they still have one shaft in their quiver—if Zeus is deaf to their appeal, they will hang themselves at his altar! The rhythm is not, as we might expect, Dorian again, but a slow trochaic measure, the significance of which will appear in due course (160-81).

Having grasped the significance of the stasimon as a whole let us now examine it in detail, strophe by strophe, observing how these rhythms grow out of one another, and taking note of one or two others which have not been included in our summary of the whole.

Str. 1¹. Two-part form: A-B. Dorian.

A νῦν δ' ἐπικεκλομένα Δῖον πόρτιν ὑπερπόντιον τιμάορ', ἴνιν
 Prosodiacs Epitrites

B ἀνθονομούσας προγόνου βοῶς ἐξ ἐπιπνοίας
 Prosodiacs

Ζηνός· ἔφαψιν ἐπωνυμία δ' ἐπεκραίνετο μόρσιμος αἰὼν
εὐλόγως, Ἐπαφόν τ' ἐγέννασε.
 Epitrites

The first part (A) is Dorian of the simplest kind: two prosodiacs followed by two epitrites. The second part (B) repeats this scheme in an enlarged form, with variations. Instead of

¹ 45. Ζηνός· ἔφαψιν Headlam: Ζηνός ἔφαψιν.

the two prosodiacs, we have two long dactylic phrases which arise out of the normal prosodiac by resolution of the final spondee: and instead of the two normal epitrites we have two variants of that foot: $\text{—} \cup \text{—} \cup \cup$ and the protracted form $\text{—} \cup \text{—} \text{—} \text{—} \text{—} \text{—}$. Notice, too, that the second part began with that short form of the prosodiac which is identical with the choriambus (*ἀνθονομού-*). This gives us a starting-point for our second strophe.

Str. 2. Three-part: AB-C-AB.

εἰ δὲ κυρεῖ τις πέλας οἰωνοπολῶν ἔγγαιος οἴκτον ἄτων

(A) Choriambic

(B) Trochaic

δοξάσει τις ἀκούειν

(C) Pherecratic

ἄπα τᾶς Τηρείας μήτιδος οἴκτρᾶς ἀλόχου κερκηλάτου δ' ἀηδόνας.

(A) Ionic a minore

(B) Trochaic

Choriambic for prophecy¹; Ionic a minore for the lament of the nightingale². And Pherecratic as a shift between the two: like choriambic, it is in falling rhythm, but its last four syllables, marked off for this purpose by the division of words (*τις ἀκούειν*), suggest Ionic a minore. The trochaic cadences recall the epitrite cadences of the first strophe: they also prepare for a new development in the next strophe.

Str. 3⁴. Two-part: AB-AB.

τῶς καὶ ἐγὼ φιλόδυρτος Ἰαονίοισι νόμοισι

A

¹ For these variants, see Appendix. Note how this, and the two following strophes, obtain their coherence from similarity of cadence: *ἔγγαιος οἴκτον ἄτων* = *κερκηλάτου δ' ἀηδόνας*, etc. Cf. S. Macpherson, *Form in Music*, p. 64: "This illustrates a very important factor in the matter of obtaining coherence of musical design, viz. some sort of *repetition*, at a later period of a movement, of some figure or passage that has been heard earlier in its course. One of the simplest methods of carrying out this idea of repetition is for the cadence-bars of the first half of the piece to be reproduced—with change of key, where necessary—at the end of the second part." Cf. Aesch. *Supp.* 533-9 (p. 92), 547-55 (pp. 92-3), *Agam.* 738-48 (pp. 114-15), *Cho.* 22-31 (p. 121), and for further examples see Appendix.

² See above, pp. 58-9.

³ p. 55.

⁴ 73 *εἰλοθερῆ* Bothe: *νειλοθερῆ*. 76 *δειμαίνουσ' ἀφόνου* Headlam: *δειμαίνουσα φάλους*.

δάπτω τὰν ἀπαλὰν εἰλοθερῆ παρειὰν
Pherecratic

ἀπειρόδακρύν τε καρδίαν· γοεδνὰ δ' ἀνθεμίζομαι
Pherecratic¹ (B) Iambic

δειμαίνουσ' ἀφόνου τᾶσδε φυγᾶς ἀερίας ἀπὸ γᾶς
(A) Prosodiac Choriambus Prosodiac

εἴ τις ἐστὶ κηδεμών.
(B) Trochaic

The strophe opens with what sounds as if it were going to be an Ionic elegiac couplet²; but after the first half of the pentameter (*δάπτω τὰν ἀπαλὰν*) it turns into a Pherecratic. This figure is repeated, with anacrusis, leading to a phrase identical in form with the first cadence of the previous strophe: *ἔγγαιος οἴκτον αἴων*. There, coming after strongly marked falling rhythm, it sounded like trochaic: here, coming after the anacrusis of the Pherecratic, we are tempted to take it as iambic. The fact is, a change from falling to rising rhythm is impending, and this hint of iambic is a preparation for it. The next figure I have called a prosodiac: its function is to recall the announcement of the preceding sentence: *δειμαίνουσ' ἀφόνου* = *δάπτω τὰν ἀπαλὰν*. In the same way, the next figure but one, *ἀερίας ἀπὸ γᾶς*, reminds us of *τὼς καὶ ἐγὼ φιλόδυρ-*. The two figures are echoes, and in between them is set an echo of that choriambic movement which we have already heard twice since the ode began. Why does it recur here? It is a rapid measure, appropriate, as we have seen, to restless motion such as flight: and that is its connotation here, both in strophe and in antistrophe—

76-7 *δειμαίνουσ' ἀφόνου τᾶσδε φυγᾶς ἀερίας ἀπὸ γᾶς . . .*

85-6 *ἔστι δὲ κακὸν πολέμου τειρομένοις βωμὸς ἀρῆς φυγᾶσιν . . .*

¹ I take 74 *καρδίαν* as a disyllable: cf. 83 *στυγόντες*, and see Verrall, *Seven against Thebes*, p. 134.

Headlam, *ad loc.*

Str. 3¹. Two-part: A-B. Dorian.

εἴθ' εἶη Διὸς εὖ παναληθῶς—Διὸς ἕμερος οὐκ εὐθήρατος ἐτύχθη·

(A) Prosodiacs

παντᾶ τοι φλεγέθει κἄν σκότῳ μελαίνα ξὺν τύχῃ μερόπεσσι

(B) Prosodiac

Epitrites

λαοῖς.

The thoughts of the Suppliants return to Zeus: so does the rhythm. The second part of this strophe resembles the second part of the opening strophe, except that here the final epitrite is not protracted. We may also notice that the division of words in both passages (εὐλόγως, κἄν σκότῳ, ξὺν τύχῃ) suggests a new rhythm—Paeonic.

Str. 5².

ιάπτει δ' ἐλπίδων ἀφ' ὑψιπύργων πανώλεις βροτούς,

Bacchius + Cretic

Dochmiac

Dochmiac

βίαν δ' οὔτιν' ἐξοπλίζει·

Bacchius

Dochmiac

τὰν ἄπονον δ' ἀρμονίαν ἤμενος ἄμ φρόνημά πως

Choriambic

Glyconic

αὐτόθεν ἐξέπραξεν ἔμπας ἐδράνων ἀφ' ἀγνῶν.

Aeolian couplet

The Suppliants now begin their invocation in real earnest, and for the first time we hear Paeonic—at present the slow unresolved forms, $\cup \cup -$ and $\cup \cup \cup \cup -$ rather than $\cup \cup \cup \cup$ and $\cup \cup \cup \cup \cup \cup$. In the middle of the strophe we hear another choriambic passage. This is for the sake of the antistrophe, where the Suppliants pray that their enemies may be afflicted with heaven-sent madness, driving them to ruin like the gadfly which persecuted Io (114-5)³:

δυσπαραβούλοισι φρεσίν, καὶ διανοιομαίνολιν
κέντρον ἔχων ἄφυκτον, ἄτας ἀπάταν μεταλγούς.

¹ 88 εἴθ' εἶη Headlam: εἰθείη.

² 106-7 So Headlam: τὰν ἄπονον δαιμονίων· ἤμενον ἄνω.

³ 114 διανοιομαίνολιν sugg. Headlam: διάνοιαν μαίνολιν. 115 So Tucker: ἄταν δ' ἀπάταν μεταλγούς.

Str. 6¹. Two-part: A-B.

τοιαῦτα πάθεα μέλεα θρεομένα δ' ἐγὼ λιγέα βαρέα δακρυοπετῆ,

(A) Iambic

ἰή, ἰή, ἰηλέμοισιν ἐμφερῆ ζῶσα γόοις με τιμῶ.

(B) Pherecratic

This is the climax of the invocation: the Suppliants perform a passionate dirge, rending their veils and beating their breasts. The rhythm is iambic, largely resolved, which has been prepared for by the iambic figures of the preceding strophes. That resolved iambic is the appropriate accompaniment for such performances is shown by a number of parallel passages. We shall come across more than one in the *Oresteia*: others will be found in the *Persae* (1039-66), in *The Seven against Thebes* (941-95), and in the *Alcestis* (86-7).

The sixth strophe is followed by a refrain². The first subject is Aeolian. There are two others: spondaic, and quick, resolved Paeonic. A-BC-A.

ἰλέομαι μὲν Ἀπίαν βούνιν· καρβᾶν' αὐδὰν εὖ, γᾶ, κοννεῖς.

(A) Glyconic

(B) Spondaic

πολλάκι δ' ἐμπίτνω λακίδι σὺν λινοσινεῖ Σιδονία καλύπτρα.

(C) Dochmiac

Paeons

(A) Pherecratic

Str. 7. Two-part: AB-AB.

πλάτα μὲν οὖν λινορραφῆς τε δόμος ἄλα στέγων δορὸς

(A) Iambic

(B) Trochaic³

ἀχείματόν μ' ἔπεμπε σὺν πνοαῖς·

(A) Iambic

οὐδὲ μέμφομαι· τελευτὰς δ' ἐν χρόνῳ πατήρ ὁ παντόπτας

(B) Trochaic

πρευμενεῖς κτίσειεν.

The religious fervour of the Suppliants begins to die away. The iambs are no longer resolved, and give place to trochaic.

¹ 121 ἐμφερῆ Tucker: ἐμπρεπῆ.

² 123 βούνιν· καρβᾶν': βούνιν, καρβᾶνα δ'.

³ With resolution of the first foot to ease the shift from iambic.

The weak ending of the first figure (*λινορραφής τε*) anticipates the weak ending of the first trochaic figure (*τελευτὰς δ'*), and this in turn prepares us for the protraction of the next phrase (*παντόπτας*). The effect of these trochaic figures, coming as they do after the excited iambics, is slow and heavy. They also suggest, unless my ear deceives me, an undercurrent of that slow dochmiac rhythm which we heard in the two preceding strophes and will hear many times again before the play is over:

οὐδὲ μέμφομαι· τελευτὰς δ' ἐν χρόνῳ πατὴρ ὁ παντόπτας.

Str. 8. Trochaic

εἰ δὲ μή, μελανθῆς ἠλιόκτυπον γένος,

τὸν γαῖον, τὸν πολυξενώτατον Ζῆνα τῶν κεκμηκότων

ἰξόμεσθα σὺν κλάδοις, ἄρτάναις θανούσαι,

μὴ τυχοῦσαι θεῶν Ὀλυμπίων.

This trochaic measure is a favourite with Aeschylus. He employs it many times in the *Oresteia* and again in the *Persae* (117-28)—mostly with the connotation of sinister foreboding or suspense. In the *Persae*, it is contrasted with the sweeping Ionics a minore which preceded it; here it offers no less striking a contrast to the resolved iambics and Paeonic which marked the height of the invocation. This last strophe is, as it were, an afterthought. The Suppliants threaten to kill themselves if their appeal is not granted. At the beginning of the stasimon they appealed to the son of Zeus, Erampus—in Dorian rhythm; in the middle, to Zeus—again in Dorian; now they threaten to appeal to another Zeus—the King of the Dead, and accordingly the Dorian rhythm, which we might have expected to recur in this passage, is abandoned in favour of these slowly-moving, ominous trochaics.

Danaus, who has listened to his daughters' prayer in silence, now espies an approaching chariot and warns them to take sanctuary at the altar of the gods. The chariot appears,

bringing Pelasgus, King of Argos, who questions the newcomers as to their origin and business. They appeal to him, as they appealed to Zeus, for protection. Pelasgus hesitates. They renew their appeal in a short lyrical dialogue (350-411), containing three strophes from the Chorus, which are answered by Pelasgus in iambic trimeters. The main theme is quick, resolved dochmiac—a development of the dochmiac movement which we heard in the latter part of the first stasimon. The first strophe contains an admixture of Aeolian; the second is in dochmiac almost throughout, and a touch of Aeolian duly returns in the third.

Str. 1. Two-part: AB-AB.

Παλαίχθονος τέκος, κλύθί μου πρόφρονι καρδία, Πελασγῶν ἄναξ.

(A) Iambic Cretic Dochmiacs

ἴδε με τὰν ἰκέτιν φυγάδα περιδρομον λυκοδίωκτον ὡς

(B) Aeol. tripod¹ (A) Dochmiac

δάμαλιν ἄμ πέτραις

ἠλιβάτοις, ἴν' ἀλκᾷ πίσυνος μέμυκε φράζουσα βοτῆρι μόχθους.

(B) Aeol. tripod Aeolian couplet

Str. 2. The second strophe is pure dochmiac, except for a single phrase: A-B-A.

σύ τρι πόλις, σὺ δὲ τὸ δήμιον, πρύτανις ἄκριτος ὦν,

A

κρατύνεις βωμόν, ἐστίαν χθονός, μονοψήφοισι νεύμασιν σέθεν,

μονοσκήπτροισι δ' ἐν θρόνοις χρέος πᾶν ἐπικραίνεις·

(B) Prosodiac

ἄγος φυλάσσου.

A

The exception is that prosodiac: πᾶν ἐπικραίνεις. Zeus, the Suppliants declared in their previous appeal, is omnipotent: he governs the world from his heavenly throne. He has the power to succour: let him exercise it, and so avert bloodshed on his altars! Now they appeal to Pelasgus. He too, in his

¹ 352 ἴδε με shift by resolution.

own kingdom, is omnipotent; his nod, like the nod of Zeus, knows no gainsaying; seated upon his royal throne, he controls the destinies of Argos. Let him too avert bloodshed on his altars! Clearly, the Suppliants are drawing a parallel between the King of Heaven and the King of Argos. They assume that they have won the heavenly King to their side, and use this assumption to win the goodwill of the earthly king. This slight reminiscence of the Dorian rhythm which accompanied their appeal to Zeus adds force to the parallel.

The third and last strophe brings the lyrical dialogue to a close with a reminiscence of the Aeolian rhythm we heard in the first¹. Three-part: A-B-A.

μήτι ποτ' οὖν γενοίμαν ὑποχείριος κράτεσιν ἀρσένων.

(A) Aeolian tripodies²

(B) Dochmiac

ὑπαστρον δέ τοι μῆχαρ ὀρίζομαι γάμου δύσφρονος

φυγάν. ξύμμαχον δ' ἐλομένος Δίκαν, κρῖνε σέβας τὸ πρὸς θεῶν.

(A) Pherecratic

The King still hesitates, whereupon the Suppliants address to him a short stasimon (423-46), mainly in cretics³, with a few paeons and dochmiacs. Finally, in the dialogue which ensues, they reiterate their threat of suicide, and Pelasgus departs to consult his people.

The Suppliants turn once more to Zeus. Their first appeal culminated in slow dochmiac, leading to quick dochmiac at the height of the invocation. They have appealed to Pelasgus in the same rhythm—quick dochmiac. The second stasimon (533-607) carries on the development of this dochmiac motive. The Dorian and Aeolian of the earlier strophes are freely mixed with slow dochmiac; and the climax comes in the last

¹ 400 φυγάν Heath: φυγαί.

² These Aeolian tripodies might of course be taken as dochmiacs with a long initial syllable (see p. 12) like 399 μῆχαρ ὀρίζομαι. But the initial syllables are long in the antistrophe as well, whereas 399 μῆχαρ ὀρίζομαι corresponds to 409 ἄδικα μὲν κακοῖς: therefore I prefer to take them as Aeolian.

³ See above, p. 67 n. 1.

strophe, which is slow dochmiac throughout. Thus, at the end of the stasimon, we still await a further climax—quick dochmiac.

The second stasimon begins, like the first, with the name of Zeus; and the opening strophe is so contrived as to combine the leading themes—Aeolian and dochmiac—with Dorian. It is held together by the twice-repeated Pherecratic cadence, and its form might be stated thus: ABC-AC-BC.

Str. 1¹ (533-9)

Iambo-choriambic (B) Prosodiac
ἄναξ ἀνάκτων, μακάρων μακάρτατε καὶ τελέων
 (A) Dochmiac

Prosodiac
τελειότατον κράτος, ὄλβιε Ζεῦ,
 (C) Pherecratic

(C) Pherecratic
πιθοῦ τε καὶ γένει σῶ ἄλευσον ἀνδρῶν ὕβριν εὖ στυγῆσας·
 (A) Dochmiac

λίμνα δ' ἔμβαλε πορφυροειδεῖ τὰν μελανόζυγ' ἄταν.
 (B) Prosodiac (C) Pherecratic

The opening phrase, it will be observed, is an iambo-choriambic: ἄναξ ἀνάκτων, μακάρων. Its function here is to suggest simultaneously dochmiac (ἄναξ ἀνάκτων) and prosodiac (-των, μακάρων). It is used, somewhat similarly, as an introduction to Dorian in the *Trachiniae* (94), where it anticipates the iambo-choriambic movement of the second strophe (116-8).

Str. 2 (547-55)

παλαιὸν δ' εἰς ἵχνος μετέσταν ματέρος ἀνθονόμους ἐπωπᾶς,
 Dochmiac Aeolian decasyllable

λειμῶνα βούχιλον, ἔνθεν Ἴω οἴστρω ἐρεσσομένα
 Dochmiac Prosodiac

φεύγει ἀμαρτίνοος, πολλὰ βροτῶν διαμειβομένα

¹ 536 καὶ γένει σῶ Schütz: καὶ γενέσθω.

φύλα, διχῆ δ' ἀντίπορον γαῖαν ἐν αἴσα διατέμνουσα πόρον

Choriambic

Pherocratic

κυματίαν ὀρίζει.

(contd.)

This strophe, like the last, is loosely constructed; it owes what coherence it has to the two Pherocratic cadences: for the latter part of the Aeolian decasyllable at the end of the first sentence, *ἀνθονόμους ἐπωπάς*, is equivalent to a Pherocratic, and this is recalled by the Pherocratic at the end. The first part of the same figure, *ματέρος ἀνθονόμους*, leads to the prosodiacs which occupy the centre of the strophe, and out of these (*ἀνθονόμους, πολλὰ βροτῶν*) is developed choriambic. The last time we heard choriambic was in the first stasimon, where it was used for the frenzy of the prophet, and later for the flight of fugitives from battle, and for the divine persecution of the wicked sons of Aegyptus. Here, both in strophe and antistrophe, it is used for the wanderings of the frenzied Io, and its occurrence is sufficiently striking to be regarded as something in the nature of a climax. It is, in fact, the consummation of the scattered choriambic themes of the first stasimon.

Str. 3¹ (565-73). Two-part: AB-AB.

ἰκνεῖται δὴ σινουμένα βέλει βουκόλου πτερόεντος

(A) Dochm. Iambic

(B) Pherocratic

Δῖον πῆμβοτον ἄλσος,

Pherocratic

λειμῶνα χιονόβοσκον, ὄντ' ἐπέρχεται

Iambic trimeter

Τυφῶ μένος, ὕδωρ τὸ Νείλου νόσοις ἄθικτον,

Link²

Dochmiac

μαινομένα πόνοις ἀτίμοις ὀδύναις τε κεντροδαλήτισι θυιάς Ἡρας.

Glyconic

Aeolian couplet

¹ 565 δὴ σινουμένα Headlam: δ' εἰσικνουμένου.

² --- a figure not uncommon in dochmiac: see Appendix.

That short but arresting phrase *Τυφῶ μένος* aptly describes the monster Typho. The strophe ends with an Aeolian couplet. The same couplet at the end of the antistrophe (580-2) asks a question:

καὶ τότε δὴ τίς ἦν ὁ θέλξας πολὺπλαγκτον ἀθλίαν οἰστρο-
δόνητον Ἰώ;

The answer is given in the next strophe: Zeus. And as the Suppliants allude to the mysterious union of Zeus with Io, we hear once more the Aeolian couplet, which cannot fail to remind us of the happy tune: 'Τμὴν ᾧ ὑμέναι' 'Τμὴν, 'Τμὴν ᾧ ὑμέναι' ᾧ.

Str. 4¹ (583-9). AB-AB-AB. Dochmiac and iambic, and Aeolian.

δι' αἰῶνος κρέων ἀπαύστου (⏏- ⏏⏏ ⏏-)
(A) Dochmiac (B) Pherecratic

βία δ' ἀπηματοσθένει καὶ θείαις ἐπιπνοίαις
(A) Iambic (B) Pherecratic

παύεται, δακρύων δ' ἀποστάζει πένθιμον αἰδῶ.
Aeolian couplet

λαβοῦσα δ' ἔρμα Δῖον ἀψευδεῖ λόγῳ γείνατο παῖδ' ἀμεμφῆ.
(A) Iambic trimeter (B) Pherecratic

In the last strophe the singers return to the solemn thought of the omnipotent will of Zeus. The rhythm marks the consummation of the dochmiac movement which has run through the whole stasimon.

Str. 5² (598-602). Dochmiac, and iambic. Three-part: A-B-A.

¹ δι' Hermann: Zeus. 584 βία δ' ἀπηματοσθενεῖ Headlam: βία δ' ἀπημάντῳ σθένει.

² 600. (αὐτὸς) αὐτόχειρ Voss: cf. Schol *ad loc.* αὐτὸς ὁ πατήρ φυτουργὸς τοῦ γένους, ὁ τῆ ἐαυτοῦ χειρὶ θεραπεύσας τὴν Ἰώ. So Soph. *Ant.* 52 αὐτὸς αὐτουργῶ χειρὶ. Thus the verse is an iambic trimeter: cf. 568, 588. Most editors have assumed a lacuna before πατήρ: εὐτέ γε Hermann, ἔστι δὲ Schwerdt, αὐτὸς ὁ Heimsoeth, εἰ γὰρ or even σὺ γὰρ Tucker. All these conjectures, except Tucker's, are attempts to reconcile the metre of this verse with that of 605 οὔτινος (corr. in

τίν' ἂν θεῶν ἐνδικωτέροισιν κεκλοίμαν εὐλόγως ἐπ' ἔργοις;

(A) Dochmiac

πατήρ φυτουργὸς αὐτὸς αὐτόχειρ ἄναξ,

(B) Iambic trimeter

γένους παλαιόφρων μέγας τέκτων, τὸ πᾶν μῆχαρ οὖριος Ζεὺς.

Iambic

(A) Dochmiac

I have analysed the last two figures as a dochmiac and a trochaic dipody. They might equally well be regarded as dochmiac: μέγας τέκτων, τὸ πᾶν μῆχαρ οὖριος Ζεὺς. The fact is that both rhythms are heard: the second stasimon ends with an echo of the slow trochaic movement which was heard at the end of the first.

Danaus, who accompanied Pelasgus on his mission to the people of Argos, now returns, and announces that the Suppliants are safe—Argos has granted them protection. They reply with a hymn of thanksgiving, in which they pray the gods to prosper the city which has consented to defend them from their enemies (638–717). The rhythmical structure of this ode is too simple to need detailed analysis here. The principal subject is Aeolian, with which we are already acquainted as the accompaniment of festivity and rejoicing; but we hear beneath this cheerful Aeolian a more sinister motive—quick dochmiac. It appears in the first strophe (Three-part: A Aeolian, B Dochmiac, A Aeolian); it is abandoned in the second, which is entirely Aeolian; but re-appears in the third (Two-part: A Aeolian, B Dochmiac); while the last strophe is in slow dochmiac, like the last of the previous stasimon. It is, as it were, a running comment on this premature rejoicing, and drives home a sinister effect in the

δοστινος M) ἀνωθεν ἡμένου σέβει κάτω. But it is the latter verse that is unrhythmical: I can find no parallel for a first paeon followed by iambi in this fashion. *οὐτινος* is probably a gloss on *δτου* (cf. schol. ad Eur. *Hec.* 353). For *κάτω* Bamberger suggested *κράτη*, Heath *κράτος* (cf. *Agam.* 270). In the previous verse (604) the Schol. seems to have read *κρατύνων* for *κρατύνει* codd. (Paley, Oberdick). I therefore propose: (602–5)...*Ζεὺς, ὑπ' ἀρχᾶς οὐτινος θαάων (τὸ μείον κραισσόνων κρατύνων) δτου κάτωθεν ἡμενος σέβει κράτη.*

words themselves—the constant harping on the name of the God of bloodshed. And, in the slow dochmiacs of the last strophe, the Suppliants bring their song to an end with the reflection that one of the cardinal duties of man is obedience to his parents. In the sequel they are destined, despite their prayers, to marry their cousins; and, at their father's command, they will murder them on the wedding-night.

Quick dochmiac, then, which was abandoned in the last stasimon, is creeping in again. But the climax of this stasimon, as of the last, is still slow dochmiac. We feel that the rhythmical design is moving towards a further climax in continuous quick dochmiac.

Hardly is their song of rejoicing at an end when Danaus sights the sons of Aegyptus sailing into the bay. Seized with terror, the Suppliants cluster round their father, who seeks in vain to calm their fears with the assurance that it will be some time yet before their cousins can bring their ship to harbour and disembark. Finally, despite his daughters' protests, he departs to summon help from the city. The scene is partly lyrical, the Suppliants expressing their terror in quick dochmiac, their father seeking to reassure them in iambic trimeters. It corresponds therefore to the earlier scene in which the Suppliants appealed to Pelasgus; and just as that scene marked the first climax of the quick dochmiac theme, so this marks the second. And, like the earlier scene, it is followed by a short stasimon (784–831), in which the Suppliants, deserted, as they now are, without even their father to protect them, give themselves up to terror-stricken lamentation.

The first strophe is composed of themes with which we are already familiar—dochmiac and iambic, with a Pherecratic close. The second is important.

Str. 2 (800–7). Iambic and trochaic.

πόθεν δέ μοι γένοιτ' ἂν αἰθέρος θρόνος,

Iambic trimeter

πρὸς δὲν χιῶν ὑδρηλὰ γίγνεται νέφη,

Iambic trimeter

ἡ λισσὰς αἰγίλιψ ἀπρόσδερκτος οἰόφρων κρεμὰς

Shift by anacrusis Trochaic

γυπιάς πέτρα, βαθὺ πτώμα μαρτυροῦσά μοι,

πρὶν δαίκτορος βία καρδίας γάμου κυρῆσαι;

At the end of the first stasimon the Suppliants threatened to take refuge with the God of the Underworld rather than submit to the marriage they abhor. Now they wish they might throw themselves from a mountain-height rather than endure such a fate. The rhythm in both passages is the same; and, as if to drive home the significance of this coincidence between sense and rhythm, the idea of the strophe is repeated in the antistrophe (810-13):

τὸ γὰρ θανεῖν ἐλευθεροῦται φιλαιακτῶν κακῶν.

ἐλθέτω μόρος πρὸ κοίτας γαμηλίου τυχῶν.

The text of the next scene (832-921) is corrupt beyond hope of restoration, but the broader rhythmical effects are fairly clear. As soon as the Egyptian herald appears, the Suppliants express their abhorrence in free verse or *ἀπολελυμένα* (832-48), and the Herald, whose language is as uncouth as a villainous African's should be, replies in equally irregular verse, with a plentiful use of spondees and resolved dochmiacs (849-55):

οὐκοῦν οὐκοῦν τιλμοὶ τιλμοὶ καὶ στιγμοί,

πολυαίμων φόνιος ἀποκοπὰ κρατός . . .

We are reminded of the spondees which accompanied the hardly less barbaric utterances of the Suppliants themselves (124-5):

καρβᾶν' αὐδὰν εὔ, γᾶ, κοννεῖς.

The Suppliants begin to lament, in Aeolian (856-7):

εἶθ' ἀνὰ πολύρυτον ἀλμήεντα πόρον . . .

But their lamentations are interrupted by renewed outbursts of *ἀπολελυμένα* from the Herald (860-5, 872-7). As he repeats his threats, their cries become more hysterical. They acclaimed his coming with such exclamations as *ὄ ὄ ὄ, ᾗ ᾗ ᾗ* (832) and

ἦέ ἦέ (844). Now they cry *αἰαῖ αἰαῖ* (878) and *οἰοῖ οἰοῖ* (887). The rhythm too becomes more passionate: Aeolian gives place to Ionic a minore (879–83)¹.

καὶ γὰρ δυσπαλάμῳ ὄλοιο

Aeolian decasyllable

δι' ἀλίρρυτον ἄλσος, κατὰ Σαρπηδόσιον χῶμα πολύψαμμον

Shift

Ionic a minore

ἀλαθεῖς

(contd.)

Εὐρέταισιν αὔραις.

Pherecratic

The Herald is now carrying his threats into effect, and speaks with the calm determination of the iambic trimeter (893–5, 904–5, 914–15). The Suppliants reply in quick dochmiac—the final culmination of that motive,—and for the third time we hear those barbarous spondees as they utter a last appeal to Earth, Eraphus and Zeus (896–903)²:

οἰοῖ, πάτερ, βρέτεος ἄρος αὐάτα. μάλα δ' ἄγει

ἄραχνος ὡς βάδην. ὄναρ ὄναρ μέλαν. ὄτοτοτοτοῖ.

μᾶ Γᾶ, μᾶ Γᾶ, βοᾶν φοβερὸν ἀπότρεπε, ὦ βᾶ Γᾶς παῖ Ζεῦ.

About to be carried off, they utter a cry of despair in the hope that the people of Argos may hear them:

(916) *ἰὼ πόλεως ἀγοῖ πρόμοι, δάμναμαι.*

(919)³ *διωλόμεσθ'· ἄσεπτ', ἄναξ, πάσχομεν.*

Danaus arrives with King Pelasgus in the nick of time, and the Herald is forced to retire. The King announces that quarters have been assigned to the Suppliants in the city, Danaus warns the young women not to abuse their privileges, and the play ends with a jubilant *exeunt omnes*. At least, they begin jubilantly, with a song of rejoicing: but their song is set to Ionic a minore—the rhythm of lamentation (1029–62). And as they leave for their new homes, we overhear a dialogue

¹ 883 *Εὐρέταισιν* Paley: *εὐρείαις εἰν*.

² 896 *αὐάτα*: *ἄτα* ex schol. Abresch. *μάλα δ'* Bothe: *μάλδα*.

³ 919 *ἄσεπτ'* Tucker: *ἄελπτ'*.

among the Suppliants which shows that there are some whose fears for the future have not been entirely allayed by their recent triumph (1063-72).

Then the last strophe of the play:

Str. 3¹. Three-part: A-B-A.

Ζεὺς ἄναξ ἀποστεροίη γάμον δυσάνορα δάϊον, ὥσπερ Ἴὼ

(A) Trochaic

(B) Pherecratic

πημονᾶς ἐλύσατ' αὖ χειρὶ παιωνία κατάσχετον,

(A) Trochaic

εὐμενεῖ βία κτίσας.

"Zeus, avert this marriage, or we will hang ourselves at the altar!" they cried, in trochaics, at the end of the first stasimon. "Rather than submit to this marriage, let us throw ourselves from the mountain-tops!" they cried, again in trochaics, just before the arrival of the Herald. And now for the third time, "May Zeus avert this marriage!" The alternative is not repeated in the words, but it is clearly implied in the rhythm.

The sequel is lost, but we know from other sources what form that alternative ultimately took. The women who appealed in the first play of the trilogy for protection with the piteous cry (757):

γυνή μονωθείς' οὐδέν· οὐκ ἔνεστ' Ἄρης—

are destined, in the second, to show not a little of that manly spirit they professed to lack:

γυνή γὰρ ἄνδρ' ἕκαστον αἰῶνος στερεῖ,
δίθηκτον ἐν σφαγαῖσι βάψασα ξίφος².

¹ 1075 ὥσπερ Auratus: ὄσπερ. 1076 αὖ Headlam: εὖ. 1077 κατάσχετον Weil: κατασχέθων.

² P. V. 888-9.

CHAPTER NINE

THE ORESTEIA

OUR study of *The Suppliants* has shown that in order to appreciate fully the choral element in the play we must regard each ode, not as an independent unity, but as part of a larger musical design which runs parallel with the plot and accelerates or retards its pace in accordance with the dramatic necessities of the moment. But the play itself is not complete: it is only the first of three acts. In the same way, we may suppose that the musical design of the first play is no more than the first movement in a still larger design which embraces the trilogy as a whole. Direct proof of this, in the case of *The Suppliants*, is impossible, because the sequel is lost. If we want to see how Aeschylus welded his single plays into a trilogy, we must turn to the *Oresteia*—the only complete trilogy that we possess. There we shall find the same principles of composition at work, and we shall find that by this means not only is one ode bound to another, but each play bound to the next, like a three-panelled design in tapestry in which certain threads run through the whole. Or a closer analogy would be Wagner's great tetralogy, conceived on the Aeschylean model. There, each play stands out, a unity—to some extent—in itself, but at the same time part of a still greater unity; and the musical themes which were heard for the first time in *Rheingold* are heard again in *Die Walküre* and in *Siegfried*, and culminate in *Götterdämmerung*. Similarly, the design of the *Agamemnon*, and again of the *Choephoroe*, has a certain unity of its own, but at the same time it forms part of a larger unity which is not fully grasped till we have reached the end of the *Eumenides*; and the musical themes which we hear first in the *Agamemnon* we hear again in the *Choephoroe*, until they too reach their final consummation in the *Eumenides*.

Nevertheless, there are important differences of technique

between *The Suppliants* and the *Oresteia*—the sort of differences we should expect between an early and a late work, between a simple and an elaborate composition. In the first place, let us compare the poetical form of the two pieces. We observed that the earlier odes of *The Suppliants* were designed according to principles familiar to us from previous stages of our enquiry, and that these designs were built out of a few simple themes—Zeus in different aspects. The form of the later odes was less precise: that was natural, because, while thematic composition of this kind is helpful in expounding the dramatic situation, and in providing a setting from which the plot begins to take shape and move, it would be a hindrance if maintained in the same degree of elaboration after the plot has gathered impetus and has begun to advance with increasing rapidity towards the climax. The composition of the earlier odes of the *Agamemnon* is based on the same principles, but treated with far greater subtlety. The transitions from one theme to another are less obvious, and the themes themselves are less clearly-defined, more numerous and various. They are therefore much harder to explain on paper. The attempt which follows does not pretend to be complete. Only the leading themes are taken into consideration, and even they are stated with a definiteness which scarcely does justice to the subtle skill with which they are introduced. That, however, is unavoidable in reducing to analysis so elaborate and so delicate a composition. At the same time, the reader will feel, as he passes from one ode to the next, that the emotional value of each, and the dramatic connexion between them, owe something to the manner in which these themes are arranged¹.

First stasimon (104–269)

- A. Omen.
- B. Zeus chastens the sinner.
- A. Fulfilment of the omen.

¹ I owe this summary of the form of the *Agamemnon* to Mr Sheppard's valuable account in *Aeschylus and Sophocles*, pp. 16–39: see also *Camb. Univ. Reporter*, vol. LIX, no. 15, pp. 430–1.

Second stasimon (367-480)

- B. Zeus has chastened the sinner, Paris.
- C. Helen.
- B. Zeus will chasten the sinner, Agamemnon.

Third stasimon (686-773)

- C. Helen.
- D. The lion's whelp.
- C. Helen.

The second subject of each movement is restated as the first subject of the next. Is not this very similar to the structure of the early odes of *The Suppliants*, analysed in the last chapter, and are we not reminded of the *cyclic* form of some compositions in modern music?

We have noticed how the poetical form of *The Suppliants* is gradually relaxed, as the play progresses, in order that the plot may move more freely. The metrical form develops in the same way. In the early odes most of the strophes were found to be in strict Two-part or Three-part form: in the later, they were often more loosely constructed, though rarely without some hint of formal design, sufficient to satisfy an ear which had already grasped the more obvious unity of the earlier strophes. In the *Oresteia* we find that Aeschylus has advanced further along this line of development. Strophic form is now so familiar to himself and to his audiences that he can dispense with the more obvious indications of it and so allow himself greater freedom in composition. From time to time he gives us a strophe as strict as any in *The Suppliants*; and on these occasions we can see that he is deliberately retarding the dramatic movement. An excellent example will be found in the first stasimon (104-269). The first and third parts of this poem are loosely constructed—the one in flowing dactylic, the other in continuous dochmiac: in both he is telling a story—the marshalling of the host at Aulis, and the sacrifice of Iphigeneia; and nothing must be allowed to impede the swift march of events. But in the middle he makes a digression—

a meditation on the theme of Zeus who chastens the sinner—solemn in character and slow in movement,—a striking contrast to its setting. And here he gives us a strophe composed out of slow trochaic in regular Three-part form.

We have already had occasion to notice the variety of Dorian rhythm developed by Stesichorus¹. Prosodiacs are combined to produce a single, long dactylic phrase, which has something of the sweep and fluency of the epic hexameter:

Stesich. 18

ῥκτειρε γὰρ αὐτὸν ὕδωρ αἰεὶ φορέοντα Διὸς κούρα βασιλεύσιν.

Sometimes the final spondees are replaced by dactyls, with the result that the prosodiac basis disappears entirely:

Ibid. 7

Σκύπφειον δὲ λαβὼν δέπας ἔμμετρον ὡς τριλάγυνον
πῖνεν ἐπισχόμενος, τό ρά οἱ παρέθηκε Φόλος κεράσας.

Epitrites are rarer than is usual in Dorian, and when they occur have the effect of retarding the rhythm:

Ibid. 26

οὔνεκα Τυνδάρεος ῥέζων ποτε πᾶσι θεοῖς μούνας λάθειτ' ἠπιοδώρω
Κύπριδος· κείνα δὲ Τυνδαρέου κόραις
χολωσαμένη διγάμους τε καὶ τριγάμους τίθησιν
καὶ λιπесάνορας . . .

It was in this form of Dorian that Stesichorus wrote his *Sack of Troy* and his *Oresteia*. Aeschylus makes the Sack of Troy one of the leading themes of the earlier part of his own *Oresteia*, and no doubt, for the Greek audience, the theme as he treated it derived not a little of its significance from the reminiscences it evoked in their minds of the work of Stesichorus. This is only another example of the habitual method of the Greek poets—they loved to enforce the effect of their own poetry by conscious allusion to their predecessors. In the

¹ See above, pp. 38, 48.

present case, the modern reader is at a disadvantage, because the work of Stesichorus has perished ; but even we can see that Aeschylus begins his long first stasimon in the rhythm which Stesichorus had made familiar before him :

κύριός εἰμι θροεῖν ὄδιον κράτος αἴσιον ἀνδρῶν
ἐκτελέων· ἔτι γὰρ θεόθεν καταπνεῖει
πειθῶ, μολπᾶν ἀλκάν, σύμφυτος αἰών·
δπως Ἀχαιῶν . . .

The first phrase is a dactylic hexameter ; the next two move more slowly, because spondees are substituted for dactyls. The fourth is something new. Perhaps it is an epitrite with anacrusis¹: but it suggests rising rhythm, and, as we shall see, its resemblance to slow dochmiac (υ̇⊥υ̇⊥-) is not without significance for the sequel (115-119).

οἰωνῶν βασιλεὺς βασιλεῦσι νεῶν, ὁ κελαινὸς ὃ τ' ἐξόπιν ἀργᾶς,
φανέντες ἴκταρ, μελάθρων χερὸς ἐκ δορυπάλτου . . .

At the end of the strophe (121-3) it leads to the climax :

βοσκόμενοι λαγίναν, ἐρικύματα φέρματι, γένναν,
βλαβέντα λoισθίων δρόμων.

This last phrase clearly suggests iambic, rising rhythm (υ̇⊥υ̇⊥ υ̇⊥υ̇⊥), in striking contrast to the falling rhythm of the rapid dactyls which it interrupts. Read the strophe through again, and you will feel its emotional effect: by breaking the fluent movement of the dactylic narrative, it emphasises the sinister meaning conveyed in the words².

Now turn to the epode (146)³:

τόσον περ εὐφρων . . .

This is the same quasi-dochmiac phrase we heard in the strophe, and this time no dactylic phrases precede it to make us regard

¹ Cf. Terpand. 2 ἀμφὶ μοί αὐτε ἀναχθ' ἐκαταβόλον | αἰδέτω φρήν.

² It has the same effect in the antistrophe (144) στυγεῖ δὲ δεῖπνον αἰετῶν.

³ 146 τόσον f h, Headlam: τόσσον M.

it as an epitrite: its dochmiac character is becoming clearer. We continue in rising rhythm (146-7)¹:

τόσον περ εὐφρων δὲ καλὰ δρόσοισι λεπτοῖς μαλερῶν λεόντων

The second figure is an Anacreontic. The effect is tender; and here again the sense of the words explains the rhythm. As he speaks of the "offspring of lions" the prophet is thinking of Iphigeneia, the daughter of the House of Mycenae, whose emblem was a lion². Anacreontic will recur in this connexion. The third figure *μαλερῶν λεόντων* brings this little excursion into rising rhythm to an end with a suggestion of a Pherecratic cadence (*-οῖς μαλερῶν λεόντων*)³, and we return to the dactylic movement of the strophe (148-52)⁴:

πάντων τ' ἀγρονόμων φιλομάστοις
θηρῶν ὄβρικόλοις, εἴπερ τινά, τούτων αἶνει ξύμβολα κρᾶναι
δεξιὰ μὲν, κατάμομφα δὲ φάσματ' ἀνορθοῦν.

That is the first sentence. The second begins (153), like the first, with a suggestion of Paeonic—even clearer this time:

ἰήιον δὲ καλέω Παιᾶνα . . .

Two iambs; then a fourth paeon, as the prophet cries to Apollo the Healer; and then a palimbacchius (- ˘ ˘), which serves as a convenient shift back to falling rhythm (154-6):

μή τινας ἀντιπνόους Δαναοῖς χρονίας ἐχενηίδας
ἀπλοίας τεύξῃ . . .

At first, dactyls, light and rapid; then that heavy spondaic prosodiac ˘- ˘- ˘. The ships are storm-bound; the rhythm is held up. And then suddenly it quickens (157-63):

σπευδομένα θυσίαν ἐτέραν ἄνομόν τιν', ἄδαιτον,
νεικέων τέκτονα σύμφυτον, οὐ δεισήνορα· μίμνει

¹ 146 δὲ καλὰ δρόσοισι λεπτοῖς Headlam: ἃ καλὰ δρόσοισιν ἀέλπτοις.

² Headlam, *ad loc.*

³ For this Ionian cadence, cf. below 214, 236 (p. 109), 451 (p. 111), *Cho.* 319 (p. 125).

⁴ 149 ὄβρικόλοις εἴπερ τινά Headlam: ὄβρικόλοισι τερπνά. 150 αἶνει Gilbert: αἶτει. 152 φάσματ' ἀνορθοῦν Wecklein: φάσματα στρουθῶν.

γὰρ φοβερὰ παλίνορτος
οἰκονόμος δολία μνάμων μῆνις τεκνόποινος.

With that pregnant word (vengeance for a child? or of a child?) the rhythm, which has been gathering speed in the preceding phrases, comes to an abrupt stop. All that remains to do now is to round off the epode with a couple of smooth dactylic hexameters (164-7), which recall, both in sense and rhythm, the opening of the strophe:

τοιάδε Κάλχας ξὺν μεγαλοῖς ἀγαθοῖς ἀπέκλαγξεν
μόρσιμ' ἀπ' ὀρνίθων ὀδίων οἴκοις βασιλείοις.

And finally we hear the refrain, bringing the triad formally to a close (168-9):

τοῖς δ' ὁμόφωνον
αἴλινον αἴλινον εἶπέ, τὸ δ' εὖ νικάτω.

At this point the rhythm completely changes. Instead of long, sweeping dactylic, we hear that slow insistent trochaic measure which was used with such effect in *The Suppliants* (170-7)¹:

Ζεὺς, ὅστις ποτ' ἐστίν, εἰ τόδ' αὐτῷ φίλον κεκλημένω,
τοὔτό νιν προσεννέπω·
οὐκ ἔχω προσεικάζειν πάντ' ἐπισταθμώμενος . . .

As the old men think of their only solace in the hour of trouble, their spirits rise and the rhythm quickens, returning for a moment to dactylic:

πλὴν Διός, εἰ τὸ μάταν ἀπὸ φροντίδος ἄχθος
χρὴ βαλεῖν ἐτητύμως.

The same effect is repeated in the antistrophe (183-5). The ancient rulers of the world—Ouranos, Kronos—have fallen, but Zeus—

Ζῆνα δέ τις προφρόνως ἐπινίκια κλάζων
τεύξεται φρενῶν τὸ πᾶν.

¹ For the form (three-part) see above, p. 40.

In the next strophe (186–93) the dactylic second subject is abandoned in favour of a still slower variety of trochaic:

A τὸν φρονεῖν βροτοὺς ὀδώσαντα, τὸν πάθει μάθος
θέντα κυρίως ἔχειν.

B στάζει δ' ἔνθ' ὕπνω πρὸ καρδίας μνησιπήμων πόνος
καὶ παρ' ἄκοντας ἦλθε σωφρονεῖν.

A δαιμόνων δέ που χάρις βίαιος σέλμα σεμνὸν ἡμένων.

The cretics in the middle of the second subject recall Paeonic rhythm (*μνησιπήμων πόνος*); and does not the end of the last figure but one (*χάρις βίαιος*) remind us of that slow dochmiac (*τόσον περ εὐφρων*) which we heard some time ago?

The antistrophe (194–201) is even more remarkable. It describes how the storm came at Aulis: and the words are made to break across the phrases so as to produce in the rhythm a slow, heavy, straining effect exactly appropriate to the sense:

καὶ τόθ' ἠγεμὼν ὁ πρέσβυς νεῶν Ἀχαικῶν,
μάντιν οὔτινα ψέγων,
ἐμπαί-οις τύχαισι συμπνέων, εὖτ' ἀπλοῖα κεναγγεῖ βαρύνοντ'
Ἀχαικὸς λεῶς
Χαλκίδος πέραν ἔχων παλιρρόχ-θοις ἐν Αὐλίδος τόποις.

Note in particular how a suggestion of bacchii and iambic is made to run counter to the cretic and trochaic figures of the second subject: *ἀπλοῖα / κεναγγεῖ / βαρύνοντ' / Ἀχαικὸς λεῶς*. The effect is not merely to retard the rhythm; we have here a direct anticipation of the return to rising rhythm in the next strophe (202–3).

πνοαὶ δ' ἀπὸ Στρυμόνος μολοῦσαι
κακόσχολοι, νήστιδες, δύσορμοι . . .

ὅπως Ἀχαιῶν, φανέντες ἴκταρ, τόσον περ εὐφρων . . . The significance of those scattered hints of dochmiac is now clear: they have anticipated the dochmiac movement of the present strophe.

Here again the words break across the phrasing:

πνοαὶ δ' ἀπὸ Στρυμόνος μολοῦσαι
κακόσχολοι, νήστιδες, δύσορμοι . . .

Does not this straining effect, this tense struggle between dochmiac and trochaic, suggest as clearly as rhythm can the straining of the ropes as the fleet lies at anchor, pitching and rolling in the storm? Moreover, if the words *Στρυμόνος μολοῦσαι, νήστιδες δύσορμοι* recall the trochaic rhythm of the preceding strophe, the words *πνοαὶ δ' ἀπὸ, κακόσχολοι* anticipate the next figure:

βροτῶν ἄλαι, . . .

Then we return:

νεῶν τε καὶ πεισμάτων ἀφειδεῖς (υ̇ε̇υ̇ε̇- υ̇ε̇υ̇ε̇-)

the same effect as before. And then, more slowly still:

παλιμμήκη χρόνον τιθεῖσαι (υ̇ε̇-ε̇ υ̇ε̇υ̇ε̇-)

until at last a protracted dochmiac brings the rhythm to a halt:

τρίβῳ κατέξαινον ἄνθος Ἀργείων. (υ̇ε̇υ̇ε̇- υ̇ε̇υ̇ε̇-ε̇)¹

The deadlock is complete, both in sense and rhythm. The situation seems hopeless: Artemis has frustrated the king's high enterprise. How can she be appeased?

ἐπεὶ δὲ καὶ πικροῦ . . .

Those iambics hold us in suspense for a moment: they are lighter, and we feel that we are moving towards a solution of the deadlock. Then the rhythm gathers speed: the prophet sees the way out, and his voice rises to a cry at the name of the offended goddess:

ἐπεὶ δὲ καὶ πικροῦ χείματος ἄλλο μῆχαρ βριθύτερον πρόμοισιν
Iambic Pherecratic Pherecratic
μάντις ἔκλαγξεν προφέρων Ἀρτεμιν . . .
Choriambic

¹ Cf. Soph. *O.T.* 1332 *ἐπαίσει δ' αὐτόχειρ νιν οὔτις ἄλλ' ἐγὼ τλάμων.*

But the cure is worse than the disease; no sooner is it prescribed than we hear the music of lamentation:

ὥστε χθόνα βάκτροις ἐπικρούσαντας Ἀτρείδας

Shift¹ Ionic a minore

δάκρυ μὴ κατασχεῖν.

Cadence²

Surely this is a remarkable composition. We hear in the rhythm the storm-bound ships straining at their anchors, we feel the spirit of despondency which oppresses the crews that man them. Suddenly the ships are released, and our spirits rise—but only to fall away into weeping and lamentation.

What of the antistrophe? It will, I think, show the art of strophic composition at its height: Aeschylus has mastered the difficulty which gave him trouble in *The Suppliants*.

(215-37)³

ἄναξ δ' ὁ πρέσβυς τόδ' εἶπε φωνῶν·

βαρεῖα μὲν κῆρ τὸ μὴ πιθέσθαι,

βαρεῖα δ' εἰ

τέκνον δαΐξω, δόμων ἄγαλμα,

μιαίνων παρθενοσφάγοισιν

ῥοαῖς πατρώους χέρας πέλας βωμοῦ.

The straining effect being no longer required, the dochmiacs are unbroken: instead, they are insistent in their monotony. Agamemnon cannot make up his mind, and finally breaks down, overcome at the thought of the terrible decision that lies before him.

τί τῶνδ' ἄνευ κακῶν;

He is moving towards a decision: which will it be? Again, the

¹ If we looked only to the metrical pattern, we might take this phrase (212-3) like the last as choriambic: but the words are so divided that they demand, to my ear, to be taken as Ionic a minore.

² Cf. above 147 (p. 105) μαλερῶν λεόντων.

³ 220 ῥοαῖς Schoemann: ῥείθροις h. 221 πέλας βωμοῦ Blomfield: βωμοῦ πέλας (the more usual order: cf. Eur. *I. A.* 1426, *Andr.* 1157, *Held.* 73 βωμοῦ πέλας).

rhythm quickens; and he asserts that to betray his political allies is impossible, to shed his daughter's blood is—right!

πῶς λιπόναις γένωμαι, ξυμμαχίας ἁμαρτῶν;
 παυσανέμου γὰρ θυσίας παρθενίου θ' αἵματος ὀργᾶ
 περιοργῶς ἐπιθυμῆν θέμις· εὐ γὰρ εἶη.

The next strophe returns to dochmiac, now more sinister than ever—an ominous comment on the king's decision:

ἐπεὶ δ' ἀνάγκας ἔδν λέπαδνον
φρενὸς πνέων δυσσεβῆ τροπαίαν
 ἄναγνον, ἀνίερν . . .

Another climax: the resolved iambic suggests a fourth paeon (ἀνίερν ὤυυυ). This is the second time we have heard that rhythm.

ἄναγνον, ἀνίερν, τόθεν
 τὸ παντότολμον φρονεῖν μετέγνω.
 βροτοὺς θρασύνει γὰρ αἰσχρόμητις
τάλαινα παρακοπὰ πρωτοπήμων.

ἀνίερν—παρακοπά: the dramatic significance of this fourth paeon is becoming clear. It is something to do with the heaven-sent madness which drives the man with blood on his hands to his own undoing.

ἔτλα δ' οὖν θυτῆρ γενέσθαι θυγατρός, γυναικοποιόνων
 Bacchius Dochmiac Anacreontic
πολέμων ἄρωγὰν καὶ προτέλεια ναῶν.
 Shift Pherecratic

Again an Anacreontic—for Iphigeneia and Helen.

The last strophe, describing the sacrifice of Iphigeneia, is in dochmiac throughout except for the Pherecratic close—hence it marks a climax in the rhythm as well as in the narrative. The predominant phrase is still υυυυ—υυυυ— which has been heard with increasing frequency from the time of its introduction under the guise of epitrite among the dactyls of the opening strophe. But we also hear, for the first time, a second variety of the same rhythm: υυ—υυ (248 ἀναύδω μένει).

The second stasimon (379-480) begins by taking up the dochmiac climax of the first, thus leading us to suppose that this movement will be developed still further. In addition to $\cup\cup\cup\cup-$ $\cup\cup\cup\cup-$ we hear $\cup\cup-\cup\cup$ $\cup\cup\cup\cup-$, $\cup\cup-\cup\cup$ $\cup\cup\cup\cup-$ and other combinations of these elements. Towards the end of the first strophe (387-91) we pass through trochaic to Pherecratic, in preparation for the Aeolian refrain¹. The second strophe, also dochmiac, demands no comment, except perhaps for the concluding phrase (424):

φάσμα δόξει δόμων ἀνάσσειν.

This is dochmiac lopped, as it were, of its initial syllable ($\cup\cup\cup-$ $\cup\cup\cup\cup-$ instead of $\cup\cup\cup\cup-$ $\cup\cup\cup\cup-$), so as to suggest trochaic ($\cup\cup\cup\cup\cup\cup-$), in anticipation of the trochaic movement of the third strophe (445-51):

ὁ χρυσαμοιβὸς δ' Ἄρης σωμάτων

Dochmiac

καὶ ταλαντοῦχος ἐν μίχῃ δορὸς πυρωθὲν ἐξ Ἴλίου

Trochaic

Dochmiac

φίλοισι πέμπει βαρὺ ψῆγμα δυσδάκρυτον ἀν-

Dochmiac

Trochaic

τήνορος σποδοῦ γεμίζων λέβητας εὐθέτους.

(*contd.*)

At this point the rhythm shifts by anacrusis to iambic:

στένουσι δ' εὖ λέγοντες ἄνδρα τὸν μὲν ὡς μάχης ἴδρις,

τὸν δ' ἐν φοναῖς καλῶς πεσόντ'—

And then another shift which brings us, as we think of the woman who is the cause of all this bloodshed, to Anacreontic:

ἀλλοτρίας διαὶ γυναικός, τάδε σῖγά τις βαύζει,

Anacreontic

φθουερὸν δ' ὑπ' ἄλγος ἔρπει προδίκους Ἀτρείδαις.

Anacreontic

Cadence

The first stasimon worked slow dochmiac up to a climax, the second has maintained it as the predominant rhythm, the third and fourth will carry it still further.

¹ See above, p. 26.

The third stasimon (686–773) begins, gravely, in trochaic (686–701)¹:

τίς ποτ' ὠνόμαζεν ᾧδ' ἐς τὸ πᾶν ἐτητύμως·
μήτις ὄντιν' οὐχ ὀρώμεν . . .

The third phrase has a weak ending. Having thrown out this hint of developments to come, the poet brings us back to the phrases with which the strophe began by making the last syllable of the third phrase do duty as the first of the next—an equally well-known trochaic rhythm:

μήτις ὄντιν' οὐχ ὀρώμεν προνοίαισι τοῦ πεπρωμένου
γλώσσαν ἐν τύχῃ νέμων; τὰν δορίγαμβρον ἀμφινεικῆ θ'

That last phrase marks a further advance: the weak ending is repeated, and a dactyl is substituted for a trochee in the first foot. It prepares us for the sequel. Repeat it without its initial syllable, and we get an Anacreontic just in time to accompany the first mention of Helen's name in the play:

τὰν δορίγαμβρον ἀμφινεικῆ θ' Ἑλέναν; ἐπεὶ πρεπόντως
Anacreontic

Having thus passed from trochaic into Anacreontic, the rhythm undergoes another metamorphosis.

Ἑλένας ἔλανδρος . . .

Another Anacreontic, you will say. But no: with the next word *ἑλέπτολις* we pass to Ionic a minore, the rhythm associated with the luxury (*ἀβρότης*) of Asia:

Ἑλένας ἔλανδρος ἐλέπτολις ἐκ τῶν ἀβροτίμων
Shift Ionic a minore

And then back to Anacreontic. Helen is wafted over the sea by the wind whose child was Love:

προκαλυμμάτων ἔπλευσεν Ζεφύρου γίγαντος αὔρα,

¹ This account of 686–717 is largely based on Headlam, *J. H. S.* vol. xxii, pp. 221–3.

The Greeks speed after her:

πολύανδροί τε φεράσπιδες κυναγοὶ κατ' ἵχνος πλατᾶν ἄφαντον

Echo

Then the rhythm slows down again as they beach their ships at Troy:

κελσάντων Σιμόεντος ἀκτὰς ἐπ' ἀξιφύλλους

Aeolian couplet¹

With this phrase—an effective final cadence—the strophe might have ended. Hence, if more is to follow, it is likely to be emphatic. We have yet to hear a horrified whisper:

δι' ἔριν αἱματόεσσαν.

It is another Pherecratic, but the resolution of the first foot suggests a fourth paeon (—υ—υ—). The thought is of bloodshed.

The antistrophe deserves attention, because it does not exactly correspond. This may be a sign of textual corruption. If so, it is the only sign; and before trying to emend, we should consider whether the lack of correspondence may not be intentional. That, I believe, is the explanation here.

As before, we proceed from trochaic to Anacreontic. Then instead of:

ἔλενας ἔλανδρος ἐλέπτολις ἐκ τῶν ἀβροτίμων

Shift

Ionic a minore

we find (709–10):

ὑμέναιον, ὃς τότε ἐπέρρεπεν γαμβροῖσιν αἰδεῖν.

This is an Aeolian couplet, with overlap of one syllable, and, if Ionic a minore is appropriate to the sense of the first passage, the Aeolian couplet is no less appropriate to the sense of the second: 'Υμῆν ὦ ὑμέναι' 'Υμῆν, 'Υμῆν ὦ ὑμέναι' ὦ. It would be easy enough to alter ἐπέρρεπεν into ἐπέρρεπε, but to do so would be to spoil a beautiful effect. And our contention is

¹ This couplet moves slowly, partly because of the division of ἀκτὰς, and partly because of the ambiguity of κελσάντων: is it in rising rhythm (—υ—), like the phrases which precede, or in falling (υ—υ—), as the sequel shows? Euripides writes, in the same rhythm, ἤξει δὴ Σιμόεντα καὶ δῖνας ἀργυροειδεῖς (*I.A.* 751–2, p. 49) and σὺν Ἀγαμέμνονι Τρωῖτας ἐπὶ Σιμουνηίδος ἀκτὰς (*El.* 440–1).

supported by a later passage in the same antistrophe (715-6)¹, where the poet forgoes exact correspondence in order to re-echo this Aeolian rhythm :

τάμπροσθ' ἢ πολύθρηνον αἰῶν' ἀμφὶ πολιτᾶν

Lastly, the antistrophe ends with the same ominous whisper as the strophe, again coupling the hint of a fourth paeon with the thought of bloodshed :

μέλεον αἶμ' ἀνατλάσα.

The second strophe (718-27) begins by taking up this Aeolian rhythm :

ἔθρεψεν δὲ λέοντος ἴνιν δόμοις ἀγάλακτα βού-

Glyconic Glyconic

τας ἀνὴρ φιλόμαστον,

Pherecratic

The first of these two Glyconics is anaclastic: ἔθρεψεν. We remember how the same device was used by Pindar in his first Olympian (ἄριστον) as an anticipation of Paeonic². We shall see that it has the same function here. Then follow three prosodiacs—their dactylic movement is lively and cheerful :

ἐν βίτου προτελείοις ἄμερον εὐφιλόπαιδα καὶ γεραροῖς ἐπί-
χαρτον.

Then, more slowly, trochaic, with two resolutions suggesting the fourth paeon again :

πολέα δ' ἔσχ' ἐν ἀγκάλαις νεοτρόφου τέκνου δίκαν

And finally we return to the opening subject :

φαιδρωπὸν ποτὶ χεῖρα σαίνοντα γαστρὸς ἀνάγκαις.

Aeolian couplet

The third strophe reintroduces dochmiac (738-48)³ :

πάραυτα δ' ἐλθεῖν ἐς Ἴλίου πόλιν

λέγοιμ' ἂν φρόνημα μὲν νηνέμου γαλάνας,

ἀκασκαῖον δ' ἄγαλμα πλούτου.

¹ 715 τάμπροσθ' ἢ Headlam: παμπροσθῆ.

² p. 73.

³ 740 δ' add. Porson.

I have analysed the last but one of these phrases as a bacchius followed by two slow dochmiacs. It might be taken equally well as follows:

λέγοιμ' ἄν φρόνημα μὲν νηνέμου γαλάνας

In other words, the phrase contains a hint of falling rhythm (*νηνέμου γαλάνας*), and by this means we are prepared for the next development:

μαλθακὸν ὀμμαίων βέλος, δηξίθυμον ἔρωτος ἄνθος.

Glyconic

Decasyllable

The decasyllable is introduced for the sake of its weak ending, which anticipates a further change of rhythm:

παρακλίνας' ἐπέκρανεν δὲ γάμου πικρὰς τελευτάς,

Ionic a minore

Anacreontic

δύσεδρος καὶ δυσόμιλος συμένα Πριάμίδαισιν,

Ionic a minore

πομπᾶ Διὸς ξενίου νυμφόκλαυτος Ἑρινύς.

Aeol. tripod

Pherocratic

Ionic a minore for the misery brought about by that fateful wedding, Anacreontic for the bride—Helen. And then, through a shift by anacrusis, we are brought back to our Pherocratic cadence.

The third strophe introduces the climax of the ode (760-6)¹, and again the rhythm is dochmiac:

φιλεῖ δὲ τίκτειν ὕβρις μὲν παλαιὰ νεάζουσιν ἐν κακοῖς βροτῶν

Slow dochmiac

Bacchii

Iambic

ὕβριν τότε ἦ τόθ' ὅτε τὸ κύριον μόλη,

Iambic

These bacchii and iambi hold us in suspense: the resolution *ὅτε τὸ κύριον* (ύύύύύύ quick dochmiac) marks an advance and leads directly to the climax:

βαθύσκοτον δαίμονα τίταν ἄμαχον ἀπόλεμον,

Slow dochmiac

4th paeon

Quick dochmiac (resolved)

ἀνίερων θράσος . . .

Quick dochmiac

¹ 763 *βαθύσκοτον* Maehly. *τίταν* Heimsoeth: *νεαρά φάους κότον, δαίμονα τε τὸν,*

In the second, we think of the dangers attendant on excessive prosperity, and the rhythm is appropriately Ionic a minore (990–1003)¹:

μάλα γάρ τοι τᾶς πολλᾶς ὑγιείας ἀκόρεστον

Ionic a minore

τέρμα· νόσος γὰρ αἰὲν βιοτὰν ὁμότοιχος ἐρείδει

Dactylic, echoed from str. 1

καὶ πότμος εὐθυπορῶν ἀνδρὸς ἔπαισεν ἄφνω

Prosodiacs

δυστυχίας πρὸς ἄφαντον ἔρμα.

Aeolian decasyllable

If only a man will exercise moderation, all will be well :

καὶ τὸ μὲν πρὸ χρημάτων κτησίῳν ὄκνος βαλὼν

Trochaic, from str. 1

σφενδόνας ἀπ' εὐμέτρου,

οὐκ ἔδυν πρόπας δόμος, πημονᾶς γέμων ἄγαν,

οὐδ' ἐπόντισε σκάφος.

As we think of the happiness of the 'modest competence,' we become almost cheerful²:

πολλά τοι δόσις ἐκ Διὸς ἀμφιλαφῆς τε καὶ ἐξ ἀλόκων ἐπετειᾶν

Dactylic

νήστιν ὤλεσεν νόσον.

Trochaic

Suddenly, we recall the situation. Again, that whisper of horror at the thought of bloodshed (1004–6)³:

τὸ δ' ἐπὶ γᾶν πεσὸν ἄπαξ θανάσιμον προπάρειθ' ἀνδρὸς μέλαν

Fourth paeons

αἷμα....

This is why we heard those fourth paeons in the third stasimon : δι' ἔριν αἱματόεσσαν, μέλεον αἶμ' ἀνατλάσα. And from

¹ 991 *δει* suppl. Blomfield. 992 *βιοτὰν* sugg. Wecklein: *γελτων*. 994 *ἄφνω* *δυστυχίας πρὸς* suppl. Headlam.

² We are reminded how slow trochaic broke similarly into cheerful dactylic at the mention of Zeus in vv. 170–185: see above pp. 106–107.

³ 1004 *πεσὸν* Auratus: *πεσόνθ'*. 1005 *προπάρειθ'* h: *πρόπαρ*.

now on we shall have little respite from this rhythm till we have heard the Furies cry: ἐπὶ δὲ τῷ τεθυμένῳ τόδε μέλος, παραφορά, παρακοπὰ φρενοδαλῆς.

It is a striking climax, and I suggest that the violation of antistrophic correspondence, to which it owes not a little of its effect, was the work of the poet, not of his mediaeval copyists. Hence to seek to emend v. 990 into conformity with v. 1004, as Headlam and Wecklein have done, is a pity; and when Verrall, more cautiously, observes that "the rhythm of 990, as compared with 1004, is, or appears to be, exceptional and unsatisfactory,"¹ we may reply with some confidence that its unsatisfactoriness is only apparent, while its exceptional character is real and deliberate.

The tragedy is brought to its climax—the murder of Agamemnon—by the prophetic utterances of Cassandra; and the rhythm of that scene is quick dochmiac almost throughout, reaching its culmination as the distracted girl sees the Furies exulting over the downfall of the Atreidae (1101-7):

ἔ ἔ παπαῖ παπαῖ, τί τόδε φαίνεται; ἢ δίκτυόν τί γ' Αἴδου;
Quick dochmiac Iambic

ἀλλ' ἄρκυς ἢ ξύνευνος, ἢ ξυναιτία
Iambic

φόνου. στάσις δ' ἀκόρετος γένει κατολολυξάτω
Quick dochmiac

θύματος λευσίμου.
Cretic

Agamemnon and Cassandra lie dead. The Elders, still intensely excited, reproach the murderess in the same rhythm (1406-7):

τί κακόν, ὦ γύναι, χθονοτρεφὲς ἐδανὸν ἢ ποτὸν
πασαμένα ῥυτᾶς ἐξ ἀλὸς ὄρμενον

Clytemnestra, standing over the bodies of her victims, replies

¹ Verrall, *Agamemnon*, p. 234.

undaunted in iambs. The excitement of the Elders begins to subside, and dochmiac gives place to Aeolian (1449–55)¹:

φεῦ τίς ἂν ἐν τάχει μὴ περιώδυνος μηδὲ δεμνιοτήρης,
Aeolian tripodies Pherocratic

Aeolian decasyllable

μόλοι τὸν αἰεὶ φέρουσ' ὀμιλεῖν Μοῖρ' ἀτέλευτον ὕπνον δαμέντος
Dochmiacs

φύλακος εὐμενεστάτου καὶ πολέα τλάντος γυναικὸς διαί;
4th paeon Slow dochmiac 4th paeon Cretics

πρὸς γυναικὸς δ' ἀπέφθισεν βίον.
Trochaic

The second strophe (1482–9)² is similar in effect:

ἦ μέγαν ἦ μέγαν οἴκοις δαίμονα καὶ βαρύμηνιν αἰνεῖς,
Prosodiac Aeolian decasyllable

φεῦ φεῦ κακὸν αἶνον ἀτηρᾶς τύχας ἀκορέστου·
Pherocratic Pherocratic

ἰὼ ἰή, διαὶ Διὸς παναιτίου πανεργέτα·
Iambic

τί γὰρ βροτοῖς ἄνευ Διὸς τελεῖται;
Iambic Dochmiac

τί τῶνδ' οὐ θεόκραντόν ἐστιν;
Pherocratic
Bacchius

Dochmiac is receding into the background; but it will assert itself once more before the play is over. "Iphigeneia," cries Clytemnestra, "was murdered by Agamemnon, and I have murdered him!" The Elders reply, at first in subdued tones (1532–8)³:

ἀμηχανῶ φροντίδος στερηθεῖς εὐπάλαμον μέριμναν
Slow dochmiac Pherocratic

ὅπα τράπωμαι πίτνοντος οἴκου.
Slow dochmiac

δέδοικα δ' ὄμβρου κτύπον δομοσφαλῆ
Slow dochmiac Iambic

¹ 1451 ὀμιλεῖν Headlam: ἐν ἡμῖν. 1454 πολέα Haupt: πολλά.

² 1482 ἦ μέγαν suppl. Headlam.

³ 1537–8 So Headlam: Δικᾶ...θήγει...θηγάναις.

τὸν αἵματηρόν· ψεκὰς δὲ λήγει.

Slow dochmiac

Δίκα δ' ἐπ' ἄλλο πρᾶγμα θήγεται βλάβης

Iambic

πρὸς ἄλλαις θηγάναισι Μοῖρα.

Slow dochmiac

Then more emphatically, in the antistrophe:

φέρει φέροντ', ἐκτίνει δ' ὁ καίνων·

μίμνει δὲ μίμνοντος ἐν θρόνῳ Διὸς

παθεῖν τὸν ἔρξαντα· θέσμιον γάρ.

We are moving towards the last climax of the play, when, in the altercation with Aegisthus, the name of Orestes will ring out, in much the same way as the Siegfried motive rings out at the end of *Die Walküre*. The law of vengeance which has destroyed Agamemnon shall destroy Clytemnestra too. Blood calls for blood: the first round in this cycle of sin and punishment is over, the second is about to begin. Surely it is not an accident that at the end of the lyrical portion of the play that slow dochmiac rhythm, which marked the first step towards the musical climax, is revived. Our ears, as well as our sense of drama, are led to expect a similar movement rising to a similar climax. Both will be satisfied in the *Choephoroe*.

* * *

The night is over; and Clytemnestra has had bad dreams. The dead are angry, she fears, and so she sends her serving-maids—captives from the sack of Troy—to her murdered husband's tomb with placatory offerings. They obey her as slave obeys master—by compulsion. Their goodwill is reserved for the Avenger, when he comes.

They step down from the palace-doors dancing to iambic rhythm, largely resolved¹: they are performing a dirge, rending their veils and beating their breasts.

¹ The appropriate rhythm: see p. 88.

Str. 1 (22--31)¹ιαλτὸς ἐκ δόμων ἔβην χοὰς προπομπὸς ὀξύχειρι σὺν κόπῳ.

Iambic Iambic

πρέπει παρῆσι φοινίαις ἀμυγμός / ὄνυχος ἄλοκι νεοτόμῳ.

Iambic Trochaic resolved

δι' αἰῶνος δ' ἰνυμοῖσι βόσκεται κέαρ.

Bacch. Trochaic

λινοφθόροι δ' ὑφασμάτων λακίδες ἔφλαδον ὑπ' ἄλγεσιν

Iambic Iambic

πρόστερνοι στολμοὶ πέπλων ἀγελάστοις

Spondaic

ξυμφοραῖς πεπληγμένων.

Trochaic

Iambic shifts by anacrusis to trochaic. In the middle we hear a suggestion of Paeonic rhythm—a bacchius. Then we return to iambic, and through spondaic to trochaic again.

The second and third strophes develop this suggestion of Paeonic:

Str. 2 (42--52)

(Dochmiac)

τοιάνδε χάριν ἀχάριτον ἀπότροπον κακῶν,

Iambic

ἰὼ γαῖα μαῖα, μωμένα μ' ἰάλλει

Shift Trochaic

(Dochmiac)

δύσθεος γυνά· φοβοῦμαι δ' ἔπος τόδ' ἐκβαλεῖν.

Trochaic Trochaic

τί γὰρ λύτρον πεσόντος αἵματος πέδῳ;

Iambic

ἰὼ πάνοιζυς ἐστία, ἰὼ κατασκαφαὶ δόμων.

Iambic Iambic

ἀνήλιοι βροτοστυγεῖς δνόφοι καλύπτουσι δομοὺςIambic Shift²δεσποτῶν θανάτοιςι.

Pherocratic

¹ 24 παρῆσι Hermann, φοινίαις ἀμυγμός Conington: παρῆσι φοίνισσ' ἀμυγμοῖς.

² See above, p. 25.

Str. 3 (64-8)¹δι' αἵματ' ἐκποθένθ' ὑπὸ χθονὸς τροφού

Iambic

τίτας φόνος πέπηγεν οὐ διαρρύδαν.

Iambic

αιανῆς ἄτα διαφέρει νόσου παναρκοῦς τὸν αἴτιον βρύειν.

Spondaic

Paeon

Dochmiac

Iambic

The epode at the end of the stasimon marks the first climax—slow dochmiac.

Er. (74-82)²ἐμοὶ δ' (ἀνάγκαν γὰρ ἀμφίπτολιν θεοὶ προσήνεγκαν· ἐκ γὰρ

Dochmiacs

οἴκων

(contd.)

πατρῶων δμῶον ἄγον αἴσαν) δίκαια καὶ μὴ πρέποντ' ἀπ' ἀρχᾶςβία φρενῶν αἰνέσαι, πικρὸν στύγος κρατούση.δακρύω δ' ὑφ' εἰμάτων ματαίοισι δεσπότην τύχαις, κρυφαίοις

Trochaic

Dochmiac

πένθεσιν παχνουμένη.

Trochaic

At Electra's request, the captives sing a "Paeon for the dead." They still use the same resolved iambic, but with it they mingle unmistakable hints of quick dochmiac (152-63)³:

ἴετε δάκρυ καναχῆς ὀλόμενον ὀλομένῳ δεσπότη,

Trochaic resolved

4th paeon + Cretic

πρὸς ἔρυμα τόδε κακῶν κεδνῶν τ' ἀπότροπον ἄγος ἀπεύχετον,

Iambic

Iambic

κεχυμένων χοᾶν. κλύε δέ μοι, σέβας,

Quick dochmiac

Quick dochmiac

¹ 64 ἐκποθένθ' Schütz: ἐκπυθεν. 66-8 So Headlam: διαλγῆς ἄτη διαφέρει τὸν αἴτιον παναρκέτας νόσου βρύειν.

² 76 I suggest δμῶον ἄγον provisionally for the unrhythmical δούλιον ἐς ἄγον. 78 ἀπ' ἀρχᾶς Headlam: ἀρχᾶς βίου. 79 So Headlam: φερομένων αἰνέσαι, πικρὸν φρενῶν.

³ 155-6 σέβας, κλύ' Bamberger: κλύε σέβας. 159 ἔτω τις Bothe: ἰώ τις. 161 ἐργῳ Headlam: ἐν ἐργῳ βέλη. 162 ἀρῆς Headlam: Ἄρης.

κλύ', ὦ δέσποτ', ἐξ ἀμαυρᾶς φρενός. ὄτοτοτοτοτοτοτοτοῖ.

Slow dochmiac Slow dochmiac

ἴτω τις δορυσθενῆς ἀνὴρ ἀναλυτῆρ δόμων Σκυθικά τ' ἐν χεροῖν

Slow dochmiac Quick dochmiac Quick dochmiac

παλίντον' ἔργω' πιπάλλων ἀρῆς

Slow dochmiac Slow dochmiac

σχέδιά τ' αὐτόκωπα νωμῶν βέλη.

Quick dochmiac Slow dochmiac

That is the first movement in the musical design of the *Choephoroe*: the first stasimon culminated in slow dochmiac, and now we have quick dochmiac. The second movement will repeat these two developments with redoubled effect.

We now come to the central piece in the musical design—the joint prayers of Orestes, Electra and the Trojan captives at the tomb of Agamemnon (305–476). It is a dirge; and, as usual in Greek dirges, the mourners are divided into 'leaders' (οἱ ἐξάρχοντες) and chorus. In the dirge for Hector in the last book of the *Iliad*¹ Andromache, Hecuba and Helen are the leaders, and Trojan women are the chorus:

παρά δ' εἶσαν ἀοιδούς

θρήνων ἐξάρχους, οἳ τε στονόεσσαν ἀοιδὴν

οἱ μὲν δὴ θρήνεον, ἐπὶ δὲ στενάχοντο γυναῖκες².

The Homeric dirge is arranged as follows:

Andromache: Hector (thrice)

ἐπὶ δὲ στενάχοντο γυναῖκες (*Chorus*).

Hecuba: Hector!

γόνυ δ' ἀλίσστον ὄρινε (*Chorus*).

Helen: Hector!

ἐπὶ δὲ στένε δῆμος ἀπείρων (*Chorus*).

The arrangement of the Aeschylean dirge is rather more elaborate. The leaders are only two—Orestes and Electra.

Anapaests: Δίκη μέγ' ἀντεῖ.

Or. O Father.

Cho. The dead.

El. O Father.

¹ Hom. *Il.* xxiv, 718–76.

² *Ibid.* 720–2.

Anapaests: *παιὰν ἐν μελάθροισ.*

Or. Troy.

Cho. The dead.

El. Troy.

Anapaests: *διπλῆς μαράγνης δούπος ἰκνεῖται.*

Or. Zeus.

Cho. Revenge!

El. Zeus.

Anapaests: *βοᾶ λαιγὸς Ἐρινύν.*

Or. The survivors of the house.

Cho. Apprehension.

El. Sufferings of the survivors.

Cho. Renewal of dirge.

El. A.'s dishonoured burial.

Or. Revenge!

Cho. Mutilation of the body.

El. My own sufferings.

Or. El. Cho. O Father!

Or. El. Cho. Δίκη.

Cho. Apprehension.

The brother and sister begin by lamenting their father's death: the Trojan women urge them to pray also for revenge. They obey, but now the Trojans begin to lose heart, disquieted by fears for the future (409-13). Reminding themselves, however, of the horror of Clytemnestra's crime, they renew the dirge with added vigour (422-7), and the same thought drives Orestes and Electra to pray still more passionately for revenge. The fears of the Chorus return, and finally, overcome with apprehension, they drop out altogether, leaving brother and sister to finish their invocation in iambic dialogue.

Thus the poem falls into two parts. In the first (305-421) the Chorus take the lead, in the second (422-76) they lose confidence. In the first, the brother and sister are slow in framing the vengeful prayer which the Trojan women dictate

to them, in the second they pray for vengeance with the greatest vehemence.

Orestes begins the invocation, gently, in Aeolian:

Str. 1 (314-21)¹

<u>ὦ πάτερ αἰνόπατερ, τί σοι φάμενος ἢ τί ρέξας</u>	
Aeolian enneasyllable	Pherecratic
<u>τύχοιμ' ἄν τόθεν οὐρίσας, ἔνθα σ' ἔχουσιν εὐναί;</u>	
Anacl. Glyconic	Pherecratic
<u>σκότῳ φάος ἀντίμοιρον· χάριτες δ' ὁμοίως</u>	
Pherecratic with anacr.	Echo
<u>κέκληνται γόος εὐκλεῆς προσθοδόμοις Ἀτρείδαις.</u>	
Anacl. Glyconic	Pherecratic

The shift to rising rhythm in the middle (*χάριτες δ' ὁμοίως*) anticipates the movement of the second strophe, which introduces Anacreontic—a rhythm associated, like the kindred Ionic a minore, with lamentation²:

Str. 2 (322-30)

<u>τέκνον, φρόνημα τοῦ θανόντος οὐ δαμάζει</u>	
Iambic	Iambic
<u>πυρὸς μαλερὰ γνάθος, φαίνει δ' ὕστερον ὀργάς·</u>	
Shift	Pherecratic
<u>ὀτοτύζεται δ' ὁ θνήσκων, ἀναφαίνεται δ' ὁ βλάπτων.</u>	
Anacreontic	Anacreontic
<u>πατέρων τε καὶ τεκόντων γόος ἔνδικος ματεύει</u>	
Anacreontic	Anacreontic
Shift	
<u>τὸ πᾶν ἀμφιλαφῆς ταραχθεῖς.</u>	
	Pherecratic

Notice how the shift from rising rhythm back to Pherecratic is made an opportunity of introducing a touch of Paeonic. This is developed in the third strophe, which, while still mainly Aeolian, introduces slow dochmiac:

¹ 316 ἄν τόθεν or σ' ἕκαθεν Headlam: ἄν ἕκαθεν.

² For Anacreontic with the idea of lamentation, cf. Aesch. *P. V.* 413-21, Soph. *Phil.* 1176-7, Eur. *Alc.* 398. It is a recurrent theme in the *Philoctetes* (136, 687-90, 711, 856, 1140, 1145, 1176-7): cf. *Ant.* 583, 622, 791-2, 839-40.

Str. 3 (344-52)¹

εἰ γὰρ ὑπ' Ἰλίῳ πρὸς τινος Λυκίων, πάτερ,

(A) Aeol. tripod Glyconic

δορίμητος κατηναρίσθης, λιπὼν ἄν εὐκλειαν ἐν δόμοισιν

Slow dochmiac Slow dochmiac

τέκνων τ' ἐν κελεύθοις ἐπίστρεπτον αἰῶ

Bacchii

κτίσας πολύχωστον ἄν εἶχες τάφον διαποντίου γᾶς

Dactylic with anacrusis (A) Pherecratic with anacr.

δώμασιν εὐφόρητον.

Pherecratic

Orestes appeals to Zeus. Accordingly, his Aeolian is mixed with prosodiac, anticipated by the dactylic phrase in the last strophe:

Str. 4 (379-84)²

τοῦτο διαμπερέως ἴκεθ' ἅπερ τι βέλος. Ζεῦ, Ζεῦ κάτωθεν ἰάλλων

Prosodiac Prosodiac Pherecratic

ὑστερόποινον ἄταν

Pherecratic

Bacchius

βροτῶν τλήμονι καὶ πανούργῳ χειρὶ τοκεῦσι δ' ὅμως τελεῖται.

Pherecratic Decasyllable

In the fifth strophe the excitement of the captives is at its height, as is indicated by the abrupt changes of rhythm and by a touch of choriambic:

Str. 5 (385-92)³

ἐφυμνήσαι γένοιτό μοι πευκήεντ' ὀλολυγμὸν ἀνδρὸς

Dochmiac Aeolian decasyllable

θεινομένου, γυναικὸς τ' ὀλλυμένας· τί γὰρ κεύ-

Pherecratic Pherecratic

θω φρέν' ὃ σείον ἔμπας ποτᾶται; πάροιθεν δὲ πρῶρας

Pherecratic Bacchii

δριμὺς ἄηται κραδίας θυμός, ἔγκοτον στύγος.

Choriambic Trochaic

¹ 350 κτίσας Headlam: κτίσας.

² 379 διαμπερέως Headlam: διαμπερές οὐς. 381 ἰάλλων Emperius: ἀμπέμων.

³ 389 φρέν' ὃ σείον Headlam: φρενὸς θεῖον.

Orestes now takes the lead, and develops the dochmiac theme introduced in the third strophe:

Str. 6 (404-8)¹

ποποῖ δᾶ, νερτέρων τυραννίδες

Slow dochmiac

ἴδετε πολυκρατεῖς ἄραϊ τεθυμένων,

Quick dochmiac

ἴδεσθ' Ἀτρειδᾶν τὰ λοιπ' ἀμηχάνως

Slow dochmiac

ἔχοντα και δωμάτων ἄτιμα. πᾶ τις τράποιτ' ἄν, ὦ Ζεῦ;

Slow dochmiac

With this dochmiac climax the first part of the invocation comes to an end. The Chorus resumes the dirge in resolved iambic with an admixture of Paeonic (422-7); and with the next utterance of Orestes slow dochmiac returns, now more insistent than ever:

Str. 8 (433-7)

τὸ πᾶν ἀτίμως ἔλεξας; οἴμοι. πατρὸς δ' ἀτίμωςιν ἄρα τίσει

Slow dochmiacs

ἑκατι μεν δαιμόνων, ἑκατι δ' ἀμᾶν χερῶν.

Slow dochmiacs

ἔπειτ' ἐγὼ νοσφίσας ὀλοίμαν.

Slow dochmiacs

And the same rhythm is maintained till we reach the climax of the dirge. Brother and sister are crying out for their mother's blood:

Str. 9 (454-8)

Ὅρ. σέ τοι λέγω, ξυγγενοῦ, πάτερ. φίλοις.

Ἥλ. ἐγὼ δ' ἐπιφθέγγομαι κεκλαυμένα.

Χο. στάσις δὲ πάγκοινος ἥδ' ἐπιρροθεῖ,

ἄκουσον ἐς φάος μολών, ξὺν δὲ γενοῦ πρὸς ἐχθρούς.

Iambic

Pherocratic

That last Pherocratic—we have not heard this phrase in the

¹ 404 ποποῖ δᾶ Headlam: ποῖ ποῖ δᾶ. 405 τεθυμένων Hermann: φθιμένων.

last three strophes—brings us to the conclusion. The Trojan captives are no longer inciting their masters to pray for vengeance, they are weeping for the sorrows of the house of Atreus, past, present and to come, and return to the Aeolian rhythms with which the scene began:

Str. 10 (464–8)

ὦ πόνος ἐγγενῆς καὶ παράμουςος ἄτης αἱματόεσσα πλαγά.
 Aeolian tripod Pherecratic Pherecratic
ἰὼ δύστον ἄφερτα κήδη· ἰὼ δυσκατάπαυστον ἄλγος.
 Pherecratic Pherecratic

There are two more stasima before the crisis of the play, and both are composed mainly in that trochaic rhythm which was used for the same purpose in the *Agamemnon* (686 and 966). The first (583–648) contains four strophes: strophe 1 has trochaic and dactylic for its two subjects, and they are arranged in the same manner as *Agamemnon* 170–85 (A–B–A); strophe 2 introduces Aeolian; and strophes 3 and 4 bring us back to slow dochmiac. The second (779–836) marks a further advance. Orestes has entered the palace, the hour of vengeance is come, and the Trojan captives pray that his enterprise may prosper.

Str. 1 (779–84)¹

νῦν παραιτούμενα μοι, πάτερ Ζεῦ θεῶν Ὀλυμπίων,
 (A) Cretic Trochaic
δὸς τύχας· τυχεῖν δέ μου κυρίως τὸ σωφρονεῖν
 Trochaic Trochaic
μαιομένοις ἰδεῖν. διὰ δίκας πᾶν ἔπος/ἔλακον. ὦ
 (B) Quick dochmi. 4th paeon Cretic 4th paeon
Ζεῦ, σύ νιν φυλάσσοις.
 (A) Trochaic

Then follows a refrain in which the captives continue their prayer in Ionic a minore—the rhythm of lamentation,—and in

¹ 782 τὸ σωφρονεῖν Headlam: τὰ σωφροσυνεν. 783 διὰ δίκας Pauw: διαδικᾶσαι. 784 ἔλακον. ὦ Ζεῦ, σύ νιν Hermann: ἔλακον. Ζεῦ, σύ δέ νιν.

quick dochmiac—the rhythm which the *Agamemnon* has taught us to associate with bloodshed, and its price—suffering.

ἔ ἔ. πρὸ δὲ δὴ ἄχθρῶν τὸν ἔσωθεν μελάθρων, Ζεῦ,

Ionic a minore

θές, ἐπεὶ νιν μέγαν ἄρας, δίδυμα καὶ τριπλᾶ

Ionic a minore

Quick paeonic

παλίμποινα θέλων ἀμείψει.

Pherecratic

“And thou shalt exact payment twice, yea thrice over.” From Clytemnestra? or from Orestes?

The captives have forgotten, in the excitement of the moment, the fears for the future they expressed at the end of the invocation of the dead; but the rhythm in which they sing prevents the audience from forgetting. The refrain appended to the second strophe is no less significant (802-7)¹:

Pherecratic

τὸ δὲ καλῶς κτίμενον ὦ μέγα ναίων

4th paeon

4th paeon

στόμιον, εὖ δὸς ἀναδεῖν δόμον ἀνδρός,

καί νιν ἐλευθερίως λαμπρὸν ἰδεῖν φίλοις

Dactylic

Dactylic

ὄμμασιν ἐκ δνοφερᾶς καλύπτρας.

Aeolian decasyllable

Could anything be more untimely than the cheerfulness of those light dactylic and Aeolian phrases? Aeschylus is here strongly contrasting rhythm with sense—for dramatic effect.

The cries of Aegisthus are heard as Orestes puts him to death. Clytemnestra comes to the palace-door in alarm. Orestes follows her, and rejecting his mother's appeal for mercy drives her to her fate.

The Trojan captives are overjoyed, and they utter a cry of Alleluia! But they sing to the music of the Furies (934-7).

¹ 804 ἀναδεῖν Headlam: ἀνιδεῖν. 806 λαμπρὸν Ahrens: λαμπρῶς. 807 ὄμμασιν ἐκ Hermann: ὄμμασι.

just as Siegfried and Brunnhilde, all unconsciously, sing of their happiness to the tune of the curse which overhangs them:

ἔμολε μὲν Δίκη Πριαμίδαις χρόνῳ, βαρύδικος Ποινά·
ἔμολε δ' ἐς δόμον τὸν Ἀγαμέμνονος διπλοῦς λέων, διπλοῦς Ἄρης.

Here at last we have the theme of the Avengers of bloodshed—the rhythm which accompanied the wild utterances of Cassandra as she saw the fearful revellers at their feast. ‘Justice came in time to Priam, even so has Orestes brought justice to the house of Agamemnon.’ Therefore (941-4):

ἐπολολύξατ' ὦ δεσποσύνων δόμων
ἀναφυγὰς κακῶν καὶ κτεάνων τριβᾶς
ὑπὸ δυοῖν μιστόροιν, δυσοίμου τύχας.

Our analogy between the first and second plays of the trilogy is now complete: the musical structure of both has culminated in the rhythm which Aeschylus has consecrated to the unseen Avengers, who have made, then Clytemnestra, now Orestes, their instruments. In the third play of the trilogy these terrible divinities will appear before our eyes, and will themselves chant the fatal song which casts the spell of madness over their unhappy victim.

Awoken by the shade of Clytemnestra, one by one the Furies rise and step out of the semi-darkness of Apollo's shrine into the light of day. The rhythm is what we expect it to be (143-6):

ιοῦ ἰού, πύπαξ. ἐπάθομεν, φίλαι, . . .
ἐπάθομεν πάθος δυσαχές, ὦ πόποι,
ἄφερτον κακόν.

They begin their binding-song slowly in cretic and heavily protracted trochaic (322-8)¹. Then comes the refrain—the magic spell (329-34):

ἐπὶ δὲ τῷ τεθυμένῳ τόδε μέλος, παρακοπά, παραφορὰ φρενοδαλῆς

¹ See above, p. 16.

The music of the *Agamemnon* echoes in our ears (1004-5):

τὸ δ' ἐπὶ γᾶν πεσὸν ἄπαξ θανάσιμον προπάρειθ' ἀνδρὸς μέλαν
αἷμα . . .

Thus, the rhythm which marked the climax of the *Agamemnon* and the *Choephoroe* now marks the climax of the whole trilogy—the most tremendous scene in Greek tragedy; it created a panic in the theatre at the time, and was remembered long afterwards. When Sophocles and Euripides wished to allude to this episode in their own versions of the legend, they wisely refrained from attempting new effects, and contented themselves with recalling the old:

Soph. *El.* 1384-8

ἴδεθ' ὅπου προνέμεται τὸ δυσέριστον αἷμα φυσῶν Ἄρης.
βεβᾶσιν ἄρτι δωμαίων ὑπόστεγοι
μετάδρομοι κακῶν πανουργημάτων ἄφυκτοι κύνες.

Eur. *Or.* 316-23

αἰαῖ, δρομάδες ὦ πτεροφόροι ποτνιαδες θεαί,
ἀβάκχευτον αἰ θίασον ἐλάχετ' ἐν δάκρυσι καὶ γόοις,
μελάγχρωτες εὐμενίδες, αἴτε τὸν
ταναὸν αἰθέρ' ἀμπάλλεσθ', αἵματος
τινύμεναι δίκαν, τινύμεναι φόνον . . .

The dominant rhythm of the third stasimon is trochaic (493-568); and here again the *Eumenides* closely follows the two preceding plays. This is the rhythm used by the Argive elders to express their foreboding of Clytemnestra's vengeance, and by the Trojan captives as they awaited the vengeance of Orestes. Now we hear it a third time as the Furies await the verdict which is to decide whether or no they may take vengeance on Orestes.

Before the stasimon ends, slow dochmiac creeps in again (553-5):

ἐκὼν δ' ἀνάγκας ἄτερ δίκαιος ὦν οὐκ ἄνολβος ἔσται·
πανώλεθρος δ' οὔποτ' ἂν γένοιτο.

To what climax does it lead now? To the threat of the Furies that they will lay a heavy hand on the city which has dishonoured them; and as that threat is uttered, we hear the fourth paeon and a touch of quick dochmiac for the last time (783-96)¹:

ἐγὼ δ' ἄτιμος ἢ τάλαινα βαρύκοτος
 ἐν γὰ τᾶδε, φεῦ,
 ἰὼν ἰὼν ἀντιπενθῆ μεθεῖσα καρδίας σταλαγμὸν
 χθονὶ ἄφορον· ἐκ δὲ τοῦ λειχὴν ἄφυλλος ἄτεκνος,
 ἰὼ Δίκα, πέδον ἐπισύμενος
 βροτοφθόρους κηλίδας ἐν χώρα βαλεῖ.
 στενάζω; τί ῥέξω; γένωμαι δυσοίστα πολίταις;
ἔπαθον ὦ μεγάλα τοι Κόραι δυστυχεῖς Νυκτὸς ἀτιμοπενθεῖς.
 Pherecratic

And yet again, a little later (840-3):

ἐμὲ παθεῖν τᾶδε, φεῦ, ἐμὲ παλαιόφρονα, κατὰ τε γᾶν οἰκεῖν
ἀτίετον, φεῦ μύσος. πνέω τοι μένος ἅπαντά τε κότον.

Happily, their threat is not carried out. Instead, they accept the friendship of Athena and the honours of her beloved city. They are escorted to their new homes by a band of Athenian citizens, and for the last time we hear the cry of Alleluia!

ὀλολύξατε νῦν ἐπὶ μολπαῖς.

The full musical beauty of the *Oresteia* has perished beyond recall. However closely we may study the rhythm, the melody still eludes us. The fruit has withered, and we are left with the husk. But we can get a good deal of pleasure, even out of the husk.

¹ 794 ὦ: ἰὼ.

SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES.

1. Aeschylus, *Prometheus Vinc-tus*.

The lyrical design of this play is very simple—rather Sophoclean in manner,—compared with that of *The Supplices* or the *Oresteia*. There are five musical scenes—a parodos, a monody, and three short stasima. The leading theme of the parodos and of the first stasimon is the Anacreontic, while the last two stasima are in Dorian rhythm. This change of subject reflects a change in the attitude of the Oceanids towards Prometheus. At first, they are more compassionate than re-monstrative—hence the Anacreontics of the parodos (130–60) and the Anacreontics and Ionics a minore of the first stasimon (413–30). But they also feel that Prometheus has sinned against the virtue of moderation in transgressing the will of Zeus—hence the solemn Dorian of the second stasimon (542–80). The subject of the third stasimon (913–38) is the wisdom of moderation in marriage, again in Dorian—the rhythm used by Euripides, we remember, for the same subject in the *Medea* (627–41, p. 48). Besides these two motives, we must notice the development of a third—Paeonic. It appears first in the soliloquy of Prometheus: 115–6 τίς ἀχώ, τίς ὀδμὰ προσέπτα μ' ἀφεγγής; 118 ἴκετο τερμόνιον ἐπὶ πάγον. It re-appears under cover of the resolved iambics of the parodos (170 ἐπιχαρῆ, 173 ἐπικότως αἶ), and again in the epode appended to the first stasimon: 441–9 μόνον δὴ πρόσθεν ἄλλον ἐν πόνοις ... Τιτᾶνα λύμαις ἐσιδόμαν θεόν ... κελαινὸς δ' Ἄϊδος ὑποβρέμει μυχός. This motive reaches its consummation in the continuous Paeonic of the monody of Io (588–635).

174 θέμενος ἄγναμπτον νόον: two epitrites (see p. 23). The corresponding phrase in the antistrophe is plain iambic: 196 δέδια γὰρ ἀμφὶ σαῖς τύχαις. But perhaps we should read δέδια δ' (Triclinius), the γὰρ having originated in the common gloss ὁ δὲ ἀντὶ τοῦ γάρ; cf. schol. in 556, and schol. Eur. *Or.* 36;

Aesch. *Agam.* 425 εὐμόρφων δὲ vulg. εὐμόρφων γὰρ h. This strophe is bound to the preceding by the cadence: 179 = 135, cf. 140.

436. Note the resolution in anticipation of Paeonic (υυυ-υυυ-).

542-80. The first strophe is pure Dorian, the second begins in rising rhythm for the sake of a passing allusion to Anacreontic: 561-3 φέρ' ὅπως χάρις ἂ χάρις· ὦ φίλος, εἶπὲ ποῦ τις ἀλκά; 571-3 ἔμαθον τάδε σὰς προσιδούσ' ὀλοὰς τύχας, Προμηθεῦ—a clear reminiscence of 413-14 στένω σε τὰς οὐλομένας τύχας, Προμηθεῦ. Anacreontic will recur once again: 721 πέφρικ' ἐσιδούσα πρᾶξιν Ἰούς. 559 ἰδία is corrupt. The original reading was perhaps οἰκεία (Tricl.), glossed by ἰδία (which crept into the text) and by αὐθαιρέτω (gl. rec.) to show that the word is used here in its sense of *proprius*, not *propinquus*: cf. *Cho.* 671 οἰκεία σάγη· ἐπὶ ἰδία πραγματεία schol., Hesych. οἰκῆοι· οἱ κατ' ἐπιγαμίαν ἀλλήλοις προσήκοντες· ἢ ἴδιοι. For the shortening of the diphthong before the vowel cf. *Theb.* 710 εὐκταίαν, Anacr. 1, 4 Ληθαίου, Soph. *El.* 849 δειλαία δειλαίων, 1058 οἰωνούς, *Ajax* 1190 Τροίαν, *O. C.* 118 ναίει, *Ant.* 1307 ἀνταίαν, Eur. *H. F.* 409 Μαιῶτιν, Bacchyl. xvi 129 παιάνιξαν.

2. Sophocles, *Antigone*.

The regular accompaniment of the tragic crisis in the plays of Sophocles and Euripides is Paeonic, after the example set by Aeschylus in *The Suppliants* and the *Oresteia*. In the *Antigone* we have a good example of the way in which the Paeonic climax is built up.

The parodos begins in Aeolian (100-27):

Glyconic	Glyconic
ἀκτις ἀελίου, τὸ κάλλιστον ἑπταπύλω φανέν	
Glyconic	Anacl. Glyconic
Θήβα τῶν πρότερον φάος, ἐφάνθησ ποτ', ὦ χρυσέας	
Triody	Glyconic
ἀμέρας βλέφαρον, Διρκαίων ὑπὲρ ρέεθρων μολοῦσα . . .	
Pherecratic	

Observe how the words are grouped (always important in Sophocles: the divisions are the same in the antistrophe): *ἀκτὶς ἀελίου* suggests *ἀμέρας βλέφαρον*, *τὸ κάλλιστον ἑπταπύλῳ* suggests *ἐφάνθησ ποτ', ὦ χρυσέας*, while *ὦ χρυσέας* anticipates the choriambic movement in the next strophe. Further, in *τὸ κάλλιστον*, and still more in *ἐφάνθησ ποτ', ὦ*, do we not hear an intimation of rising rhythm—Paeonic?

The first stasimon begins in the same Aeolian rhythm (332-41):

Glyconic	Glyconic
<i>πολλὰ τὰ δεινὰ, κούδεν <u>ἀνθρώπου</u> δεινότερον πέλει . . .</i>	

In the body of the strophe there is a touch of iambic, then a dactylic phrase (see p. 23), and finally the following close: *ἵππειῷ γένει πολεύων*. The dactyls we have just heard incline us to take this phrase as trochaic; at the same time we can hardly fail to recognise in *ἵππειῷ* an echo of *ἀνθρώπου*. This leaves us with *γένει πολεύων*—a dochmiac. After this, we shall not be surprised to hear in the next strophe, following three prosodiacs (derived from the dactyls of the first strophe): *δυσάυλων πάγων ὑπαίθρεια καὶ δύσομβρα φεύγειν βέλη*.

The second stasimon (583-625) begins in Dorian. Now we know why we had those prosodiacs in the last stasimon: 583 *εὐδαίμονες οἷσι κακῶν* repeats 354 *καὶ φθέγμα καὶ ἀνέμοεν*. But again notice the division of the words:

Prosodiac	Epitrite
<i>εὐδαίμονες οἷσι κακῶν <u>ἄγευστος αἰών</u></i>	
Dochmiac	

Accordingly, at the end of the strophe we hear: 590 *κυλίνδει βυσσόθεν κελαινάν*.

The third stasimon (781-801) is the hymn to Eros: Dorian gives place to Aeolian, and dochmiac is temporarily discarded. In the antistrophe (not in the strophe) the words are grouped so as to suggest Anacreontic: 791-2 *σὺ καὶ δικαίων ἀδίκους φρένας παρασπᾶς*. This is in anticipation of the lyrical lament

which follows. Is it also a reminiscence of 622 τὸ κακὸν δοκεῖν ποτ' ἐσθλόν?

Anacl. Glyconic	Anacl. Pherecratic	Pherecratic with
Ἔρωσ ἀνίκατε μάχαν,	Ἔρωσ δς ἐν κτήνεσι πίπτεις,	δς ἐν μαλακαῖς
Iambo-choriambic	Iambo-choriambic	
anacrusis	Repeat	
παρειαιῖς νεάνιδος ἐννυχεύεις . . .		

Here Aeolian runs against iambo-choriambic. In the next strophe (806) this effect is repeated:

Iambo-choriambic
ὄρατ' ἔμ', ὦ γᾶς πατρίας πολίται
Pherecratic

Then Aeolian is abandoned, giving place to Anacreontic (839-40):

Iambo-choriambic
οἶμοι γελῶμαι. τί με πρὸς θεῶν πατρώων . . .
Anacreontic

In ὄρατ' ἔμ', ὦ γᾶς, and still more clearly in οἶμοι γελῶμαι, we hear dochmiac. And so, before long, we get (852) μέτοικος, οὐ ζῶσιν, οὐ θανοῦσιν and (856) πατρώων δ' ἐκτίνεις τιν' ἄθλον.

The fourth stasimon (944-87) resumes the Dorian motive, but those sinister dochmiacs are becoming more insistent than ever: 954 κελαιναὶ νᾶες ἐκφύγοιεν, 973-6 τυφλωθὲν ἐξ ἀγρίας δάμαρτος . . . ἀραχθέντων ὑφ' αἱματηραῖς χείρεσσι καὶ κερκίδων ἀκμαῖσιν. Finally, after a short stasimon which begins with an echo of 583 (1116 πολυώνυμε Καδμείας ἄγαλμα νύμφας) the Paeonic climax is at last released: 1261 f. ἰὼ φρενῶν δυσφρόνων ἀμαρτήματα στερεὰ θανατόεντ' . . .

3. Sophocles, *Ajax*.

Here there are two Paeonic pieces—the first for the scene (348-428) in which Ajax determines to die (cf. 394-6 ἰὼ σκότος ἐμὸν φάος, ἔρεβος ὦ φαεννότατον ὡς ἐμοί, ἔλεσθ' ἔλεσθέ μ' οἰκήτορα), and the second for the scene (866-960) in which his dead body is discovered (cf. 925-8 ἔμελλες τάλας ἔμελλες χρόνῳ στερεόφρων ἄρ' ἐξανύσσειν κακὰν μοῖραν ἀπειρεσίῳν

πόνων). The other odes are grouped around these two scenes. The first stasimon (172–256) begins in Dorian.—The epitrites *ψευσθείς' ἀδώροις...ἡ χαλκοθώραξ* (178–9) lead, in the second strophe, to 227 *οἶμοι φοβοῦμαι* and 245 *ώρα τιν' ἤδη*, in anticipation of dochmiac. The first Paeonic scene contains touches of Aeolian: 399 *οὐθ' ἀμερίων ἔτ' ἄξιος*, 408–9 *ἄν με χειρὶ φονεύοι*. We are thus prepared for the next stasimon (596–645) in which the sailors sing of their longing for Salamis and for rest from war in Aeolian (pp. 63 and 24). Dochmiac is heard in 600 *ἐγὼ δ' ὁ τλάμων*, 601 *μίμνων ἀν' Ἰδαν*, 624 *ἡ που παλαιᾶ*, 625 *λευκῶ τε γήρα*, 634 *ἄμνυμα χαίτας*. Notice also *πολιᾶς ἄμνυμα χαίτας*—an Anacreontic. In the next stasimon (693–718) despondency gives way to gaiety: dochmiac disappears (except perhaps for 705 *ἐμοὶ ξυνείη*), and Glyconic is combined with Anacreontic (pp. 31–2). But this misplaced confidence only serves to heighten the tragic effect of the next scene—the second Paeonic climax. There is one more stasimon (1185–1202), which brings us back to the ode to Salamis, both in thought—longing for peace and home, and in rhythm—Aeolian:

<u>Glyconic</u>	<u>Pherecratic</u>
<i>τίς ἄρα νέατος ἔς ποτε λήξει πολυπλάγκτων ἐτέων ἀριθμός;</i>	
Choriambic	

And again at the end (1217–22: p. 63), Aeolian provides an appropriate accompaniment to the mention of holy Athens.

Peace after suffering—a peace won only by the wisdom of the servant of Athena: that is the consummation effected in the last scene of the *Ajax*. Is not this consummation beautifully anticipated in the last movement of the music?

4. Sophocles, *Electra*.

This play, which is later than the *Antigone* and *Ajax*, is marked by certain departures from the Aeschylean tradition, both in phrasing and in composition. Nevertheless, though latent, the old principles are still at work.

First of all we have the parodos (121–250), the main subjects

of which are the following: (1) spondaic, passing readily into anapaestic; (2) the dactylic tetrapody, usually in pairs (124-5, 130-3, 166-70, 236-7); (3) $\cup\cup\cup\cup\cup$ (a rhythm not easy to classify: see Appendix) in various combinations (156, 160-3, 207, 209-10, 212); (4) Aeolian (tripodies 205, 243-5; Glyconic 248; Pherecratic 232?); (5) dochmiac. The form of the composition as a whole is defined by the dochmiac cadences: thus the figure $\cup\cup-\cup\cup-\cup\cup\cup\cup-$ occurs thrice—at the end of the first part of str. 1 (128 ὄλοιτ' εἴ μοι θέμις τόδ' αὐδᾶν), at the end of str. 2 (172 ποθῶν δ' οὐκ ἀξιοῖ φανῆναι), and finally at the end of the epode (250 ἀπάντων τ' εὐσέβεια θνατῶν) which is further emphasised by a repetition from the close of str. 1 (249 ἔρροι τ' ἄν αἰδῶς repeats 136 αἰαῖ ἰκνοῦμαι). Similarly, in str. 1 the dochmiac close (135-6) answers the dochmiac already heard in 128, in str. 2 171-2 answers 155 and 159. The $\cup\cup\cup\cup\cup$ motive accompanies the first mention of the Avenger, Orestes (160-3 ὄλβιος, ὃν ἄ κλεινὰ γὰ ποτε Μυκηναίων δέξεται εὐπατρίδαν, Διὸς εὐφροني βήματι μολόντα τάνδε γὰν Ὀρέσταν), and is again associated with Orestes in the corresponding part of the antistrophe (180-4 οὔτε γὰρ ὁ τὰν Κρίσα βούνομον ἔχων ἀκτὰν παῖς Ἀγαμεμνονίδας ἀπερίτροπος). In 209-10 οἷς θεὸς ὁ μέγας Ὀλύμπιος ποίνιμα πάθεα παθεῖν πόροι, the same rhythm marks an appeal to Zeus for vengeance. It will be heard again at the end of the first stasimon (504-15) in the description of the fatal race of Pelops (an apt prelude to the race of Orestes, which is not the less ominous because it is fictitious) and yet again in the renewed appeal to Zeus at the beginning of the next stasimon (823 ποῦ ποτε κεραυνοὶ Διός...).

Clearly, the motive has a dramatic significance. Sophocles has not departed from the practice of his predecessor so far as to abandon the leit-motive.

The first stasimon (473-515) begins in choriambic (for prophecy, p. 59). Then we get some trochaic and iambic phrases and a Pherecratic: in 479 and 495 read θράσος, not θάρσος. Then comes a long phrase (repeated) not found in Aeschylus (482-5).

οὐ γὰρ ποτ' ἀμναστῆι γ' ὁ φύσας σ' Ἑλλάνων ἄναξ,
οὐδ' ἂ παλαιὰ χαλκόπλακτος ἀμφάκης γένυς.

The first figure seems to be based on the epitrite, while the second (ἀμφάκης γένυς) seems to be derived from the Aeolian tripod heard at the end of the choriambics above: *λειπομένα σοφᾶς*. Then, finally, we get another Pherecratic and a protracted epitrite:

ἄ νιν κατέπεφνευ αἰσχίσταις ἐν αἰκείαις.

The epode reintroduces the $\cup\cup\cup\cup\cup$ motive already noticed, and with it $\cup\cup\cup\cup\cup$ $\cup\cup\cup\cup\cup$ (*πολύπυρος ἵππεία*—equivalent to *εἰ μὴ ἴγὼ παράφρων=ἤξει καὶ πολύπυρος*), two spondaic figures (510–11), and a touch of cretic (507, 513).

In the next stasimon (823–70) the initial allusion to the $\cup\cup\cup\cup\cup$ motive passes, through a resumption of choriambic, into Ionic a minore. The second strophe reintroduces spondaic (850–2) and dochmiac (855 *παραγάγης ἴν' οὐ*). The third stasimon (1058–97) is in concurrent iambo-choriambic and Anacreontic, with an Aeolian centre (pp. 31, 29). The second strophe (Dorian, with iambic) brings us back to dochmiac: 1083 *κακῶς εὐκλειαν αἰσχῦναι θέλει*, 1089 *σοφά τ' ἀρίστα τε παῖς κεκλήσθαι*. Then comes the *ἀναγνώρισις* (1232–87), passionate and tragic, in Paeonic, which leads directly to the tragic crisis (1384–1441), again in Paeonic.

5. Pindar.

The following notes, supplementing the account I have already given in Chapters V and VII, may be of use to the reader who wishes to study some of the more difficult Pindaric Odes. It will be seen that in many of them the poet discards the conventional phrasing of the early lyrical tradition, and that his formal design, so far as it depends on the rhythm, is often implicit and allusive, being based upon echo and reminiscence rather than upon the formal arrangement of definite themes. This is just what we should expect: as soon as an artistic convention has become established, the progressive artist tends to work away from it.

N. vi. Triadic : Paeonic, Aeolian and Dorian.

Str.

Bacchius	Glyconic	Paeon
<u>ἐν ἀνδρῶν,</u>	<u>ἐν θεῶν γένος,</u>	<u>ἐκ μιᾶς δε πνέομεν</u>
	(Cretic)	(Cretic) echo
Glyconic	Glyconic	
<u>ματρὸς ἀμφοτέρου·</u>	<u>διείργει δὲ πᾶσα κεκριμένα</u>	
(Tripody)	(Tripody)	
Aeol. tripody	Repeat	
<u>δύναμις, ὡς τὸ μὲν οὐδέν,</u>	<u>ὁ δὲ χάλκεος ἀσφαλὲς αἰὲν ἔδος</u>	
	Anapaests...	
<u>μένει οὐρανός.</u>	<u>ἀλλά τι προσφέρομεν ἔμπαν ἢ μέγαν</u>	
	Epitrites	

νόον ἦτοι φύσιν ἀθανάτοις,

Anap. Prosodiac

καίπερ ἐφαιμερίαν οὐκ εἰδότες οὐδὲ μετὰ νύκτα

Prosodiac Prosodiac Epitrite

Glyconic

ἄμμε πότμος ἄντιν' ἔγραψῆ δραμέμεν ποτὶ στάθμαν.

Epitrite Prosodiac

Er.

Paeon

ἰχνεσιν ἐν Πραξιδάμαντος ἐὸν πόδα νέμων

Prosodiac Prosodiac

Glyconic

πατρὸπάτορος ὁμαιμίους. κείνος γὰρ Ὀλυμπιόνικος ἐὼν Αἰακίδαις

Prosodiac

Prosodiac

Aeol. tripody

ἔρνεα πρῶτος ἔνεικεν ἀπ' Ἀλφεοῦ,

Prosodiac

καὶ πεντάκις Ἴσθμοῖ στεφανωσάμενος,

Prosodiac Prosodiac

Link

Dochmiac

Bacch. Aeol. tripody

Νεμέα δὲ τρεῖς, ἔπαυσε λάθαν Σαοκλείδα' ὃς ὑπέρτατος

echo

Ἀγησιμάχῳ ὑέων γένετο.

Prosodiac

The Glyconics at the beginning of the strophe are resumed in the Glyconic at the end of the strophe; the bacchius followed

Trochaic-choriambic

εὐάρματος Ἰέρων ἐν ᾧ κρατέων

Trochaic-choriambic

τηλαυγέσιν ἀνέδησεν Ὀρτυγίαν στεφάνοις,

Tripody

ποταμίας ἔδος Ἀρτέμιδος, ἃς οὐκ ἄτερ

Paeon Cretic

Tripody

Trochaic-choriambic

κείνας ἀγαναῖσιν ἐν χερσὶ ποικιλανίους ἐδάμασσε πώλους.

Pherecratic

Er.

Glyconic

Tripody

ἱερέα κτίλον Ἀφροδίτας· ἄγει δὲ χάρις

Glyconic

Tripody

φίλων ποί τινος ἀντι ἔργων ὀπιζομένα·

Glyconic

Tripody

σὲ δ', ὦ Δεινομένειε παῖ, Ζεφυρία πρὸ δόμων

Glyconic

Tripody

Trochaic

Λοκρὶς παρθένος ἀπύει, πολεμίῳν καμάτων ἐξ ἀμαχάνων

Tripody

Dochmiac

διὰ τεὰν δύναμιν δρακεῖσ' ἀσφαλές.

Dochmiac

Pherecratic + iambus

θεῶν δ' ἐφეტμαῖς Ἰξίονα φαντὶ ταῦτ' ἀβροτοῖς

(Dochmiac)

Pherecratic + iambus

Tripody

λέγειν ἐν πτερόεντ' ἰσχύϊ παντ' ἀκυλινδόμενον·

Glyconic

Glyconic

τὸν εὐεργέταν ἀγαναῖς ἀμοιβαῖς ἐποιχομένους τίνεσθαι.

Pherecratic

A difficult piece, but interesting. The design is held together by the Pherecratics: at the beginning of the strophe we have two Pherecratics, at the end a Pherecratic cadence, and the same arrangement is repeated in the epode. But the point of the piece lies in the gradual emergence, both in strophe and in epode, of Paeonic.

We begin with a long trochaic phrase: cf. *P. vii 1 κάλλιστον αἰ μεγαλοπόλιες Ἀθῆναι*. I call it trochaic, but *βαθυπολέμου* may stand for a choriambus, anticipating *ᾄ κρατέων* and *ᾄ Ὀρτυγίαν*. It is impossible to decide without the music. Then come two Pherecratics, the second with anacrusis, and an Aeolian tripod. The second Pherecratic is now taken up by anapaests, which lead to a short phrase *ἀπὸ Θηβᾶν φέρων*—a dochmiac all but for one short syllable. We return to anapaests, and then, after a figure in which anapaests are mixed with iambs, we hear a longish figure which seems to echo the trochaic phrase with which we began: for the sake of a name, I call it trochaic-choriambic. It is repeated; notice in both cases the hint of Paeonic—*-ος Ἱέρων, -σιν ἀνέδη-*. After a reminiscence of the anapaests heard above, we go on to a tripod, with the first foot resolved (*ποταμίας*). Repeat this effect, and you get a paeon, and again a cretic. We have arrived at Paeonic. Then another tripod; and then, for the third time, that trochaic-choriambic figure, running into the Pherecratic cadence.

The epode begins with a Glyconic followed by a tripod. Notice again that the first foot of the Glyconic is resolved (*ιέρεια*). Repeat both figures, this time transforming *ιέρεια* still further into *φίλων ποιί*. Repeat again, and this time resolve the tripod (*Ζεφυρία*); repeat, and add *ἐξ ἀμαχάνων*—a trochaic figure which is often used as a form of the dochmiac (see Appendix). The tripod is repeated in its resolved form, and at last Paeonic re-emerges in two dochmiac figures. Then a Pherecratic followed by an iambus (the same phrase played a similar part in the First Olympian: see p. 76); this is repeated (in anaclastic form to remind us of Paeonic), then we get a tripod. Finally, the opening of the strophe is recalled by a Glyconic (again anaclastic); this is repeated and runs into the Pherecratic cadence.

6. Euripides, *Alcestis*.

The Aeschylean Chorus plays an integral part in the drama—hence the long choral odes, with their elaborate and highly

dramatic organisation. Sophocles uses his Chorus for the same purpose, but less obviously: he maintains the technique of the recurrent leit-motive, but his odes tend to be shorter, less dynamic, more self-contained. In Euripides the Aeschylean tradition is beginning to weaken. The part played by the Chorus is relatively unimportant, and in many plays his odes are not interconnected as the successive movements of a single musical unity—they are more in the nature of musical *entr'actes*, both in form and in subject-matter, though, within these limits, as we have seen, he makes frequent use of strict strophic form and of significant rhythm. There are, in fact, two tendencies discernible in the work of this poet: one is the continuance of the Aeschylean convention—weaker, it is true, and more lax both in phrasing and in composition, but still quite clearly in the old tradition; the other is a new departure, in which he is the forerunner of Timotheus. The first may be illustrated from his earliest extant tragedy—the *Alcestis*.

The leading themes of the play are epitomised in the first strophe (86–97):

κλύει τις ἢ στεναγμὸν ἢ χειρῶν κτύπον κατὰ στέγας

Iambic

ἢ γόον ὡς πεπραγμένων;

Glyconic

Iambic and Glyconic—both themes will be developed. Then we have a run of dactylic (89–90)—this too is important. At 90–1 (*εἰ γὰρ μετακύμιος ἄτας*) the dactyls turn into anapaests, and at 93 the anapaestic movement is interrupted for a moment in order to introduce a passing allusion to Ionic a minore (*νέκυς ἤδη*, echoing *ἐσιώπων*). The second strophe introduces dochmiac and trochaic—both natural developments of iambic:

ἀλλ' οὐδὲ ναυκληρίαν ἔσθ' ὅποι τις αἶας . . .

After a couple of dactylic phrases and an echo (116 *Ἀμμωνιάδας* echoing *ἐπὶ τὰς ἀνύδρους*) we get a Pherecratic, and then a resumption of the opening of the first strophe:

ψυχάν· μόρος γὰρ ἀπότομος πλάθει, θεῶν δ' ἐπ' ἐσχάραις
Iambic

Finally, an Aeolian tripod brings the strophe to a close with a repetition of the Pherecratic cadence.

The first strophe of the second lyrical scene (213-71) resumes the dochmiac, iambic, trochaic and Glyconic motives:

ἰὼ Ζεῦ, τίς ἂν πᾶ πόρος κακῶν
Dochmiac

γένοιτο καὶ λύσις τύχας ἂ πάρεστι κοιράνοις;
Iambic Trochaic

αἰαῖ· εἰσί τις, ἧ τέμω τρίχα,
Glyconic

καὶ μέλανα στολμὸν πέπλων ἀμφιβαλώμεθ' ἤδη;
Glyconic¹ Pherecratic

Dochmiac and iambic return, and the Pherecratic cadence:

δῆλα μὲν φίλοι, δῆλί γ', ἀλλ' ὅμως
Dochmiac

θεοῖσιν εὐχόμεσθα· θεῶν γὰρ δύναμις μεγίστα.
Iambic² Pherecratic

Iambic returns at 222, then we hear a suggestion of dactylic (λυτήριος ἐκ θανάτου γενοῦ), leading to the third and final Pherecratic cadence: φόνιον δ' ἀπόπαυσον Αἴδαν.

The second strophe is a short one, but it has a beautiful cadence:

Ἄλιε καὶ φάος ἀμέρας, οὐράνιαί τε δῖναι νεφέλας δρομαίου.
Enneasyllable Pherecratic

The third begins with an echo of the first:

ὄρῳ δίκωπον ὄρῳ σκάφος ἐν λίμνῃ· νεκύων δὲ πορθμεὺς . . .
= λυτήριος ἐκ θανάτου Pherecratic

¹ A Glyconic, in spite of στολμὸν: cf. 229 βρόχῳ. The licence is characteristic of Euripides: *Ion* 1229, *Supp.* 1001, 1005-7, *H. F.* 366.

² This figure sounds more like dochmiac, but the corresponding figure in the antistrophe (232) is iambic.

This echo is combined, in *ὄρῳ δίκωπον*, with an anticipation of the return to iambic which follows immediately:

ἔχων χέρ' ἐπὶ κοιτῶ Χάρων μ' ἤδη καλεῖ, τί μέλλεις;

τί μέλλεις is echoed (*ἐπείγου*), and so leads to another touch of Ionic a minore before we return to the Pherecratic cadence:

σὺ κατείργεις. τάδε τοί με σπερχόμενος ταχύνει.

Ionic a minore

Pherecratic

The epode (266–71) reintroduces trochaic, with a touch of dactylic (*πλησίον Αἴδας* echoed in *οὐκέτι μάτηρ σφῶν ἔστιν*) and an unorthodox figure *σκοτία δ' ἐπ' ὄσσοισι νύξ ἐφέρπει*, which seems to combine an echo of the trochaic we have already heard with an anticipation of the concluding figure of the scene:

χαίροντες, ὦ τέκνα, τόδε φάος ὄρῳτον.

Pherecratic

The next lyrical piece (393–415) begins by repeating 212–13:

ἰώ μοι τύχας. μαῖα δὴ κάτω βέβακεν, οὐκέτ' ἔστιν, ὦ

Dochmiac

Iambic

Pherecratic

πάτερ, ὑφ' ἀλίφ. προλιπούσα δ' ἀμὸν βίον ὠρφάνισσε τλάμων.

Dochmiac

Anacreontic

This Anacreontic, introduced by a shift which gives us a hint of Pherecratic, is a new motive. We return to dochmiac¹, then, with another shift (*ὑπάκουσον ἄκουσον ὦ*) to trochaic, Glyconic, and the Pherecratic cadence:

μᾶτερ, ἀντιόζω. ἐγὼ σ' ἐγὼ, μᾶτερ,

Trochaic

Dochmiac

καλοῦμαί σ' ὁ σὸς ποτὶ σοῖσι πίτνων στόμασιν νεοσσός.

Glyconic

Pherecratic

Next (435–75) we have a stasimon, and the rhythm changes to Dorian—a development of the dactylic phrases scattered through the earlier scenes, while the trochaics are now taken

¹ 399 *βλέφαρον* should be *βλέφαρα*.

up as epitrites. But the austerity of Dorian rhythm is tempered with several touches of the tender Anacreontic¹, and with a Pherecratic cadence:

Prosodiacs

ὦ Πελίου θύγατερ, χείρουσά μοι εἰν Ἄϊδαο δόμοις

Anacreontic

τὸν ἀνάλιον οἶκον οἰκετεύοις.

Prosodiacs

ἴστω δ' Ἄϊδας ὁ μελαγχαίτας θεὸς ὅς τ' ἐπὶ κώπῃ

Prosodiac

Epitrite

πηδαλίῳ τε γέρων νεκροπομπὸς ἵζει,

Anacreontic

πολὺ δὴ πολὺ δὴ γυναῖκ' ἀρίσταν

Anacreontic

λίμναν Ἀχεροντίαν πορεύσας ἐλάτῃ δικώπῳ.

Pherecratic

The second strophe begins where the last ended—with Pherecratic, which works, first by anaclasis, then by anacrusis, to a suggestion of Paeonic:

Pherecratic

with anaclasis

εἶθ' ἐπ' ἐμοὶ μὲν εἶη, δυναίμαν δέ σε πέμψαι

with anacrusis

φάος ἐξ Ἄϊδα τεράμνων καὶ Κωκυτοῖο ρέεθρων

Paeon Trochaic

ποταμῖα νερτέρῃ τε κώπῃ.

The touches of Anacreontic return—between them, this time, is an Ionic a minore (σὺ τὸν αὐτᾶς); then we shift, through spondaic, to dactylic, the trochaic phrase is repeated (μάλ' ἂν ἔμοιγ' ἂν εἶη), and the strophe concludes with a touch of dochmiac (στρυγηθεὶς τέκνοις τε τοῖς σοῖς), in fulfilment of the promise contained in *δυναίμαν...ποταμῖα*.

The next stasimon (569–605) begins in Dorian like the last,

¹ Cf. Aesch. *P. V.* 562–3 (p. 134), also Simon. 5, 1 ἀνδρ' ἀγαθὸν μὲν ἀλαθέως γενέσθαι, Bacchyl. xvii, 4 σάλπιγγ' πολεμητῶν αἰδᾶν.

some of the same phrases being repeated, but its main function is to reintroduce Aeolian:

ἔτλα δὲ σοῖσι μηλονόμας ἐν δόμοις γενέσθαι,
Glyconic Trochaic

δοχμῶν διὰ κλιτύων βοσκήμασι σοῖσι συρίζων
Glyconic See footnote¹

ποιμνίτας ὑμεναίους.
Pherecratic

The first strophe of the *κομμός* (861–933) is in the usual dochmiac; but the Aeolian motive is still maintained (876–7):

τὸ μήποτ' εἰσιδεῖν φιλίας ἀλόχου πρόσωπον . . .
Glyconic Pherecratic

The second strophe is somewhat unorthodox: it is composed of fragmentary echoes of almost all the themes we have heard in the earlier odes, ending with an Ionian cadence like that in Aesch. *Agam.* 147 (p. 105). The short phrases, if somewhat irregular, are not inappropriate to the emotional tone of the words, which is personal, pathetic—a new note in Greek tragedy:

ἐμοί τις ἦν ἐν γένει, φ' κόρος ἀξιόθρηνος ὄλετ' ἐν δόμοισιν
Iambic Dactylic Trochaic

μονόπαις· ἀλλ' ἔμπας ἔφερε κακὸν ἄλις, ἄτεκνος ὦν,
Ionic a minore? Glyconic resolved

πολιὰς ἐπὶ χαίτας ἤδη προπετῆς ὦν βιότου τε πόρω.
Ionic a minore Cadence

Passing on to the final stasimon (962–1005), we find that the Glyconics and Pherecratics which we have heard at frequent intervals ever since that initial *ἦ γόον ὡς πεπραγμένων* reach their consummation at last in a passage of continuous Aeolian:

ἐγὼ καὶ διὰ μούσας καὶ μετάρσιος ῥίξα, καὶ
πλείστων ἀψάμενος λόγων κρείσσον οὐδὲν ἀνάγκας . . .

¹ A protracted Pherecratic? or is it yet another Euripidean variant of the Glyconic, with a spondee instead of a trochee in the third foot? Cf. *Hipp.* 141, 143–4, *Hec.* 469–71, *El.* 116.

On the whole, therefore, we may say that this, the earliest of the extant tragedies of Euripides, has yielded to the methods of analysis which we applied to the plays of Aeschylus, though the dramatic organisation is less highly developed, and here and there we have come across phrases which might have prompted the older poet to protest.

Euripides never abandoned the old convention entirely: many examples have been quoted in previous chapters from his plays, both early and late, in which he uses significant rhythm and strophic form hardly less effectively than his predecessor. But along with this maintenance of the Aeschylean tradition it is possible to trace the beginnings of a new development, particularly in his monodies—that feature of his later style which Aristophanes singled out for his shafts of good-humoured ridicule.

Hel. 241-8

ἅ δὲ χρυσέοις θρόνοις Διὸς ὑπαγκάλισμα σεμνὸν
 Ἥρα τὸν ὠκύπουν ἔπεμψε Μαιάδος γόνον·
 ὃς με χλοερὰ δρεπομένην ἔσω πέπλων ῥόδεα πέταλα,
 Χαλκίοικον ὡς μόλοιμ', ἀναρπάσας δι' αἰθέρος
 τάνδε γαῖαν εἰς ἄνολβον ἔριν ἔριν τάλαιναν ἔθετο
 Πριαμίδαισιν Ἑλλάδος.

This is not difficult to analyse—it is a very simple composition in trochaic and iambic. But rhythmically it is dull: it has none of the flexibility and subtlety with which Aeschylus and Pindar wove their masterly designs. That is not because Euripides was a duller artist—he could weave as beautiful a design as any when he chose to do so: but here he is attempting something different. It is clear that the point of this passage, and of others like it, does not lie in the rhythm; it seems probable that it lay in the lost melody which accompanied it.

As Pratinas perceived, the danger-point lay in such performances as the hyporcheme and dithyramb, where there were no dramatic requirements, insisting on the supremacy of the words, to hold the innovating musician in check. The Euripi-

dean monody belongs to this class. Its function is merely to express a mood, or to provide an appropriate setting for a song or dance. There is little dramatic significance in the words, and hence no rhythmical elaboration is required to bring it out. Accordingly, in these extravaganzas Euripides abandons the old rhythmical conventions in order to give scope to what must have been the central feature of such performances—the new experiments in melody and choreography.

Or. 1381–92

Ἴλιον, Ἴλιον, ὦμοι μοι,

Φρύγιον ἄστυ καὶ καλλίβωλον Ἰδᾶς ὄρος ἱερόν, ὥς σ' ὀλόμενον
στένω

βαρβάρῳ βοᾷ δι' ὄρνιθόγονον

ἄμμα κυκνοπτέρου καλλοσύνας, Λήδας σκύμνου, δυσελένας,
δυσελένας,

ξεστῶν περγάμων Ἀπολλωνίων

ἔρινύν· ὀττοτοῖ· ἰαλέμων ἰαλέμων

Δαρδανία τλᾶμον Γανυμήδεος ἵπποσύνα Διὸς εὐνέτα.

Here and there we hear an echo of standard phrases, but in general it is plain that the old principles, both of phrasing and of composition, have broken down.

Timotheus, *Persae* 26–31

στερεοπαγῆ δ' ἐφέρετ' ὀ φόνια μόλιβα πισσάεντά τε περίβολα
πυρὶ φλεγόμεν' ἐν ἀποτομάσι βουδόροις,

ὄφθει δὲ βίωτος ἐθύετ' ἀδινὸς ὑπὸ τανυπτέροισι χαλκόκρασι
νευροπεντάτοις.

Here the revolution is complete: poetical beauty and rhythmical subtlety, at least in so far as it was wedded to the words, have been thrown to the winds, and we are left with a mere operatic *libretto*. In the words of Timotheus himself (he seems to revive for a moment the dying convention in order to point the contrast)¹:

οὐκ αἰίδω τὰ παλαιά, καινὰ γὰρ μάλα κρείσσω·

νέος ὁ Ζεὺς βασιλεύει, τὸ πάλαι δ' ἦν Κρόνος ἄρχων·

ἀπίτω Μοῦσα παλαιά.

¹ *fr.* 14.

APPENDIX

Appended is (1) a summary of the standard phrases in each class of rhythm, together with variants and rare forms not mentioned in Chapter II; and (2) a list of further examples in illustration of the metrical principles formulated in Chapters IV-V.

I. DORIAN.

The normal figures are:

- (1) Prosodiac: $\acute{\cup}\cup \acute{\cup}\cup \acute{-}$
 $\acute{\cup}\cup \acute{\cup}\cup \acute{\cup}\cup \acute{-}$
 $\acute{\cup}\cup \acute{-}$

The first two forms require no illustration. For the third, cf. Pind. *P.* iv 20 ματρόπολιν Θή: Soph. *Aj.* 181 -τείσατο λώβαν: *O. C.* 1090 Παλλὰς Ἀθάνα: Pind. *N.* viii 2 -α γλεφάρους: 16 -ων σταδίων: xi 5 οἷ σε γεραί-: Aesch. *Supp.* 43 ἀνθονομού-.

- (2) Epitrite: $\acute{\cup} \acute{-}$
 $\acute{\cup} \acute{-}$
 $\acute{\cup} \acute{\cup} \acute{-}$
 $-\acute{\cup}-$

For the third of these forms (not used by Pindar or Bacchylides) cf. Simon. 57, 6 φωτὸς ἄδε βουλά: Aesch. *P. V.* 551 μήποτ' ἔκτακείη: *Supp.* 92-3 κὰν σκότῳ μελαίνα. For the fourth, cf. Pind. *N.* i 72 δαίσαντα παρ, Simon. 37, 9 ἄλμαν δ' ὕπερ-, Soph. *Aj.* 221 οἶαν ἐδή-, *O. T.* 863 εἶ μοι ξυνεί-, *O. C.* 1090 σεμνά τε παῖς, Eur. *I. T.* 1234 εὐπαις ὁ Λα-, *Rh.* 224 Θυμβραῖε καὶ, 363 ψαλμοῖσι καὶ.

Anacrusis.

- (1) Single (\cup or $-$). In prosodiac: Pind. *O.* iii 3 Θήρωνος Ὀλυμπιονίκαν: *N.* v 13 ὁ τᾶς θεοῦ ὄν Ψαμάθεια. In epitrite: Pind. *I.* i 5 τί φίλτερον κεδ-: *O.* viii 22 πᾶρῆδρος ἀσκεῖ-: 44 πεμφθὲν βαρυγδού-.
- (2) Double ($\cup\cup$). Only in prosodiac: Pind. *P.* iii 23 μετάμωνα θηρεύ-: Arist. Bergk II pp. 360-2 Ἀρετὰ πολύμοχθε γε-.

Resolution.

- (1) Of prosodiac: Pind. *I.* iv 45 $\acute{\cup}\cup \acute{\cup}\cup \acute{-}$ ἔρνει Τελεσιάδα τόλ-.
 Long dactylic phrases obtained by resolution of the final spondee:

Pind. *P.* iii 4 Οὐρανίδα γόνον εὐρυμέδοντα Κρόνου : Soph. *Aj.* 172 ἦ ῥά σε Ταυροπόλα Διὸς Ἄρτεμις, ὦ μεγάλα φάτις ὦ : *O. T.* 151-2 ὦ Διὸς ἀδυεπὲς Φάτι, τίς ποτε τᾶς πολυχρίσου : Aesch. *Supp.* 45-6 Ζηνός· ἔφαψιν ἐπωνυμία δ' ἐπεκραίνετο μόρσιμος αἰών : Eur. *Andr.* 117 ὦ γυναί, ἄ Θετίδος δάπεδον καὶ ἀνάκτορα θάσσεις. This is the origin of the long dactylic phrases of Stesichorus (see pp. 103-4).

(2) Of epitrite : $\cup\cup \cup-$ Pind. *O.* xi 15 Ζεφυρίων Λοκ- : *P.* i 17 Κιλίκιον θρέ-. $\cup\cup \cup-$ Pind. *P.* i 15 πολέμιος : *I.* ii 15 -εν ἀναδείσ-. $\cup\cup \cup-$ Pind. *I.* iv 54 b -ωνος ἐρέφον-. $\cup\cup \cup\cup$ Simon. 4, 5 οὐθ' ὁ πανδαμά- : Aesch. *Supp.* 47-8 εὐλόγως Ἐπα- : *ibid.* 93-4 ξὺν τίχα μερό-.

Substitution.

(1) In prosodiac : trochee for final spondee : Pind. *P.* iv 4 ἔνθα ποτὲ χρυσέων Διὸς αἰε- : *N.* v 2 ὀλκάδος ἔν τ' ἀκάτῳ γλυ- : *O.* viii 17 Ἄλκιμέδοντα δὲ παρ Κρο- : Soph. *Ant.* 582 εὐδαίμονες οἴσι κακῶν ἄ-.

(2) In epitrite : trochee for final spondee : Pind. *P.* iv 5 -ος τυχόντες : *ibid.* 23 δέξατ' αἰσί-.

Anaclasis.

The following irregular openings in Pindar are perhaps due to anaclasis :

$\cup\cup-$ (for $\cup\cup-$?) : Pind. *O.* vi 6 συνοικισ-.

$\cup\cup\cup-$ (for $\cup\cup\cup-$?) : Pind. *O.* vii 1 φιάλαν ὡς, cf. 7, 18 (see p. 57) : viii 6 ἀρετὰν θυ- : *P.* i 20 νιφόεσσ' Αἴτ- : ix 1 ἐθέλω χαλ-. From this variant we get by substitution $\cup\cup\cup$ Pind. *P.* ix 3 Τελεσικρά-. There is a still further variant $\cup\cup\cup$ in *N.* viii 13 ἰκέτας.

Protraction.

Of epitrite : Stesich. 32, 2 ναυσὶν εὐσέλμοις, Aesch. *Supp.* 48 -ον τ' ἐγέννασε, Soph. *Aj.* 602 αἰὲν εὐνώμαι, *O. T.* 1097 ταῦτ' ἀρέστ' εἶη, *O. C.* 1085-6 -αρχε παντόπτα.

II. IONIAN.

(1) Ionic a minore : $\cup\cup\cup-$.

Variants, by substitution : $-\cup-$ Sappho 62 κατθνάσκει, Soph. *Aj.* 629 οὐδ' οἰκτρᾶς. $\cup\cup\cup\cup$ Aesch. *Pers.* 97 -ματος εὐπετέ-, Eur. *Bacch.* 522 τὸ Διὸς βρέφος.

Variants, by anaclasis : $\cup\cup\cup$ Aesch. *Supp.* 1032 -ιν παλαιόν, *Pers.* 953-4 -φρακτος Ἄρης, *P. V.* 421 -νυσιν αἰχμήν.

Continuous Ionic a minore often contains occasional anapaests or spondees, and bacchii: Eur. *Bacch.* 64-167, 370-432, 519-75, *passim*; Soph. *El.* 829 ἄ παῖ, Eur. *Phoen.* 1539-42. The usual cadences are Anacreontic (Aesch. *Supp.* 1036, 1053) and $\cup\cup\cup\cup-$ (Eur. *Bacch.* 385 ὕπνον ἀμφιβάλλῃ, the latter being commonly taken in conjunction with the preceding phrase to suggest, by overlap, a Pherecratic (p. 105).

(2) Anacreontic: $\cup\cup\cup\cup\cup\cup-$ obtained from Ionic a minore by anaclasis (see p. 8).

(3) Choriambic: $\cup\cup\cup\cup$. Variants: $\cup-\cup$ Soph. *El.* 473-4 καὶ γνώμας: $\cup\cup\cup\cup\cup$ Anacr. 24 ἀναπέτομαι.

Choriambic phrases often have a spondee or trochee prefixed: Alcaeus 37 A, 41, Soph. *El.* 473: and very commonly they pass by overlap into a Pherecratic or Aeolian tripod: Alcaeus 37 A, 41, Anacreon 22-5, 28.

(4) Iambo-choriambic: $\cup\cup\cup\cup\cup\cup\cup\cup$ obtained from choriambic by anaclasis (see p. 9). We also find $\cup\cup\cup\cup\cup\cup\cup\cup$ Aesch. *P. V.* 130 μηδὲν φοβηθῆς· φιλία, and $\cup\cup\cup\cup\cup\cup\cup\cup$ Eur. *Supp.* 975 αἰοδαί θ' ἄς χρυσοκόμας, and $\cup\cup\cup\cup\cup\cup\cup\cup$ Eur. *Hel.* 1316 ἔγχει Γοργῶπις πάνοπλος.

(5) There are a number of other phrases which may be conveniently classified as Ionian. Some of them, in which anapaests and iambs are combined, seem to be developments of the Anacreontic.

$\cup\cup\cup\cup\cup\cup\cup\cup$ Eur. *Hipp.* 125 ὄθι μοί τις ἦν φίλα.

$\cup\cup\cup\cup\cup\cup\cup\cup$ Pind. *O.* ix 22 μαλεραῖς ἐπιφλέγων αἰοδαῖς, iv 8, *N.* vi 20.

$\cup\cup\cup\cup\cup\cup\cup\cup$ At beginning of strophe Pind. *O.* ix 1 τὸ μὲν Ἀρχιλίχον μέλος, Soph. *O. T.* 1186, *O. C.* 1044-6, Eur. *Hec.* 466-7, *Supp.* 778, *El.* 167; elsewhere Ar. *Eq.* 1111-30, Soph. *Ant.* 612, *O. T.* 467-8, Eur. *Ion* 468-9.

$\cup\cup\cup\cup\cup\cup\cup\cup$ Eur. *Alc.* 437 τὸν ἀνάλιον οἶκον οἰκετεύοις, *ibid.* 442, 460, *Hipp.* 526-8, *Hec.* 927, *Rh.* 900-1, Pind. *I.* vii 1.

$\cup\cup\cup\cup\cup\cup\cup\cup$ Pind. *O.* iv 1 ἐλατῆρ ὑπέρτατε βροντᾶς.

III. AEOLIAN.

(1) Glyconic: $\cup\cup\cup\cup\cup\cup\cup\cup$. The dactyl normally occupies second place, but is often found in first or third. The substitution of spondees for trochees is Euripidean (pp. 145 n., 148 n.). Resolution

is common in the first and second feet: Pind. *N.* vii 21 λόγον Ὀδυσσεός ἢ πάθαν, Soph. *Trach.* 947 πότερα πρότερον ἐπιστένω: in the third, Pind. *P.* v 32 -θεὶς γέρας ἀμφέβαλε τεαῖ: in the fourth, Eur. *Hipp.* 146 = 156 -τας ἔξορμος ἀνὴρ λιμένα, *Hec.* 452 καλλίστων ὑδάτων πατέρα, *Bacch.* 910 τὸ δὲ κατ' ἡμᾶρ ὄτω βίος: in all four, *Hel.* 1308 κρόταλα δὲ βρόμια διαπρύσιον. Also, an anapaest is found in place of the initial spondee in a few cases, Soph. *O. C.* 704, Eur. *ap. Ar. Ran.* 1322 (see p. 65): cf. *Hel.* 1314 μετὰ κούραν, ἀελλόποδες. By anaclasis we get the common form $\cup\cup\cup\cup\cup\cup$.

(2) Pherecratic: $\cup\cup\cup\cup\cup\cup$ and $\cup\cup\cup\cup\cup\cup$. The first trochee is often resolved, sometimes in order to suggest Paeonic: Pind. *O.* i 28 b φάτις ὑπὲρ τὸν ἀλαθῆ. Resolution in third foot: Pind. *N.* vii 17 -οντα τριταῖον ἄνεμον (p. 45: but perhaps this is iambo-choriambic followed by a fourth paeon). There is also a protracted form: Soph. *Trach.* 949 δύσκριτ' ἔμοιγε δυστάνω, *Ant.* 816, 846, *Aj.* 1191, and an anacastic form: Pind. *O.* i 24 ἐν εὐάνορι Λυδοῦ, Anacr. 16, 2, Eur. *H. F.* 390, *Ion* 1080, 1089, Soph. *Phil.* 1125.

(3) Tripody: $\cup\cup\cup\cup\cup\cup$ and $\cup\cup\cup\cup\cup\cup$.

(4) Enneasyllables: $\cup\cup\cup\cup\cup\cup\cup\cup\cup$ Aesch. *Cho.* 386 πευκηέντ' ὄλολυγμὸν ἀνδρός: $\cup\cup\cup\cup\cup\cup\cup\cup\cup$ Eur. *Alc.* 244 Ἄλιε καὶ φάος ἀμέρας.

(5) Decasyllable: $\cup\cup\cup\cup\cup\cup\cup\cup\cup\cup$ Aesch. *P. V.* 135 κραιπνοφόροι δέ μ' ἐπεμψαν αὔραι.

(6) Hendecasyllable: $\cup\cup\cup\cup\cup\cup\cup\cup\cup\cup\cup$ Pind. *N.* vii 2 παῖ μεγαλοσθενέος ἄκουσον Ἥρας.

(7) Heptasyllable: $\cup\cup\cup\cup\cup\cup\cup$. This is not a standard phrase, but grows out of Glyconic by isolation of the choriambic and cretic elements in that phrase: Simon. 37, 14, Pind. *P.* x 2 (see p. 68).

IV. PÆONIC:

(1) Simple Paeonic:

Cretic $\cup\cup\cup$, Bacchius $\cup\cup\cup$, First paeon $\cup\cup\cup\cup$, Fourth paeon $\cup\cup\cup\cup$, Palimbacchius $\cup\cup\cup\cup$ (Aesch. *Agam.* 153 Παιᾶνα, 1057 ὄπολλον ὄπολλον), and (if this foot is Paeonic) $\cup\cup\cup\cup$ Molottus (Soph. *O. C.* 121-2).

(2) Dochmiac (obtained by combination of the above feet with iambic):

“Slow” dochmiac : $\cup\acute{--}\cup\acute{}$

$\cup\acute{\cup}\acute{--}$

$\acute{\cup}\acute{\cup}\acute{}$ (Pind. *O.* ii 16 ἐν δίκη τε καὶ, Soph. *Aj.* 401-2, 403-5, *O. T.* 1208-11).

“Quick” dochmiac : $\acute{\cup}\cup\acute{\cup}\acute{}$

$\cup\acute{\cup}\cup\acute{}$.

By substitution $\acute{\cup}\cup\acute{\cup}\acute{}$ gives $\acute{\cup}\cup\acute{\cup}\acute{}$, by resolution $\cup\acute{\cup}\acute{--}$ gives $\cup\acute{\cup}\acute{\cup}$ (Soph. *O. C.* 702 τὸ μὲν τις οὐ νεα-, Aesch. *Theb.* 508 πέποιθα τὸν Διὸς), by protraction $\cup\acute{\cup}\acute{--}$ gives $\cup\acute{\cup}\acute{--}$ (Aesch. *Agam.* 207-8, see p. 108). A rare form of dochmiac (first paeon + iambic) occurs in Aesch. *Theb.* 508 ἀντίτυπον ἔχοντ’.

The following figures also, as well as the simple Paeonic feet and iambic figures, are found in continuous dochmiac composition :

$\cup\cup\acute{--}$ Aesch. *Cho.* 935 βαρύδικος Ποινά, Eur. *Bacch.* 1168 Ἀσιάδες Βάκχαι.

$\cup\acute{--}$ Aesch. *Agam.* 206 παλιμμηκῆ, 379 Διὸς πλαγάν.

$--\acute{\cup}\acute{}$ Pind. *O.* ii 7 εὐωνύμων, Aesch. *Supp.* 569 Τυφῶ μένος.

$--\acute{--}\cup$ (for $\cup\acute{--}\cup\acute{}$) Soph. *Ant.* 1311 δειλαία δὲ συγ-.

$--\acute{\cup}\acute{--}$ (for $\cup\acute{\cup}\acute{--}$) Pind. *O.* ii 3 ἦτοι Πίσα μὲν.

TROCHAIC.

The common phrases are :

(1) $\acute{\cup}\acute{\cup}\acute{\cup}\acute{}$

(2) $\acute{\cup}\acute{\cup}\acute{--}$

(3) $\acute{\cup}\acute{\cup}\acute{\cup}\acute{--}$

(4) $\acute{\cup}\acute{\cup}\acute{\cup}\acute{\cup}\acute{}$

The first of these is used by Alcman and by Pindar in Aeolian (see p. 78). In continuous trochaic we often find a cretic variant $\acute{\cup}\acute{\cup}\acute{\cup}\acute{}$ (Aesch. *Agam.* 190 μνησιπήμων πόνος).

IAMBIC.

The common phrases are :

(1) $\cup\acute{\cup}\acute{}$

(2) $\cup\acute{\cup}\acute{\cup}\acute{--}$

(3) $\cup\acute{\cup}\acute{\cup}\acute{\cup}\acute{}$

(4) the iambic trimeter.

There is another rhythm, $\cup\cup\cup\cup$, which does not fall readily into any of the above-mentioned classes, though it seems to have originated in the resolution of the common epitritic or dochmiac variant $-\cup\cup$: Pind. *O.* xiv 10 Πύθιον Ἀπόλλ- (from 1 Καφισίων).

$\cup\cup\cup\cup$ Aesch. *Theb.* 138 Ἄρτεμι φίλα, Soph. *Phil.* 201 εὔστομ' ἔχε, παῖ, 833 ὦ τέκνον, ὄρα, Pind. *fr.* 75. 5 εὐκλέ' ἀγοράν.

$\cup\cup\cup\cup$ Pind. *fr.* 76-77, 2 Ἑλλάδος ἔρεισμα.

$\cup\cup\cup\cup$ Soph. *Phil.* 835 φροντίδος ὄρα's εὔδει.

$\cup\cup\cup\cup$ Pind. *fr.* 75, 2 πέμπετε χάριν θεοί.

Two-part Form.

For further examples of two-part form, see Eur. *Hipp.* 525-33 (A 525-9, B 530-3), *Supp.* 373-6 (A iambic, B Paeonic), 955-62 (A 955-7, B 958-62), *H. F.* 106-17 (A 106-12, B 113-17), 408-18 (A 408-11, B 412-18), Ar. *Ach.* 836-41.

Three-part Form.

For further examples of three-part form, see Soph. *O. T.* 151-9 (A ... πολυχρύσου, B ... ἔβας, A ... πάλλων, C ... Παιάν, A ... χρέος, A ... Φάμα), *Trach.* 132-40 (A Paeonic, B trochaic and iambic, A Paeonic), *Phil.* 169-79 (A Glyconic and Pherecratic, B 175-7, A Glyconic and Pherecratic), 827-38 (A 827, B spondaic, C $\cup\cup\cup\cup$, B spondaic, A 838), 1140-5 (1140 = 1145), *O. C.* 668-80 (668-9 = 678-9), 694-706 (694 = 706), Eur. *Andr.* 117-25 (A Dorian, B Paeonic, A Dorian), *H. F.* 348-58 (A Aeolian, B 352-3, A Aeolian), *Ion* 1229-43 (A Aeolian, B 1233-41, A Aeolian), *Tro.* 1060-70 (A Glyconic and Pherecratic, B 1066-70, A final Pherecratic), *Phoen.* 239-49 (A trochaic dimeters, B 246-8, A trochaic dimeter), *Bacch.* 105-19 (A Glyconic and Pherecratic, B Ionic a minore and dactylic, A Glyconic and Pherecratic), Ar. *Lys.* 321-34 (A iambo-choriambic and choriambic, B choriambic into Pherecratic, A iambo-choriambic and choriambic), *Plut.* 290-5, 316-21, *Ach.* 1008-17, Timocr. 1 (str., cf. epode), Pind. *O.* iii str. (A-B-A 1-3, C-D-C 4-5).

A looser variety of three-part form is obtained by making the closing cadence repeat a phrase which has been heard already in the course of the early part of the design (see p. 85, n. 1): Aesch. *Theb.* 738 ὄστε ματρὸς ἀγνάν = 742 νυμφίους φρενώλεις, 818 γένεος Οἰδίπου τ' ἀρά = 824 -δε ξυναυλία δορός, Soph. *Aj.* 224 ἄτλατον οὐδὲ φευκτάν = 232 βοτῆρας

ἵππονώμας, 598 πᾶσιν περίφαντος αἰεί = 607 τὸν ἀπότροπον αἰδηλον Αἶδαν, *El.* 505 πολύπυγος ἵππεία = 515 πολύπυγος αἰκεία, *O. T.* 464 Δελφὶς εἶπε πέτρα = 472 Κῆρες ἀναπλάκῃτοι, *Ant.* 606 τὰν οὐθ' ὕπνος αἰρεῖ ποθ' ὁ παντογῆρως = 614 θνατῶν βιώτῳ πάμπολύ γ' ἐκτὸς ἄτας, *Eur. Hcl.* 354 σοῦ πλέον οὐ μέλονται = 361 -γεί Σθενέλου τύραννος, 773 πόρευσον ἄλλα τὸν οὐ δικαίως = 776 δίκαιός εἰμ' ἐκπεσεῖν μελάθρων, *Hec.* 446 ἀκάτους ἐπ' οἶδμα λίμνας = 454 -πιδανὸν πέδια λιπαίνειν, *Tro.* 521 ἐν πύλαις Ἀχαιοί = 530 δόλιον ἔσχον ἄταν, *Hel.* 1452 κώπα ῥοθίοισι ματήρ = 1464 οἴκων Ἐλέναν ἐπ' ἀκταῖς, *Alcman* 23, 37 ὁ δ' ὄλβιος ὅστις εὐφρων = 49 ὑποπετριδίῳν ὀνείρων.

Pindar gives unity to his strophe or epode, or binds strophe and epode together, in the same way; only with him the repetition often comes a little before the end of the design: *O.* iv 4 ἔσαναν αὐτίκ' ἀγγελίαν = 24 νέοις ἐν ἀνδράσιν πολίαι, *O.* vii 1 φιάλαν ὡς = 7 πορευόντων = 18 Ἀσίας εὐ-, xiv 1 ταί τε ναίετε καλλίπῳλον ἔδραν = 11 -βοντι πατρὸς Ὀλυμπίοιο τιμάν, *P.* ii 2 ἵππων τε σιδαροχαρμᾶν = 8 -νίους ἐδάμασσε πῳλους = 24 ἐποιχομένους τίνεσθαι.

REFERENCES TO AESCHYLUS

The following table will help the reader to adjust Wecklein's numeration of Aeschylus to that of the Oxford Classical Text (1955).

Wecklein	Oxford Classical Text						
	<i>Supp.</i>	<i>Theb.</i>	<i>Pers.</i>	<i>Agam.</i>	<i>Cho.</i>	<i>Eum.</i>	<i>P.V.</i>
100	94	103	113	100	101	100	100
200	194	214	197	190	201	200	184
300	294	313	297	288	301	300	284
400	395	413	397	390	401	397	384
500	491	513	497	495	502	497	484
600	592	613	597	595	601	597	577
700	692	713	698	697	704	697	673
800	792	815	798	809	805	797	774
900	889	916	898	909	901	899	874
1000	989	1009	997	1013	1002	999	968
1100				1113			1067
1200				1201			
1300				1301			
1400				1401			
1500				1499			
1600				1600			

INDEX.

- Aeschylus, *Supplices*: 81-99**
 40-57: Dorian for Epaphus 52
 43: prosodiac 151
 45-6: long prosodiac 152
 47-8: resolution in epitrite 152
 48: protracted epitrite 152
 58: choriambic for prophecy 58
 58-63: shift by echo 27
 61-3: Ionic a minore for the nightingale 55
 88-90: Dorian for Zeus 50
 92-3: epitrite 151
 93-4: resolution in epitrite 152
 423-5: cretics 11; cretics for entreaty 67 n.
 553-5: overlap 18
 569: dochmiac variant 155
 638-46: three-part form 40-1
 641-6: combination of dochmiac and Aeolian tripod 20
 702-5: Aeolian for festivity 60
 787-91: shift by link 25
 879-82: shift by link 25
 1029-36: cadences in Ionic a minore 14
 1032: anacclasis in Ionic a minore 152
 1036, 1053: Anacreontic cadence in Ionic a minore 153
 — *Persae* 66-116: Ionic a minore for the Persian army 56
 88-91: Ionic a minore 8-9
 92-3: Anacreontic 8-9
 97: resolution in Ionic a minore 152
 117-8: trochaic for suspense 116
 854-99: two-part form 38-9
 953-4: anacclasis in Ionic a minore 152
 1039-66: iambic for dirge 88
 — *Septem contra Thebas* 138: — — — — — 158
 508: resolution in dochmiac 65, 155
 508: rare form of dochmiac 155
 712-3: shift by echo 27
- Aeschylus, *Septem contra Thebas* (cont.)**
 738=742, 818=824: repeated cadence 156
 818: resolution in anticipation of change of rhythm 24 n.
 941-8: iambic for dirge 88
 — *Oresteia*: 100-32
 — *Agamemnon* 104-5: Dorian for the Greeks at Troy 49
 153: Paeonic for Apollo 66
 153, 1057: palimbacchius 154
 170-7: three-part form 40
 190: cretics in trochaic 155
 202-3: trochaic undercurrent in dochmiac 26
 206, 379: dochmiac variant 155
 207-8: protracted dochmiac 155
 208-12: choriambic for prophecy 59
 249-58: dochmiac 12
 270: compared with Aesch. *Supp.* 605: 95 n.
 387-91: shift by echo 26
 692-6: Ionic a minore for ἀβρότης 56
 699-700: compared with Eur. *I. A.* 751-2: 49 n.
 738-48: repeated cadence 85 n.
 745-8: shift by link 25
 966-77: three-part form 41
 1064-5: Paeonic for Apollo 66
 1135-41: three-part form 40
 1449-50: Aeolian for lamentation 59
 — *Choephoroe* 22-5: shift by resolution 23
 22-31: repeated cadence 85 n.
 48-52: shift by link 25
 314-17: Aeolian for lamentation 59
 379-81: Dorian for Zeus 50
 386: Aeolian enneasyllable 154
 390-2: choriambic for mental agitation 58
 393-4: Dorian for Zeus 50
 464-6: Aeolian for lamentation 60
 935: dochmiac variant 155

- Aeschylus, *Eumenides*** 322-4: protraction 16
 329-30: fourth paeons 11
 329-31: overlap 17
 791-3: bacchii 11
 — *Prometheus Vincit*: 133-4
 130: substitution in iambo-choriambic 153
 130-40: concurrent rhythm 30-1
 135: Aeolian decasyllable 154
 169-76: shift by resolution 23
 412-17: shift by overlap 29-30
 413-21: anacreontic for lamentation 125 n.
 415-16: Ionic a minore for lamentation 54
 421: anaclysis in Ionic a minore 152
 542-51: Dorian rhythm 8; for Zeus 50
 551: epitrite 151
 562-3: Anacreontic in Dorian 147 n.
 618-19: substitution in dochmiac 12
 888-9: sequel to *The Suppliants* 99
- Alcaeus**, 37 A, 41 (Diehl 87, 96): trochee prefixed to choriambic, which passes by overlap into an Aeolian tripod 153
- Alcman**, 9 (Diehl 2): Dorian for Dioscuri 54
 19 (Diehl 61): Paeonic for Artemis 66 n.
 23 (Diehl 1), 36-7: Pherecratic with anacrusis 22; 36-40: compared with Pind. *O.* i 78; 37=49: repeated cadence 157
 60 (Diehl 58): analysis 69
- Anacreon**, 1 (Diehl 1): lengthened second sentence 15; 1-3: Glyconic and Pherecratic 10; 4: *Ἀθηαλου* 134
 6 (Diehl 6): anaclastic Glyconic 10
 16, 2 (Diehl 25): anaclastic Pherecratic 154
 17 (Diehl 69): Aeolian for festivity 61
 22-5, 28 (Diehl 72-3, 52-3, 51): choriambic into Pherecratic 153
- Anacreon (cont.)**
 24 (Diehl 52): Aeolian and choriambic combined 61; resolution of choriambus 153
 62 (Diehl 27): Anacreontic 9
- Aristophanes, *Acharnenses*** 216: first paeons 11
 836: significance of the phrase 6
 836-41: overlap in final cadence 17; two-part form 156
 1008-17: three-part form 156
 — *Equites* 581-94: Aeolian for Athena combined with Dorian for Victory 64 n.
 1111-30: Ionian phrases 153
 — *Lysistrata* 321-49: choriambic for animated movement 57 n.; three-part form 156
 — *Thesmophoriazusae* 1137-47: Aeolian for Athena 64 n.
 — *Ranae* 324-36: Ionic a minore for Dionysus 57 n.
 1320-3: form of Glyconic 65
 1322: initial anapaest in Glyconic 154
 1346-8, 1353-5: Aeschylus made to criticise versification of Euripides 3
 1356-7: cretic for Crete 66
 — *Ecclesiazusae* 952 f.: cretics for entreaty 67 n.
 — *Plutus* 290-5: three-part form 156
- Aristotle, *Bergk*** II pp. 360-2: Dorian for ἀπερά 47; double anacrusis in prosodiac 151
 — *Politics* 1342 A: Dorian mode best for education 47
- Athenaeus**, xiv 617 B: Pratinas 2
 624: ethical quality of Dorian mode 67 n.
- Bacchylides**, x 1: Dorian for Victory 64
 xvi 129: *παίδνιξαν* 134
 xvii 1-2: Ionic a minore for ἀβρότης 56
- Catullus**, xi 9-12, 17-20: Sapphic stanza in Greek style 19

- Catullus**, xxxiv 1-4: anaclastic Glyconic and Pherecratic 11
- Euripides**, *Cyclops* 608-11: parody of Aeschylean trochaics 116 n.
- *Alcestis*: 144-9
86-7: iambic for dirge 88
244: Aeolian enneasyllable 154
398: Anacreontic for lamentation 125 n.
437, 442, 460: Ionian phrases 153
- *Medea* 627-41: Dorian for ἀρετά 48; compared with Aesch. *P.V.* 913-38 133
- *Heraclidae* 73: βωμοῦ πέλας 109 n.
354=361: repeated cadence 157
358-61: Aeolian for Athens 63
748-54: Aeolian for Athens 66 n.
773=776: repeated cadence 157
917-18: marriage motive 78 n.
919-23: Aeolian for Athens 64
- *Hippolytus* 125: Ionian phrase 153
141, 143-4: spondee in third foot of Glyconic 148 n.
146=156: resolution in Glyconic 154
525-33: two-part form 156
526-8: Ionian phrases 153
554: marriage motive 78 n.
- *Andromache* 117: long prosodiac 152
117-25: three-part form 156
117-34: Dorian for the Greeks 49-50
766-76: two-part form 39; Dorian for ἀρετά 48
1156: βωμοῦ πέλας 109 n.
- *Hecuba* 353: compared with Aesch. *Supp.* 605 95 n.
446=454: repeated cadence 157
452: resolution in Glyconic 154
466-7: Ionian phrases 153
469-71: spondee in third foot of Glyconic 148 n.
905-9: Dorian for the Greeks at Troy 49
- Euripides**, *Hecuba* (*cont.*):
927: Ionian phrase 153
928-32: Dorian for the Greeks at Troy 49
- *Supplices* 42-53: Ionic a minore for lamentation 55
373-6: two-part form 156
778: Ionian phrase 153
955-62: two-part form 156
975: substitution in iambo-choriambic 153
1001, 1005-7: spondee in second foot of Glyconic 145 n.
1012-13: bacchius repeated as opening of anaclastic Glyconic 28 n.¹
- *Hercules Furens* 106-17: two-part form 156
348-51: Aeolian for festivity 60
348-58: three-part form 156
366: spondee in second foot of Glyconic 145 n.
390: anaclastic Pherecratic 154
408-18: two-part form 156
409: Μαιῶτιν 134
413: shift by resolution 24 n.
637-41: Aeolian and choriambic combined 62
763-4: Aeolian for festivity 61
781-9: reminiscence of Soph. *Ant.* 100-9 61 n.
798-806: Dorian for Heracles 53
- *Ion* 184-9: Aeolian for Athens 66 n.
468-9: Ionian phrases 153
1080, 1089: anaclastic Pherecratic 154
1229: spondee in second foot of Glyconic 145 n.
1229-43: three-part form 156
- *Troiaides* 307-24: marriage motive 78 n.
521=530: repeated cadence 157
1060-70: three-part form 156
1071-6: Aeolian for festivity 61
- *Electra* 116: spondee in third foot of Glyconic 148 n.

- Euripides, *Electra* (cont.)**
 167: Ionian phrase 153
 440-1: reminiscence of Aesch. *Agam.*
 699-700 113 n.
 458-63: choriambic for animated
 movement 58
 471-5: choriambic for animated
 movement 58
 480: shift by resolution 24 n.
 — *Iphigeneia Taurica* 1234: epitrite
 151
 1234-5: Dorian for Apollo 52
 — *Helena* 241-8: 149
 341: shift by resolution 24 n.
 1144-6: Dorian for Helen 53
 1151-4: Dorian for ἀπερά 48
 1308: resolution in Glyconic 154
 1314: initial anapaest in Glyconic
 154
 1316: substitution in iambo-chor-
 iambic 153
 1451-5: shift by overlap 29
 1452=1464: repeated cadence 157
 — *Phoenissae* 239-49: three-part
 form 156
 1539-42: Ionic a minore for lamen-
 tation 55; bacchii in Ionic a
 minore 153
 — *Orestes* 316-23: quick dochmiac
 for the Furies 131
 1381-92: 150
 1414-17: words repeated for sake of
 musical accompaniment 3
 — *Bacchae* 64-5: Ionic a minore
 for Dionysus 57
 64-117, 370-432, 519-75: anapaests,
 spondees and bacchii in Ionic a
 minore 153
 83-88: Ionic a minore for Dionysus
 57
 105-19: three-part form 156
 385: Ionic cadence 153
 522: resolution in Ionic a minore 152
 862-76: Aeolian for festivity 61
 1168: dochmiac variant 155
 — *Iphigeneia Aulidensis* 562-3:
 Dorian for ἀπερά 48
- Euripides, *Iphigeneia Aulidensis* (cont.)**
 751-2: reminiscence of Aesch. *Agam.*
 699-700 113 n.
 751-5: Dorian, contrasted with Ae-
 olian, for the Greeks at Troy 49
 766-72: Dorian for Dioscuri and
 Helen 54
 1056-7, 1078-9: marriage motive
 79 n.
 1426: βωμοῦ πέλας 109 n.
 — *Rhesus* 224: epitrite
 224-32: Dorian for Apollo 52
 360-7: combined Aeolian and chor-
 iambic contrasted with Dorian 62
 363: epitrite 151
 900-1: Ionian phrases 153
 — Fr. 11 (Nauck): Dorian for ἀπερά
 48
Hesiod, *Op.* 284-90: 'Απερά 47
Homer, *Iliad* xxiv 718-76: form of the
θηῖνος 123
Horace, *Carm.* i 2. 29, 25. 11: Sapphic stanza in Greek style 19
 i 3, 1: Glyconic 9
 iii 12: Ionic a minore 8
Lamprocles, 1 (Diehl 1): Dorian for
 Zeus and Athena 51 n.
Lucian, *Harmon.* 1: ethical quality of
 Ionian and Phrygian modes 67 n.
Melic Fr. adesp. 82 A-B: Dorian for
 Zeus and Athena 51 n.
 85: Paeonic for Apollo 67
 104 B: Dorian for ἀπερά 48
 118: cretic 66
Pindar, *Olympians* i: 70-80
 1: opening compared with Aesch.
Agam. 718: 114
 7-8: shift by resolution 24
 24: anaclastic Pherecratic 154
 28 b: resolution in Pherecratic 154
 ii 7: dochmiac variant 155
 16: ἄ-ἄ-ἄ-ἄ dochmiac 155
 iii: Dorian for Dioscuri 54
 str.: three-part form 156
 1: Dorian phrase 7
 3: anacrusis in prosodiac 151
 iv 1: Ionian phrase 153

Pindar, *Olympians* (cont.)

- 4=24: repeated cadence 157
 8: Ionian phrase 153
 v: 141
 vi 6: irregular figure in Dorian 152
 19-21: Dorian cadence 14
 vii 1, 6, 18: Ionic a minore added to Dorian 56-7, 152
 1=6: repeated figure 157
 viii 6: irregular figure in Dorian 152
 17: substitution in prosodiac 152
 22, 44: anacrusis in epitrite 151
 ix 1: Ionian phrase 153
 22: Ionian phrase 153
 xi 15: resolution in epitrite 152
 xiv 1=11: repeated cadence 157
 10: $\text{L} \sim \sim \sim \text{L}$ 156
- *Pythians* i 15, 17: resolution in epitrite 152
 20: irregular figure in Dorian 152
 ii: 141-3
 2=8=24: repeated cadence 157
 iii 4: long prosodiac 152
 23: double anacrusis in prosodiac 151
 iv 4: substitution in prosodiac 152
 5, 23: substitution in epitrite 152
 20: prosodiac 151
 v: 42-3
 31: resolution in Glyconic 154
 vi: 42
 vii 1: compared with *Pyth.* ii 1 143
 ix 1, 3: irregular figures in Dorian 152
 x 2: development out of Glyconic 68 n., 154
 xii: two-part form with *coda* 39 n.
- *Nemeans* i 72: epitrite 151
 v 2: substitution in prosodiac 152
 13: anacrusis in prosodiac 151
 vi: 140-1
 20: Ionian phrase 153
 vii: 43-5
 2: Aeolian hendecasyllable 154
 17: alternative analysis (cf. p. 45) 154
 vii 21: resolution in Glyconic 154

Pindar, *Nemeans* (cont.)

- viii 2, 16: prosodiac 151
 13: irregular figure in Dorian 152
 ix 1-5: two-part form with *coda* 39
 xi 5: prosodiac 151
- *Isthmians* i 5: anacrusis in epitrite 151
 ii 15: resolution in epitrite 152
 iv 45: resolution of prosodiac 151
 54b: resolution in epitrite 152
 vii 1: Ionian phrase 153
- *Fragments* 75, 2: $\text{L} \sim \sim \sim \text{L} \sim \text{L}$ 156
 76-7, 2: $\text{L} \sim \sim \sim \text{L} -$ 156
 87-88: Dorian for Apollo 52 n.
 95: significance of concurrent Glyconic and Anacreontic 32
- Plato, *Republic*** 398 D: the rhythm must follow the words 2
 398 E: ethical quality of Ionian and Mixolydian modes 67 n.
 iii 399 A: ethical quality of Dorian mode 67 n.
- Plutarch, *de Mus.*** xviii: ethical quality of Dorian mode 67 n.
- Pratinas, i** (Diehl 1) 1-2, 6-7: relation between musical accompaniment and the words 2
- Sappho, 2** (Diehl 2): Sapphic stanza 18
 62 (Diehl 106): Ionic a minore for lamentation 55; molottus for Ionic a minore 152
- Scolia, 5** (Diehl 4): significance of concurrent Glyconic and Anacreontic 32
 22 (Diehl 19): Aeolian and choriambic combined 62
- Simonides, 4, 5** (Diehl 5): resolution in epitrite 152
 5, 1 (Diehl 4): Anacreontic 147 n.
 12 (Diehl 20): choriambic for tempest 58
 26 B: Paeonic for Apollo 66
 31: cretics for Crete 66
 37, 9: epitrite 151
 37, 9-19 (Diehl 13): analysis 68-9

Simonides (cont.)

- 37, 14: Aeolian heptasyllable 154
 57, 6 (Diehl 48): epitrite 151
 58 (Diehl 37): Dorian for ἀπερὰ 47-8

Sophocles, *Antigone*: 134-6

- 52: compared with Aesch. *Supp.* 600
 94 n.
 100-9: recalled in Eur. *H. F.* 781-9
 61 n.
 138-40: choriambic for animated
 movement 57
 332-8: shift by anacrusis 23
 582: substitution in prosodiac 152
 583, 622, 791-2, 839-40: Anacreontic
 125 n.
 606=614: repeated cadence 157
 612: Ionian phrase 153
 816, 846: protracted Pherecratics
 154
 944-50: Dorian for Zeus and Danae
 52
 948-55: shift by anacrusis 22
 1307: ἀνταλαῖν 134

— *Ajax*: 136-7

- 172: long prosodiac 152
 172-91: Dorian for Artemis, Zeus
 and Apollo 51
 181: prosodiac 151
 221: epitrite 151
 221-32: continuous use of echo 28-9
 224=232: repeated cadence 156
 401-2, 403-5: ἄ-ἄ-ἄ-ἄ dochmiac 155
 596-9: Aeolian for Athens 63
 596-607: opening phrase repeated as
 last in resolved form 24 n.
 598=607: repeated cadence 156
 602: protracted epitrite 152
 605-7: shift by resolution 24
 627-9: Ionic a minore for the nightin-
 gale 55
 629: molottus in Ionic a minore 152
 695-701: concurrent rhythm 31-2
 1190: Τροίαν 134
 1191: protracted Pherecratic 154
 1217-22: Aeolian for Athens 63

**— *Oedipus Tyrannus* 151-2: long
prosodiac 152****Sophocles, *Oedipus Tyrannus* (cont.)**

- 151-9: three-part form 156
 151-67: Dorian for Zeus and Athena
 51
 464=472: repeated cadence 156-7
 467-8: Ionian phrases 153
 483-4: choriambic 8; for prophecy
 58
 649-53: cretics for entreaty 67 n.
 863: epitrite 151
 863-5: Dorian for εὐσέβεια 48
 1086-8: choriambic for prophecy 59
 1097: protracted epitrite 152
 1186: Ionian phrase 153
 1186-92 (lengthened second sent-
 ence): 15
 1186-1204: Aeolian for lamentation
 60
 1193-6: overlap in final cadence 17
 1332: protracted dochmiac 108 n.

— *Electra*: 137-9

- 245: Aeolian tripod 10
 245-6: Aeolian for lamentation 60
 473-4: choriambic for prophecy 59;
 molottus for choriambus 153
 505=515: repeated cadence 156
 826-31: Ionic a minore for lamen-
 tation 54
 829: spondee in Ionic a minore 153
 849: δειλαία δειλαίων 134
 1058: οἰωνοῦς 134
 1058-62: concurrent rhythm 31
 1064-9: shift by overlap 29
 1384-8: quick dochmiac for the
 Furies 131

**— *Trachiniae* 94: iambo-choriambic
in Dorian 92**

- 94-111: Dorian for Heracles 53
 116-18: iambo-choriambic 9
 116-19: resolution in anticipation of
 change of rhythm 24 n.
 119-21: Glyconic and Pherecratic 10
 132-40: three-part form 156
 205-24: Paeonic for Apollo 67
 947: resolution in Glyconic 154
 947-9: protracted Pherecratic 16
 949: protracted Pherecratic 154

- Sophocles, *Philoctetes*** 136, 687-90, 711, 856, 1140, 1145, 1176-7: Anacreontic 125 n.
 169-90: Aeolian for lamentation 60; three-part form 156
 201, 833: $\text{—} \sim \sim \sim \text{—}$ 156
 714-15: cadence in choriambic 14; overlap 17
 827-38: opening phrase repeated as last in resolved form 24 n.; three-part form 156
 835: $\text{—} \sim \sim \sim \text{—} \sim$ 156
 1123-5: anaclastic Pherecratic 11
 1125: anaclastic Pherecratic 154
 1140-5: three-part form 156
 1176-7: Anacreontic for lamentation 125 n.
- *Oedipus Coloneus* 118 *valei*: 134
 121-2: molottus in Paeonic 155
 121-37: continuous use of echo 27-8
 668-80: three-part form 156
 668-719: significant use of Aeolian, Ionic a minore and Dorian 64-6
 694-706: three-part form 156
 702: resolution in dochmiac 155
 704: initial anapaest in Glyconic 154
- Sophocles, *Oedipus Coloneus* (cont.)**
 1044-6: Ionian phrases 153
 1085-6: protracted epitrite 152
 1085-95: Dorian for Zeus and Athene 51
 1090: prosodiac and epitrite 151
 1211-38: Aeolian for lamentation 60
 1240-1: choriambic for tempest 58 n.
- Stesichorus, 7 (Diehl 5): Stesichorean form of Dorian** 103
 18 (Diehl 9): Dorian for the Greeks 48; long form of Dorian 103
 26 (Diehl 17): Stesichorean form of Dorian 103
 32 (Diehl 11): protracted epitrite 16, 132; prosodiac with anacrusis 21; Dorian for Helen 53
 44 (Diehl 16): Ionic a minore for *Rhadine* 56
- Terpander, 2 (Diehl 2): compared with Aesch. *Agam.*** 110 104 n.
- Timocreon, 1 (str. cf. epode) (Diehl 1): three-part form** 156
- Timotheus, *Persae* 26-31: 150**
 12 (Diehl 7): 150

**THIS BOOK IS DUE ON THE LAST DATE
STAMPED BELOW**

**RENEWED BOOKS ARE SUBJECT TO IMMEDIATE
RECALL**

5 FEB '63 IND

LIBRARY, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, DAVIS

Book Slip-35m-7,'62 (D296s4) 458

**THIS BOOK IS DUE ON THE LAST DATE
STAMPED BELOW**

**RENEWED BOOKS ARE SUBJECT TO IMMEDIATE
RECALL**

5 FEB '63 IND

LIBRARY, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, DAVIS

Book Slip-35m-7,'62 (D296s4) 458

247215

Thomson, G.F.
Greek lyric metre.

Call Number:

PA115

L9

T43

1961

711

247215

